

# A short note on the kernel VC-type condition

Yen-Chi Chen  
University of Washington  
September 1, 2020

This note is based on page 220-222 of the following book:

[GN2016] Giné, E., & Nickl, R. (2016). Mathematical foundations of infinite-dimensional statistical models (Vol. 40). Cambridge University Press.

In the kernel density estimation (KDE), we observe IID random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from some unknown PDF  $f$  and we estimate the underlying PDF via

$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right).$$

Note: We assume the dimension of the data is 1 to simplify the problem.

Let  $f_h(x) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}_h(x)]$  be its expectation and under mild conditions (e.g., 2-Hölder or bounded second derivatives), we have  $f_h(x) = f(x) + O(h^2)$ . Also, it is known in the literature that such estimator has uniform convergence

$$\sup_x |\hat{f}_h(x) - f_h(x)| = O_P\left(\sqrt{\frac{|\log h|}{nh}}\right)$$

under some *kernel VC-type condition*. In this note, we will give a gentle discussion on sufficient conditions to the kernel VC conditions.

Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ y \mapsto K\left(\frac{x-y}{h}\right) : h > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

be the collection of kernel functions indexed by  $x$  and  $h$ . For a collection of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  and a metric of function  $\rho$ , we denote the  $\varepsilon$ -covering number of  $\mathcal{F}$  under  $\rho$  as

$$N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, \rho).$$

The  $\varepsilon$ -covering number is the least number of functions  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  such that any function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  will satisfy  $\min_j \rho(f, f_j) \leq \varepsilon$ . If such collection  $f_1, \dots, f_N$  attain this bound, we will call them an  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F}$  under the metric  $\rho$ . Also, for a collection  $\mathcal{F}$ , an *envelope*  $F_0$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a function such that  $f(x) \leq F_0(x)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_{L_2(Q)}$  be the  $L_2(Q)$  norm of functions, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{L_2(Q)} = \int |f(x)|^2 dQ(x).$$

The kernel VC-type condition is the following condition:

(K) there exists an envelope  $F_0$  and constants  $A, v > 0$  such that

$$\sup_Q N(\epsilon \|F_0\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{K}, \|\cdot\|_{L_2(Q)}) \leq \left(\frac{A}{\epsilon}\right)^v. \quad (1)$$

This condition was first appear in the following seminal paper:

[GG2002] Giné, E., & Guillou, A. (2002, November). Rates of strong uniform consistency for multivariate kernel density estimators. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probability and Statistics* (Vol. 38, No. 6, pp. 907-921).

In [GG2002], the authors pointed out that if the kernel function  $K(x)$  is of *bounded p-variation*, then the condition in equation (1) holds. A function  $f$  is of *bounded p-variation* if

$$v_p = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |f(x_j - x_{j-1})|^p : -\infty < x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n < \infty, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is bounded. Most common kernel functions, such as Gaussian, Epanechnikov, cosine kernels are all of bounded p-variation. So it is a very mild condition.

Here we will give a high level idea on why bounded p-variation is enough to condition (K). Our explanation is based on Lemma 3.6.11 and Proposition 3.6.12 of [GN2016].

**Lemma 1 (Lemma 3.6.11. of GN2016)** *Let  $f$  be a function of bounded p-variation. Then there exists a non-decreasing function  $h$  such that  $0 \leq h(x) \leq v_p(f)$  and a  $1/p$ -Hölder continuous function on the interval  $[0, v_p(f)]$  such that  $f = g \circ h$  and  $\|g\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty$ .*

Note: a function  $f$  is called  $\beta$ -Hölder if there exists a constant  $L$  such that for any  $x, y$ ,  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y|^\beta$ .

**Proof (sketch).**

We take  $h(x)$  to be the ‘vertical distance travelled’ of  $f$  until point  $x$ . Namely, let  $I_z(x) = I(x \leq z)$ . Then  $h(x) = v_p(fI_x)$ . By construction,  $h$  is non-decreasing and for any  $x < y$ ,  $|f(y) - f(x)|^p \leq h(y) - h(x)$  and  $h(x) \in [0, v_p(f)]$ .

Let  $u \in [0, v_p(f)]$  be any possible value of  $h$ . Then we choose the function  $g(u)$  to be the value of  $f$  that corresponds to any point in  $h^{-1}(u)$ . So by construction,  $g \circ h(x) = g(h(x)) = f(x)$ .

Now we verify that  $g$  is  $1/p$ -Hölder continuous. Consider  $u, v \in [0, v_p(f)]$  such that  $g(u) = f(x)$  and  $g(v) = f(y)$ . Then we have

$$|g(u) - g(v)| = |f(x) - f(y)| \leq |h(x) - h(y)|^{1/p} \leq |u - v|^{1/p}.$$

Thus,  $g$  is  $1/p$ -Hölder continuous.

□

**Proposition 2 (Proposition 3.6.12. of GN2016 (simplified))** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function of bounded  $p$ -variation with  $p \geq 1$ . Consider the collection*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{x \mapsto f(tx - s) : t > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is of VC-type, i.e., there exists an envelop  $F_0$  and positive numbers  $A, \nu$  such that for any probability measure  $Q$ ,*

$$N(\varepsilon \|F\|_{L_2(Q)}, \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q)) \leq \left(\frac{A}{\varepsilon}\right)^\nu.$$

With Proposition 2, one can easily see why bounded  $p$ -variation kernel implies the condition (K).

**Proof of Proposition 2 (sketch).**

By Lemma 1, we can write  $f = g \circ h$ , where  $h$  is non-decreasing and  $g$  is  $1/p$ -Hölder. Thus, any function in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $f(tx - s) = g(h(tx - s))$ . We first consider the class

$$\mathcal{H} = \{x \mapsto h(tx - s) : t > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is just  $1/p$ -Hölder transform from  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous,  $h$  will also be continuous. Because of the non-decreasing property of  $h$ , we can define its generalized inverse  $h^{-1}(u)$  for any value  $u \in [0, v_p(f)]$ .

The subgraph of a particular element indexed by  $t, s$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  will be

$$\begin{aligned} G_{t,s} &= \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, v_p(f)] : u \leq h(tx - s)\} = \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, v_p(f)] : h^{-1}(u) \leq tx - s\} \\ &= \{(x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, v_p(f)] : h^{-1}(u) - tx + s \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all possible subgraphs in  $\mathcal{H}$  is the set  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_{t,s} : t > 0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . So the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$  is the VC dimension of the set  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Because each element  $G_{t,s}$  is determined by the function  $h^{-1}(u) - tx + s \leq 0$ , one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &\subset \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{V} &= \{\mathbb{V}_{a,b,c} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ \mathbb{V}_{a,b,c} &= \{(x, u) : ah^{-1}(u) + bx + c \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\mathcal{V}$  is formed by the vector space of 3 functions ( $(x, u) \mapsto h^{-1}(u)$ ,  $(x, u) \mapsto x$ ,  $(x, u) \mapsto 1$ ), its VC dimension is at most 4 (see, e.g., Proposition 3.6.6. of [GN2016]). So the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{V}$  will be at most 4, which implies that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a VC-type class with VC dimension at most 4 and we can pick the envelope function of  $\mathcal{H}$  to be the constant  $v_p(f)$ .

Then by the Dudley-Pollard Theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 3.6.9 of [GN2016]), there exist positive numbers  $A_0$  such that

$$N(\varepsilon v_p(f), \mathcal{H}, L_2(Q)) \leq \left(\frac{A_0}{\varepsilon}\right)^5$$

for any probability measure  $Q$ . Note that the constant 5 comes from the fact that the VC dimension of the underlying subgraph  $G$  is at most 4.

Using the fact that  $g$  is  $1/p$ -Hölder so for any  $u, v$  with  $\|u - v\|_{L_2(Q)} \leq \tau$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(u) - g(v)\|_{L_2(Q)} &= \left( \int (g(u) - g(v))^2 dQ \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \int |u - v|^{2/p} dQ \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_p \tau^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $c_p$ . Thus, any  $\varepsilon$ -cover of  $\mathcal{H}$  induces an  $c_p \cdot \varepsilon^{1/p}$ -cover of  $\mathcal{F} = g \circ \mathcal{H}$  so we have

$$N(\varepsilon^{1/p} \cdot c_p \cdot v_p(f), \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q)) \leq N(\varepsilon v_p(f), \mathcal{H}, L_2(Q)) \leq \left( \frac{A_0}{\varepsilon} \right)^5,$$

which implies

$$N(\varepsilon F_0, \mathcal{F}, L_2(Q)) \leq \left( \frac{A}{\varepsilon} \right)^{5p},$$

where  $F_0 = c_p^p \cdot v_p^p(f)$  is a constant envelope and  $A = A_0^{1/p}$ .

So we have completed the proof.

Note that this is a very loose bound—it can be improved a lot by the formal proof of Proposition 3.6.12. of [GN2016].

□