4 5

6 7

19

20

Peripheral anatomy and central connectivity of proprioceptive sensory neurons in the Drosophila wing

3 Ellen Lesser¹, Anthony Moussa¹, John C. Tuthill¹

¹Department of Neurobiology and Biophysics, University of Washington

<u>Abstract</u>

Recent advances in electron microscopy (EM) and automated image segmentation have produced synaptic wiring 8 diagrams of the Drosophila central nervous system. A limitation of existing fly connectome datasets is that most sensory 9 neurons are excised during sample preparation, creating a gap between the central and peripheral nervous systems. Here, 10 we bridge this gap by reconstructing wing sensory axons from the Female Adult Nerve Cord (FANC) EM dataset and 11 12 mapping them to peripheral sensory structures using genetic tools and light microscopy. We confirm the location and identity of known wing mechanosensory neurons and identify previously uncharacterized axons, including a novel 13 population of putative proprioceptors that make monosynaptic connections onto wing steering motor neurons. We also 14 find that proprioceptors of adjacent campaniform sensilla on the wing have distinct axon morphologies and postsynaptic 15 partners, suggesting a high degree of specialization in axon pathfinding and synaptic partner matching. The peripheral 16 location and central projections of wing sensory neurons are stereotyped across flies, allowing this wing proprioceptor 17 atlas and genetic toolkit to guide analysis of other fly connectome datasets. 18

Introduction

Fly wings are exquisite, versatile biological actuators. During flight, they sweep back and forth through the air 21 hundreds of times per second to keep the fly aloft. On the ground, flies extend their wings to groom and males vibrate a 22 wing to attract females during courtship. To accomplish these myriad functions, wing motor control relies on temporally 23 and spatially precise feedback from diverse sensory neurons distributed throughout the wing (Figure 1A). Proprioceptive 24 mechanosensory neurons play a particularly important role in flight control (Pringle, 1957), as mechanosensory feedback 25 has a shorter latency than visual signals and can therefore be used to rapidly adjust wing motion (Dickerson, 2020). 26 Wings experience dynamic forces during flight, and proprioceptors encode features of these forces such as wing bending, 27 twisting, and load (Dickerson et al., 2021). Drosophila typically beat their wings at 200-250 Hz and can adjust wing 28 kinematics from one stroke to the next (Dickinson et al., 1993; Heide and Götz, 1996). Thus, muscle contraction must 29 be temporally precise enough to act at these short time scales (Dickinson and Tu, 1997). Consistent with the need for 30 rapid feedback, some motor neurons that control wing steering muscles receive monosynaptic input from wing sensory 31 neurons (Fayyazuddin and Dickinson, 1999). However, the peripheral location and identity of the wing sensory neurons 32 that provide feedback to the wing motor system remain largely unknown. 33

Sensory neurons on the Drosophila wing can be grouped into different classes based on their end organ 34 morphology (Figure 1B). The most numerous are the bristles along the wing margin, which include both 35 mechanosensory and chemosensory sensilla (Hartenstein and Posakony, 1989; Palka et al., 1979). Wing chemosensory 36 37 neurons can detect external odors and pheromones (Stocker, 1994), while mechanosensory bristles can detect the presence of dust particles or mites (Hampel et al., 2017; Li et al., 2016). Bristles also line the tegula, a cuticular 38 protuberance at the proximal edge of the wing. Apart from the tactile and chemosensory bristles, other sensory neuron 39 classes are presumed to be proprioceptive, in that they monitor the movement and strain of the wing itself. These include 40 41 campaniform sensilla (CS) and chordotonal organs (CO), and the hair plates (HP), all of which can also be at other locations across the adult fly body, including the legs (Dinges et al., 2021; Field and Matheson, 1998). CS consist of a 42 single neuron with a dendrite that contacts a cuticular cap, or dome, on the surface of the wing; the CS neuron fires action 43 potentials when the dome deforms (Chapman et al., 1973; Moran et al., 1971; Pringle, 1938a). CS can be found as single 44 CS or in fields of domes that have similar size and orientation (Cole and Palka, 1982; Dinges et al., 2021). COs are 45 clusters of neurons with cap cells that anchor them to an internal structure, such as a tendon (Field and Matheson, 1998). 46 In the wing, they are anchored to inner extensions of the cuticles; for example, the wall of the tegula and the inner wall 47 of the radius (sometimes called the radial vein). Hair plates are small tightly packed clusters of sensory hairs, each of 48

which is innervated by a single mechanosensory neuron (Pringle, 1938b). Proprioceptive neurons (CS, CO, and HP) are concentrated proximally, especially along the radius and the tegula (**Figure 1C**). The axons of wing sensory neurons project into the fly's ventral nerve cord (VNC), the invertebrate analog of the spinal cord. Previous work has described the activity of fly leg proprioceptors during walking (Dallmann et al., 2024; Pratt et al., 2025), but it has been prohibitively challenging to record activity of wing sensory neurons during flight.

Much of what we know about wing sensory neurons comes from developmental studies that used the fly wing 54 as a model to investigate whether axonal morphology is intrinsically determined or extrinsically directed. Some studies 55 used mosaic mutant flies with hindwings in place of halteres to test whether sensory axons would follow haltere-like 56 morphologies or wing-like morphologies once they entered the developing central nervous system (Ghysen, 1978; Palka 57 et al., 1979). These studies measured morphological similarities between wild-type and mutant axons to uncover their 58 intrinsic developmental programs. Their findings showed that the degree of intrinsic programming was different for 59 single CS and field CS, in that axons from field CS on the mutant hindwings followed similar paths in the VNC to the 60 field CS on wild type halteres, while the axons of single CS on mutant hindwings retained the morphological 61 characteristics of the wild type forewing single CS axons (Palka et al., 1979). This difference suggests that the field and 62 single CS are endowed with different axon guidance instructions, connect to different postsynaptic partners, and thus 63 may serve distinct functions. 64

Understanding how central circuits integrate information from wing sensory neurons is key to understanding 65 their function. Connectomics, or dense reconstruction of neurons and synapses from electron microscopy, offers new 66 opportunities for mapping peripheral sensory feedback to the CNS (Galili et al., 2022). In this study, we bridge the gap 67 between the VNC connectome and the wing by mapping central axon morphologies to the peripheral structures from 68 which they originate. We reconstructed all 490 afferents in the left wing nerve (anterior dorsomedial nerve, ADMN) in 69 the FANC electron microscopy dataset (Azevedo et al., 2024; Phelps et al., 2021). Many axon morphologies and their 70 corresponding peripheral end organs were previously undescribed. We identified genetic driver lines for a subset of these 71 72 unknown wing sensory neurons and elucidated their peripheral location and anatomy. For example, we identified novel classes of peripheral sensory neurons near the wing hinge and found that campaniform sensilla on the tegula synapse 73 directly onto the tonic wing b1 motor neuron, suggesting a specialized role in feedback control of flight steering. We 74 75 also confirmed a long-standing prediction that individual campaniform sensilla from the same field can have distinct axon morphologies (Palka et al., 1986). A companion paper that reconstructed haltere campaniform sensilla axons in the 76 connectome identified a similar organization (Dhawan et al., 2025). Overall, knowing the relationships between 77 peripheral neuroanatomy, axon morphology, and downstream connectivity to wing motor neurons provides a foundation 78 for investigating proprioceptive sensing and motor control of the fly wing. 79

80 81

Results

82 Comprehensive reconstruction of wing axons in the FANC connectome

We reconstructed all axons in the left ADMN using an EM dataset of the ventral nerve cord (VNC) of a female adult fly 83 (FANC; Figure 1D; also see Methods; (Azevedo et al., 2024; Phelps et al., 2021)). For each automatically segmented 84 85 neuron, we used the software interface Neuroglancer to manually proofread (Methods) the major branches, as well as all branches that could be reliably attached. In the left ADMN, we identified 490 sensory axons and 14 motor axons. 86 Axons were identified as sensory if they did not attach to a cell body in the VNC (Methods). The total number of axons 87 is slightly higher than previously reported counts from cross sections of the wing nerve (455-465 axons, (Edwards et al., 88 89 1978)). Of these afferents, we classified 364 as wing margin bristle axons, based on their ventral projections (Palka et al., 1979). Of the 126 non-bristle afferents, we identified 64 axon morphologies from published images of dye-fills 90 (Table 1; (Burt and Palka, 1982; Ghysen, 1980, 1978; Kays et al., 2014; Koh et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2012; Palka et al., 91 1986, 1979; Thistle et al., 2012; Whitlock and Palka, 1995)). Of the 62 remaining axons previously unidentified in the 92 93 literature, we identified sparse GAL4 lines in the FlyLight collection (Jenett et al., 2012) that labeled axon morphologies that resembled the reconstructed axons from FANC, crossed these lines to a fluorescent reporter, and then imaged the 94 wing and wing hinge to visualize expression (Figure 1S). Using this strategy, we successfully identified 50 of the 62 95

96 previously unidentified morphologies.



98 Figure 1: Proprioceptive neurons on the Drosophila wing. (A) The cell bodies and dendrites of sensory neurons are in the periphery, on the wing and wing hinge, and their axons project to the ventral nerve cord (VNC). Before entering the VNC, the 99 sensory axons fasciculate together and enter through the Anterior Dorsomedial Nerve (ADMN). (B) Proprioceptors on the wing 100 include campaniform sensilla (CS), chordotonal organs (CO), and a hair plate (HP). Each campaniform sensillum dome is innervated 101 by a single sensory neuron, as is each hair in a hair plate. A chordotonal organ is made up of a group of sensory neurons with 102 supporting cells that fix them to the underside of the cuticle (shown in blue). Blue asterisks (bottom right) indicates a single external 103 hair in the hair plate. Images show the membrane-bound fluorescent label mCD8::GFP to highlight each proprioceptor type. See 104 105 Table 3 for details on which proprioceptors are labeled by which driver lines. Scale bars are 10 µm. (C) Location of sensory neurons on the wing and wing hinge. The location of sensory neurons and the number of campaniform sensilla in each field are based on 106 confocal images and a prior study (Dinges et al., 2021). A subset of sclerites and other structures that make up the wing hinge are 107 included as landmarks: pterale C (ptC), the anterior nodal wing process (ANWP, which also features three CS), the parascutal shelf 108 109 (ps), and the second axillary (ax ii). (D) We reconstructed each sensory axon in the ADMN wing nerve to visualize its full 110 morphology and analyze downstream connectivity in the VNC. More information on each of these steps in Methods and Azevedo et al., 2024. In the nerve cross-section, the motor domain and margin bristle domains are highlighted by outlined gray masks. 111 112

We reconstructed postsynaptic partners of sensory neurons until at least 70% of the output synapses from each sensory neuron were attached to proofread neurons (Figure 2A). Sensory axons make direct synapses onto motor neurons, other sensory neurons, VNC intrinsic neurons, and interneurons that ascend to the brain. To identify clusters of sensory axons with similar postsynaptic connectivity, we used a pairwise measure of cosine similarity, where a score of one indicates that the two neurons contact the same partners with the same proportion of synapses. We then ordered the neurons via agglomerative clustering, which revealed clusters of neurons with similar morphologies (Figure 2B). Notably, many of the axons do not form crisp cluster boundaries, suggesting that multi-modal sensory information is integrated at early stages of sensory processing. Figure 2C-E show the axon morphology of each cluster, organized by peripheral class. In the remainder of the paper, we focus on identifying the novel sensory neuron classes in Figure 2C.

Proximal CS axons are characterized by three branches: one short branch projects to the tectulum and two long branches project anteriorly to the brain and posteriorly to the haltere neuropil (Ghysen, 1980). There are ~36 proximal campaniform sensilla on the wing, and we found 38 axons in the EM dataset that followed this pattern. Previous dye fills of distal campaniform sensilla revealed axons that do not ascend to the brain, and instead send two processes to the posterior VNC (Ghysen, 1980). There are ~17 distal campaniform sensilla on the wing, and we found 15 axons that match this pattern. We also identified five ascending axons that resemble the small CS morphology although they are missing a posterior branch. Overall, our comprehensive reconstruction revealed many morphological subgroups with overlapping postsynaptic partners, suggesting a high degree of integration within wing sensorimotor circuits.



Figure 2: Postsynaptic connectivity and morphology of wing sensory axons. (A) Connectivity matrix based on the left wing 145 proprioceptors and postsynaptic neurons in the VNC. Only partners with at least five synapses from a single proprioceptor are shown. For simplicity, we do not show: (1) a descending neuron that is postsynaptic to sensory neurons (0.1%) of the proprioceptive outputs), 146 (2) a single non-motor efferent neuron (0.1%); and unproofread or fragment neurons, (9.7%). Postsynaptic neurons are classified as 147 either motor neurons, sensory neurons, VNC intrinsic neurons, or ascending neurons (axons project to the brain). Within each class, 148 postsynaptic neurons were then sorted according to which wing proprioceptor they receive the most synapses from. The number of 149 synapses is displayed on a log scale. (B) Cosine similarity matrix of the 126 left wing axons not from margin bristles. Axons are ordered by agglomerative clustering. Boxes indicate clusters with similar morphology, with the number next to each cluster 150 indicating the morphology clusters in (C-E). Filled green boxes indicate morphologies identified in the project. See Table 1 and 151 Methods for details on matching axon morphologies to prior literature.

152 153

154 Connectivity reveals a potential role for the tegula in flight control

Due to the need for rapid sensory feedback necessary for flight control, we were especially interested in identifying 155 axons with monosynaptic connections onto wing motor neurons. We found that 34 (of 62) previously uncharacterized 156 axons synapse directly onto wing steering motor neurons (Figure 3A-B). Of these, one group of axons synapses directly 157 onto the well-characterized b1 motor neuron, which innervates the b1 muscle to help stabilize pitch during flight 158 (Whitehead et al., 2022). The b1 motor neuron fires on nearly every wingstroke and input from wing afferents sets the 159 phase of its activation (Fayyazuddin and Dickinson, 1999; Heide and Götz, 1996). Notably, the input to the b1 motor 160 neuron from ipsilateral wing and haltere axons is clustered around the putative spike initiation zone (Figure 3B), as has 161 previously been reported based on axonal spatial overlap (Chan and Dickinson, 1996). This synaptic organization may 162 be a structural mechanism for facilitating rapid modulation of b1 activity based on sensory feedback. 163

The wing sensory axons that synapse directly onto the b1 motor neuron have not been previously characterized 164 (Figure 3C). They terminate shortly after entering the VNC and do not branch more extensively. We observed an 165 unexpected ultrastructural feature in these axons: their terminals contain very densely packed mitochondria compared to 166 other cells (Figure 3D). This feature is also present in interneurons with putative electrical connections (Trimarchi and 167 Murphey, 1997) to the b1 motor neuron (Figure 3E). Based on their high mitochondrial density, we speculate that these 168 interneurons also connect to the b1 motor neuron through mixed chemical-electrical synapses, perhaps to optimize for 169 rapid neural communication. Electrical connections between the wing nerve and b1 have previously been demonstrated 170 with electrophysiology in the blowfly, *Calliphora*, although the exact sensory inputs were not determined, as the entire 171 wing nerve was stimulated (Fayyazuddin and Dickinson, 1999). 172

To identify the peripheral identity of these axons (**Figure 3F**), we found a driver line that labeled this population (**Figure 3G**; (Jenett et al., 2012)) and crossed it to a fluorescent reporter. Imaging the wing revealed that the population of short axons that directly synapse onto a subset of wing steering motor neurons originate from a field of CS on the tegula (**Figure 3H**). This finding suggests that the tegula may play a previously underappreciated role in flight control, particularly in regulating the tonically firing muscle b1 and a tonically firing muscle from another motor module, i2 (**Figure 3A**).

- 179
- 180

- A Direct input to steering motor neurons from previously uncharacterized wing axons wing steering motor modules
- wing axons 10² 10 0 iii3 iii1 iv4 iv1 L L L L motor neuron: b1 b1 b2 i2 i2 i1 b3 *L R L L* iv3 iii2 iv3 side: R L L LL L В b1 MN to b1 muscle synapse locations left wing afferents
 right wing afferents • left haltere afferents
- **D** Densely-packed mitochondria at proprioceptor terminals



G Driver line

expression

13B12-GAL4

E Densely-packed mitochondria in axons of other gap junction-coupled neurons

C Sensory axons with synapses onto b1

right wing

distinct axon morphologies

synapse count

left wing

left haltere



F Tegula campaniform sensilla axon reconstructions



H Peripheral expression and anatomy



187 Figure 3: Campaniform sensilla on the tegula target the tonic wing steering motor neuron b1. (A) Connectivity between 188 previously uncharacterized wing sensory axons and wing steering motor neurons. Wing steering motor neurons (columns) are grouped by motor modules, which are groups of motor neurons that receive a high degree of synaptic input from shared presynaptic 189 partners and are therefore likely to be co-activated (Lesser et al., 2024). (B) The left b1 motor neuron with circles showing predicted 190 synapse locations from the FANC EM volume. (C) 3D reconstructions of the left b1 motor neuron (black) and all the sensory axons 191 from which it receives direct synaptic input. Inset: three example individual axons from the left wing to demonstrate the variation in axon branching. (D) Ultrastructure of putative electronic synapses: these sensory axons feature densely packed mitochondria at 192 terminals near the b1 motor neuron. (E) A similarly high density of mitochondria is also seen at axon terminals of a wing contralateral 193 haltere interneuron (w-ChiN), which likely have electrical synapses onto b1 based on dye-fill experiments (Trimarchi and Murphey, 194 1997). (F) Axon branching pattern in VNC. Axons are from two morphological clusters (#6 and #7 from Figure 2). Below: rotated 195 view of the VNC. (G) Maximum projection from FlyLight Z-Stack of images of the driver line 13B12-GAL4. Projection that is crossing the midline is from a different sensory neuron that enters through the posterior dorsal medial nerve and innervates a thorax 196 bristle. (H) Expression in the periphery. Maximum projection from confocal z-stack showing sensory neurons that innervate the 197 campaniform sensilla field on the tegula. The driver line also labels two tegula hair plate hairs, but their axon morphology is distinct 198 (see Figure 4). Wing hinge abbreviations: anterior nodal wing process (ANWP), first axillary (ax i).

199

200 201

202 Hair plate on the tegula

A group of four axons branch dorsally and ventrally as they enter the nerve cord and cross the midline (**Figure 4A**). We identified a sparse driver line that labeled these neurons (**Figure 4B**) and found that their corresponding cell bodies were in the tegula. There is a row of short stubby hairs on the dorsal face of the tegula (**Figure 4C**), resembling the hair plates found at leg joints, which are activated at extreme joint positions (Pratt et al., 2025; Pringle, 1938b; Trimarchi et al., 1999). The role of this tegula hair plate in wing sensation is unknown, although their peripheral morphology had been previously described (Fudalewicz-Niemczyk, 1963).



Figure 4: Tegula hair plate. (A) 3D reconstructed axons. Above: population of axons with similar morphology (black) and VNC volume (gray). Below: rotated view to show how the axons split to scoop around the dorsal and ventral edges of the wing neuropil. (B) Axon branching pattern in VNC. Axons are from morphological cluster #10 in Figure 2. Maximum projection from the FlyLight MCFO collection of the driver line 16C09-GAL4. (C) Expression in the periphery. Maximum projection from confocal Z-Stack showing sensory neurons that innervate the hairs of the tegula hair plate. Red arrow indicates an external hair plate hair. Wing hinge abbreviations: anterior nodal wing process (ANWP), first axillary (ax i).

217 Chordotonal organ in the tegula

Two groups of axons with similar postsynaptic partners branch broadly throughout the tectulum without crossing the 218 midline (Figure 5A). Using a sparse driver line (Figure 5B), we found that the cell bodies belong to an internal structure 219 220 within the tegula (Figure 5C). We counted ~ 14 neurons in this structure, which separate into two bundles that attach to 221 different points on the distal, anterior end of the tegula. Neurons in the chordotonal organ (CO) in the tegula are not labeled by *iav*-GAL4, unlike many other COs in the fly's body (Figure 5D; (Kwon et al., 2010)). They do, however, 222 have actin-rich cap cells that are characteristic of other COs and not present in other mechanosensory neurons like 223 campaniform sensilla or hair plates (Figure 5E; (Field and Matheson, 1998)). Because we only identified nine axons, 224 vs. 14 cell bodies, some tegula chordotonal organ axons might have a different morphology. 225

226





D iav-Gal4 expression

E Actin-rich chordotonal cap cells



227 228

Figure 5: Tegula chordotonal organ. (A) 3D reconstructed axons. Axons are from two morphological clusters (#17 and #18 in Figure 2). (B) Axon branching pattern in VNC. Maximum projection from FlyLight Z-Stack of images of the driver line 60D12-GAL4. (C) Expression in the periphery. Maximum projection from confocal Z-Stack showing sensory neurons that innervate the chordotonal organ in the tegula. There are two clusters of neurons, which are differentiated by their separate attachment points within the tegula. 60D12-GAL4 labels neurons from both clusters. (D) Maximum z-projection of the proximal wing co-labeling *iav*-GAL4 with ChAT-LexA. ChAT-LexA labels all sensory neurons (green, nuclear stain) and *iav*-GAL4 labels the radius CO but not the tegula CO (red, nuclear stain). (E) Phalloidin labels the actin-rich cap cells that are part of chordotonal organs. Asterisk indicates muscle that is also labeled by phalloidin.

Chordotonal organ in the radius 236

Axons with three distinct morphologies share a characteristic branch that passes laterally along the bottom of the wing 237 neuropil (Figure 6A). One group of axons extends a long process into the haltere neuropil, and another crosses the 238 239 midline. By imaging sparse driver lines, we found that these axons come from neurons that make up a chordotonal organ 240 in the radius (Figure 6B-C). These neurons are distinguishable from the CS neurons in the radius because they do not have a dendrite that reaches toward the surface of the vein to innervate a dome. Instead, the cell bodies sit on the posterior 241 side of the radius and their dendrites and cap cells insert on the anterior side of the radius. These neurons all attach to 242 the same point on the wing vein (Figure 6D), so they are likely subject to the same mechanical forces, allowing the 243 chordotonal organ to send parallel information to multiple regions of the VNC. 244



246 247 248

249

250

251

Figure 6: Radius chordotonal organ. (A) 3D reconstructed axons. Axons are from the morphological clusters #3, #4, and #5 in Figure 2. Green arrow indicates the characteristic lateral projection found in each neuron. (B) A sparse driver line, 10A07-GAL4, labels a subset of neurons that make up the radius chordotonal organ. For other driver lines that label radius chordotonal neurons, see Table 3. (C) Peripheral expression of 10A07-GAL4 > UAS-mCD8::GFP. (D) Peripheral anatomy of the radius chordotonal organ, which is better shown by a broad driver line, 15F10-GAL4 > UAS-mCD8::GFP. The radius chordotonal organ attaches to the ventral inner wall of the radius by cap cells (blue). A blue arrow is shown across the confocal images and cartoons to orient to the "pocket" in the radius near the CO cell bodies.

- 253 254
- 255
- 256
- 257

258 Thorax sensor near the wing hinge

A population of five axons extends single processes through the dorsal tectulum, and two of the axons cross the midline 259 (Figure 7A). These axons originate from a cluster of five neurons in the thorax beneath the wing hinge near the parascutal 260 shelf, just medial to the anterior nodal wing process (Figure 7B-C). Other than the three CS on the anterior nodal wing 261 262 process, these are the only cells near the wing hinge labeled by the ChAT-GAL4 driver line, which targets nearly all peripheral sensory neurons (Figure 7C) (Yasuyama and Salvaterra, 1999). As with all the anatomically-defined 263 populations of axons, the function of these novel wing hinge sensory neurons will require physiological measurements, 264 but based on their location they may signal wing opening and closing. We saw no evidence for sensory neurons 265 innervating pterale C (Figure 7D), a wing hinge sclerite that was previously thought to contain sensory receptors (Miyan 266 and Ewing, 1984), although axons from the radius travel directly beneath pterale C. 267

268



D Axons pass along the base of pterale C



269

270 Figure 7: Sensory axons near the wing hinge. (A) 3D reconstructed axons. Axons belong to the morphological cluster #10 from Figure 2. (B) Axon branching pattern in VNC. Maximum projection from the FlyLight MCFO collection of the driver line 37D11-271 GAL4. (C) Expression in the periphery. Top: maximum projection from confocal Z-Stack of a broader driver line, 10G03-GAL4, 272 to show the morphology of the sensory neurons at the base of the parascutal shelf. Below: maximum projection from confocal Z-273 Stack of the sparse driver line 37D11-GAL4 > UAS-mCD8::GFP showing neurons labeled at the base of the parascutal shelf. The 274 asterisk marks an innervated bristle on the thorax. (D) Pterale C is not an innervated sclerite. Pterale C was previously predicted to be innervated based on experiments in which an electrode placed at the base of pterale C recorded signals in response to wing 275 vibration (Miyan and Ewing, 1984). We found no neurons innervating pterale C, but we did observe that the axon bundle from the 276 radius passes directly under pterale C, which could explain previously published results.

277 Adjacent campaniform sensilla axons are morphologically distinct

Previous work uncovered morphological diversity across CS axons, where subsets of CS axons follow different tracts 278 and some ascend to the brain while others do not (Palka et al., 1979). It was unclear, however, whether there is 279 morphological diversity of axons that originate from the same CS field. A field of CS are defined as having the same 280 size, circularity, orientation, and general location on the wing (Cole and Palka, 1982). Single CS can be either rapidly or 281 slowly adapting (Dickinson and Palka, 1987), but whether all CS within a field have the same firing properties remains 282 unknown. It is also not known whether all CS within a field connect to the same postsynaptic partners. Answering this 283 question could provide insight into the function of spatially clustered CS, for example whether they underlie a population 284 code or transmit signals in parallel to distinct downstream circuits. 285

To determine the morphological similarity of axons that innervate CS in the same field, we identified GAL4 286 driver lines that sparsely expressed in the wing nerve (less than five ADMN axons) and imaged their expression in the 287 wing. We found many lines that label subsets of CS across multiple fields (Table 3), and fortuitously found three driver 288 lines that label one to two separate CS in the ventral radius C field (v.Rad.C, Figure 8A). For these three lines, we 289 compared VNC expression of axons from the ADMN using the FlyLight MCFO collection (Figure 8B-D; (Meissner et 290 al., 2023)). In a driver line that expresses in two v.Rad.C neurons (CS 2 and 4), the VNC contains two distinct axon 291 morphologies originating from the ADMN nerve (Figure 8D, row 1). Both axons possess a process that ascends to the 292 brain, but one also projects down to the haltere neuropil. In a second driver line that also labels the second CS in v.Rad.C. 293 we observed the same axon morphology that ascends to the brain but does not reach the haltere neuropil (Figure 8D, 294 row 2). A third driver line, that expresses in the third CS in v.Rad.C, contains a non-ascending wing axon with two 295 posterior projections (Figure 8D, row 3). These results show that neurons that innervate adjacent campaniform sensilla 296 within the same field can have different axon morphologies. Each of the three v.Rad.C axons falls into a cluster of 297 morphologically similar axons that connect to similar postsynaptic neurons (Figure 8E, clusters 2, 15, and 21, 298 respectively, from Figure 2). The additional axons in each cluster likely originate from CS in other fields, on different 299 parts of the wing. Overall, our results suggest that adjacent CS neurons in the same field connect to different target 300 neurons in the VNC, and that the CS from different fields can connect to common postsynaptic targets. 301

302

A Ventral radius campaniform sensilla



ventral radius campaniform sensilla (v.Rad.C)

Sp	barse GAL4 II	nes	5			
	12	34	0		dis	stal
oximal	800 8	v.R 1	ad 2	.C 3	CS 4	
	24C04-GAL4		х		х	
	38H01-GAL4		x			
	79G12-GAL4			x		



В

pr



305 Figure 8: Campaniform sensilla in the same field have unique axons. (A) The ventral radius C (v.Rad.C) field of campaniform 306 sensilla is on the ventral side of the more distal part of the radius. The field has four to five domes, the fifth dome is proposed to be its own individual dome as it is farther apart from the other four and its orientation is slightly different (Dinges et al., 2021). (B) 307 Summary of the CS within v.Rad.C that are labeled by sparse GAL4 lines shown in C. (C) Peripheral expression in specific 308 campaniform sensilla from sparse driver lines (each row). Maximum projection from confocal z-stack showing expression in the 309 periphery from each sparse driver line. CS in v.Rad.C are labeled one through four, as in (B) to show which CS is innervated in each 310 image. (D) Pairs of images showing (left) A depth-colored single channel MCFO Z-Stack from the FlyLight collection (Meissner et al., 2023), with the wing axon highlighted in the image. Contrast of z-sections was optimized to emphasize visual clarity of wing axons, see Methods for details. (right) The reconstructed axon from EM that best matches the morphology, depth-colored and aligned to the same template as the FlyLight images. (E) Postsynaptic connectivity of axons with morphologies that match those found for v.Rad.C. Postsynaptic connectivity is more similar for axons with similar morphologies than from the same CS field.

312

313

Discussion

In this project, we reconstructed each sensory axon in the left ADMN wing nerve, as well as their postsynaptic partners, 314 from an EM dataset of the Drosophila VNC (Azevedo et al., 2024; Phelps et al., 2021). We identified their postsynaptic 315 partners in the EM volume and matched previously unidentified axon morphologies to their sensory structures on the 316 wing by imaging the expression of genetic driver lines. To make this information accessible to the community, we 317 provide a library of confocal Z-stacks and an annotation table linked to the FANC connectome dataset (see Methods). 318 We also include a reference (**Table 1**) to match peripheral sensory structures identified here to neuron nomenclature 319 established in the male VNC connectome (MANC), in which wing sensory axons are proofread but few are annotated 320 by peripheral identity (Marin et al., 2024). 321

322

323 Integrating connectomics with previous research

Sensory neurons in the *Drosophila* wing have been a useful model system for investigating the relative contributions of 324 intrinsic regulation vs. extrinsic signaling in the determination of axon morphology (Ghysen, 1980; Palka et al., 1979). 325 Past work identified the axonal morphologies of wing CS and differences in the genetic programs guiding axon 326 development for CS in fields and single CS (Ghysen, 1980; Palka et al., 1979). Here, we build on this knowledge using 327 cell-type specific genetic tools and connectomics to create a more complete map of the wing sensory apparatus and 328 central sensory circuits. By reconstructing axons from an electron microscopy volume, we found novel wing sensory 329 axon morphologies, many of which originated from internal sensory structures that were inaccessible with previous dye-330 fill techniques, such as the previously uncharacterized chordotonal organ axons. 331

Most wing CS are organized into fields, in which domes of roughly the same size and shape cluster together. By 332 finding sparse driver lines that label only one or two campaniform sensilla in the ventral radius C field (v.Rad.C), we 333 found that CS in the same field can have distinct axon morphologies. This finding is consistent with our unsuccessful 334 attempts to build split-GAL4 driver lines that specifically label CS from a single field by intersecting lines which label 335 the same axon morphology. This organization may offer a functional advantage by sending similar signals to multiple 336 regions of the CNS in parallel; viewed from a different perspective, postsynaptic neurons may rapidly integrate 337 information across different CS fields. One possibility is that CS axon morphology is more closely linked to neuronal 338 339 intrinsic properties (e.g., slowly vs. rapidly adapting). We hypothesize that the morphological clusters we identified (Figure 8D-E) are similarly tuned CS neurons distributed throughout different fields across the wing. A concurrent 340 project that focused on the central and peripheral organization of haltere mechanosensory neurons found that common 341 central axon morphologies map across multiple campaniform sensilla fields on the haltere (Dhawan et al., 2025), 342 consistent with our prediction for the wing. 343

One advantage of the dye-fill technique is that it is sometimes feasible to repeatedly label the same exact neuron across individuals. By comparing axon morphologies across individuals, researchers have found differences in small branches that extend from the primary neurite of the neuron (Kays et al., 2014; Palka et al., 1986). As more connectomic datasets become available, we will be able to ascertain if this morphological variation is also reflected by variation in downstream connectivity. Understanding relationships between morphology and connectivity across individuals, and even across species, will provide a framework for deciphering the developmental logic that governs the formation of sensorimotor circuits.

351

352 Insights into motor control based on connectivity and structural anatomy

353 Direct sensory input to wing motor neurons

Many wing sensory neurons, such as those originating from the tegula and near the wing hinge, synapse directly onto wing motor neurons, providing a mechanism for rapid feedback-driven motor control. Four steering wing motor neurons receive over 10% of their synaptic input from sensory neurons, including haltere input (Lesser et al., 2024). Each of these four motor neurons also fires tonically during flight, whereas other steering MNs burst during maneuvers such as turning (Lindsay et al., 2017). Integrating direct sensory input provides a mechanism for low latency motor control, which is important given the short timescales required for Dipteran flight (Dickerson, 2020). We found that the campaniform sensilla on the tegula provide the most direct feedback onto wing steering motor neurons. Future work is needed to understand what these sensory neurons encode, but based on their direct connections and thick axons, we speculate that they set the firing phase of tonically active muscles such as b1 (Fayyazuddin and Dickinson, 1999; Heide, 1983).

364

365 The tegula as a major sensory structure

Though the tegula has been studied for its role in locust flight control, it has largely been neglected in Dipteran literature. 366 In both locusts and Diptera, the tegula features campaniform sensilla, a chordotonal organ, and mechanosensory hairs 367 (Fudalewicz-Niemczyk, Władysława, 1963; Wolf, 1993). Feedback from the locust tegula resets the phase of wing 368 elevation- the forewing tegulae are only necessary to maintain the flight rhythm if the hindwing tegulae are compromised 369 370 (Büschges et al., 1992). Unlike in locusts, Dipteran flight muscles are asynchronous, where wing elevation and depression during each wingstroke are biomechanically rather than neurally controlled (Deora et al., 2015). Despite the 371 different feedback demands between asynchronous and synchronous flight, all flying insects share an evolutionary 372 history (Gau et al., 2023) and wings experience similar forces, which are optimally sensed from particular locations 373 (Weber et al., 2021). Examining how the tegula contributes to flight control across taxa offers opportunities to better 374 understand the evolutionary pressures shaping mechanosensory feedback in flying insects. 375

376

377 *Mechanosensation at the wing hinge*

In locusts, feedback from stretch receptors embedded in the wing hinge can directly modify wingbeat frequency (Gettrup, 378 1962; Wilson and Gettrup, 1963). In *Diptera*, however, there is scant literature on a sensory organ embedded near the 379 wing hinge (Hertweck, 1931). The only putative sensory structure at the wing hinge is the sclerite pterale C (Miyan and 380 Ewing, 1984). This hypothesis was based on spikes recorded from a sharp electrode placed at the base of pterale C in 381 382 response to wing vibration. We found no cells labeled by a pan-sensory neuron driver (ChAT-GAL4) at the base of, or innervating, sclerite pterale C. We did, however, observe that the entire nerve of sensory axons from the radius passes 383 through the base of pterale C (Figure 7D), and thus speculate that action potentials traveling along this nerve are likely 384 385 what was being recorded in that prior study. We also observed a cluster of previously unreported cells labeled by ChAT-GAL4 near the parascutal shelf, which was also labeled by several sparse driver lines (see Table 3, column "thorax 386 receptor"), and may be the same structure described previously (Hertweck, 1931). 387

388

389 A potential metabolic specialization for flight circuitry

In addition to morphology and connectivity, the EM volumes of the VNC reveal the ultrastructure of neurons and 390 synapses. While reconstructing neurons in FANC, we noticed an unusual density of mitochondria in the axon terminals 391 of specific wing sensory and premotor neurons (Figure 3D-E). We did not notice equivalent specializations in prior 392 393 projects that reconstructed and analyzed leg proprioceptors (Lee et al., 2025) and premotor neurons (Lesser et al., 2024). Notably, some of the terminals with dense mitochondria were at sites of known gap-junction coupling (Trimarchi and 394 395 Murphey, 1997). In the fly VNC, electrical synapses are often accompanied by chemical synapses, which may cooperate to ensure low latency signal transmission (Fayyazuddin and Dickinson, 1996). It is possible that these low-latency mixed 396 chemical-electrical synapses enable the rapid feedback necessary for wing control during flight, and therefore have a 397 higher metabolic demand, requiring a greater density of mitochondria. In the adult fly brain, however, sites of gap 398 399 junction coupling, such as the lobula plate tangential cells (Ammer et al., 2022), do not exhibit particularly high concentrations of mitochondria (Sager et al., 2024). More work is needed to understand the significance and function of 400 high mitochondrial density in wing sensorimotor circuits. 401

402

403 **Remaining gaps**

Although it is by far the most comprehensive to date, our atlas of wing sensory neurons is not complete. There were six axon morphologies (12 total axons) from the connectome that we could not reliably map to peripheral structures, as well as several peripheral structures whose axon morphologies we could not identify, such as the three CS on the anterior nodal wing process and the two large CS on the tegula. Further, some of the uncharacterized axon morphologies likely
 belong to the tegula and radius COs, both of which had more cell bodies than axons. These gaps highlight the need for
 complementary approaches, such as combining small-scale experimental approaches with large-scale comprehensive
 datasets, to fully characterize the wing's sensory landscape.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sweta Agrawal, Bradley Dickerson, Michael Dickinson, and members of the Dickinson and Tuthill Labs for comments on the manuscript and thoughtful discussions on all things wing. We especially thank Anne Sustar, Leila Elabbady, and Brandon Pratt for their valuable feedback on an early draft. This project was supported by National Institutes of Health training grants T32NS099578 and T90DA032436 to E.L., a Pecot Fellowship award from the McKnight Foundation to A.M., as well as National Institutes of Health Grants U19NS104655 and R01NS102333, a Searle Scholar Award, a Klingenstein-Simons Fellowship, a Pew Biomedical Scholar Award, a McKnight Scholar Award, a Sloan Research Fellowship, the New York Stem Cell Foundation, and a UW Innovation Award to J.C.T. J.C.T is a New York Stem Cell Foundation - Robertson Investigator. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P400D018537) were used in this study.

Supplemental Figures and Tables

Pipeline for matching 3D reconstructed axons to peripheral sensory structures

MCFO match

EM reconstruction



Fudalewicz-Niemczyk, 1963

 4 axons

Figure 1 supplement. Pipeline for matching 3D reconstructed axons to sensory neurons on the wing and wing hinge. Driver lines were identified by their sparse expression in the wing nerve, then crossed to a membrane-bound GFP (UAS-mCD8::GFP), imaged with a confocal microscope, and then compared with literature for identification. For details on matching peripheral wing anatomy to literature, see **Table 2**

Table 1: ADMN Sensory axon identification and nomenclature

Sensory structure	Axon morphology identification	MANC connectome nomenclature (From Marin et al., 2024)
small campaniform sensilla (proximal)	Palka, 1979; Ghysen, 1980	SApp04, SApp10, SApp11, SApp13, SApp14, SApp18, SApp19, SApp20, SApp21
small campaniform sensilla (distal)	Palka, 1979; Ghysen, 1980	SNpp04, SNpp08, SNpp11, SNpp33, SNpp36, SNpp06, SNpp26
large campaniform sensilla	Burt and Palka, 1982; Palka, 1986	SNpp30, SNpp32, SNpp31
tegula campaniform sensilla	Lesser, 2025	SNpp28, SNpp37, SNpp38
tegula hair plate	Lesser, 2025	SNxx26
tegula chordotonal organ	Lesser, 2025	SNpp07, SNpp10
radius chordotonal organ	Lesser, 2025	SNpp29, SNpp61, SNpp63, SNpp62
thorax sensor	Lesser, 2025	SNpp16
thorax machrochete	Ghysen, 1980; Kays 2014	SNta05, SNta10, SNta12, SNta13
thorax michrochete	Ghysen, 1978; 1980	SNta01, SNta02, SNta09
margin mechanosensors	Palka, 1979	SNta04, SNta06, SNta07, SNta08, SNta11, SNta14, SNta18
margin chemosensors	Lu 2012; Thistle 2012; Koh 2014	SNch02, SNch03, SNch04, SNch12
unknown	-	SNpp05, SNpp09, SNpp13, SNpp27, SNxx28, SNtaxx, SNxxxx, SNxx24, SNxx25

Table 2: Literature characterizing peripheral anatomy of wing mechanosensory neurons

Paper	Structures Identified
Hertweck, 1931	Chordotonal organ in the radius, thorax sensor
Fudalewicz-Niemczyk, 1963	Neuronal innervation in wings of ten dipteran species
Cole & Palka 1982	Differences in peripheral morphologies of wing campaniform sensilla domes; Homologies between wing campaniform sensilla and haltere campaniform sensilla
Hartenstein & Posakony, 1989	Comprehensive identification of wing and thorax bristles throughout development
Dinges et al., 2021	Comprehensive atlas of all campaniform sensilla in Drosophila melanogaster.

477

Table 3: Driver lines labeling wing sensory neurons

478 Numbers in the table indicate how many neurons are labeled, e.g. 4 of 24 radius CO neurons for the first driver line,
479 10A07-GAL4.

Peripheral structure		2360 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -																								
# of neurons	total	~24	~14	3	18	4	7	18	4	3	5	4	8	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	6
10A07-Gal4	4	4																								
10F07-Gal4	8	7					1																			
10G03-Gal4	9							2		2														5		
12C07-Gal4	19						2	10		1	3		2									1				
13B12-Gal4	10				8																				2	
15F10-Gal4	24	24																								
16C09-Gal4	4																								4	
21A01-Gal4	5		5																							
21C09-Gal4	7							2	4		1															
24C04-Gal4	8	6									2															
26B11-Gal4	2																2									
26D04-Gal4	12							5	2		3		2													
26F04-Gal4	36					4	7	17		2		4	2													
35B08-Gal4	3	3																								
36C09-Gal4	4	4																								
37D11-Gal4	8			1																				5		2
38H01-Gal4	2										1											1				
39F05-Gal4	1	1																								
42G08-Gal4	2				2																					
44H11-Gal4	9	8	1																							
48H11-Gal4	4	4																								
49F11-Gal4	7	7																								
54H12-Gal4	9			2	2									2										3		
57F03-Gal4	5		5																							
60B12-Gal4	4											2								1	1					
60D12-Gal4	10		10																							
60G04-Gal4	5		5																							
64C04-Gal4	6	4				1		1																		
70G12-Gal4	2																								2	
72C01-Gal4	6	6																								
73F02-Gal4	2				2																					
75B09-Gal4	21		4	2	13		2																			
76E12-Gal4	8	8																								
79G12-Gal4	1										1															
83B04-Gal4	5																							5		

480 481

- 482
- 483

484 Materials availability

Methods

The genetic driver lines used in this study are listed in **Table 3** and are available from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock center.

487

488 Data and Code availability

VNC images are publicly available via FlyLight (https://www.janelia.org/project-team/flylight). Confocal stacks of the genetic expression in the wing for each driver line are available for download from Dryad. An annotation table for FANC left wing sensory neurons is available to the FANC community. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data is available from the lead contact upon request

492 data is available from the lead contact upon request.

494 EM image collection & neuron reconstruction

The 3D reconstructed axons are from the FANC dataset (Phelps et al., 2021), for details on segmentation, see Azevedo et al, 2024. Following automatic segmentation, neurons were proofread to include primary neurites and as many branches as could confidently be reattached. Neurons were annotated using CAVE (Dorkenwald et al., 2023). Depth-colored reconstructions were created using braincircuits.io (Azevedo et al., 2024).

499

500 **Reconstructed axon morphology clusters**

To group axons by similar connectivity, we computed the cosine similarity of synaptic weights onto postsynaptic partners. We included fragments (9.7% of total output synapses) and used a three synapse threshold for connections. Cosine similarity and agglomerative clustering were computed with the python library Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011). Information on synapse location predictions and error can be found in Azevedo et al., 2024.

505

506 Samples

We used *Drosophila melanogaster* raised on standard cornmeal and molasses medium at 25 °C in a 14:10 hour light:dark
 cycle. We used female flies 2-7 days post-eclosion for imaging.

509

510 Sample preparation

511

512 Wing images

Wings were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (PFA) PBS solution for 20-60 minutes followed by rinsing in PBS with 0.2% 513 514 Triton X-100 (PBT) four times over the course of 75 minutes. For most samples, native fluorescence was imaged, so the 515 wings were then mounted onto slides in Vectashield without DAPI. For preparations requiring immunohistochemistry, after rinsing, wings were incubated in 1:50 Alexa Fluor 635-nm Phalloidin (Thermofisher A34054) in a PBS solution 516 with the following reagents to improve tissue penetrance: 1% triton X-100, 0.5% DMSO, 0.05 mg/ml Escin (Sigma-517 Aldrich, E1378) and 3% normal goat serum. Wings were then incubated for ten days at 4 °C with occasional gentle 518 nutating. Following incubation, a second rinsing procedure was performed before mounting the wings on slides with 519 520 Vectashield.

521

522 Wing hinge images

For wing hinge images, a full adult fly was hemisected. First, flies were killed by freezing flies briefly on ice, then dipping in 95% ethanol. Next they were frozen in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound on dry ice for ~3 minutes. Flies were then sliced along the anterior-posterior axis with a razor blade and transferred to a series of wells of ~3mL 4% paraformaldehyde PBS solution until the O.C.T. melted away. Half-flies were then transferred to a 0.6 mL tube with fresh fixative for 45 minutes before following the same washing procedure detailed above. Instead of Vectashield, halfflies were mounted using the FocusClear-MountClear system (CelExplorer FC-101 and MC-301).

529

530 Confocal Imaging and image post-processing

Mounted wings and wing hinges were imaged on a Confocal Olympus FV1000. Images were processed in FIJI
 (Schindelin et al., 2012).

533

534 FlyLight confocal stacks

535 Confocal stacks were downloaded from the gen1 GAL4 and MCFO GAL4 collections on FlyLight (Jenett et al., 2012;

536 Meissner et al., 2023) and displayed as max projections using FIJI. For **Figures 3**, **5**, and **6**, VNC expression patterns

- from the full GAL4 lines were aligned using the Computational Morphometry Toolkit (CMTK) to a female VNC
- template (Bogovic et al., 2020) in FIJI. For **Figures 4** and **7**, MCFO images were used because the full expression
- 539 patterns were too broad in the whole VNC to visualize the wing sensory neurons in a max projection.

The depth-colored FlyLight MCFO images in **Figure 8** were adjusted to visually highlight single neurons. First, we duplicated the max-projection z-stack and increased the contrast on one copy. Next, we traced the relevant neuron in the original and used this shape to mask the high contrast copy. We then overlaid this masked image onto the original. This method allowed us to highlight single neurons visually in busy MCFO images. Full z-stacks are available through FlyLight.

545

546 Peripheral Identification

547 See **Table 2** for a list of helpful references (Cole and Palka, 1982; Dinges et al., 2021; Fudalewicz-Niemczyk, 1963; 548 Hartenstein and Posakony, 1989; Hertweck, 1931). Sensory structures were identified from confocal image stacks by 549 closely scrutinizing the images to see exactly where GFP-labeled neurons were in relation to wing veins and other 550 landmarks. Campaniform sensilla were the most straightforward sensory structures to identify thanks to a comprehensive 551 atlas (Dinges et al., 2021). The chordotonal organs were identified by their actin-rich attachment cells labeled by 552 phalloidin. The structure on the tegula was identified as a hair plate due to the appearance of the hairs.

553 554

555

- **References**
- Ammer, G., Vieira, R.M., Fendl, S., Borst, A., 2022. Anatomical distribution and functional roles of electrical
 synapses in *Drosophila*. Curr. Biol. 32, 2022-2036.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.03.040
- Azevedo, A., Lesser, E., Phelps, J.S., Mark, B., Elabbady, L., Kuroda, S., Sustar, A., Moussa, A., Khandelwal, A.,
 Dallmann, C.J., Agrawal, S., Lee, S.-Y.J., Pratt, B., Cook, A., Skutt-Kakaria, K., Gerhard, S., Lu, R., Kemnitz,
 N., Lee, K., Halageri, A., Castro, M., Ih, D., Gager, J., Tammam, M., Dorkenwald, S., Collman, F., SchneiderMizell, C., Brittain, D., Jordan, C.S., Dickinson, M., Pacureanu, A., Seung, H.S., Macrina, T., Lee, W.-C.A.,
 Tuthill, J.C., 2024. Connectomic reconstruction of a female Drosophila ventral nerve cord. Nature 631, 360–
 368. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07389-x
- Bogovic, J.A., Otsuna, H., Heinrich, L., Ito, M., Jeter, J., Meissner, G., Nern, A., Colonell, J., Malkesman, O., Ito, K.,
 Saalfeld, S., 2020. An unbiased template of the Drosophila brain and ventral nerve cord. PLOS ONE 15,
 e0236495. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236495
- Burt, R., Palka, J., 1982. The central projections of mesothoracic sensory neurons in wild-type *Drosophila* and *bithorax* mutants. Dev. Biol. 90, 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(82)90215-9
- Büschges, A., Ramirez, J.M., Driesang, R., Pearson, K.G., 1992. Connections of the forewing tegulae in the locust
 flight system and their modification following partial deafferentation. J. Neurobiol. 23, 44–60.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.480230106
- Chan, W.P., Dickinson, M.H., 1996. Position-specific central projections of mechanosensory neurons on the haltere of
 the blow fly, Calliphora vicina. J. Comp. Neurol. 369, 405–418. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096 9861(19960603)369:3<405::AID-CNE6>3.0.CO;2-9
- Chapman, K.M., Duckrow, R.B., Moran, D.T., 1973. Form and Role of Deformation in Excitation of an Insect
 Mechanoreceptor. Nature 244, 453–454. https://doi.org/10.1038/244453a0
- Cole, E.S., Palka, J., 1982. The pattern of campaniform sensilla on the wing and haltere of Drosophila melanogaster
 and several of its homeotic mutants. Development 71, 41–61. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.71.1.41
- Dallmann, C.J., Luo, Y., Agrawal, S., Chou, G.M., Cook, A., Brunton, B.W., Tuthill, J.C., 2024. Presynaptic inhibition
 selectively suppresses leg proprioception in behaving Drosophila. BioRxiv Prepr. Serv. Biol.
 2023.10.20.563322. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.20.563322
- Deora, T., Singh, A.K., Sane, S.P., 2015. Biomechanical basis of wing and haltere coordination in flies. Proc. Natl.
 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, 1481–1486. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1412279112
- Dhawan, S., Huang, Z., Dickerson, B.H., 2025. Neural connectivity of a computational map for fly flight control.
 bioRxiv.
- Dickerson, B.H., 2020. Timing precision in fly flight control: integrating mechanosensory input with muscle
 physiology. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 287, 20201774. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.1774
- 590 Dickerson, B.H., Fox, J.L., Sponberg, S., 2021. Functional diversity from generic encoding in insect campaniform
- 591 sensilla. Curr. Opin. Physiol. 19, 194–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2020.11.004

- Dickinson, M.H., Lehmann, F.O., Götz, K.G., 1993. The active control of wing rotation by Drosophila. J. Exp. Biol.
 182, 173–189. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.182.1.173
- Dickinson, M.H., Palka, J., 1987. Physiological properties, time of development, and central projection are correlated
 in the wing mechanoreceptors of Drosophila. J. Neurosci. 7, 4201–4208.
 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.07-12-04201.1987
- Dickinson, M.H., Tu, M.S., 1997. The Function of Dipteran Flight Muscle. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Physiol. 116,
 223–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9629(96)00162-4
- Dinges, G.F., Chockley, A.S., Bockemühl, T., Ito, K., Blanke, A., Büschges, A., 2021. Location and arrangement of
 campaniform sensilla in Drosophila melanogaster. J. Comp. Neurol. 529, 905–925.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24987
- Dorkenwald, S., Schneider-Mizell, C.M., Brittain, D., Halageri, A., Jordan, C., Kemnitz, N., Castro, M.A., Silversmith,
 W., Maitin-Shephard, J., Troidl, J., Pfister, H., Gillet, V., Xenes, D., Bae, J.A., Bodor, A.L., Buchanan, J.,
 Bumbarger, D.J., Elabbady, L., Jia, Z., Kapner, D., Kinn, S., Lee, K., Li, K., Lu, R., Macrina, T., Mahalingam,
 G., Mitchell, E., Mondal, S.S., Mu, S., Nehoran, B., Popovych, S., Takeno, M., Torres, R., Turner, N.L.,
- Wong, W., Wu, J., Yin, W., Yu, S., Reid, R.C., Costa, N.M. da, Seung, H.S., Collman, F., 2023. CAVE:
 Connectome Annotation Versioning Engine. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.07.26.550598
- Edwards, J.S., Milner, M.J., Chen, S.W., 1978. Integument and sensory nerve differentiation of Drosophila leg and
 wing imaginal discs in vitro. Wilhelm Rouxs Arch. Dev. Biol. 185, 59–77.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00848215
- Fayyazuddin, A., Dickinson, M.H., 1999. Convergent mechanosensory input structures the firing phase of a steering
 motor neuron in the blowfly, Calliphora. J. Neurophysiol. 82, 1916–1926.
- 613 https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1999.82.4.1916
- Fayyazuddin, A., Dickinson, M.H., 1996. Haltere Afferents Provide Direct, Electrotonic Input to a Steering Motor
 Neuron in the Blowfly, Calliphora. J. Neurosci. 16, 5225–5232. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-16 05225.1996
- Field, L.H., Matheson, T., 1998. Chordotonal Organs of Insects, in: Evans, P.D. (Ed.), Advances in Insect Physiology.
 Academic Press, pp. 1–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2806(08)60013-2
- Fudalewicz-Niemczyk, Władysława, 1963. L'innervation et les organes sensoriels des ailes des Diptčres et
 comparaison avec l'innervation des ailes d'insectesd'autres ordres.
- Galili, D.S., Jefferis, G.S.X.E., Costa, M., 2022. Connectomics and the neural basis of behaviour. Curr. Opin. Insect
 Sci. 100968. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2022.100968
- Gau, J., Lynch, J., Aiello, B., Wold, E., Gravish, N., Sponberg, S., 2023. Bridging two insect flight modes in evolution,
 physiology and robophysics. Nature 622, 767–774. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06606-3
- Gettrup, E., 1962. Thoracic Proprioceptors in the Flight System of Locusts. Nature 193, 498–499.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/193498a0
- Ghysen, A., 1980. The projection of sensory neurons in the central nervous system of *Drosophila*: Choice of the
 appropriate pathway. Dev. Biol. 78, 521–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(80)90351-6
- Ghysen, A., 1978. Sensory neurones recognise defined pathways in Drosophila central nervous system. Nature 274,
 869–872. https://doi.org/10.1038/274869a0
- Hampel, S., McKellar, C.E., Simpson, J.H., Seeds, A.M., 2017. Simultaneous activation of parallel sensory pathways
 promotes a grooming sequence in Drosophila. eLife 6, e28804. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.28804
- Hartenstein, V., Posakony, J.W., 1989. Development of adult sensilla on the wing and notum of Drosophila
 melanogaster. Development 107, 389–405. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.107.2.389
- Heide, G., 1983. Neural mechanisms of flight control in Diptera. BIONA-Rep. 2, 35–52.
- Heide, G., Götz, K.G., 1996. Optomotor control of course and altitude in Drosophila melanogaster is correlated with
 distinct activities of at least three pairs of flight steering muscles. J. Exp. Biol. 199, 1711–1726.
 https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.199.8.1711
- Hertweck, H., 1931. Anatomie und Variabilität des Nervensystems und der Sinnesorgane von Drosophila melanogaster
 (Meigen) / vorgelegt von Heinrich Hertweck. Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft m. b. H, Leipzig.
- Jenett, A., Rubin, G.M., Ngo, T.-T.B., Shepherd, D., Murphy, C., Dionne, H., Pfeiffer, B.D., Cavallaro, A., Hall, D.,
 Jeter, J., Iyer, N., Fetter, D., Hausenfluck, J.H., Peng, H., Trautman, E.T., Svirskas, R.R., Myers, E.W.,
- 643 Iwinski, Z.R., Aso, Y., DePasquale, G.M., Enos, A., Hulamm, P., Lam, S.C.B., Li, H.-H., Laverty, T.R., Long,
- 644 F., Qu, L., Murphy, S.D., Rokicki, K., Safford, T., Shaw, K., Simpson, J.H., Sowell, A., Tae, S., Yu, Y.,
- ⁶⁴⁵ Zugates, C.T., 2012. A GAL4-driver line resource for Drosophila neurobiology. Cell Rep. 2, 991–1001.
- 646 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.09.011

- Kays, I., Cvetkovska, V., Chen, B.E., 2014. Structural and functional analysis of single neurons to correlate synaptic
 connectivity with grooming behavior. Nat. Protoc. 9, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.157
- Koh, T.-W., He, Z., Gorur-Shandilya, S., Menuz, K., Larter, N.K., Stewart, S., Carlson, J.R., 2014. The Drosophila
 IR20a Clade of Ionotropic Receptors Are Candidate Taste and Pheromone Receptors. Neuron 83, 850–865.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.012
- Kwon, Y., Shen, W.L., Shim, H.-S., Montell, C., 2010. Fine Thermotactic Discrimination between the Optimal and
 Slightly Cooler Temperatures via a TRPV Channel in Chordotonal Neurons. J. Neurosci. 30, 10465–10471.
 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1631-10.2010
- Lee, S.-Y.J., Dallmann, C.J., Cook, A., Tuthill, J.C., Agrawal, S., 2025. Divergent neural circuits for proprioceptive
 and exteroceptive sensing of the Drosophila leg. Nat. Commun. 16, 4105. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025 59302-3
- Lesser, E., Azevedo, A.W., Phelps, J.S., Elabbady, L., Cook, A., Syed, D.S., Mark, B., Kuroda, S., Sustar, A., Moussa,
 A., Dallmann, C.J., Agrawal, S., Lee, S.-Y.J., Pratt, B., Skutt-Kakaria, K., Gerhard, S., Lu, R., Kemnitz, N.,
 Lee, K., Halageri, A., Castro, M., Ih, D., Gager, J., Tammam, M., Dorkenwald, S., Collman, F., SchneiderMizell, C., Brittain, D., Jordan, C.S., Macrina, T., Dickinson, M., Lee, W.-C.A., Tuthill, J.C., 2024. Synaptic
 architecture of leg and wing premotor control networks in Drosophila. Nature 631, 369–377.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07600-z
- Li, J., Zhang, W., Guo, Z., Wu, S., Jan, L.Y., Jan, Y.-N., 2016. A Defensive Kicking Behavior in Response to
 Mechanical Stimuli Mediated by Drosophila Wing Margin Bristles. J. Neurosci. 36, 11275–11282.
 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1416-16.2016
- Lu, B., LaMora, A., Sun, Y., Welsh, M.J., Ben-Shahar, Y., 2012. ppk23-Dependent Chemosensory Functions
 Contribute to Courtship Behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. PLOS Genet. 8, e1002587.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002587
- Marin, E.C., Morris, B.J., Stürner, T., Champion, A.S., Krzeminski, D., Badalamente, G., Gkantia, M., Dunne, C.R.,
 Eichler, K., Takemura, S., Tamimi, I.F., Fang, S., Moon, S.S., Cheong, H.S., Li, F., Schlegel, P., Ahnert, S.E.,
 Berg, S., Team, J.F.P., Card, G.M., Costa, M., Shepherd, D., Jefferis, G.S., 2024. Systematic annotation of a
 complete adult male Drosophila nerve cord connectome reveals principles of functional organisation. eLife 13.
 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.97766.1
- Meissner, G.W., Nern, A., Dorman, Z., DePasquale, G.M., Forster, K., Gibney, T., Hausenfluck, J.H., He, Y., Iyer,
 N.A., Jeter, J., Johnson, L., Johnston, R.M., Lee, K., Melton, B., Yarbrough, B., Zugates, C.T., Clements, J.,
 Goina, C., Otsuna, H., Rokicki, K., Svirskas, R.R., Aso, Y., Card, G.M., Dickson, B.J., Ehrhardt, E.,
- Goldammer, J., Ito, M., Kainmueller, D., Korff, W., Mais, L., Minegishi, R., Namiki, S., Rubin, G.M., Sterne,
 G.R., Wolff, T., Malkesman, O., FlyLight Project Team, 2023. A searchable image resource of Drosophila
 GAL4 driver expression patterns with single neuron resolution. eLife 12, e80660.
- 681 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.80660
- Miyan, J.A., Ewing, A.W., 1984. A wing synchronous receptor for the Dipteran flight motor. J. Insect Physiol. 30, 567–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(84)90085-4
- Moran, D.T., Chapman, K.M., Ellis, R.A., 1971. The fine structure of cockroach campaniform sensilla. J. Cell Biol.
 48, 155–173. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.48.1.155
- Palka, J., Lawrence, P.A., Hart, H.S., 1979. Neural projection patterns from homeotic tissue of *Drosophila* studied in
 bithorax mutants and mosaics. Dev. Biol. 69, 549–575. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(79)90311-7
- Palka, J., Malone, M.A., Ellison, R.L., Wigston, D.J., 1986. Central projections of identified Drosophila sensory
 neurons in relation to their time of development. J. Neurosci. 6, 1822–1830.
 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.06-06-01822.1986
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., Prettenhofer, P., Weiss,
 R., Dubourg, V., Vanderplas, J., Passos, A., Cournapeau, D., Brucher, M., Perrot, M., Duchesnay, É., 2011.
 Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 12, 2825–2830.
- Phelps, J.S., Hildebrand, D.G.C., Graham, B.J., Kuan, A.T., Thomas, L.A., Nguyen, T.M., Buhmann, J., Azevedo,
 A.W., Sustar, A., Agrawal, S., Liu, M., Shanny, B.L., Funke, J., Tuthill, J.C., Lee, W.-C.A., 2021.
 Reconstruction of motor control circuits in adult Drosophila using automated transmission electron
 microscopy. Cell 184, 759-774.e18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.013
- Pratt, B.G., Dallmann, C.J., Chou, G.M., Siwanowicz, I., Walling-Bell, S., Cook, A., Sustar, A., Azevedo, A., Tuthill,
 J.C., 2025. Proprioceptive limit detectors mediate sensorimotor control of the Drosophila leg.
 http://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.15.654260
- 700 https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.05.15.654260
- 701 Pringle, J.W.S., 1957. Insect Flight. Cambridge University Press.

- Pringle, J.W.S., 1938a. Proprioception In Insects: II. The Action Of The Campaniform Sensilla On The Legs. J. Exp.
 Biol. 15, 114–131. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.15.1.114
- Pringle, J.W.S., 1938b. Proprioception in Insects: III. The Function of the Hair Sensilla at the Joints. J. Exp. Biol. 15, 467–473. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.15.4.467
- Sager, G., Pallasdies, F., Gowers, R., Ravikumar, S., Wu, E., Colón-Ramos, D., Schreiber, S., Clark, D.A., 2024.
 Spatial and morphological organization of mitochondria across a connectome. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.21.608912
- 709 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kavnig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C.,
- Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D.J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., Cardona, A.,
 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 676–682.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
- Stocker, R.F., 1994. The organization of the chemosensory system in Drosophila melanogaster: a review. Cell Tissue
 Res. 275, 3–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00305372
- Thistle, R., Cameron, P., Ghorayshi, A., Dennison, L., Scott, K., 2012. Contact Chemoreceptors Mediate Male-Male
 Repulsion and Male-Female Attraction during Drosophila Courtship. Cell 149, 1140–1151.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.03.045
- Trimarchi, J.R., Jin, P., Murphey, R.K., 1999. Controlling the Motor Neuron, in: Ronald J. Bradley, R.A.H. and P.J.
 (Ed.), International Review of Neurobiology, Neuromuscular Junctions in Drosophila. Academic Press, pp. 241–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0074-7742(08)60548-6
- Trimarchi, J.R., Murphey, R.K., 1997. The shaking-B2 Mutation Disrupts Electrical Synapses in a Flight Circuit in
 AdultDrosophila. J. Neurosci. 17, 4700–4710. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-12-04700.1997
- Weber, A.I., Daniel, T.L., Brunton, B.W., 2021. Wing structure and neural encoding jointly determine sensing
 strategies in insect flight. PLOS Comput. Biol. 17, e1009195. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009195
- Whitehead, S.C., Leone, S., Lindsay, T., Meiselman, M.R., Cowan, N.J., Dickinson, M.H., Yapici, N., Stern, D.L.,
 Shirangi, T., Cohen, I., 2022. Neuromuscular embodiment of feedback control elements in Drosophila flight.
 Sci. Adv. 8, eabo7461. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abo7461
- Whitlock, K.E., Palka, J., 1995. Development of wing sensory axons in the central nervous system of *Drosophila* during metamorphosis. J. Neurobiol. 26, 189–204. https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.480260204
- Wilson, D.M., Gettrup, E., 1963. A Stretch Reflex Controlling Wingbeat Frequency in Grasshoppers. J. Exp. Biol. 40,
 171–185. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.40.1.171
- Wolf, H., 1993. The Locust Tegula: Significance for Flight Rhythm Generation, Wing Movement Control and
 Aerodynamic Force Production. J. Exp. Biol. 182, 229–253. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.182.1.229
- Yasuyama, K., Salvaterra, P.M., 1999. Localization of choline acetyltransferase-expressing neurons in Drosophila
 nervous system. Microsc. Res. Tech. 45, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
- 736 0029(19990415)45:2<65::AID-JEMT2>3.0.CO;2-0
- 737