Homework Set 5 Solutions

(a) The four matrices are obtained by straightfoward calculation

(b) The ladder operator matrices are also obtained by straightforward calculation

$$L_{+} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + i\frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\Rightarrow \quad L_{+} = \sqrt{2} \ \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and

$$\begin{split} L_{-} &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - i \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 2 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\Rightarrow \quad L_{-} &= \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

(c) First, check the effect of the L_{-} operator on the three l = 1 eigenvectors:

$$L_{-} | 1, 1 \rangle = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L_{-} | 1, 0 \rangle = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L_{-} | 1, -1 \rangle = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L_{-} | l, m \rangle = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m-1)} \hbar | l, m-1 \rangle.$$

Next, check the effect of the L_+ operator on the three l = 1 eigenvectors:

$$L_{+} | 1, 1 \rangle = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L_{+} | 1, 0 \rangle = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L_{+} | 1, -1 \rangle = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{2} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $L_+ | l, m > = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m+1)} \hbar^2 | l, m+1 > .$

Now, check the effect of the L^2 operator on the three l = 1 eigenvectors:

$$L^{2} | 1, 1 \rangle = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L^{2} | 1, 0 \rangle = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L^{2} | 1, -1 \rangle = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $L^2 \,|\, l,m > = l(l+1)\hbar^2 \,|\, l,m >$.

Finally, check the effect of the L_z operator on the three l = 1 eigenvectors:

$$L_{z} | 1, 1 \rangle = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L_{z} | 1, 0 \rangle = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$L_{z} | 1, -1 \rangle = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = -\hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 So

(d) To calculate the normalization constant, we want to choose A so that $\langle \psi(0) | \psi(0) \rangle = 1$. Then, since we have

$$|\psi(t=0)\rangle = A \begin{pmatrix} 3\\ 2\\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad (3, \ 2, \ 4)^* A^* A \begin{pmatrix} 3\\ 2\\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad |A|^2 (9+4+16) = |A|^2 (29) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}}.$$

(e) To calculate the possibilities and the probabilities of L^2 measurements, we need to know the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of L^2 . In part a, we found

$$L^{2} = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\hbar^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\hbar^{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\hbar^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So there is a triply degenerate eigenvalue equal to $2\hbar^2$. Consequently, that is the only possible result of a measurement. Since there is only one possible result of an L^2 measurement, the probability is 1. We conclude

$$2\hbar^2$$
 with $P(L^2 = 2\hbar^2) = 1$

(f) We need to find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of L_z , where

$$L_z = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hbar & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\hbar \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the eigenvalues are \hbar , 0, and $-\hbar$, and these are the only possible results of an L_z measurement.

The corresponding probabilities are given by

$$P(L_z = \hbar) = \left| (1, 0, 0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3\\2\\4 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{3}{\sqrt{29}} \right|^2 = \frac{9}{29},$$
$$P(L_z = 0) = \left| (0, 1, 0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3\\2\\4 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{2}{\sqrt{29}} \right|^2 = \frac{4}{29},$$
$$P(L_z = -\hbar) = \left| (0, 0, 1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3\\2\\4 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{4}{\sqrt{29}} \right|^2 = \frac{16}{29}.$$

So the possible results, and the corresponding probabilities are

$$L_z = \hbar \quad \text{with} \quad P(L_z = \hbar) = \frac{9}{29},$$

$$L_z = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad P(L_z = 0) = \frac{4}{29},$$

$$L_z = -\hbar \quad \text{with} \quad P(L_z = -\hbar) = \frac{16}{29}.$$

(g) To calculate the expectation value of the L^2 operator, we can use the L^2 matrix which is given by

$$L^{2} = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle L^{2} \rangle = \langle \psi | L^{2} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} (3, 2, 4) 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2\hbar^{2}}{29} (3, 2, 4) \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2\hbar^{2}}{29} (9 + 4 + 16) = \frac{2\hbar^{2}}{29} (29)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle L^{2} \rangle = 2\hbar^{2}.$$

Next, calculate the standard deviation of the L^2 operator,

$$\Delta L^2 = \langle (L^2 - \langle L^2 \rangle I)^2 \rangle^{1/2} = \langle (2\hbar^2 I - 2\hbar^2 I)^2 \rangle^{1/2} = 0.$$

Since there is only one possible value of L^2 , and there can be no variation in it, we also could have immediately concluded that $\Delta L^2 = 0$ without a detailed calculation.

To calculate the expectation value of the L_z operator, we can use the L_z matrix which is given by

$$L_z = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

•

$$\Rightarrow \langle L_z \rangle = \langle \psi | L_z | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} (3, 2, 4) \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{29} (3, 2, 4) \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{29} (9 + 0 - 16) = \frac{\hbar}{29} (-7)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad < L_z > = -\frac{7}{29} \ \hbar.$$

To calculate the standard deviation of the L_z operator, we'll use

$$\Delta L_z = \left[< L_z^2 > - < L_z >^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

So we need L_z^2 , and in part a we found

$$L_z^2 = \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First calculate $< L_z^2 >$,

$$\langle L_z^2 \rangle = \langle \psi | L_z^2 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} (3, 2, 4) \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{29} (3, 2, 4) \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{29} (9 + 0 + 16) = \frac{\hbar^2}{29} (25)$$

Then combine $\langle L_z^2 \rangle$ with $\langle L_z \rangle^2$ to obtain ΔL_z ,

$$\Rightarrow \Delta L_z = \left[\frac{25}{29}\hbar^2 - \left(-\frac{7}{29}\hbar\right)^2\right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \left[\frac{25}{29}\hbar^2 - \frac{49}{29^2}\hbar^2\right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \hbar \left[\frac{29 \cdot 25 - 49}{29^2}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \hbar \left[\frac{676}{29^2}\right]^{1/2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \Delta L_z = \frac{26}{29}\hbar.$$

(h) Combining our results from parts e, f and g, we will now evaluate

$$<\Omega> = \sum_{i} P(\omega_i) \ \omega_i$$
 and $\Delta \Omega = \sqrt{\sum_{i} P(\omega_i) \ (\omega_i - <\Omega>)^2}$

first for $\Omega = L^2$, and then for $\Omega = L_z$. For $< L^2 >$, we find

$$< L^2 > = P(2\hbar^2) 2\hbar^2 = 1 \cdot 2\hbar^2 = 2\hbar^2,$$

and for ΔL^2 , we find

$$\Delta L^{2} = \sqrt{P(2\hbar^{2})(2\hbar^{2} - 2\hbar^{2})^{2}} = \sqrt{1 \cdot 0} = 0.$$

For $\langle L_z \rangle$, we find

$$\langle L_z \rangle = \sum_i P(\omega_i) \ \omega_i = \frac{9}{29}(+\hbar) + \frac{4}{29}(0) + \frac{16}{29}(-\hbar) = \frac{9-16}{29}(\hbar) = -\frac{7}{29}\hbar,$$

and for ΔL_z , we find

$$\begin{split} \Delta L_z &= \sqrt{\sum_i P(\omega_i) (\omega_i - \langle L_z \rangle)^2} \\ &= \left[\frac{9}{29} \left(+\hbar - \left(\frac{-7}{29}\hbar\right)\right)^2 + \frac{4}{29} \left(0 - \left(\frac{-7}{29}\hbar\right)\right)^2 + \frac{16}{29} \left(-\hbar - \left(\frac{-7}{29}\hbar\right)\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\frac{9}{29} \left(\frac{36}{29}\hbar\right)^2 + \frac{4}{29} \left(\frac{7}{29}\hbar\right)^2 + \frac{16}{29} \left(\frac{-22}{29}\hbar\right)^2\right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\frac{9}{29} \left(\frac{1296}{29^2}\hbar^2\right) + \frac{4}{29} \left(\frac{49}{29^2}\hbar^2\right) + \frac{16}{29} \left(\frac{484}{29^2}\hbar^2\right)\right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left[\frac{11664 + 196 + 7744}{29^3}\right]^{1/2} \hbar \\ &= \left[\frac{19604}{29^3}\right]^{1/2} \hbar = \left[\frac{676}{29^2}\right]^{1/2} \hbar \\ &\implies \Delta L_z = \frac{26}{29}\hbar. \end{split}$$

We conclude that the probability-based method produces exactly the same results as the direct matrix calculation.

(i) The plot of $P(L^2)$ versus L^2 looks like this

and the plot of $P(L_z)$ versus L_z looks like this

(j) First, calculate the expectation value of the L_x operator for the three eigenstates of L_z :

$$<1 | L_x | 1> = (1, 0, 0) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} (1, 0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$<2 | L_x | 2> = (0, 1, 0) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 1, 0) \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$<3 | L_x | 3> = (0, 0, 1) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
$$\Rightarrow < L_x > = 0 \text{ for any eigenstate of } L_z.$$

Then, calculate the expectation values of the L_y operator for the three eigenstates of L_z :

$$<1 | L_y | 1 > = (1, 0, 0) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} (1, 0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ i\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$<2 | L_y | 2 > = (0, 1, 0) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 1, 0) \begin{pmatrix} -i\\ 0\\ i \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$<3 | L_y | 3 > = (0, 0, 1) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} (0, 0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ -i\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle L_y \rangle = 0$ for any eigenstate of L_z .

Now, to show that $\langle L^2 - L_z^2 \rangle = \langle L_x^2 + L_y^2 \rangle$, note that we can just show that the $L^2 - L_z^2$ matrix is equal to the $L_x^2 + L_y^2$ matrix. So, calculating we find

$$L^{2} - L_{z}^{2} = 2\hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - 1 \end{pmatrix} = \hbar^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{split} L_x^2 + L_y^2 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+1 & 0 & 1-1 \\ 0 & 2+2 & 0 \\ 1-1 & 0 & 1+1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

The matrices are identical, so the corresponding operators must have the same expectation values.

(k) The standard sketch of the semiclassical vector model for l = 1 angular momentum states looks like this

Note that this picture shows all three eigenvectors of L_z to be vectors of fixed length $\sqrt{l(l+1)\hbar^2} = 2\hbar$ precessing around the z-axis. However, even though they are precessing, the three eigenvectors of L_z have fixed projections of L_z : \hbar , 0, and $-\hbar$.

Because the three eigenvectors of L_z are precessing around the z-axis, the time-averaged expectation values of L_x and of L_y for the eigenstates of L_z are zero.

The geometry also shows the physical significance of the equation $\langle L^2 - L_z^2 \rangle = \langle L_x^2 + L_y^2 \rangle$: the in-plane projection of the precessing vector is fixed and has length squared $L_x^2 + L_y^2$.

(l) The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{L_z^2}{2I}.$$

And we know that

$$L_z = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad L_z^2 = \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we find

$$H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hbar^2/2I & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \hbar^2/2I \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is diagonal, so we can read off the eigenvalues from the diagonal entries. They are

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2I}$$
, 0, and $\frac{\hbar^2}{2I}$,

and the corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \text{and} \ \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(m) The initial state vector is given by

$$|\psi(t=0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left[3 \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} + 4 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

So, the time-dependent state vector is given by

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left[3 \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\hbar t/2I} + 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} + 4 \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\hbar t/2I} \right].$$

(n) The time-dependent state vector can be rewritten as

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2\\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have introduced $\alpha = \hbar t/2I$ for compactness.

The time-dependent expectation value of the energy is given by

$$<\psi \,|\, H \,|\, \psi > = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \ 2, \ 4e^{+i\alpha} \right) \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2 \\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{58I} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \ 2, \ 4e^{+i\alpha} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 0 \\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{58I} \left(9 + 16 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad <\psi \,|\, H \,|\, \psi > = \frac{25}{29} \,\hbar^2.$$

The time-dependent expectation value of the L^2 operator is given by

$$\langle \psi \,|\, L^2 \,|\, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \ 2, \ 4e^{+i\alpha} \right) 2\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2 \\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{2\hbar^2}{29} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \ 2, \ 4e^{+i\alpha} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2 \\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{2\hbar^2}{29} \left(9 + 4 + 16 \right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \psi \,|\, L^2 \,|\, \psi \rangle = 2\hbar^2.$$

The time-dependent expectation value of the L_z operator is given by

$$\langle \psi | L_{z} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{+i\alpha}, 2, 4e^{+i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2 \\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{29} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{+i\alpha}, 2, 4e^{+i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 0 \\ -4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar}{29} (9+0-16)$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \langle \psi | L_{z} | \psi \rangle = -\frac{7}{29} \hbar.$$

The time-dependent expectation value of the L_x operator is given by

$$<\psi \,|\, L_x \,|\, \psi > = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \, 2, \, 4e^{+i\alpha}\right) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2\\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{29\sqrt{2}} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \, 2, \, 4e^{+i\alpha}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 2\\ 7e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{29\sqrt{2}} \left(6e^{+i\alpha} + 14e^{-i\alpha} + 8e^{+i\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \frac{14\hbar}{29\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{+i\alpha} + e^{-i\alpha}\right) = \frac{14\sqrt{2\hbar}}{29} \left(\frac{e^{+i\alpha} + e^{-i\alpha}}{2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{14\sqrt{2\hbar}}{29} \cos \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad <\psi \,|\, L_x \,|\, \psi > = \frac{14\sqrt{2\hbar}}{29} \cos \left(\frac{\hbar t}{2I}\right).$$

And, the time-dependent expectation value of the ${\cal L}_y$ operator is given by

$$<\psi \,|\, L_y \,|\, \psi > = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \, 2, \, 4e^{+i\alpha}\right) \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0\\ i & 0 & -i\\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\alpha} \\ 2\\ 4e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{29\sqrt{2}} \left(3e^{+i\alpha}, \, 2, \, 4e^{+i\alpha}\right) \begin{pmatrix} -2i\\ -ie^{-i\alpha}\\ 2i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{i\hbar}{29\sqrt{2}} \left(-6e^{+i\alpha} - 2e^{-i\alpha} + 8e^{+i\alpha}\right)$$

$$= \frac{i\hbar}{29\sqrt{2}} \left(2e^{+i\alpha} - 2e^{-i\alpha}\right) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}\hbar}{29} \left(\frac{e^{+i\alpha} - e^{-i\alpha}}{2i}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}\hbar}{29} \sin \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad <\psi \,|\, L_y \,|\, \psi > = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{29} \sin \left(\frac{\hbar t}{2I}\right).$$

Now, why are L^2 and L_z time-independent, in contrast to L_x and L_y which are time-dependent? Time dependence is related to whether the operator commutes with the Hamiltonian, or not.

Let's check which operators commute with the Hamiltonian: H, L^2 , and L_z are all diagonal, so they all commute with one another. Remember that you demonstrated that any two diagonal matrices commute in Problem Set 3. Now, let's check whether L_x and L_y commute with the Hamiltonian:

$$[H, L_x] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2}I} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2}I} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2}I} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

so H and L_x do not commute. Next check

$$[H, L_y] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2I}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2I}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^3}{2\sqrt{2I}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ -i & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

so H and L_y do not commute.

Since L^2 and L_z commute with H, they share a common eigenbasis with H, and therefore their common eigenvectors all have the same time-dependence. Consequently, $\langle L^2 \rangle$ and $\langle L_z \rangle$ are time-independent.

Since L_x and L_y do not commute with H, they do not share a common eigenbasis with H. Consequently, L_x and L_y will necessarily evolve in time differently than H, because the eigenvectors of L_x and L_y are both combinations of several eigenvectors of H with different time-dependent exponential phase factors.

(o) The three relevant spherical harmonics are

$$Y_{1,1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \theta e^{i\phi}, \quad Y_{1,0} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos \theta, \text{ and } Y_{1,-1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \theta e^{-i\phi}.$$

These are the energy eigenfunctions pertinent to this problem. If our initial wave function is rewritten as a function of polar and azimuthal angles, it becomes

$$\psi(\theta, \phi, t = 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left[3\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \theta \ e^{i\phi} + 2\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos \theta + 4\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \theta \ e^{-i\phi} \right].$$

Since the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{L_z^2}{2I}$$

and the L_z operator is given by

$$L_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

we find

$$H = \frac{1}{2I} \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}.$$

And, in position space, the TISE becomes the differential equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2I}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2}\ \psi_{lm}(\theta,\phi) = E_n\ \psi_{lm}(\theta,\phi).$$

Here the energy eigenfunctions are the three l = 1 spherical harmonics (*i.e.*, the ψ_{lm} 's), and for l = 1, the equation above represents three equations: one for m = 1, one for m = 0 and one for m = -1.

The general form of the TDSE is given by

$$H \mid \! \psi_n \! > = i \hbar \frac{d}{dt} \mid \! \psi_n \! >$$

So, in position space, the TDSE becomes the differential equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2I}\;\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\phi^2}\;\psi(\theta,\phi,t)=i\;\hbar\frac{d}{dt}\;\psi(\theta,\phi,t),$$

where full time-dependent position space wave function is given by

$$\psi(\theta,\phi,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \left[3\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin\theta \ e^{i\phi} \ e^{-i\hbar t/2I} + \ 2\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos\theta + \ 4\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin\theta \ e^{-i\phi} \ e^{-i\hbar t/2I} \right].$$

(p) By combining the Hamiltonian matrix from part l, with the three l = 1 eigenvectors, the TISE becomes the following three matrix equations

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = E_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = E_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

And, the TDSE becomes the following matrix equation

$$\begin{split} \frac{\hbar^2}{2I} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{29}} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\hbar t/2I} \\ 2\\ 4e^{-i\hbar t/2I} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{i\hbar}{\sqrt{29}} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} 3e^{-i\hbar t/2I} \\ 2\\ 4e^{-i\hbar t/2I} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Note that if you do the matrix multiplication on the left hand side, and the differentiation on the right hand side, it works—it's a bona fide equality!