The Aims of Education And Other Essays

Ьу

Alfred North Whitehead



A MENTOR BOOK
Published by THE NEW AMERICAN LIBRARY

The Aims of Education

Culture is activity of thought, and receptiveness to beauty and humane feeling. Scraps of information have nothing to do with it. A merely well-informed man is the most useless bore on God's earth. What we should aim at producing is men who possess both culture and expert knowledge in some special direction. Their expert knowledge will give them the ground to start from, and their culture will lead them as deep as philosophy and as high as art. We have to remember that the valuable intellectual development is self-development, and that it mostly takes place between the ages of sixteen and thirty. As to training, the most important part is given by mothers before the age of twelve. A saying due to Archbishop Temple illustrates my meaning. Supprise was expressed at the success in after-life of a man, who as a boy at Rugby had been somewhat undistinguished. He answered, "It is not what they are at eighteen, it is what they become afterwards that matters."

In training a child to activity of thought, above all things we must beware of what I will call "inert ideas" that is to say, ideas that are merely received into the mind without being utilised, or tested, or thrown into fresh combinations.

In the history of education, the most striking phenome non is that schools of learning, which at one epoch are alive with a ferment of genius, in a succeeding generation exhibit merely pedantry and routine. The reason is, that they are overladen with mert ideas. Education with inert ideas is not only useless: it is, above all things, harmful—Corruptio optimi, pessima. Except at rare intervals of intellectual ferment, education in the past has been radically infected with inert ideas. That is the reason why uneducated clever women, who have seen much of the world, are in middle life so much the most cultured part of the community. They have been saved from this horrible burden of inert ideas. Every intellectual revolution which has ever stirred humanity into greatness has been a passionate protest against inert ideas. Then, alas, with pathetic ignorance of human psychology, it has proceeded by some edu-

any particular group of saints must travel to reach that

the present; and the mere lapse of time through which but it has only one possible hall of meeting, and that is

cational scheme to bind humanity afresh with inert ideas

subjects," and again, "What you teach, teach thoroughly." to guard against this mental dryrot. We enunciate two iducational commandments, Let us now ask how in our system of education we are "Do not teach too many

education should be useful, whatever your aim in life. sense in which it is used in the French proverb, discovery. The discovery which he has to make, is that general ideas give an understanding of that stream of events which pours through his life, which is his life. By was useful to Saint Augustine and it was useful to Napo derstand all, is to forgive all." Pedants sneer at an educa-tion which is useful. But if education is not useful, what is should understand their application here and now in the circumstances of his actual life. From the very beginning it? Is it a talent, to be hidden away in a napkin? Of course, understanding I mean more than a mere logical analysis, though that is included. I mean "understanding" in the sense in which it is used in the French proverb, "To unsubjects is the passive reception of disconnected ideas, no tion possible. The child should make them his own, and important, and let them be thrown into every combinawhich are introduced into a child's education be few and llumined with any spark of vitality. Let the main ideas f his education, the child should experience the joy of The result of teaching small parts of a large number o

meeting-place, makes very little difference. utilised are positively harmful. By utilising an idea, I mean tion, we remember that here also ideas which are not clating it to that stream, compounded of sense percep-Passing now to the scientific and logical side of educa

which it is embodied are true. Accordingly an essential an idea is not worth much unless the propositions in and of appreciation, do not require a rigid separation in attempt, in the strict sense, to prove or to disprove any propositions, we commence by appreciating their impor-tance. That is what we all do in after-life. We do not evidence to begin with. In our first contact with a set of part of the proof of an idea is the proof, either by experiment or by logic, of the truth of the propositions. But it is to prove it. But allow me for one moment to extend imagine a set of beings which might fortify their souls by tions, feelings, hopes, desires, and of mental activities adjusting thought to thought, which forms our life. I can should be that of appreciation by use. tion by the authority of respectable teachers is sufficient is not essential that this proof of the truth should constibuilt that way—except perhaps some editors of newspapers. tute the first introduction to the idea. After all, its asserthe meaning of "prove"; I mean—to prove its worth. Now passively reviewing disconnected ideas. Humanity is not honour. These two processes of proof, in the narrow sense, hing, unless its importance makes it worthy of that But in so far as either process must have the priority, it ime. Both can be proceeded with nearly concurrently In scientific training, the first thing to do with an idea

order, and with any reiteration. Choose some important could be more boring, interrelated truths are utilised en tions in isolation. Emphatically I do not mean, a neat little set of experiments to illustrate Proposition I and applications of your theoretical subject; and study them ments to illustrate Proposition II and then the proof of Proposition II, and so on to the end of the book. Nothing then the proof of Proposition I, a neat little set of experi-Furthermore, we should not endeavour to use proposi the various propositions are employed in any

ent. The present contains all that there is. It is sand years ago. Do not be deceived by the pedantry of dates. The ages of Shakespeare and of Molière are no less existed two hundred years ago than if it existed two thouground; for it is the past, and it is the future. At the same be done to young minds than by depreciation of the presleon. It is useful, because understanding is useful.

I pass lightly over that understanding which should be given by the literary side of education. Nor do I wish to is to equip us for the present. No more deadly harm can understanding which we want is an understanding of an insistent present. The only use of a knowledge of the past cal or a modern curriculum. I would only remark that the communion of saints is a great and inspiring assemblage past than are the ages of Sophocles and of Virgil. time it must be observed that an age is no less past it il be supposed to pronounce on the relative merits of a classiholy

concurrently with the systematic theoretical exposition. Keep the theoretical exposition short and simple, but let it be strict and rigid so far as it goes. It should not be too long for it to be easily known with thoroughness and accuracy. The consequences of a plethora of half-digested theoretical knowledge are deplorable. Also the theory should not be muddled up with the practice. The child should have no doubt when it is proving and when it is utilising. My point is that what is proved should be utilised, and that what is utilised should—so far as is practicable—be proved. I am far from asserting that proof and utilisation are the same thing.

At this point of my discourse, I can most directly carry forward my argument in the outward form of a digression. We are only just realising that the art and science of education require a genius and a study of their own; and that this genius and this science are more than a bare knowledge of some branch of science or of literature. This truth was partially perceived in the past generation; and headmasters, somewhat crudely, were apt to supersede learning in their colleagues by requiring left-hand bowling and a taste for football. But culture is more than cricket, and more than football and more than extent of knowledge.

system is possible unless every question directly asked of a pupil at any examination is either framed or modified by which will practically enable the student to learn by heart may be quite certain that some reviewer will say that it will be difficult to teach from it. Of course it will be diffimore than football, and more than extent of knowledge. Education is the acquisition of the art of the utilisation examination. And I may say in passing that no educational all the questions likely to be asked at the next externa elsewhere, the broad primrose path leads to a nasty place cult to teach from it. If it were easy, the book ought to be ever a text-book is written of real educational worth, you of knowledge. This is an art very difficult to impart. Whenvised by the actual teacher, or at least inspired by a long conference with him. There are a few exceptions to this the pupil a question which has not been strictly supernal assessor may report on the curriculum or on the perthe actual teacher of that pupil in that subject. The exter-This evil path is represented by a book or a set of lectures formance of the pupils, but never should be allowed to ask burned; for it cannot be educational. In education, as

rule, but they are exceptions, and could easily be allowed

We now return to my previous point, that theoretical We now return to my previous point, that theoretical ideas should always find important applications within the pupil's curriculum. This is not an easy doctrine to apply, but a very hard one. It contains within itself the problem of keeping knowledge alive, of preventing it from becoming inert, which is the central problem of all edu-

of which can be neglected, namely, the genius of the teacher, the intellectual type of the pupils, their prospects in life, the opportunities offered by the immediate suruse in testing slackness. Our reason of dislike is very defi-nite and very practical. It kills the best part of culture, childish. Also, of course, such examinations have their and like denouncing established things. We are not so so deadly. We do not denounce it because we are cranks, is for this reason that the uniform external examination is roundings of the school, and allied factors of this sort. It osity, of judgment, of the power of mastering a compliminds, and not with dead matter. The evocation of curi ment depends on a delicate adjustment of many variable When you analyse in the light of experience the central task of education, you find that its successful accomplishcated tangle of circumstances, the use of theory in givfactors. The reason is that we are dealing with ing foresight in special cases—all these powers are not to be imparted by a set rule embodied in one schedule of examination subjects. The best procedure will depend on several factors, none human

I appeal to you, as practical teachers. With good discipline, it is always possible to pump into the minds of a class a certain quantity of mert knowledge. You take a text-book and make them learn it. So far, so good. The child then knows how to solve a quadratic equation. But what is the point of teaching a child to solve a quadratic equation? There is a traditional answer to this question. It runs thus: The mind is an instrument, you first sharpen it, and then use it; the acquisition of the power of solving a quadratic equation is part of the process of sharpening the mind. Now there is just enough truth in this answer thave made it live through the ages. But for all its he truth, it embodies a radical error which bids fair to stifle

the genius of the modern world. I do not know who was first responsible for this analogy of the mind to a dead instrument. For aught I know, it may have been one of the seven wise men of Greece, or a committee of the whole lot of them. Whoever was the originator, there can be no doubt of the authority which it has acquired by the continuous approval bestowed upon it by eminent persons. But whatever its weight of authority, whatever the high approval which it can quote, I have no hesitation in denouncing it as one of the most fatal, erroneous, and dangerous conceptions ever introduced into the theory of education. The mind is never passive; it is a perpetual activity, delicate, receptive, responsive to stimulus. You cannot postpone its life until you have sharpened it. Whatever interest attaches to your subject-matter must be evoked here and now; whatever powers you are strengthener possibilities of mental life your teaching should impart, must be exhibited here and now. That is the golden rule of education, and a very difficult rule to follow.

The difficulty is just this: the apprehension of general ideas, intellectual habits of mind, and pleasurable interest in mental achievement can be evoked by no form of words, however accurately adjusted. All practical teachers know that education is a patient process of the mastery of details, minute by minute, hour by hour, day by day. There is no royal road to learning through an airy path of brilliant generalisations. There is a proverb about the difficulty of seeing the wood because of the trees. That difficulty is exactly the point which I am enforcing. The problem of education is to make the pupil see the wood by means of the trees.

The solution which I am urging, is to eradicate the fatal disconnection of subjects which kills the vitality of our modern curriculum. There is only one subject-matter for education, and that is Life in all its manifestations. Instead of this single unity, we offer children—Algebra, from which nothing follows; Geometry, from which nothing follows; Ilistory, from which nothing follows; a Couple of Languages, never nastered; and lastly, most dreary of all, Literature, reprevated by plays of Shakespeare, with philological notes and short analyses of plot and character to be in sub-

stance committed to memory. Can such a list be said to represent Life, as it is known in the midst of the living of it? The best that can be said of it is, that it is a rapid table of contents which a deity might run over in his mind while he was thinking of creating a world, and had not be the content of the content of the content.

Let us now return to quadratic equations. We still have Let us now return to quadratic equations. We still have on hand the unanswered question. Why should children be taught their solution? Unless quadratic equations fit into a connected curriculum, of course there is no reason to teach anything about them. Furthermore, extensive as should be the place of mathematics in a complete culture, I am a little doubtful whether for many types of boys algebraic solutions of quadratic equations do not lie on the specialist side of mathematics. I may here remind you that as yet I have not said anything of the psychology or the content of the specialism, which is so necessary a part of an ideal education. But all that is an evasion of our real question, and I merely state it in order to avoid being misunderstood in my answer.

Quadratic equations are part of algebra, and algebra is the intellectual instrument which has been created for rendering clear the quantitative aspects of the world. There is no getting out of it. Through and through the world is infected with quantity. To talk sense, is to talk in quantities. It is no use saying that the nation is large, How large? It is no use saying that radium is scarce,—How scarce? You cannot evade quantity. You may fly to poetry and to music, and quantity and number will face you in your rhythms and your octaves. Elegant intellects which despise the theory of quantity, are but half developed. They are more to be pitied than blamed. The scraps of gibberish, which in their school-days were taught to them in the name of algebra, deserve some contempt.

This question of the degeneration of algebra into gibberish, both in word and in fact, affords a pathetic instance of the uselessness of reforming educational schedules without a clear conception of the attributes which you wish to evoke in the living minds of the children. A few years ago there was an outcry that school algebra was in need of reform, but there was a general agreement that graphs would put everything right. So all sorts of things were extruded, and graphs were introduced. So far as I

graphs. But I wonder whether as yet we have gained very much. You cannot put life into any schedule of general education unless you succeed in exhibiting its relation to can see, with no sort of idea behind them, but just graphs. Now every examination paper has one or two questions perception. It is a hard saying, but it is true; and I do not see how to make it any easier. In making these little on graphs. Personally, must make up your mind as to those quantitative aspects of the world which are simple enough to be introduced into general education; then a schedule of algebra should formal alterations you are beaten by the very nature of things. You are pitted against too skilful an adversary, who some essential characteristic of all intelligent or emotional dry catalogues of names and dates which comprise the greater part of that arid school study. What purpose is effected by a catalogue of undistinguished kings and queens? Tom, Dick, or Harry, they are all dead. General resurrections are failures, and are better postponed. The quantitative flux of the forces of modern society is capaquantitative flux of the forces of modern society is capaquantitative. will see to it that the pea is always under the other thimble. algebra as a serious means of studying the world. Some of the simplest applications will be found in the quantities which occur in the simplest study of society. The curves be framed which will about find its exemplification in these applications. We need not fear for our pet graphs, of history are more vivid and more informing than the they will be there in plenty when we once begin to treat abstract science for their own sake. Not, of course, in the and their solution, of elimination, are being studied as an variable, of the function, of rate of change, of equations ble of very simple exhibition. Meanwhile, the idea of the pompous phrases with which I am alluding to them hete, but with that iteration of simple special cases proper to with the abstract science of algebra, both yielding diverse aspects of that single theme, Life. I know what most of If this course be followed, the route from Chaucer to the Black Death, from the Black Death to modern Labour you are thinking at this point. It is that the exact course troubles, will connect the tales of the mediaval pilgrims Reformation must begin at the other end. First, you I am an enthusiastic adherent of

> agree. I am not claiming that I could do it myself. success, essentially depend on the character of the pupils and the genius of the teacher. Of course I have left out your objection is the precise reason why a common exteryou would have chosen, or even see how to work, I quite of exhibiting the applications of knowledge must, for its nal examination system is fatal to education. The process home. I mean the quantitative sides of sciences, such as the easiest applications with which most of us are more at

Again, in the same connection we plot the statistics of social phenomena against the time. We then eliminate the we have exhibited a real causal connection, or how far country and another set for another country, and thus, a mere temporal coincidence. We notice that we might time between suitable pairs. We can speculate how with suitable choice of subjects, have obtained graphs which certainly exhibited mere coincidence. Also other have plotted against the time one set of statistics for one graphs exhibit obvious causal connections. We wonder how to discriminate. And so are drawn on as far as we will

But in considering this description, I must beg you to remember what I have been insisting on above. In the first place, one train of thought will not suit all groups of children. For example, I should expect that artisan children will want something more concrete and, in a sense, swifter than I have set down here. Perhaps I am wrong, not contemplating one beautiful lecture stimulating, once subject. I am describing the interspersed explanations, the directions which should be given to their thoughts. The pupils have got to be made to feel that they are studying at work solving examples, drawing graphs, and making experiments, until they have a thorough hold on the whole and for all, an admiring class. That is not the way in which but that is what I should guess. In the second place, I am education proceeds. No; all the time the pupils are hard something, and are not merely executing intellectual min-

amination, the problem of sound teaching is greatly comround a Norman arch? The ancient work is beautiful, the plicated. Have you ever noticed the zig zag moulding modern work is hideous. The reason is, that the modern Finally, if you are teaching pupils for some general ex-

which I have sketched out is not the particular one which

work is done to exact measure, the ancient work is varied according to the idiosyncrasy of the workman. Here it is crowded, and there it is expanded. Now the essence of getting pupils through examinations is to give equal weight to all parts of the schedule. But mankind is natucontradictions the world would be simpler, and perhaps duller. But I am certain that in education wherever you other can find only a few detached examples. rally specialist. One man sees a whole subject, where another can find only a few detached examples. I know that lum especially designed for a broad culture. it seems contradictory to allow for specialism in a curriculum especially designed for a broad culture. Without

We now come to the other great branch of a general mathematical education, namely Geometry. The same principles apply. The theoretical part should be clear-cut, cise of the deductive faculties of reasoning. should be cut out, but the great fundamental ideas should exclude specialism you destroy life. course, there follows Geometrical Drawing, with its trainbe all there. No omission of concepts, such as those of Similarity and Proportion. We must remember that, owing Geometry is a field of unequalled excellence for the exerrigid, short, and important. Every proposition not absoto the aid rendered by the visual presence of a figure, utely necessary to exhibit the main connection of ideas Then, of

workshop practice form the appropriate extension. For example, in the London Polytechnics this has been achieved But, like Algebra, Geometry and Geometrical Drawing must be extended beyond the mere circle of geometrical suggest that surveying and maps are the natural applica-tions. In particular, plane-table surveying should lead pupils to a vivid apprehension of the immediate application of geometric truths. Simple drawing apparatus, a surveyor's chain, and a surveyor's compass, should enable the with conspicuous success. For many secondary schools I ideas. In an industrial neighbourhood, machinery and structed the map of a small district, to have considered its instruments is greatly to be deprecated. To have conpupils to rise from the survey and mensuration of a field to the construction of the map of a small district. The best from the simplest apparatus. The provision of elaborate education is to be found in gaining the utmost information its contours, its geology, its climate, its relation to

> will teach more history and geography than any knowledge of Perkin Warbeck or of Behren's Straits. I mean not a geometrical propositions without their proofs. Then, conproblem should be: Survey such and such a field, draw a of accurate theoretical knowledge. A typical mathematical nebulous lecture on the subject, but a serious investigation other districts, the effects on the status of its inhabitants, would be quite a good procedure to impart the necessary plan of it to such and such a scale, and find the area. It in which the real facts are definitely ascertained by the air would be learnt while the survey was being made. currently in the same term, the proofs of the propositions

For this there are many reasons. One is that many of the principles of procedure to be observed are the same in both cases, and it is unnecessary to recapitulate. Another reason easier problem than does the provision of a general culture. at a more advanced stage of the pupil's course, and thus there is easier material to work upon. But undoubtedly the activity of mind; the specialist course utilises this activity. But it does not do to lay too much stress on these neat of peculiar interest to the student. He is studying it bechief reason is that the specialist study is normally a study foci of special interest will arise; and similarly in the special study, the external connections of the subject drag thought antitheses. As we have already seen, in the general course cause, for some reason, he wants to know it. This makes all the difference. The general culture is designed to foster an Fortunately, the specialist side of education presents an

Again, there is not one course of study which merely gives general culture, and another which gives special knowledge. The subjects pursued for the sake of a general education are special subjects specially studied, and, on the other hand, one of the ways of encouraging general mental activity is to foster a special devotion. You may not divide the seamless coat of learning. What education has reference to the life of the being possessing it. with a particular body of knowledge which has to impart is an intimate sense for the power of ideas, the beauty of ideas, and for the structure of ideas, together

a cultured mind which can only grow under the influence The appreciation of the structure of ideas is that side of

25

of a special study. I mean that eye for the whole chess-board, for the bearing of one set of ideas on another. Nothing but a special study can give any appreciation for the exact formulation of general ideas, for their relations when of abstract thought and in the analysis of facts. more concrete. It has been trained in the comprehension A mind so disciplined should be both more abstract and formulated, for their service in the comprehension of life

Finally, there should grow the most austere of all mental qualities; I mean the sense for style. It is an æsthetic sense, based on admiration for the direct attainment of a namely, attainment and restraint. The love of a subject in execution have fundamentally the same æsthetic qualities, pacing a mental quarter-deck, is the love of style as maniin literature, style in science, style in logic, style in practical foreseen end, simply and without waste. Style in art, style itself and for itself, where it is not the sleepy pleasure of

sense for style prefers good work. Style is the ultimate sense for style economises his material; the artisan with a the last acquirement of the educated mind; it is also the most useful. It pervades the whole being. The administrastarted, the utility of education. Style, in its finest sense, is morality of mind. tor with a sense for style hates waste; the engineer with a Here we are brought back to the position from which we

fested in that study.

But above style, and above knowledge, there is something, a vague shape like fate above the Greek gods. That something is Power. Style is the fashioning of power, the restraining of power. But, after all, the power of attainment of the desired end is fundamental. The first thing is your problem, justify the ways of God to man, administer to get there. Do not bother about your style, but solve

but your end. With style the effect of your activity is calculable, and foresight is the last gift of gods to men. With is attained without side issues, without raising undesirable inflammations. With style you attain your end and nothing your province, or do whatever else is set before you. Where, then, does style help? In this, with style the end your object. Now style is the exclusive privilege of the expert. Whoever heard of the style of an amateur painter, of style your power is increased, for your mind is not distracted with irrelevancies, and you are more likely to attain

> specialist study, the peculiar contribution of specialism to the style of an amateur poet? Style is always the product of

culture. sidering the aims which should govern education. In this kills its vitality. Hitherto in this address I have been con-English education in its present phase suffers from a lack of definite aim, and from an external machinery which its staff, its environment, its class of boys, and its endowments. I suggest that no system of external tests which aims his general education or his specialist studies in accordance with the opportunities of his school, which are created by extinction to train its boys for a small set of definite examinations. No headmaster has a free hand to develop education is rigid where it should be yielding, and lax where it should be rigid. Every school is bound on pain of virtues of the amateur. The machinery of our secondary routine. But he lacks the foresight which comes from special knowledge. The object of this address is to suggest how to produce the expert without loss of the essential tion and with immense versatility in mastering a given foresight. The amateur is essentially a man with appreciafound change in the world which the nineteenth century has produced is that the growth of knowledge has given decided whether to produce amateurs or experts. The prorespect England halts between two opinions. It has not primarily at examining individual scholars can result in

should be inspected. Each school should grant its own anything but educational waste. one dung-hill of inert ideas into another. own needs, and evolved by its own staff. If we fail to secure school as a unit, with its approved curriculum based on its rected. But the first requisite for educational reform is the standards of these schools should be sampled and that, we simply fall from one formalism into another, from leaving certificates, based on its own curriculum. Primarily it is the schools and not the scholars which COT

any national system for the safeguarding of efficiency, is a ditch on both sides of the road. It will be equally fatal examination of the individual scholar. But every Scylla is to education if we fall into the hands of a supervising de faced by its Charybdis—or, in more homely language, there have conceived the alternative system as being the external In stating that the school is the true educational unit in

partment which is under the impression that it can divide all schools into two or three rigid categories, each type being forced to adopt a rigid curriculum. When I say that the school is the educational unit, I mean exactly what I say, no larger unit, no smaller unit. Each school must have the claim to be considered in relation to its special circumstances. The classifying of schools for some purposes is necessary. But no absolutely rigid curriculum, not modified by its own staff, should be permissible. Exactly the same principles apply, with the proper modifications, to universities and to technical colleges.

When one considers in its length and in its breadth the importance of this question of the education of a nation's young, the broken lives, the defeated hopes, the national failures, which result from the frivolous inertia with which it is treated, it is difficult to restrain within oneself a savage rage. In the conditions of modern life the rule is absolute, the race which does not value trained intelligence is doomed. Not all your heroism, not all your social charm, not all your wit, not all your victories on land or at sea, can move back the finger of fate. To-day we maintain our selves. To-morrow science will have moved forward yet one more step, and there will be no appeal from the judgment which will then be pronounced on the uneducated.

ment which will then be pronounced on the unequicated. We can be content with no less than the old summary of educational ideal which has been current at any time from the dawn of our civilisation. The essence of education is that it be religious.

Pray, what is religious education?

A religious education is an education which inculcates duty and reverence. Duty arises from our potential control over the course of events. Where attainable knowledge could have changed the issue, ignorance has the guilt of vice. And the foundation of reverence is this perception, that the present holds within itself the complete sum of existence, backwards and forwards, that whole amplitude of time, which is eternity.

. The Rhythm of Education

By THE Rhythm of Education I denote a certain principle which in its practical application is well known to everyone with educational experience. Accordingly, when I remember that I am speaking to an audience of some of the leading educationalists in England, I have no expectation that I shall be saying anything that is new to you. I do think, however, that the principle has not been subjected to an adequate discussion taking account of all the factors which should guide its application.

I first seek for the baldest statement of what I mean by

I hist seek for the baldest statement or what I mean by the Rhythm of Education, a statement so bald as to exhibit the point of this address in its utter obviousness. The principle is merely this—that different subjects and modes of study should be undertaken by pupils at fitting times when they have reached the proper stage of mental development. You will agree with me that this is a truism, never doubted and known to all. I am really anxious to emphasise the obvious character of the foundational idea of my address; for one reason, because this audience will certainly find it out for itself. But the other reason, the reason why I choose this subject for discourse, is that I do not think that this obvious truth has been handled in educational practice with due attention to the psychology of the pupils.

The Tasks of Infancy

I commence by challenging the adequacy of some principles by which the subjects for study are often classified in order. By this I mean that these principles can only be accepted as correct if they are so explained as to be explained away. Consider first the criterion of difficulty. It is not true that the easier subjects should precede the harder. On the contrary, some of the hardest must come first because nature so dictates, and because they are essential to life. The first intellectual task which confronts an infant is the acquirement of spoken language. What an appalling task, the correlation of meanings with sounds! It requires an analysis of ideas and an analysis of sounds. We all know that the infant does it, and that the miracle of his achievement is explicable. But so are all miracles, and