Name: Your name here
Homework is due to Canvas by 11:00pm PDT on the due date.
To submit, see https://canvas.uw.edu/courses/1271892/assignments/4812367

## Problem 1

Consider the following method for solving the heat equation $u_{t}=u_{x x}$ :

$$
U_{i}^{n+2}=U_{i}^{n}+\frac{2 k}{h^{2}}\left(U_{i-1}^{n+1}-2 U_{i}^{n+1}+U_{i+1}^{n+1}\right) .
$$

(a) Determine the formal order of accuracy of this method (in both space and time) based on computing the local truncation error.
(b) Suppose we take $k=\alpha h^{2}$ for some fixed $\alpha>0$ and refine the grid. Show that this method fails to be Lax-Richtmyer stable for any choice of $\alpha$.
Do this in two ways:

- Consider the MOL interpretation and the stability region of the time-discretization being used.
- Use von Neumann analysis and solve a quadratic equation for $g(\xi)$.
(c) What if we take $k=\alpha h^{3}$ for some fixed $\alpha>0$ and refine the grid. Would this method be convergent?


## Problem 2

(a) Suppose we want to approximate the fourth derivative $u_{x x x x}$ numerically. One approach is to apply the second derivative operator twice, i.e., if

$$
D_{2} U_{i}=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(U_{i-1}-2 U_{i}+U_{i+1}\right)
$$

then use

$$
u_{x x x x}\left(x_{i}\right) \approx \frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(D_{2} U_{i-1}-2 D_{2} U_{i}+D_{2} U_{i+1}\right) .
$$

When you write these terms out and combine them, this gives a finite difference approximation that is a linear combination of five values $U_{i-2}, U_{i-1}, U_{i}, U_{i+1}, U_{i+2}$. Write out this approximation.
(b) The notebook notebooks/vxx.ipynb from the class repository illustrates how to set up a matrix $A$ corresponding to the centered second difference operator, both for Dirichlet boundary conditions and for periodic boundary conditions. Adapt the periodic case to test out this approximation to $u_{x x x x}$. Note that the matrix will now be pentadiagonal ( 5 nonzero diagonals) and will also have more terms in the corners that arise from periodicity. Test the accuracy on the periodic function $v(x)=\sin ^{2}(2 \pi x)$ for which it's not too bad to compute the exact fourth derivative. You should observe second order accuracy.
(c) Determine analytically the eigenvalues of this matrix. Recall that since it is a circulant matrix, the $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ version of this matrix with $h=1 /(m+1)$ will have eigenvectors $u^{p}$ with components $u_{j}^{p}=e^{2 \pi i p j h}$. Note that since the matrix is symmetric it should have real eigenvalues, so simplify the eigenvalue expressions to make this clear.
(d) Check that for fixed $p$ the eigenvalue $\lambda_{p}$ of the matrix agrees to $\mathcal{O}\left(h^{2}\right)$ with the eigenvalue $(2 \pi p)^{4}$ of the differential operator $\partial_{x}^{4}$ on the interval $[0,1]$ with periodic boundary conditions.
(e) Suppose we want to solve the equation $u_{t}=-\kappa u_{x x x x}$ for some $\kappa>0$ and we use the difference approximation derived above for the spatial term but then apply Forward Euler in time to the resulting MOL system. What is the stability restriction relating $k$ and $h$ that would have to be satisfied for the method to be convergent?

