219

23]

207

194

180

164

152

139

Education as a Necessity of Life

Life by Transmission. The most notable distinction between living and inanimate things is that the former maintain themselves by renewal. A stone when struck resists. If its resistance is greater than the force of the blow struck, it remains outwardly unchanged. Otherwise, it is shattered into smaller bits. Never does the stone attempt to react in such a way that it may maintain itself against the blow, much less so as to render the blow a contributing factor to its own continued action. While the living thing may easily be crushed by superior force, it none the less tries to turn the energies which act upon it into means of its own further existence. If it gives the higher forms of life), but loses its identity as a living thing.

As long as it endures, it struggles to use surrounding energies in the tit uses light, air, moisture, and the material of soil. To say that it uses them is to say that it turns them into means of its own conservation. As long as it is growing, the energy it expends in thus turning the environment to account is more than compensated for by the return it gets: it grows. Understanding the word

index

361

346

333

321

306

291

277

262

250

From: John Dewey, Penneciacy and Education.
(114: Free Press, 1944 (1916)

 $0\pi\epsilon$

"control" in this sense, it may be said that a living being is one that subjugates and controls for its own continued activity the energies that would otherwise use it up. Life is a self-renewing process—athrough action upon the environment.

In all the higher forms this process cannot be kept up indefinitely. After a while they succumb; they die. The creature is not equal to the task of indefinite self-renewal. But continuity of the life process is not dependent upon the prolongation of the existince of any one individual. Reproduction of other forms of life goes on in continuous sequence. And though, as the geological record shows, not merely individuals but also species die out, the life process continues in (increasingly complex forms) As some species die out, forms [better] adapted to utilize the obstacles against which they struggled in vain come into being. Continuity of life means continual readaptation of the environment to the needs of living organisms.

We have been speaking of life in its lowest terms—as a physical thing. But we use the word "life" to denote the whole range of experience, individual and racial. When we see a book called the Life of Lincoln we do not expect to find within its covers a treatise on physiology. We look for an account of social antecedents; a description of early surroundings, of the conditions and occupation of the family; of the chief episodes in the development of character; of signal struggles and achievements; of the individual's hopes, tastes, joys and sufferings. In precisely similar fashion we speak of the life of a savage tribe, of the Athenian people, of the American nation. "Life" covers customs, institutions, beliefs, victories and defeats, recreations and occupations.

We employ the word "experience" in the same pregnant sense. And to it, as well as to life in the bare physiological sense, the principle of continuity through renewal applies. With the renewal of physical existence goes, in the case of human beings, the re-creation of beliefs, ideals, hopes, happiness, misery, and practices. The continuity of any experience, through renewing of the social group, is a literal fact. Education, in its broadest sense, is the means of this social continuity of life. Every one of the constituent elements of a social group, in a modern city as in a savage tribe, is born immature, helpless, without language, beliefs, ideas, or social standards. Each individual, each unit who is the carrier of the life-experience of his group, in time passes away. Yet the life of the group goes on.

members: otherwise the group will cease its characteristic life. of the constituent members in a social group determine the necesrepresentatives—and the maturity of the adult members who possess ity of education. On one hand, there is the contrast between the of the group. Deliberate effort and the taking of thoughtful pains capacities of the immature and the standards and customs of the selves. With the growth of civilization, the gap between the original what the immature members would be capable of if left to them-Even in a savage tribe, the achievements of adults are far beyond preserved in adequate numbers, but that they be initiated into the the necessity that these immature members be not merely physically the knowledge and customs of the group. On the other hand, there is immaturity of the new-born members of the group-its future sole rendered cognizant of them and actively interested. Education, and indifferent to, the aims and habits of the social group have to be are required. Beings who are born not only unaware of, but quite bare necessities of subsistence will not suffice to reproduce the life elders increases. Mere physical growing up, mere mastery of the education alone, spans the gap. The primary ineluctable facts of the birth and death of each one

Society exists through a process of transmission quite as much as biological life. This transmission occurs by means of communication of habits of doing, thinking, and feeling from the older to the younger. Without this communication of ideals, hopes, expectations, standards, opinions, from those members of society who are passing out of the group life to those who are coming into it, social life could not survive. If the members who compose a society lived on continuously, they might educate the new-born members, but it would be a task directed by personal interest rather than social need. Now it is a work of necessity.

If a plague carried off the members of a society all at once, it is obvious that the group would be permanently done for. Yet the death of each of its constituent members is as certain as if an epidemic took them all at once. But the graded difference in age, the fact that some are born as some die, makes possible through transmission of ideas and practices the constant reweaving of the social fabric. Yet this renewal is not automatic. Unless pains are taken to see that genuine and thorough transmission takes place, the most

civilized group will relapse into barbarism and then into savagery. In fact, the human young are so immature that if they were left to themselves without the guidance and succor of others, they could not acquire the rudimentary abilities necessary for physical existence. The young of human beings compare so poorly in original efficiency with the young of many of the lower animals, that even the powers needed for physical sustentation have to be acquired under tuition. How much more, then, is this the case with respect to all the technological, artistic, scientific, and moral achievements of humanity!

2. Education and Communication. So obvious, indeed, is the necessity of teaching and learning for the continued existence of a society that we may seem to be dwelling unduly on a truism. But justification is found in the fact that such emphasis is a means of getting us away from an unduly scholastic and formal notion of education. Schools are, indeed, one important method of the transmission which forms the dispositions of the immature; but it is only one means, and, compared with other agencies, a relatively superficial means. Only as we have grasped the necessity of more fundamental and persistent modes of tuition can we make sure of placing the scholastic methods in their true context.

Society not only continues to exist by transmission, by communication, but it may fairly be said to exist in transmission, in communication. There is more than a verbal tie between the words common, community, and communication. Men live in a community in virtue of the things which they have in common; and communication is the way in which they come to possess things in common. What they must have in common in order to form a community or society are aims, beliefs, aspirations, knowledge—a common understanding—like-mindedness as the sociologists say. Such things cannot be passed physically from one to another, like bricks; they cannot be shared as persons would share a pie by dividing it into physical pieces. The communication which insures participation in a common understanding is one which secures similar emotional and intellectual dispositions—like ways of responding to expectations and requirements.

Persons do not become a society by living in physical proximity, any more than a man ceases to be socially influenced by being so many feet or miles removed from others. A book or a letter may

institute a more intimate association between human beings separated thousands of miles from each other than exists between dwellers under the same roof. Individuals do not even compose a social group because they all work for a common end. The parts of a machine work with a maximum of coöperativeness for a common result, but they do not form a community. If, however, they were all cognizant of the common end and all interested in it so that they regulated their specific activity in view of it, then they would Torm a community. But this would involve communication. Each would have to know what the other was about and would have to have some way of keeping the other informed as to his own pur-

We are thus compelled to recognize that within even the most social group there are many relations which are not as yet social. A social group there are many relations which are not as yet social. A social group there are many relationships in any social group are still large number of human relationships in any social group are still upon the machine-like plane. Individuals use one another so as to upon the machine-like plane. Individuals use one another so as to get desired results, without reference to the emotional and intellectual disposition and consent of those used. Such uses express lectual disposition and consent of position, skill, technical ability, physical superiority, or superiority of position, skill, technical ability, and command of tools, mechanical or fiscal. So far as the relations of parent and child, teacher and pupil, employer and employee, governor and governed, remain upon this level, they form no true governor and governed, remain upon this level, they form no true social group, no matter how closely their respective activities touch one another. Giving and taking of orders modifies action and results, but does not of itself effect a sharing of purposes, a communication

Not only is social life identical with communication, but all communication (and hence all genuine social life) is educative—
communication (and hence all genuine social life) is educative—
changed experience. One shares in what another has thought and changed experience. One shares in what another has thought and changed experience. One shares in what another has thought and changed experience who communicates left unaffected. Try the experiment of communicating, with fullness and accuracy, some experience to another, especially if it be somewhat complicated, and you ence to another, especially if it be somewhat complicated, and you will find your own attitude toward your experience changing; otherwise you resort to expletives and ejaculations. The experience has to be formulated in order to be communicated. To formulate requires getting outside of it, seeing it as another would see it, con-

sidering what points of contact it has with the life of another so that it may be got into such form that he can appreciate its meaning. Except in dealing with commonplaces and catch phrases one has to assimilate, imaginatively, something of another's experience in order to tell him intelligently of one's own experience. All communication is like art. It may fairly be said, therefore, that any social arrangement that remains vitally social, or vitally shared, is educative to those who participate in it. Only when it becomes cast in a mold and runs in a routine way does it lose its educative power.

In final account, then, not only does social life demand teaching and learning for its own permanence, but the very process of living together educates. It enlarges and enlightens experience; it stimulates and enriches imagination; it creates responsibility for accuracy and vividness of statement and thought. A man really living alone (alone mentally as well as physically) would have little or no occasion to reflect upon his past experience to extract its net meaning. The inequality of achievement between the mature and the immature not only necessitates teaching the young, but the necessity of this teaching gives an immense stimulus to reducing experience to that order and form which will render it most easily communicable and hence most usable.

marked difference between the education which every one gets from living with others, as long as he really lives instead of just continuing to subsist, and the deliberate educating of the young. In the former case the education is incidental; it is natural and important, but it is not the express reason of the association. While it may be said, without exaggeration, that the measure of the worth of any social institution, economic, domestic, political, legal, religious, is its effect in enlarging and improving experience; yet this effect is not a part of its original motive, which is limited and more immediately practical. Religious associations began, for example, in the desire to secure the favor of overruling powers and to ward off evil influences; family life in the desire to gratify appetites and secure family perpetuity; systematic labor, for the most part, because of enslavement to others, etc. Only gradually was the by-product of the institution, its effect upon the quality and extent of conscious life, noted, and only more gradually still was this effect considered as a directive factor in the

conduct of the institution. Even today, in our industrial life, apart from certain values of industriousness and thrift, the intellectual and emotional reaction of the forms of human association under which the world's work is carried on receives little attention as compared with physical output.

But in dealing with the young, the fact of association itself as an immediate human fact, gains in importance. While it is easy to an immediate human fact, gains in importance. While it is easy to an immediate human fact, gains in importance. While it is easy to an immediate human fact, gains in importance. While it is easy to an importance upon their disposition, or to subordinate that educative effect to some external and tangible result, it is not so easy as in dealing with adults. The need of training is too evident; the pressure to accomplish a change in their attitude and habits is too urgent to leave these consequences wholly out of account. Since our chief business with them is to enable them to share in a common life we cannot help considering whether or no we are forming the powers which will secure this ability. If humanity has made some headway in realizing that the ultimate value of every institution is its distinctively human effectultimate value of every institution is its distinctively human effectultimate that upon conscious experience—we may well believe that this lesson has been learned largely through dealings with the young.

tions for teaching save in connection with initiation ceremonies by social groups, we find very little formal teaching and training. Savof education-that of direct tuition or schooling. In undeveloped most part, they depend upon children learning the customs of the which the youth are inducted into full social membership. For the age groups mainly rely for instilling needed dispositions into the process which we have been so far considering, a more formal kind adults, acquiring their emotional set and stock of ideas, by sharing to their group. They have no special devices, material, or institue's out a place where nothing but learning was going on in order that in what the elders are doing. In part, this sharing is direct, taking young upon the same sort of association which keeps adults loyal what they are like. To savages it would seem preposterous to seek dren reproduce the actions of grown-ups and thus learn to know ship; in part, it is indirect, through the dramatic plays in which chilpart in the occupations of adults and thus serving an apprentice-We are thus led to distinguish, within the broad educational

But as civilization advances, the gap between the capacities of

the young and the concerns of adults widens. Learning by direct sharing in the pursuits of grown-ups becomes increasingly difficult except in the case of the less advanced occupations. Much of what adults do is so remote in space and in meaning that playful imitation is less and less adequate to reproduce its spirit. Ability to share effectively in adult activities thus depends upon a prior training given with this end in view. Intentional agencies—schools—and explicit material—studies—are devised. The task of teaching certain things is delegated to a special group of persons.

Without such formal education, it is not possible to transmit all the resources and achievements of a complex society. It also opens a way to a kind of experience which would not be accessible to the young, if they were left to pick up their training in informal association with others, since books and the symbols of knowledge are mastered.

But there are conspicuous dangers attendant upon the transition from indirect to formal education. Sharing in actual pursuit, whether directly or vicariously in play, is at least personal and vital. These qualities compensate, in some measure, for the narrowness of available opportunities. Formal instruction, on the contrary, easily becomes remote and dead—abstract and bookish, to use the ordinary words of depreciation. What accumulated knowledge exists in low grade societies is at least put into practice; it is transmuted into character; it exists with the depth of meaning that attaches to its coming within urgent daily interests.

But in an advanced culture much which has to be learned is stored in symbols. It is far from translation into familiar acts and objects. Such material is relatively technical and superficial. Taking the ordinary standard of reality as a measure, it is artificial. For this measure is connection with practical concerns. Such material exists in a world by itself, unassimilated to ordinary customs of thought and expression. There is the standing danger that the material of formal instruction will be merely the subject matter of the schools, isolated from the subject matter of life-experience. The permanent social interests are likely to be lost from view. Those which have not been carried over into the structure of social life, but which remain largely matters of technical information expressed in symbols, are made conspicuous in schools. Thus we reach the ordinary notion of education: the notion which ignores its social necessity and

its identity with all human association that affects conscious life, and which identifies it with imparting information about remote matters and the conveying of learning through verbal signs: the acquisition of literacy.

Hence one of the weightiest problems with which the philosophy of education has to cope is the method of keeping a proper balance between the informal and the formal, the incidental and the intentional, modes of education. When the acquiring of information and of technical intellectual skill do not influence the formation of a social disposition, ordinary vital experience fails to gain in meaning, while schooling, in so far, creates only "sharps" in learning—that is, egoistic specialists. To avoid a split between what men that is, egoistic specialists. To avoid a split between what men consciously know because they have absorbed it in the formation of their characters by intercourse with others, becomes an increasingly delicate task with every development of special schooling.

common possession. It modifies the disposition of both the parties tion are to physiological life, education is to social life. This educa-Since this continuance can be secured only by constant renewals, who partake in it. That the ulterior significance of every mode of Communication is a process of sharing experience till it becomes a tion consists primarily in transmission through communication. life is a self-renewing process. What nutrition and reproducan important part of the purpose of the association in connection arrangement is educative in effect, the educative effect first becomes nized in dealing with the immature. That is to say, while every social provement of the quality of experience is a fact most easily recoghuman association lies in the contribution which it makes to the imor intentional teaching and learning increases. As formal teaching come more complex in structure and resources, the need of formal with the association of the older with the younger. As societies beand training grow in extent, there is the danger of creating an ungreater than at the present time, on account of the rapid growth in ciations and what is acquired in school. This danger was never desirable split between the experience gained in more direct assothe last few centuries of knowledge and technical modes of skill. Summary. It is the very nature of life to strive to continue in being

organic tendencies with the present environment, just as much as sibilities of the imi out which result from the fact that this aim diverts attention of of these faculties through repeated exercise. This ready-made and the significance the notion of preparation. Some within appears to have subject matter as comparatively external and indifferent, its value to Froebel, the mystic symbolic value definite use of, something has to be found to represent it. According is already implicit. Since that which is not an end in itself, but simply a means of making explicit what Froebel and Hegel, it involves ignoring the interaction of present which has been set forth professed purpose. The notion that education is an unfolding from fruitfully directed for some future duty or privilege. Specific evil effects were pointed adaptability-qualities which depend upon the broad and consecuwhich they act. The outcome of the theory in practice was alleged powers from one another and from the materi general powers. Criticism was directed upon this separat residing simply in the fact that it may occasion exer ing, generalizing, attending, etc., and that education theory is that which conceives that mind has, at birth, certain menta experience in richness of meaning. Another influential but defective process of unfolding. According to Hegel, (largely mathematical) stand for the Absolute Whole which is in tive interaction of specific activities with one another modes of skill at the expense of initiative, inventiveness, and to be an undue emphasis upon the training of narrow specia faculties or powers, such as perceiving, remember institutions tends to divert perception from its effective actual representatives. Emphasis upon symbols and both teacher a ummary. The conception that the result of the educative process city for further education stands in contrast with some other ich have profoundly influenced practice. The first connception considered is that of preparing or getting ready ad taught from the only point to which it may be namely, taking advantage of the needs and posediate present. Consequently it defeats its own more likeness to the conception of growth But as worked out in the theories of implicit whole is regarded as given of growth is merely transitory; it s not explicit cannot be made of certain objects and acts existing institutions are the direct growth of ng, willing, judgis the training theory treats ise of the on of the nodn TOWN

Education as Conservative and Progressive

I. Education as Formation. We now come to a type of theory which denies the existence of faculties and emphasizes the unique rôle of subject matter in the development of mental and moral disposition. According to it, education is neither a process of unfolding from within nor is it a training of faculties resident in mind itself. It is rather the formation of mind by setting up certain associations or connections of content by means of a subject matter presented from without. Education proceeds by instruction taken in a strictly literal sense, a building into the mind from without. That education is formative of mind is not questioned; it is the conception already propounded. But formation here has a technical meaning dependent upon the idea of something operating from without.

Herbart is the best historical representative of this type of theory. He denies absolutely the existence of innate faculties. The mind is simply endowed with the power of producing various qualities in reaction to the various realities which act upon it. These qualita-

among the independent activities of presentations; pain of their another presentation, etc. Pleasure is the result of reënforcement tion above the threshold of consciousness by getting entangled with combine with new ones; memory is the evoking of an old presentations which result from the rise of old presentations to greet and entations. Perception, for example, is the complication of presentathese submerged presentations with one another and with new presments, associations, and complications, formed by the interaction of memory, thinking, perception, even the sentiments, are arrangesurface of consciousness. What are termed faculties-attention, entations, produced by the reaction of the soul to new material below the "threshold" of consciousness by new and stronger prestively different reactions are called presentations (Vorstellungen) pulling different ways, etc. but its activity continues by its own inherent momentum, below the Every presentation once called into being persists; it may be driven

X different qualities. The "furniture" of the mind is the mind. Mind S of the proper educational materials. (2) Since the earlier presenta- $_{f}$ out. The formation of mind is wholly a matter of the presentation which produce this or that arrangement among the reactions called is wholly a matter of "contents." The educational implications of various arrangements formed by the various presentations in their secondly, to arrange the sequence of subsequent presentations on tions constitute the "apperceiving organs" which control the assimiby the use of objects which evoke this or that kind of reaction and merged below consciousness, the first thing is the step of "preparamethod in teaching may be laid down. Presentation of new subject conception, in the ultimate goal. (3) Certain formal steps of all material in order to fix the nature of the original reactions, and, formed. The business of the educator is, first, to select the proper effect of new presentations is to reenforce groupings previously lation of new presentations, their character is all important. The this doctrine are threefold. (1) This or that kind of mind is formed matter is obviously the central thing, but since knowing consists in control is from behind, from the past, instead of, as in the unfolding the way in which this interacts with the contents already subthe basis of the store of ideas secured by prior transactions. The The concrete character of mind consists, then, wholly of the

tion,"—that is, calling into special activity and getting above the floor of consciousness those older presentations which are to assimilate the new one. Then after the presentation, follow the processes of interaction of new and old; then comes the application of the newly formed content to the performance of some task. Everything must go through this course; consequently there is a perfectly uniform method in instruction in all subjects for all pupils of all ages.

notion of ready-made faculties, which might be trained by exercise upon any sort of material, and made attention to concrete subject sequence of presenting new subject matter to insure its proper interstated problems of method from the standpoint of their connection a greater influence in bringing to the front questions connected with matter, to the content, all-important. Herbart undoubtedly has had mate ideals and speculative spiritual symbols. He abolished the content with vague and more or less mystic generalities about ultiing and discipline could be specified, instead of our having to be tion and subservience to tradition. Moreover, everything in teachaim and procedure, instead of being a compound of casual inspiraof conscious method; it became a conscious business with a definite the region of routine and accident. He brought it into the sphere the material of study than any other educational philosopher. He with subject matter: method having to do with the manner and action with old. Herbart's great service lay in taking the work of teaching out of

The fundamental theoretical defect of this view lies in ignoring the existence in a living being of active and specific functions which are developed in the redirection and combination which occur as they are occupied with their environment. The theory represents the Schoolmaster come to his own. This fact expresses at once its strength and its weakness. The conception that the mind consists of what has been taught, and that the importance of what has been taught consists in its availability for further teaching, reflects the pedagogue's view of life. The philosophy is eloquent about the duty of the teacher in instructing pupils; it is almost silent regarding his privilege of learning. It emphasizes the influence of intellectual environment upon the mind; it slurs over the fact that the environment involves a personal sharing in common experiences. It exaggerates beyond reason the possibilities of consciously formulated

and used methods, and underestimates the rôle of vital, unconscious, attitudes. It insists upon the old, the past, and passes lightly over the operation of the genuinely novel and unforeseeable. It takes, in brief, everything educational into account save its essence,—vital energy seeking opportunity for effective exercise. All education forms character, mental and moral, but formation consists in the selection and coördination of native activities so that they may utilize the subject matter of the social environment. Moreover, the formation is not only a formation of native activities, but it takes place through them. It is a process of reconstruction, reorganization.

growth from the simple embryo to maturity repeats the history of afford scientific foundation for cultural recapitulation of the past the evolution of animal life in the progress of forms from the simeducation. The alleged biological truth that the individual in his ment consists in repeating in orderly stages the past evolution of manity in the analogous stage. Then the child passes on to someliterary material of myths, folk-tale, and song-produced by humatter of their education at this time is the material-especially the such a life. Consequently (so it is concluded) the proper subject are vagrant and predatory because their ancestors at one time lived plest to the most complex (or expressed technically, that ontogenesis physiologically; the latter should be made to occur by means of lanimal life and human history. The former recapitulation occurs cal and cultural. The individual develops, but his proper developout has given rise to the recapitulation theory of education, biologicombination of the ideas of development and formation from withat the present epoch of culture. time when he is ready to take part in contemporary life, he arrives thing corresponding, say, to the pastoral stage, and so on till at the are in the mental and moral condition of savagery; their instincts parallels phylogenesis) does not concern us, save as it is supposed to Cultural recapitulation says, first, that children at a certain age 2. Education as Recapitulation and Retrospection. A peculiar

In this detailed and consistent form, the theory, outside of a small school in Germany (followers of Herbart for the most part), has had little currency. But the idea which underlies it is that education is essentially retrospective; that it looks primarily to the past and especially to the literary products of the past, and that mind is

1. 其人一人是不明然在軍軍軍不再犯教養學

adequately formed in the degree in which it is patterned upon the spiritual heritage of the past. This idea has had such immense influence upon higher instruction especially, that it is worth examination in its extreme formulation.

an outgrown past. The business of education is rather to liberate the cuts and alterations in the prior scheme of growth. And this suggests of past stages. If there were any strict "law" of repetition, evolugrowth of the human infant preserves, without doubt, some of the constituted by the presence and action of the habits of thinking and to a recapitulation of it. The social environment of the young is young from reviving and retraversing the past than to lead them it enables us to emancipate the young from the need of dwelling in The great advantage of immaturity, educationally speaking, is that that the aim of education is to facilitate such short-circuited growth. Development, in short, has taken place by the entrance of shortgeneration would simply have repeated its predecessors' existence. tionary development would clearly not have taken place. Each new traits of lower forms of life. But in no respect is it a strict traversing in conscious experience so that they become increasingly successful. education did not deliberately attempt to facilitate similar efforts cestral method a more direct method." Surely it would be foolish if from the necessity of recapitulating, and to substitute for the antermined, varied but more or less unsuccessful efforts to escape in different animals . . . offers to us . . . a series of ingenious, decational function. A biologist has said: "The history of development present environment upon the young is simply to abdicate the edufeeling of civilized men. To ignore the directive influence of this In the first place, its biological basis is fallacious. Embyronic

The two factors of truth in the conception may easily be disentangled from association with the false context which perverts them. On the biological side we have simply the fact that any infant starts with precisely the assortment of impulsive activities with which he does start, they being blind, and many of them conflicting with one another, casual, sporadic, and unadapted to their immediate environment. The other point is that it is a part of wisdom to utilize the products of past history so far-as-they-are of help for the future. Since they represent the results of prior experience, their value for future experience may, of course, be indefinitely great. Literatures

produced in the past are, so far as men are now in possession and use of them, a part of the *present* environment of individuals; but there is an enormous difference between availing ourselves of them as *present* resources and taking them as standards and patterns in their retrospective character.

such and such an equipment of native activities is a basic fact. That individual, and that they are so fixed that little serious change can ties which exist. And, except in the case of the imbecile, these this sense, heredity is a limit of education. Recognition of this fact regarding his inheritance of property. The fallacy of assuming that must take the being as he is; that a particular individual has just nor less than the original endowment of an individual. Education belittled. But for educational purposes heredity means neither more opposed to that of the environment, and the efficacy of the latter that past life has somehow predetermined the main traits of an misuse of the idea of heredity. It is assumed that heredity means and deficiencies of an individual is always a preliminary necessity, utilize. Consequently, while a careful study of the native aptitudes the case of the more stupid, than we as yet know properly how to original capacities are much more varied and potential, even in doctrine does not determine what use shall be made of the capaciof an individual which he is not naturally fitted to become. But the too prevalent habit of trying to make by instruction something out prevents the waste of energy and the irritation that ensue from the he cannot utilize what is not there; neither can the educator. In putting it at work under the most favorable conditions. Obviously The advisor is concerned with making the best use of what is therethe fact it is an inheritance, predetermines its future use, is obvious that they now exist. Suppose one had to advise or direct a person however it may be with the biologist, as compared with the fact from one's ancestry, is not especially important for the educator, they were produced in such and such a way, or that they are derived be introduced into them. Thus taken, the influence of heredity is which will adequately function whatever activities are present. the subsequent and important step is to furnish an environment (1) The distortion of the first point usually comes about through

The relation of heredity and environment is well expressed in the case of language. If a being had no vocal organs from which

> nection with the demands and opportunities of the present. any individual. It places the heritage from the past in its right conused only that minimum of gestures without which they could not unsocial environment where men refused to talk to one another and are carried into execution settles these things. If he lived in a dumb issue articulate sounds, if he had no auditory or other sense-receplustration may be applied to the entire range of the educability of which make like sounds will be selected and coördinated. This ilmedium of persons speaking the Chinese language, the activities get along, vocal language would be as unachieved by him as if he The environment in which his activities occur and by which they that he will ever talk any language or what language he will talk if he has this native equipment, its possession in no way guarantees short in that respect, and education must accept the limitation. But be a sheer waste of time to try to teach him to converse. He is born tors and no connections between the two sets of apparatus, it would had no vocal organs. If the sounds which he makes occur in a

criticized. To keep the process alive, to keep it alive in ways which divorce between the process and product of growth which has been more specifically in the particular literatures which were produced and solace; a refuge and an asylum. Men escape from the crudities rival of the present and the present a more or less futile imitation of vital connection of present and past, and tends to make the past a mains of the past the main material of education is that it cuts the its heritage is of great significance when it enters into the present, life of which they were the products. A knowledge of the past and less something produced by it. It is what life is in leaving the past The present is not just something which comes after the past; much tional subject matter. But an individual can live only in the present. make it easier to keep it alive in the future, is the function of educaof development of those taught) affords another instance of that in the culture epoch which is supposed to correspond with the stage found in the culture-products of past ages (either in general, or the past. Under such circumstances, culture becomes an ornament but not otherwise. And the mistake of making the records and rethe present, because the present is not due to the products, but to the behind it. The study of past products will not help us understand (2) The theory that the proper subject matter of instruction is

of the present to live in its imagined refinements, instead of using what the past offers as an agency for ripening these crudities.

search the past for suggestion, and which supplies meaning to it does not include what is characteristic in the present. The moving what we find when we search. The past is the past precisely because it adds a new dimension to life, but on condition that it be seen as its own movement. The past is a great resource for the imagination; present includes the past on condition that it uses the past to direct adequately sensitive to the needs and occasions of the present actuempty. But having turned its back upon the present, it has no way operation of growing, the only thing always present, naturally looks The principle which makes little of the present act of living and the past of the present, and not as another and disconnected world it will never have lost connection. of the present, and will never have to hunt for a way back because ality will have the liveliest of motives for interest in the background of returning to it laden with the spoils of the past. A mind that is to the past because the future goal which it sets up is remote and The present, in short, generates the problems which lead us to

3. Education as Reconstruction. In its contrast with the ideas both of unfolding of latent powers from within, and of the formation from without, whether by physical nature or by the cultural products of the past, the ideal of growth results in the conception that education is a constant reorganizing or reconstructing of experience. It has all the time an immediate end, and so far as activity is educative, it reaches that end—the direct transformation of the quality of experience. Infancy, youth, adult life,—all stand on the same educative level in the sense that what is really learned at any and every stage of experience constitutes the value of that experience, and in the sense that it is the chief business of life at every point to make living thus contribute to an enrichment of its own perceptible meaning.

We thus reach a technical definition of education: It is that reconstruction or reorganization of experience which adds to the meaning of experience, and which increases ability to direct the course of subsequent experience. (1) The increment of meaning corresponds to the increased perception of the connections and continu-

about; that is to say, what are its interactions with other activities acts by which a scientific man in his laboratory learns more about ing in connection with a certain act of vision (and vice-versa) ities of the activities in which we are engaged. The activity begins perature, may become an intrinsic part of its intellectual content. all that is known about combustion, oxidation, about light and temthe same thing. At the same stroke, the flame has gained in meaning; instead of just letting them happen-all synonymous ways of saying about" when he has to do with them; he can intend consequences things get more meaning; he knows better what he is doing or "is had been previously ignored. Thus his acts in relation to these perceptible certain connections of heat with other things, which means heat and pain; or, a certain light means a source of heat. The light gets burned. Henceforth he knows that a certain act of touch-To recur to our simple example, a child who reaches for a bright aware of some of the connections which had been imperceptible. An activity which brings education or instruction with it makes one in an impulsive form; that is, it is blind. It does not know what it is flame differ no whit in principle. By doing certain things, he makes

connecting the consequences of one's act (the evidences of its conperience, then, one in which instruction is conveyed and ability sequences and avert undesirable ones. A genuinely educative exfore, get ready or prepare in advance so as to secure beneficial conabout, or can intend certain consequences, is to say, of course, that of subsequent direction or control. To say that one knows what he is or carelessness or lawlessness. But there is a tendency to seek the nections with other things) with the act. It is customary to frown "does not care what happens"; one just lets himself go and avoids hand, and a capricious activity on the other. (a) In the latter one increased, is contradistinguished from a routine activity on one he can better anticipate what is going to happen; that he can, thereand due to maladjustment with surroundings. Individuals act capriciously whenever they act under external dictation, or from cause of such aimless activities in the youth's own disposition, isoupon such aimless random activity, treating it as willful mischief lated from everything else. But in fact such activity is explosive, (2) The other side of an educative experience is an added power

White way of acting becomes disastrone of acting the acting In so far, it might be said to have an educative effect. But it does performed we note results which we had not noted before. But not lead to new perceptions of bearings and connections; it limits capricious, and leads to capricious habits. (b) Routine action, acperceived or anticipated. But we learn only because after the act is not led to see the connection between the result-say the answertion of the connections of the act we consciously intend are not action, we do much which we do not mean, because the largest por thing which he does not understand; even in the most intelligent vaunted "skill" turns out gross ineptitude. tion which is automatic, may increase skill to do a particular thing thing is a trick and a kind of miracle. Such action is essentially and the method pursued. So far as they are concerned, the whole are to act of such a sort that even after pupils have acted, they are much work in school consists in setting up rules by which pupils being told, without having a purpose of their own or perceiving the bearing of the deed upon other acts. One may learn by doing somerather than widens the meaning-horizon. And since the environment

The essential contrast of the idea of education as continuous reconstruction with the other one-sided conceptions which have been criticized in this and the previous chapter is that it identifies the end (the result) and the process. This is verbally self-contradictory, but only verbally. It means that experience as an active process occupies time and that its later period completes its earlier portion; it brings to light connections involved, but hitherto unperceived. The later outcome thus reveals the meaning of the earlier, while the experience as a whole establishes a bent or disposition toward the things possessing this meaning. Every such continuous experience or activity is educative, and all education resides in having such experiences.

It remains only to point out (what will receive more ample attention later) that the reconstruction of experience may be social as well as personal. For purposes of simplification we have spoken in the earlier chapters somewhat as if the education of the imma-

education as a constructive agency of improving society, from realizsocial evils through starting the young on paths which shall not which education may be consciously used to eliminate obvious their own. Men have long had some intimation of the extent to so that instead of reproducing current habits, better habits shall be communities. They endeavor to shape the experiences of the young value, this conception applies in the main. But not in progressive which make the maintenance of established custom their measure of tudes and resources of the adult group. In static societies, societies they belong, were a sort of catching up of the child with the aptiture which fills them with the spirit of the social group to which But we are doubtless far from realizing the potential efficacy of may be made an instrument of realizing the better hopes of men. produce these ills, and some idea of the extent in which education formed, and thus the future adult society be an improvement on but also of the future society of which they will be the constituents: ing that it represents not only a development of children and youth

Summary. Education may be conceived either retrospectively or prospectively. That is to say, it may be treated as process of accommodating the future to the past, or as an utilization of the past for a resource in a developing future. The former finds its standards and patterns in what has gone before. The mind may be regarded as a group of contents resulting from having certain things presented. In this case, the earlier presentations constitute the material to which the later are to be assimilated. Emphasis upon the value of the early experiences of immature beings is most important, especially because of the tendency to regard them as of little account. But these experiences do not consist of externally presented material, but of interaction of native activities with the environment which progressively modifies both the activities and the environment. The defect of the Herbartian theory of formation through presentations consists in slighting this constant interaction and change.

The same principle of criticism applies to theories which find the primary subject matter of study in the cultural products—especially the literary products—of man's history. Isolated from their connection with the present environment in which individuals have to act, they become a kind of rival and distracting environment.

seven

Their value lies in their use to increase the meaning of the things with which we have actively to do at the present time. The idea of education advanced in these chapters is formally summed up in the idea of continuous reconstruction of experience, an idea which is marked off from education as preparation for a remote future, as unfolding, as external formation, and as recapitulation of the past.

The Democratic Conception in Education

For the most part, save incidentally, we have hitherto been concerned with education as it may exist in any social group. We have now to make explicit the differences in the spirit, material, and method of education as it operates in different types of community life. To say that education is a social function, securing direction and development in the immature through their participation in the life of the group to which they belong, is to say in effect that education will vary with the quality of life which prevails in a group. Particularly is it true that a society which not only changes but which has the ideal of such change as will improve it, will have different standards and methods of education from one which aims simply at the perpetuation of its own customs. To make the general ideas set forth applicable to our own educational practice, it is, therefore, necessary to come to closer quarters with the nature of present social life.

1. The Implications of Human Association. Society is one word,

but many things. Men associate together in all kinds of ways and for all kinds of purposes. One man is concerned in a multitude of diverse groups, in which his associates may be quite different. It often seems as if they had nothing in common except that they are modes of associated life. Within every larger social organization there are numerous minor groups: not only political subdivisions, but industrial, scientific, religious, associations. There are political parties with differing aims, social sets, cliques, gangs, corporations, partnerships, groups bound closely together by ties of blood, and so on in endless variety. In many modern states and in some ancient, there is great diversity of populations, of varying languages, religions, moral codes, and traditions. From this standpoint, many a minor political unit, one of our large cities, for example, is a congeries of loosely associated societies, rather than an inclusive and permeating community of action and thought. (See ante, p. 20.)

to public ends, mutuality of sympathy, are emphasized. But when we look at the facts which the term denotes instead of confining our this unity, praiseworthy community of purpose and welfare, loyalty meaning de jure and a meaning de facto. In social philosophy, the ceived as one by its very nature. The qualities which accompany former connotation is almost always uppermost. Society is conboth a eulogistic or normative sense; and a descriptive sense; a of society, the answer, in part, is that the conception of society is cieties because they do not meet the ideal requirements of the notion der, are included. If it is said that such organizations are not so serving it, political machines held together by the interest of plunconspiracy, business aggregations that prey upon the public while rality of societies, good and bad. Men banded together in a criminal attention to its intrinsic connotation, we find not unity, but a pluqualities of "Society" which hold it together. There is honor among to the interests of other groups, has something of the praiseworthy and in part, that each of these organizations, no matter how opposed cliques by intense loyalty to their own codes. Family life may be then made so "ideal" as to be of no use, having no reference to facts marked by exclusiveness, suspicion, and jealousy as to those with its members. Gangs are marked by fraternal feeling, and narrow thieves, and a band of robbers has a common interest as respects The terms society, community, are thus ambiguous. They have

out, and yet be a model of amity and mutual aid within. Any education given by a group tends to socialize its members, but the quality and value of the socialization depends upon the habits and the group

is communicable—and that the family is not an isolated whole, but Hence; once more, the need of a measure for the worth of any suggest improvement. Now in any social group whatever, even in a gang of thieves, we find some interest held in common- and we enters intimately into relationships with business groups, with actually exist, and employ them to criticize undesirable features and simply repeat the traits which are actually found. The problem is cieties which actually exist, in order to have any assurance that our regard as an ideal society. We must base our conception upon sotwo extremes. We cannot set up, out of our heads, something we given mode of social life. In seeking this measure, we have to avoid are varied and free points of contact with other modes of assomany interests consciously communicated and shared; and there schools, with all the agencies of culture, as well as with other simber has worth for the experience of other members-it is readily other groups with respect to give and take of the values of life. der; and that they are of such a nature as to isolate the group from are few in number, reducible almost to a common interest in plunwe find that the ties which consciously hold the members together ciation? If we apply these considerations to, say, a criminal band, shared? How full and free is the interplay with other forms of asso-How numerous and varied are the interests which are consciously with other groups. From these two traits we derive our standard. find a certain amount of interaction and cooperative intercourse to extract the desirable traits of forms of community life which ideal is a practicable one. But, as we have just seen, the ideal cannot the standard, we find that there are material, intellectual, æsthetic ilar groups, and that it plays a due part in the political organizainterests in which all participate and that the progress of one memtion and in return receives support from it. In short, there are If we take, on the other hand, the kind of family life which illustrates Hence, the education such a society gives is partial and distorted.

I. Let us apply the first element in this criterion to a despoti-

such an organization between governed and governors. The auworthy and degrading-that such a government calls into functionexcept sit on them. This cynical declaration is at least a recognition rand said that a government could do everything with bayonets ties of the subjects, must call some of their powers into play. Talleycally governed state. It is not true there is no common interest in are reduced to mere servants of attaining pleasure and avoiding appeal to fear is isolated. In evoking dread and hope of specific as is cowardice and abject submission. The real difficulty is that the foresee future events so as to avert what is harmful, these desirable factor in experience. Caution, circumspection, prudence, desire to true. But it overlooks the fact that fear need not be an undesirable ing activity simply capacity for fear. In a way, this statement is be said, however, that the activities appealed to are themselves unthat the bond of union is not merely one of coercive force. It may thorities in command must make some appeal to the native activito pervert them. Instead of operating on their own account they left untouched. Or rather, they are affected, but in such a way as tangible reward-say comfort and ease-many other capacities are traits are as much a product of calling the impulse of fear into play

edge overspecialized; their manners fastidious rather than humane a showy display and artificial; their wealth luxurious; their knowlslaves. And the experience of each party loses in meaning, when variety of shared undertakings and experiences. Otherwise, the tunity to receive and to take from others. There must be a large mon, all the members of the group must have an equable oppormembers of the social group. Stimulation and response are exceedcommon interests; there is no free play back and forth among the to be sterile, to be turned back to feed on itself; their art becomes material and less perceptible, but equally real. Their culture tends endosmosis. The evils thereby affecting the superior class are less A separation into a privileged and a subject-class prevents social the free interchange of varying modes of life-experience is arrested influences which educate some into masters, educate others into ingly one-sided. In order to have a large number of values in com-This is equivalent to saying that there is no extensive number of Lack of the free and equitable intercourse which springs from

> aimless, and explosive on the part of the class having the materially quate interplay of experiences—the more action tends to become routine on the part of the class at a disadvantage, and capricious, challenge to thought. The more activity is restricted to a few defia variety of shared interests makes intellectual stimulation unbalobtains even where there is no slavery in the legal sense. It is found another the purposes which control his conduct. This condition anced. Diversity of stimulation means novelty, and novelty means routine unless workers see the technical, intellectual, and social often demands division of labor. But it is reduced to a mechanical is a narrow view which restricts the science which secures efficiency wherever men are engaged in activity which is socially serviceable, fortunate position. Plato defined a slave as one who accepts from > nite lines—as it is when there are rigid class lines preventing adedustry-those who supply its aims. Because of their lack of all-round one-sided stimulation of thought given to those in control of intilic management to purely technical externals is evidence of the tendency to reduce such things as efficiency of activity and scienrelationships involved in what they do, and engage in their work intelligent interest in what he is doing. Efficiency in production including his relations to others who take part-which will enlist his of operation to movements of the muscles. The chief opportunity interest in, Much is said about scientific management of work. It but whose service they do not understand and have no personal none the less an absence of mind, and a corresponding distortion of Intelligence is narrowed to the factors concerned with technical for attention to the human factors and relationships in industry. and well-balanced social interest, there is not sufficient stimulus for science is the discovery of the relations of a man to his workemotional life. the failure to take into account the significant social factors means intense intelligence in these narrow lines can be developed, but production and marketing of goods. No doubt, a very acute and because of the motivation furnished by such perceptions. The

II. This illustration (whose point is to be extended to all associations lacking reciprocity of interest) brings us to our second point. The isolation and exclusiveness of a gang or clique brings its antisocial spirit into relief. But this same spirit is found wherever

interaction with other groups, so that its prevailing purpose is the aliens and enemies as synonymous is not accidental. It springs from Istatic and selfish ideals within the group. That savage tribes regard tion makes for rigidity and formal institutionalizing of life, for and poor; learned and unlearned. The essential point is that isolafrom the interest of home and community; the divisions of rich if they had no connection with a larger life; schools when separated one another; families which seclude their domestic concerns as through wider relationships. It marks nations in their isolation from protection of what it has got, instead of reorganization and progress one group has interests "of its own" which shut it out from full nore it—the sphere of social contacts. applies even more significantly to the field where we are apt to igrange of contact with the physical environment. But the principle that an alert and expanding mental life depends upon an enlarging tom. It would certainly occasion reconstruction., It is a commonplace fear intercourse with others, for such contact might dissolve cusence to their past customs. On such a basis it is wholly logical to the fact that they have identified their experience with rigid adher-

Every expansive era in the history of mankind has coincided with the operation of factors which have tended to eliminate distance between peoples and classes previously hemmed off from one another. Even the alleged benefits of war, so far as more than alleged, spring from the fact that conflict of peoples at least enforces intercourse between them and thus accidentally enables them to learn from one another, and thereby to expand their horizons. Travel, economic and commercial tendencies, have at present gone far to break down external barriers; to bring peoples and classes into closer and more perceptible connection with one another. It remains for the most part to secure the intellectual and emotional significance of this physical annihilation of space.

2. The Democratic Ideal. The two elements in our criterion both point to democracy. The first signifies not only more numerous and more varied points of shared common interest, but greater reliance upon the recognition of mutual interests as a factor in social control. The second means not only freer interaction between social groups (once isolated so far as intention could keep up a separation) but change in social habit—its continuous readjustment through

meeting the new situations produced by varied intercourse. And these two traits are precisely what characterize the democratically constituted society.

suffrage cannot be successful unless those who elect and who obey munities have cause to be in deliberate and systematic education makes a democratic community more interested than other comand where progress, or readjustment, is an important consideration, of the number of individuals who participate in an interest so that ing, of conjoint communicated experience. The extension in space education. But there is a deeper explanation. A democracy is more voluntary_disposition and interest; these can be created only by superficial explanation is that a government resting upon popular a form of social life in which interests are mutually interpenetrating, contact denote a greater diversity of stimuli to which an individual their activity. These more numerous and more varied points of each has to refer his own action to that of others, and to consider than a form of government; it is primarily a mode of associated livthe principle of external authority, it must find a substitute in their governors are educated. Since a democratic society repudiates The devotion of democracy to education is a familiar fact. The be in a group which in its exclusiveness shuts out many interests. tional territory which kept men from perceiving the full import of lent to the breaking down of those barriers of class, race, and nathe action of others to give point and direction to his own, is equivahas to respond; they consequently put a premium on variation in pressed as long as the incitations to action are partial, as they must his action. They secure a liberation of powers which remain sup-Upon the educational side, we note first that the realization of

The widening of the area of shared concerns, and the liberation of a greater diversity of personal capacities which characterize a democracy, are not of course the product of deliberation and conscious effort. On the contrary, they were caused by the devélopment of modes of manufacture and commerce, travel, migration, and intercommunication which flowed from the command of science over natural energy. But after greater individualization on one hand, and a broader community of interest on the other have come into existence, it is a matter of deliberate effort to sustain and extend them. Obviously a society to which stratification into separate classes

they are caught and whose significance or commercial which χ, to it that its members are educated to personal initiative and adapta
γ, bility. Otherwise, they will be ανεστυλοίτου 1 perceive. The result will be a confusion in which a few will appropriate to themselves the results of the Plind Vinto classes need be specially attentive only to the education of its ruling elements. A society which is mobile which is mob propriate to themselves the results of the blind and externally divide rected activities of others. accessible to all on sounds.

could better express than did he the fact that a society is stably orconspicuous. The first one to be considered is that of Plato. No one cratic ideas in education. In the remaining portions of this chapter, will be devoted to making explicit the implications of the demoin three epochs when the social import of education was especially we shall consider the educational theories which have been evolved ganized when each individual is doing that for which he has aptithem for social use. Much which has been said so far is borrowed of education to discover these aptitudes and progressively to train tribute to the whole to which he belongs); and that it is the business tude by nature in such a way as to be useful to others (or to connumber of classes of capacities and of social arrangements. and a social group, and consequently limited his view to a limited definite plurality of activities which may characterize an individual ideas in their application. He never got any conception of the inwhich he could not intellectually control led him to restrict these from what Plato first consciously taught the world. But conditions 3, The Platonic Educational Philosophy. Subsequent chapters

rationally deciding what the possibilities are which should be proknow its end, we shall be at the mercy of accident and caprice. ultimately upon knowledge of the end of existence. If we do not ties-what he called justice-as a trait of both individual and social organization. But how is the knowledge of the final and permanent have no conception of the proper limits and distribution of activimoted, nor how social arrangements are to be ordered. We shall Unless we know the end, the good, we shall have no criterion for Plato's starting point is that the organization of society depends

> good to be achieved? In dealing with this question we come upon, the seemingly insuperable obstacle that such knowledge is not a mind whose seeming unity is forced and distorted. Education tional or proportionate claims, inevitably leads thought astray. It the supremacy of some factor over another irrespective of its racomplete whole is fully self-consistent. A society which rests upon of different models and standards. Under such conditions it is imperspectives. A disorganized and factional society sets up a number else the mind is distracted and misled by false valuations and false possible save in a just and harmonious social order. Everywhere Z will be able to recognize the end, and ordering principle of things. customs, and laws. Only in a just state will these be such as to give proceeds ultimately from the patterns furnished by institutions, puts a premium on certain things and slurs over others, and creates possible for the individual to attain consistency of mind. Only a could be given which would sift individuals, discovering what they the right education; and only those who have rightly trained minds and never transgressing, the order and unity of the whole would be true existence. If a powerful ruler should form a state after these truth-may by study learn at least in outline the proper patterns of gested a way out. A few men, philosophers or lovers of wisdom-or work in life for which his nature fits him. Each doing his own part, were good for, and supplying a method of assigning each to the patterns, then its regulations could be preserved. An education We seem to be caught in a hopeless circle. However, Plato sug-

significance of social arrangements and, on the other, of the dethought a more adequate recognition on one hand of the educational the problem whose terms he clearly saw. ties of others. Yet the society in which the theory was propounded ties, and training them so that they would connect with the activifunction of education in discovering and developing personal capacithe young. It would be impossible to find a deeper sense of the pendence of those arrangements upon the means used to educate was so undemocratic that Plato could not work out a solution for It would be impossible to find in any scheme of philosophic

in society should not be determined by birth or wealth or any con-While he affirmed with emphasis that the place of the individual

ventional status, but by his own nature as discovered in the process of education, he had no perception of the uniqueness of individuals. For him they fall by nature into classes, and into a very small number of classes at that. Consequently the testing and sifting function of education only shows to which one of three classes an individual belongs. There being no recognition that each individual constitutes his own class, there could be no recognition of the infinite diversity of active tendencies and combinations of tendencies of which an individual is capable. There were only three types of faculties or powers in the individual's constitution. Hence education would soon reach a static limit in each class, for only diversity makes change

and progress above appetites, they have a generous, outgoing, assertively couraplies human wants. Others reveal, upon education, that over and signed to the laboring and trading class, which expresses and supeducation, and become in time the legislators of the state-for laws versal. Those who possess this are capable of the highest kind of fixed by their lack of reason, which is a capacity to grasp the unidefenders in war; its internal guardians in peace. But their limit is geous disposition. They become the citizen-subjects of the state; its are the universals which control the particulars of experience. Thus consequently not recognizing that a society might change and yet ness of every individual, his incommensurability with others, and social whole. But it is true that lacking the perception of the uniqueit is not true that in intent, Plato subordinated the individual to the effect to the idea of the subordination of individuality. be stable, his doctrine of limited powers and classes came in net In some individuals, appetites naturally dominate; they are as-

We cannot better Plato's conviction that an individual is happy and society well organized when each individual engages in those activities for which he has a natural equipment, nor his conviction that it is the primary office of education to discover this equipment to its possessor and train him for its effective use. But progress in knowledge has made us aware of the superficiality of Plato's lumping of individuals and their original powers into a few sharply marked-off classes; it has taught us that original capacities are indefinitely numerous and variable. It is but the other side of this fact to say that in the degree in which society has become demo-

able qualities of individuals, not stratification by classes. Although happy accident by which philosophic wisdom should happen to coincide with possession of ruling power in the state. and after that education would be devoted simply to its conservaeducation could not come into existence until an ideal state existed, should then improve education, and so on indefinitely. Correct with this end in view, not even minor details are to be altered. aim was to construct a state in which change would subsequently while he would radically change the existing state of society, his evidence of lawless flux; that true reality was unchangeable. Hence in bondage to static ideals. He thought that change or alteration was his educational philosophy was revolutionary, it was none the less cratic, social organization means utilization of the specific and varimade apparent in the fact that he could not trust to gradual imbe dissolving and anarchic. The breakdown of his philosophy is they would inure the minds of men to the idea of change, and hence Though they might not be inherently important, yet if permitted have no place. The final end of life is fixed; given a state framed tion. For the existence of this state he was obliged to trust to some provements in education to bring about a better society which

4. The "Individualistic" Ideal of the Eighteenth Century. In the eighteenth-century philosophy we find ourselves in a very different circle of ideas. "Nature" still means something antithetical to existing social organization; Plato exercised a great influence upon Rousseau. But the voice of nature now speaks for the diversity of individual talent and for the need of free development of individuality in all its variety. Education in accord with nature furnishes the goal and the method of instruction and discipline. Moreover, the native or original endowment was conceived, in extreme cases, as nonsocial or even as antisocial. Social arrangements were thought of as mere external expedients by which these nonsocial individuals might secure a greater amount of private happiness for themselves.

Nevertheless, these statements convey only an inadequate idea of the true significance of the movement. In reality its chief interest was in progress and in social progress. The seeming antisocial philosophy was a somewhat transparent mask for an impetus toward a wider and freer society—toward cosmopolitanism. The positive ideal was humanity. In membership in humanity, as distinct from a

Istate, man's capacities would be liberated; while in existing political corganizations his powers were hampered and distorted to meet the requirements and selfish interests of the rulers of the state. The doctrine of extreme individualism was but the counterpart, the obverse, of ideals of the indefinite perfectibility of man and of a social organization having a scope as wide as humanity. The emancipated individual was to become the organ and agent of a comprehensive and progressive society.

which operated to the exclusive advantage of the class to whom a sioned devotion to emancipation of life from external restrictions Such limitation was both distorting and corrupting. Their impasin a worship of nature. To give "nature" full swing was to replace an these evils to the limitations imposed upon the free powers of man science. Inquiry freed from prejudice and artificial restraints of and a working power was strengthened by the advances of natural kingdom of humanity. Unrestrained faith in Nature as both a model artificial, corrupt, and inequitable social order by a new and better the social estate in which they found themselves. They attributed relations, if men would only get rid of the artificial man-imposed every other. Natural law would accomplish the same result in human was a scene of wonderful harmony, where every force balanced with Newtonian solar system, which expressed the reign of natural law, church and state had revealed that the world is a scene of law. The past feudal system consigned power, found intellectual formulation coercive restrictions. The heralds of this gospel were acutely conscious of the evils of

Education in accord with nature was thought to be the first step in insuring this more social society. It was plainly seen that economic and political limitations were ultimately dependent upon limitations of thought and feeling. The first step in freeing men from external chains was to emancipate them from the internal chains of false beliefs and ideals. What was called social life, existing institutions, were too false and corrupt to be intrusted with this work. How could it be expected to undertake it when the undertaking meant its own destruction? "Nature" must then be the power to which the enterprise was to be left. Even the extreme sensationalistic theory of knowledge which was current derived itself from this conception. To insist that mind is originally passive and empty was

one way of glorifying the possibilities of education. If the mind was a wax tablet to be written upon by objects, there were no limits to the possibility of education by means of the natural environment. And since the natural world of objects is a scene of harmonious "truth," this education would infallibly produce minds filled with the truth.

dependent upon the activities of existing states. The movement for the new education destined to produce a new society was, after all, tional ideal required the support of the state. The realization of even Pestalozzi saw that any effective pursuit of the new educaclined persons having wealth and power to follow his example. But could proclaim the gospel; they could not execute the work. A organization for its realization. Private individuals here and there agency for carrying on the process of instruction. The "complete and method required but also some positive organ, some administrative was to trust to the accidents of circumstance. Not only was some constructive side became obvious. Merely to leave everything to conducted and administered schools. the democratic idea inevitably became a movement for publicly Pestalozzi could try experiments and exhort philanthropically inpart an enlightened and progressive humanity, required definite harmonious development of all powers," having as its social counternature was, after all, but to negate the very idea of education; it thusiasm for freedom waned, the weakness of the theory upon the 5. Education as National and as Social. As soon as the first en-

So far as Europe was concerned, the historic situation identified the movement for a state-supported education with the nationalistic movement in political life—a fact of incalculable significance for subsequent movements. Under the influence of German thought in particular, education became a civic function and the civic function was identified with the realization of the ideal of the national state. The "state" was substituted for humanity; cosmopolitanism gave way to nationalism. To form the citizen, not the "man," became the aim of education.¹ The historic situation to which reference is made is

A There is a much neglected strain in Rousseau tending intellectually in this direction. He opposed the existing state of affairs on the ground that it formed nathher the citizen nor the man. Under existing conditions, he preferred to try for the latter rather than for the former. But there are many sayings of his which

many. The German states felt (and subsequent events demonstrate the correctness of the belief) that systematic attention to education was the best means of recovering and maintaining their political integrity and power. Externally they were weak and divided. Under the leadership of Prussian statesmen they made this condition a stimulus to the development of an extensive and thoroughly grounded system of public education.

the form of the conception of the "organic" character of the state. theory not to emphasize the aim of social efficiency. And with the x velopment of personality persisted, educational philosophy atwas taken to be one of disciplinary training rather than of personal immense importance attached to the nationalistic state, surrounded development. Since, however, the ideal of culture as complete deindustrial, and political defense and expansion, it was impossible for school system, from the elementary grades through the university theory. The individualistic theory receded into the background. state official and administrator and furnished the means for military, tion but also its goal. When the actual practice was such that the tempted a reconciliation of the two ideas. The reconciliation took understood to imply a like subordination. The educational process gles for international supremacy in commerce, social efficiency was superior interests of the state both in military defense and in strugtional sovereignty required subordination of individuals to the politan humanitarianism. Since the maintenance of a particular naimpossible to interpret social efficiency in terms of a vague cosmoby other competing and more or less hostile states, it was equally faculties, supplied the patriotic citizen and soldier and the future The state furnished not only the instrumentalities of public educahe attain true personality. What appears to be his subordination to absorption of the aims and meaning of organized institutions does commands of his superiors is in reality but making his own the The individual in his isolation is nothing; only in and through an political authority and the demand for sacrifice of himself to the This change in practice necessarily brought about a change in

point to the formation of the citizen as ideally the higher, and which indicate that his own endeavor, as embodied in the *Émile*, was simply the best makeshift the corruption of the times permitted him to sketch.

objective reason manifested in the state, the only way in which he can become truly rational. The notion of development which we have seen to be characteristic of institutional idealism (as in the Hegelian philosophy) was just such a deliberate effort to combine the two ideas of complete realization of personality and thoroughgoing "disciplinary" subordination to existing institutions.

their subjects as instruments of their own purposes. ents educate their children so that they may get on; princes educate tion of the best possible realization of humanity as humanity. Parinstead of with a view to the proper end of education: the promoclined to educate its young so as to get along in the present world simply the germs which education is to develop and perfect. The the existing state of affairs but so as to make possible a future better upon men consciously striving to educate their successors not for educational activities of slow generations. Its acceleration depends rational, and free being. This creative effort is carried on by the humanity. But there is the great difficulty. Each generation is inpeculiarity of truly human life is that man has to create himself by K while nature furnishes only instinct and appetite. Nature offers history submerged in nature—not as Man who is a creature of reason, mopolitan ideal. In his treatise on Pedagogics, consisting of lectures gathered from Kant, who well expresses the earlier individual-cosstruggle against Napoleon for national independence, may be his own voluntary efforts; he has to make himself a truly moral, tion as the process by which man becomes man. Mankind begins its given in the later years of the eighteenth century, he defines educawhich occurred in Germany in the generation occupied by the The extent of the transformation of educational philosophy

Who, then, shall conduct education so that humanity may improve? We must depend upon the efforts of enlightened men in their private capacity. "All culture begins with private men and spreads outward from them. Simply through the efforts of persons of enlarged inclinations, who are capable of grasping the ideal of a future better condition, is the gradual approximation of human nature to its end possible. . . . Rulers are simply interested in such training as will make their subjects better tools for their own intentions." Even the subsidy by rulers of privately conducted schools must be carefully safeguarded. For the rulers' interest in the wel-

regeneration of Germany is to be accomplished by an education of these ideas. But in less than two decades after this time, Kant's state-conducted and state-regulated education upon the attainment In addition we have an explicit fear of the hampering influence of a with the aims of humanity as a whole and with the idea of progress. characteristic of the eighteenth century individualistic cosmopolitheir plans. We have in this view an express statement of the points will make them, if they give money for the schools, wish to draw vision all private educational enterprises. system of education extending from the primary school through and to circumstances unless he submits voluntarily to the educative is of necessity an egoistic, irrational being, enslaved to his appetites carried on in the interests of the state, and that the private individual the chief function of the state is educational; that in particular the philosophic successors, Fichte and Hegel, elaborated the idea that tanism. The full development of private personality is identified fare of their own nation instead of in what is best for humanity, the university, and to submit to jealous state regulation and superthe first country to undertake a public, universal, and compulsory discipline of state institutions and laws. In this spirit, Germany was

tions of education are quite meaningless taken at large, or apart century educational philosophy was highly individualistic in form, in stratified classes, losing the individual in the class. The eighteenth equate individual realization and social coherency and stability. from their context. Plato had the ideal of an education which should The first is that such terms as the individual and the social concepequate the ideals of a free and complete development of cultured of Germany in the early nineteenth century endeavored again to the indefinite perfectibility of mankind. The idealistic philosophy that of a society organized to include humanity, and providing for but this form was inspired by a noble and generous social ideal: His situation forced his ideal into the notion of a society organized personality on one side and of humanity on the other. Consequently the national state an intermediary between the realization of private personality with social discipline and political subordination. It made truth either in the classic terms of "harmonious development of all it is equally possible to state its animating principle with equal Two results should stand out from this brief historical survey

the powers of personality" or in the more recent terminology of "social efficiency." All this reënforces the statement which opens this chapter: The conception of education as a social process and function has no definite meaning until we define the kind of society we have in mind.

These considerations pave the way for our second conclusion. One of the fundamental problems of education in and for a democratic society is set by the conflict of a nationalistic and a wider social aim. The earlier cosmopolitan and "humanitarian" conception suffered both from vagueness and from lack of definite organs of execution and agencies of administration. In Europe, in the Continental states particularly, the new idea of the importance of education for human welfare and progress was captured by national interests and harnessed to do a work whose social aim was definitely narrow and exclusive. The social aim of education and its national aim were identified, and the result was a marked obscuring of the meaning of a social aim.

sively its own. To question this is to question the very idea of nasumed as matter of course that each has interests which are exclumethod. They involve interdependencies and cooperation among national boundaries. They are largely international in quality and cation than has yet been attained. and political science. This contradiction (for it is nothing less) betional sovereignty which is assumed to be basic to political practice supposed to be the supreme judge of its own interests, and it is astics as if is at the present time. Each nation lives in a state of supidea of national sovereignty has never been as accentuated in polithe peoples inhabiting different countries. At the same time, the intercourse. On the one hand, science, commerce, and art transcend conception of the meaning of "social" as a function and test of eduhostile pursuits and purposes, exacts of educational theory a clearer tween the wider sphere of associated and mutually helpful social pressed hostility and incipient war with its neighbors. Each is life and the narrower sphere of exclusive and hence potentially This confusion corresponds to the existing situation of human

Is it possible for an educational system to be conducted by a national state and yet the full social ends of the educative process not be restricted, constrained, and corrupted? Internally, the questions is the possible for an educational system to be conducted by a national state and yet the full social ends of the educative process.

, All our public system of education. demands not only adequate administrative provision of school fatools for the higher culture of others. Externally, the question is which split society into classes some of which are made merely under educational influences until they are equipped to be masters of their own economic and social careers. The ideal may seem retraditional ideals of culture, traditional subjects of study and tradicilities, and such supplementation of family resources as will enable of equipment for their future careers. Accomplishment of this end nomic inequalities, and secure to all the wards of the nation equality of the problem can be worked out by merely negative means. It is ends, irrespective of national political boundaries. Neither phase with superior devotion to the things which unite men in common concerned with the reconciliation of national loyalty, of patriotism, cal yet tragic delusion except as the ideal more and more dominates mote of execution, but the democratic ideal of education is a farcitional methods of teaching and discipline as will retain all the youth youth to take advantage of them, but also such modification of as will in fact and not simply in name discount the effects of eco-School facilities must be secured of such amplitude and efficiency instrument to make easier the exploitation of one class by another. not enough to see to it that education is not actively used as an tion has to face the tendencies, due to present economic conditions,

tions which concern the relations of one nation to another. It is not enough to teach the horrors of war and to avoid everything which would stimulate international jealousy and animosity. The emphasis must be put upon whatever binds people together in coöperative human pursuits and results, apart from geographical limitations. The secondary and provisional character of national sovereignty in respect to the fuller, freer, and more fruitful association and intercourse of all human beings with one another must be instilled as a working disposition of mind. If these applications seem to be remote from a consideration of the philosophy of education, the impression shows that the meaning of the idea of education previously developed has not been adequately grasped. This conclusion is bound up with the very idea of education as a freeing of individual capacity in a progressive growth directed to social aims. Otherwise

a democratic criterion of education can only be inconsistently applied.

Summary. Since education is a social process, and there are many kinds of societies, a criterion for educational criticism and construction implies a particular social ideal. The two points selected by which to measure the worth of a form of social life are the extent in which the interests of a group are shared by all its members, and the fullness and freedom with which it interacts with other groups. An undesirable society, in other words, is one which internally and externally sets up barriers to free intercourse and communication of experience. A society which makes provision for participation in its good of all its members on equal terms and which secures flexible readjustment of its institutions through interaction of the different forms of associated life is in so far democratic. Such a society must have a type of education which gives individuals a personal interest in social relationships and control, and the habits of mind which secure social changes without introducing disorder.

Three typical historic philosophies of education were considered from this point of view. The Platonic was found to have an ideal formally quite similar to that stated, but which was compromised in its working out by making a class rather than an individual the social unit. The so-called individualism of the eighteenth-century enlightenment was found to involve the notion of a society as broad as humanity, of whose progress the individual was to be the organ. But it lacked any agency for securing the development of its ideal as was evidenced in its falling back upon Nature. The institutional idealistic philosophies of the nineteenth century supplied this lack by making the national state the agency, but in so doing narrowed the conception of the social aim to those who were members of the same political unit, and reintroduced the idea of the subordination of the individual to the institution.