

Discrete Optimization Lecture-9

Ngày 22 tháng 10 năm 2011

Hall's Theorem

First we have an equivalent formulation of Hall's theorem:

Theorem

Let G be a bipartite graph with partitions X, Y . Let $N(x) = \{y \mid (x, y) \in E(G)\}$. There is a matching M that saturates X if and only if $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$.

Hall's Theorem

First we have an equivalent formulation of Hall's theorem:

Theorem

Let G be a bipartite graph with partitions X, Y . Let $N(x) = \{y \mid (x, y) \in E(G)\}$. There is a matching M that saturates X if and only if $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$.

Corollary

An immediate corollary is yet another proof that a k -regular bipartite graph has a perfect matching.

Hall's Theorem

First we have an equivalent formulation of Hall's theorem:

Theorem

Let G be a bipartite graph with partitions X, Y . Let $N(x) = \{y \mid (x, y) \in E(G)\}$. There is a matching M that saturates X if and only if $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$.

Corollary

An immediate corollary is yet another proof that a k -regular bipartite graph has a perfect matching.

Hall's Theorem

First we have an equivalent formulation of Hall's theorem:

Theorem

Let G be a bipartite graph with partitions X, Y . Let $N(x) = \{y \mid (x, y) \in E(G)\}$. There is a matching M that saturates X if and only if $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$.

Corollary

An immediate corollary is yet another proof that a k -regular bipartite graph has a perfect matching.

Let us re-visit the previous example.

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

1 $\rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ 3 $\rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

① $1 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ $3 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$

② $4 \rightarrow \{1, 4, 8\}$ $2 \rightarrow \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

- ① $1 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ $3 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$
- ② $4 \rightarrow \{1, 4, 8\}$ $2 \rightarrow \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$
- ③ $6 \rightarrow \{2, 4, 6\}$ $5 \rightarrow \{1, 5, 2\}$

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| 1 | $1 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ | 3 | $\rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$ |
| 2 | $4 \rightarrow \{1, 4, 8\}$ | 2 | $\rightarrow \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$ |
| 3 | $6 \rightarrow \{2, 4, 6\}$ | 5 | $\rightarrow \{1, 5, 2\}$ |
| 4 | $7 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 7\}$ | ?? | $\rightarrow \{1, 4, 5, 6\}$ |

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| 1 | $1 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ | 3 | $\rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$ |
| 2 | $4 \rightarrow \{1, 4, 8\}$ | 2 | $\rightarrow \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$ |
| 3 | $6 \rightarrow \{2, 4, 6\}$ | 5 | $\rightarrow \{1, 5, 2\}$ |
| 4 | $7 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 7\}$ | ?? | $\rightarrow \{1, 4, 5, 6\}$ |

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

- | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|----|------------------------------|
| 1 | $1 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ | 3 | $\rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$ |
| 2 | $4 \rightarrow \{1, 4, 8\}$ | 2 | $\rightarrow \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$ |
| 3 | $6 \rightarrow \{2, 4, 6\}$ | 5 | $\rightarrow \{1, 5, 2\}$ |
| 4 | $7 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 7\}$ | ?? | $\rightarrow \{1, 4, 5, 6\}$ |

This time we do have enough candidates, yet we failed to find an SDR.

Let us introduce a slight change:

$\{1, 3, 2, 5\}$, $\{1, 3, 4\}$, $\{1, 4, 8\}$, $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$, $\{2, 4, 6\}$, $\{1, 5, 2, \}$
 $\{1, 3, 7\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$?

Let us try a simple greedy selection:

- ① $1 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\}$ $3 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 4\}$
- ② $4 \rightarrow \{1, 4, 8\}$ $2 \rightarrow \{2, 3, 5, 6\}$
- ③ $6 \rightarrow \{2, 4, 6\}$ $5 \rightarrow \{1, 5, 2\}$
- ④ $7 \rightarrow \{1, 3, 7\}$ $?? \rightarrow \{1, 4, 5, 6\}$

This time we do have enough candidates, yet we failed to find an SDR.

But we can find an augmenting path!

$\{1, 4, 5, 6\} \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\} \leftarrow 3 \leftarrow \{1, 3, 4\} \leftarrow 4 \leftarrow \{1, 4, 8\} \leftarrow 8$

is an augmenting path! So here is the SDR:

$\{1, 4, 5, 6\} \leftarrow 1 \leftarrow \{1, 3, 2, 5\} \leftarrow 3 \leftarrow \{1, 3, 4\} \leftarrow 4 \leftarrow \{1, 4, 8\} \leftarrow 8$

Proof of hall's theorem

This example is the essence of Hall's theorem proof.

Proof of Hall's theorem

This example is the essence of Hall's theorem proof.

Chứng minh.

If $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$ and M is a matching that does not saturate X then we can always find an M -augmenting path:

Proof of Hall's theorem

This example is the essence of Hall's theorem proof.

Chứng minh.

If $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$ and M is a matching that does not saturate X then we can always find an M -augmenting path:

Proof of Hall's theorem

This example is the essence of Hall's theorem proof.

Chứng minh.

If $\forall A \subset X, |\bigcup_{x \in A} N(x)| \geq |A|$ and M is a matching that does not saturate X then we can always find an M -augmenting path:

Watch the blackboard!



More matching theory and applications

Definition

A permutation matrix is a $0 - 1$ matrix in which every row and every column has sum 1.

More matching theory and applications

Definition

A permutation matrix is a 0 – 1 matrix in which every row and every column has sum 1.

Theorem

Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be a matrix with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Then $A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m$ where P_i are permutation matrices.

More matching theory and applications

Definition

A permutation matrix is a $0 - 1$ matrix in which every row and every column has sum 1.

Theorem

Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be a matrix with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Then $A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m$ where P_i are permutation matrices.

Definition

More matching theory and applications

Definition

A permutation matrix is a 0 – 1 matrix in which every row and every column has sum 1.

Theorem

Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be a matrix with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Then $A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m$ where P_i are permutation matrices.

Definition

- 1 An $n \times n$ real, non-negative matrix is called **doubly stochastic** if the sum of every row and every column is 1.

More matching theory and applications

Definition

A permutation matrix is a 0 – 1 matrix in which every row and every column has sum 1.

Theorem

Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be a matrix with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} = m \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Then $A = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m$ where P_i are permutation matrices.

Definition

- 1 An $n \times n$ real, non-negative matrix is called **doubly stochastic** if the sum of every row and every column is 1.
- 2 The expression $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$ where v_i are vectors $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ is called a **convex combination** of the v_i 's.

Matchings

Theorem (Birkhoff)

A doubly stochastic matrix A is a convex combination of permutations matrices.

Matchings

Theorem (Birkhoff)

A doubly stochastic matrix A is a convex combination of permutations matrices.

Comment

This is a very important theorem used in many areas of mathematics, applied mathematics, computer science, economics, electrical engineering and more.

Matchings

Theorem (Birkhoff)

A doubly stochastic matrix A is a convex combination of permutations matrices.

Comment

This is a very important theorem used in many areas of mathematics, applied mathematics, computer science, economics, electrical engineering and more.

Matchings

Theorem (Birkhoff)

A doubly stochastic matrix A is a convex combination of permutations matrices.

Comment

This is a very important theorem used in many areas of mathematics, applied mathematics, computer science, economics, electrical engineering and more.

The proof of this important theorem is similar to the proof of the integer case and left to you.