# Discrete Optimization Lecture-10

### Ngày 7 tháng 10 năm 2011

*Is there a mathematical framework common to most Combinatorial Optimization problems?* 

*Is there a mathematical framework common to most Combinatorial Optimization problems?* 

*Is there a mathematical framework common to most Combinatorial Optimization problems?* 

YES!, Linear Programming

*Is there a mathematical framework common to most Combinatorial Optimization problems?* 

### YES!, Linear Programming

The generic form of a LP problem is:

Maximize: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$
 (1)

Subject to: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} x_i \le b_j$$
  $j = 1, 2, ..., m$  (2)

$$x_j \geq 0, \ c_i, \ a_{i,j}, \ b_j \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (3)

Is there a mathematical framework common to most Combinatorial Optimization problems?

### YES!, Linear Programming

The generic form of a LP problem is:

Maximize: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$
 (1)

Subject to: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} x_i \le b_j$$
  $j = 1, 2, ..., m$  (2)

$$x_j \geq 0, \; c_i, \; a_{i,j}, \; b_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

Equation (1) is the The Objective Function

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

(3

Is there a mathematical framework common to most Combinatorial Optimization problems?

### YES!, Linear Programming

The generic form of a LP problem is:

Maximize: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$
 (1)

Subject to: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} x_i \le b_j$$
  $j = 1, 2, ..., m$  (2)

$$m{x}_j \geq m{0}, \; m{c}_i, \; m{a}_{i,j}, \; m{b}_j \in \mathbb{R}$$

Equation (1) is the <u>The Objective Function</u> Equations (2 - 3) are the **constraints**.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

(3

Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.
- Equalities instead of inequalities.

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.
- Equalities instead of inequalities.
- Minimize instead of Maximize.

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.
- Equalities instead of inequalities.
- Minimize instead of Maximize.
- I can be easily transformed to the generic form.

イロト イポト イラト イラ

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.
- Equalities instead of inequalities.
- Minimize instead of Maximize.
- All can be easily transformed to the generic form.
- The standard form will be introduced shortly.

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.
- Equalities instead of inequalities.
- Minimize instead of Maximize.
- All can be easily transformed to the generic form.
- The standard form will be introduced shortly.

- Linear Programming problems come in many different varieties.
- Inequalities in the constraints can be reversed.
- Equalities instead of inequalities.
- Minimize instead of Maximize.
- All can be easily transformed to the generic form.
- The standard form will be introduced shortly.

In practice, Linear Programs with hundreds of thousands constraints and variables are used by many applications.

Finding efficeint ways to solve such huge problems is an active research topic.

- 3

Linear Programming was first developed by the Russian mathematician Leonid Kantarovich in 1939 to help the war effort which had many logistic problems. The simplex method was developed by George Danzig in 1949. It is considered one of the top ten most important algorithm developed in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Danzig was an American mathematician working in Stanford University, California.

Linear Programming was first developed by the Russian mathematician Leonid Kantarovich in 1939 to help the war effort which had many logistic problems. The simplex method was developed by George Danzig in 1949. It is considered one of the top ten most important algorithm developed in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Danzig was an American mathematician working in Stanford University, California.

Linear Programming was first developed by the Russian mathematician Leonid Kantarovich in 1939 to help the war effort which had many logistic problems. The simplex method was developed by George Danzig in 1949. It is considered one of the top ten most important algorithm developed in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Danzig was an American mathematician working in Stanford University, California.

How popular is the Simplex method? Try to google it. In less than 0.43 seconds I got 1,590,000 hits!

Linear Programming was first developed by the Russian mathematician Leonid Kantarovich in 1939 to help the war effort which had many logistic problems. The simplex method was developed by George Danzig in 1949. It is considered one of the top ten most important algorithm developed in the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Danzig was an American mathematician working in Stanford University, California.

How popular is the Simplex method? Try to google it. In less than 0.43 seconds I got 1,590,000 hits!

There are many implementations of the simplex method in the market. We installed in our lab a program called AIMMS which we shall use in this class.

## Exampe: The assignment problem

#### Example

Let  $c_{i,j}$  be the amount bid by company j to perform job number i. We introduce the so-called decision variables  $x_{i,j}$  defined as:

$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & If job number i is assigned to company number j. \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

## Exampe: The assignment problem

#### Example

Let  $c_{i,j}$  be the amount bid by company j to perform job number i. We introduce the so-called decision variables  $x_{i,j}$  defined as:

$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & If job number i is assigned to company number j. \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

# Exampe: The assignment problem

### Example

Let  $c_{i,j}$  be the amount bid by company j to perform job number i. We introduce the so-called decision variables  $x_{i,j}$  defined as:

 $x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & If job number i is assigned to company number j. \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$ 

The corresponding linear programming problem is:

Minimize:	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}c_{i,j}x_{i,j}$		(4)
Subject to:	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,j} = 1$	<i>j</i> = 1, 2, <i>n</i>	(5)
and	$\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{i,j} = 1$	<i>i</i> = 1, 2, <i>n</i>	(6)
$x_{i,j} \ge 0$			(7)

Comment

э

Comment

• Equations (5) guarantee that each company is assigned a job.

#### Comment

- Equations (5) guarantee that each company is assigned a job.
- Equations (6) guarantee that each job is assigned.

#### Comment

- Equations (5) guarantee that each company is assigned a job.
- Equations (6) guarantee that each job is assigned.
- Provided that all variables  $x_{i,j}$  will be assigned 0, or 1.

### Comment

- Equations (5) guarantee that each company is assigned a job.
- Equations (6) guarantee that each job is assigned.
- Provided that all variables  $x_{i,j}$  will be assigned 0, or 1.
- All is fine, but what if the optimal solution includes fractions?

### Comment

- Equations (5) guarantee that each company is assigned a job.
- Equations (6) guarantee that each job is assigned.
- Provided that all variables  $x_{i,j}$  will be assigned 0, or 1.
- All is fine, but what if the optimal solution includes fractions?

#### Comment

- Equations (5) guarantee that each company is assigned a job.
- Equations (6) guarantee that each job is assigned.
- Provided that all variables  $x_{i,j}$  will be assigned 0, or 1.
- All is fine, but what if the optimal solution includes fractions?

This brings us to the Integer Linear Programming problems:

### Definition (Integer Linear Programming (ILP))

Maximize: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$
 (8)

Subject to: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} x_i \leq b_j$$
  $j = 1, 2, \dots m$ 

$$x_j \geq 0$$
,  $x_i$  integers.

(9) (10)

#### Comment

At first sight, it seems like ILP problems are easier than LP problems. After all, for an ILP problem there will be only a finite number of feasible solutions, so we can enumerate them all and find the optimal. On the other hand, in the general LP problem there are infinitely many feasible solutions so we cannot enumerate them.

-

#### Comment

At first sight, it seems like ILP problems are easier than LP problems. After all, for an ILP problem there will be only a finite number of feasible solutions, so we can enumerate them all and find the optimal. On the other hand, in the general LP problem there are infinitely many feasible solutions so we cannot enumerate them.

-

#### Comment

At first sight, it seems like ILP problems are easier than LP problems. After all, for an ILP problem there will be only a finite number of feasible solutions, so we can enumerate them all and find the optimal. On the other hand, in the general LP problem there are infinitely many feasible solutions so we cannot enumerate them.

As it turns out the opposite is true. There are very efficient methods to solve LP problems while many ILP problems are extremely difficult to solve.

-

#### Comment

At first sight, it seems like ILP problems are easier than LP problems. After all, for an ILP problem there will be only a finite number of feasible solutions, so we can enumerate them all and find the optimal. On the other hand, in the general LP problem there are infinitely many feasible solutions so we cannot enumerate them.

As it turns out the opposite is true. There are very efficient methods to solve LP problems while many ILP problems are extremely difficult to solve.

This raises another question, when can we expect the solution to an LP problem to be a vector of integers? For instance, we will be able to prove that the LP solution to the assignment problem is guranteed to be an integer!

3

### Discussion

15 breweries need to supply beer to 35 retaurants around Hà Nội. Each brewery can supply  $s_i$  barrels of beer per day. Each restaurant needs  $d_j$  barrels per day. The cost of delivering a single barrel from brewery i to restaurnt j is  $c_{i,j}$ .

A D b 4 A b

### Discussion

15 breweries need to supply beer to 35 retaurants around Hà Nội. Each brewery can supply  $s_i$  barrels of beer per day. Each restaurant needs  $d_j$  barrels per day. The cost of delivering a single barrel from brewery i to restaurnt j is  $c_{i,j}$ .

A D b 4 A b

### Discussion

15 breweries need to supply beer to 35 retaurants around Hà Nội. Each brewery can supply  $s_i$  barrels of beer per day. Each restaurant needs  $d_j$  barrels per day. The cost of delivering a single barrel from brewery i to restaurnt j is  $c_{i,j}$ .

How many barrels should be shipped from each brewery to each restaurant so that the shipping cost is minimized?

### Discussion

15 breweries need to supply beer to 35 retaurants around Hà Nội. Each brewery can supply  $s_i$  barrels of beer per day. Each restaurant needs  $d_j$  barrels per day. The cost of delivering a single barrel from brewery i to restaurnt j is  $c_{i,j}$ .

How many barrels should be shipped from each brewery to each restaurant so that the shipping cost is minimized?



Discrete Optimization Lecture-10

• Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.
- Let *c*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> be the cost of shipping a barrel of beer from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.
- Let *c*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> be the cost of shipping a barrel of beer from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The variables *x*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> will be the number of barrels shipped from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.
- Let *c*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> be the cost of shipping a barrel of beer from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The variables *x*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> will be the number of barrels shipped from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The corresponding LP will be:

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.
- Let *c*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> be the cost of shipping a barrel of beer from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The variables *x*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> will be the number of barrels shipped from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The corresponding LP will be:

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.
- Let *c*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> be the cost of shipping a barrel of beer from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The variables *x*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> will be the number of barrels shipped from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The corresponding LP will be:

S

۶

Minimize:	$\sum_{i=1}^{15} \sum_{j=1}^{35} c_{i,j} x_{i,j}$	(1	1)
-----------	---	----	----

Subject to:	$\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_{i,j} = d_j$	$j=1,2,\ldots 35$	(12)

and 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{35} x_{i,j} = s_i$$
  $i = 1, 2, ... n$  (13)

$$\zeta_{i,j} \ge 0 \tag{14}$$

- Let  $s_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 15 be the available supply at brewery number *i*.
- Let  $d_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., 35 be the minimal number of barrels needed at restaurant number *j*.
- Let *c*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> be the cost of shipping a barrel of beer from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The variables *x*<sub>*i*,*j*</sub> will be the number of barrels shipped from brewery *i* to restaurant *j*.
- The corresponding LP will be:

Minimize: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} \sum_{j=1}^{35} c_{i,j} x_{i,j}$$
 (11)

Subject to: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{15} x_{i,j} = d_j$$
  $j = 1, 2, \dots 35$  (12)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{35} x_{i,j} = s_i$$
  $i = 1, 2, \dots n$  (13)

$$x_{i,j} \ge 0 \tag{14}$$

Note that a solution exists only if  $\sum_{i=1}^{15} s_i = \sum_{j=1}^{35} d_j$ .

and

Discussion

**Discrete Optimization Lecture-10** 

Ngày 7 tháng 10 năm 2011 9 / 21

#### Discussion

• The assignment problem is also a generic name of problems whose LP interpretation is like the LP of the assignment problem.

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

#### Discussion

- The assignment problem is also a generic name of problems whose LP interpretation is like the LP of the assignment problem.
- While it is not clear that the optimal solution to the LP problem will yield values of 0 or 1 to the decision variables, we will be able to prove that this is the case.

### Discussion

- The assignment problem is also a generic name of problems whose LP interpretation is like the LP of the assignment problem.
- While it is not clear that the optimal solution to the LP problem will yield values of 0 or 1 to the decision variables, we will be able to prove that this is the case.
- It is noteworthy that it is actually a matching problem. Given an n × n complete weighetd bipartite graph, we wish to find a perfect matching of smallest cost.

• The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

- The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.
- *n* suppliers can produce  $s_i$  units of some product i = 1, 2, ..., n.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.
- *n* suppliers can produce  $s_i$  units of some product i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- *m* businesses need  $d_j$  units each, j = 1, 2, ..., m

- The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.
- *n* suppliers can produce  $s_i$  units of some product i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- *m* businesses need  $d_i$  units each, j = 1, 2, ..., m
- The cost of shipping one unit from supplier *i* to business *j* is  $c_{i,j}$ .

- The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.
- *n* suppliers can produce  $s_i$  units of some product i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- *m* businesses need  $d_j$  units each, j = 1, 2, ..., m
- The cost of shipping one unit from supplier *i* to business *j* is  $c_{i,j}$ .
- The goal is to meet the demands at the lowest cost which leads to the following LP problem:

- The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.
- *n* suppliers can produce  $s_i$  units of some product i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- *m* businesses need  $d_j$  units each, j = 1, 2, ..., m
- The cost of shipping one unit from supplier *i* to business *j* is  $c_{i,j}$ .
- The goal is to meet the demands at the lowest cost which leads to the following LP problem:

- The transportation problem is a typical supply/demand problem.
- *n* suppliers can produce  $s_i$  units of some product i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- *m* businesses need  $d_j$  units each, j = 1, 2, ..., m
- The cost of shipping one unit from supplier *i* to business *j* is  $c_{i,j}$ .
- The goal is to meet the demands at the lowest cost which leads to the following LP problem:
  - Minimize:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{i,j} c_{i,j}$ (15)
  - Subject to:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,j} = d_j \tag{16}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_{i,j} = \mathbf{s}_i \tag{17}$$

$$x_{i,j} \ge 0 \tag{18}$$

(Preliminaries)

▲ □ ▷ < 중 ▷ < 분 ▷ < 분 ▷ 문</p>

 Discrete Optimization Lecture-10
 Ngày 7 tháng 10 năm 2011

11/21

### (Preliminaries)

 Linear programming problems with hundreds of thousands of variables and constraints are common in many industries.
 Needless to say, researchers are constatly seeking ways to improve the running time of the programs solving LP problems.

### (Preliminaries)

- Linear programming problems with hundreds of thousands of variables and constraints are common in many industries.
   Needless to say, researchers are constatly seeking ways to improve the running time of the programs solving LP problems.
- While it is known that in some cases the simplex method can run in exponential time and there are other algorithms that run in polynomial time, in reality, the simplex method exhibits the best running time.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### (Preliminaries)

- Linear programming problems with hundreds of thousands of variables and constraints are common in many industries.
   Needless to say, researchers are constatly seeking ways to improve the running time of the programs solving LP problems.
- While it is known that in some cases the simplex method can run in exponential time and there are other algorithms that run in polynomial time, in reality, the simplex method exhibits the best running time.
- We shall study in this class the implementation that is easiest to follow and understand and leave the speedier implementations for those of you that will need to use it in the future.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Definition (The standard form)

The following linear program is in the standard form :

$$\begin{array}{ll} Maximize: & \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} x_{i} & (19) \\ Subject to: & \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,j} x_{i} = b_{j} \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots m & (20) \\ & x_{j} \geq 0. & (21) \end{array}$$

Observation

Every LP problem is equivalent to an LP in standard form. We do it by adding slack variables.

#### Observation

Every LP problem is equivalent to an LP in standard form. We do it by adding slack variables.

 If the initial LP is to minimize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub> then we can change it to: maximize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> −c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub>.

-

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Observation

Every LP problem is equivalent to an LP in standard form. We do it by adding slack variables.

- If the initial LP is to minimize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub> then we can change it to: maximize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> −c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub>.
- A constraint of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j \le b_i$  can be changed to:  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j + s_i = b_i$   $s_i \ge 0$

-

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Observation

Every LP problem is equivalent to an LP in standard form. We do it by adding slack variables.

- If the initial LP is to minimize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub> then we can change it to: maximize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> −c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub>.
- A constraint of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j \le b_i$  can be changed to:  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j + s_i = b_i$   $s_i \ge 0$
- A constraint of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j \ge b_i$  can be changed to:  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j - s_i = b_i$   $s_i \ge 0$

### Observation

Every LP problem is equivalent to an LP in standard form. We do it by adding slack variables.

- If the initial LP is to minimize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub> then we can change it to: maximize ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> −c<sub>i</sub>x<sub>i</sub>.
- A constraint of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j \le b_i$  can be changed to:  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j + s_i = b_i$   $s_i \ge 0$
- A constraint of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j \ge b_i$  can be changed to:  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i,j}x_j - s_i = b_i$   $s_i \ge 0$
- And if a variable x<sub>i</sub> is unresitricted we can replace every occurence of x<sub>i</sub> by the difference x<sub>i1</sub> - x<sub>i2</sub> so that all variables remain restricted to non-negative values.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

*Minimize: Subject to:* 

$$\begin{array}{ll} 3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3 & (22) \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \leq 5 & (23) \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \geq 4 & (24) \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1 & (25) \end{array}$$

 $x_1$  unrestricted,  $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$ 

э

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

(26)

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

*Minimize: Subject to:* 

$$\begin{array}{ll} 3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3 & (22) \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \leq 5 & (23) \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \geq 4 & (24) \\ 2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1 & (25) \end{array}$$

 $x_1$  unrestricted,  $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$ 

э

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

(26)

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

Minimize:	$3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3$	(22)
Subject to:	$x_1+2x_2-x_3\leq 5$	(23)
	$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\geq 4$	(24)
	$2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(25)
$x_1$ unrestricted, $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$		(26)
Maximize:	$-3(x_{1_1}-x_{1_2})+4x_2-x_3$	(27)

э

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

Minimize:	$3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3$	(22)
Subject to:	$x_1+2x_2-x_3\leq 5$	(23)
	$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\geq 4$	(24)
	$2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(25)
$x_1$ unrestricted, $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$		(26)
Maximize:	$-3(x_{1_1}-x_{1_2})+4x_2-x_3$	(27)
Subject to:	$(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 2x_2 - x_3 + s_1 = 5$	(28)

э

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

Minimize:	$3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3$	(22)
Subject to:	$x_1+2x_2-x_3\leq 5$	(23)
	$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\geq 4$	(24)
	$2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(25)
$x_1$ unrestricted, $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$		(26)
Maximize:	$-3(x_{1_1}-x_{1_2})+4x_2-x_3$	(27)
Subject to:	$(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 2x_2 - x_3 + s_1 = 5$	(28)
	$2(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 3x_2 + x_3 - s_2 = 4$	(29)

э

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

M	linimize:	$3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3$	(22)
Su	bject to:	$x_1+2x_2-x_3\leq 5$	(23)
		$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\geq 4$	(24)
		$2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(25)
$X_1$ unrestricted, $X_2$	$x_3 \ge 0$		(26)
М	aximize:	$-3(x_{1_1}-x_{1_2})+4x_2-x_3$	(27)
Su	bject to:	$(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 2x_2 - x_3 + s_1 = 5$	(28)
		$2(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 3x_2 + x_3 - s_2 = 4$	(29)
		$2(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(30)

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

э

14/21

# The standard form

Example (Let the given LP problem be:)

Minimize:	$3x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3$	(22)
Subject to:	$x_1+2x_2-x_3\leq 5$	(23)
	$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\geq 4$	(24)
	$2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(25)
$x_1$ unrestricted, $x_2, x_3 \ge 0$		(26)
Maximize:	$-3(x_{1_1}-x_{1_2})+4x_2-x_3$	(27)
Subject to:	$(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 2x_2 - x_3 + s_1 = 5$	(28)
	$2(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) + 3x_2 + x_3 - s_2 = 4$	(29)
	$2(x_{1_1} - x_{1_2}) - 3x_2 - x_3 = -1$	(30)
$x_{1_1}, x_{1_2}, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2 \ge 0$		(31)
	へ 川 マ へ 町 マ 人 目 マ	≣ •ΩQ(

## How does the simplex method work?

We shall follow the steps of the simplex method on the following example (taken from V. Chvátal's book):

Maximize:	$5x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3$	(32)
Subject to:	$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\leq 5$	(33)
	$4x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 11$	(34)
	$3x_1 + 4x_2 + 2x_3 \le 8$	(35)
$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0.$		(36)

15/21

#### How does the simplex method work?

We shall follow the steps of the simplex method on the following example (taken from V. Chvátal's book):

Maximize:	$5x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3$	(32)
Subject to:	$2x_1+3x_2+x_3\leq 5$	(33)
	$4x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \le 11$	(34)
	$3x_1 + 4x_2 + 2x_3 \le 8$	(35)
$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0.$		(36)

Rewrite the standard form as:

$$z - 5x_1 - 4x_2 - 3x_3 = 0 \tag{37}$$

$$s_1 = 5 - 2x_1 - 3x_2 - x_3 \tag{38}$$

$$s_2 = 11 - 4x_1 - x_2 - 2x_3 \tag{39}$$

$$s_3 = 8 - 3x_1 - 4x_2 - 2x_3 \tag{40}$$

15/21

Maximize z subject to:  $x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2, s_3 \ge 0$ .

We note that:

 every feasible solution x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> of equations (32 – 36) can be extended to a feasible solution of equations (37 – 41).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

We note that:

- every feasible solution x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> of equations (32 36) can be extended to a feasible solution of equations (37 – 41).
- every feasible solution x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, s<sub>1</sub>, s<sub>2</sub>, s<sub>3</sub> of equations (37 41) can be reduced to a feasible solution of equations (32 36) (delete the slack variables s<sub>1</sub>, s<sub>2</sub>, s<sub>3</sub>).

We note that:

- every feasible solution x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> of equations (32 36) can be extended to a feasible solution of equations (37 – 41).
- every feasible solution  $x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2, s_3$  of equations (37 41) can be reduced to a feasible solution of equations (32 36) (delete the slack variables  $s_1, s_2, s_3$ ).
- The optimal value of z determined by equations (37 41) will give us an optimal solution for the original LP problem.

16/21

We note that:

- every feasible solution x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> of equations (32 36) can be extended to a feasible solution of equations (37 – 41).
- every feasible solution  $x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2, s_3$  of equations (37 41) can be reduced to a feasible solution of equations (32 36) (delete the slack variables  $s_1, s_2, s_3$ ).
- The optimal value of z determined by equations (37 41) will give us an optimal solution for the original LP problem.
- If we can increase the values of x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub> in equations (37 41) the value of z will also increase.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー のなる

• We can start with the initial feasible solution  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 5$ ,  $s_2 = 11$ ,  $s_3 = 8$  which yields z = 0.

< □ → < @ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ シ シ へ () Ngày 7 tháng 10 năm 2011 17/21

- We can start with the initial feasible solution  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 5$ ,  $s_2 = 11$ ,  $s_3 = 8$  which yields z = 0.
- We can increase the value of  $x_1$  to 1 which yields the feasible solution  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = x_3 = 0, s_1 = 3, s_2 = 7, s_3 = 5, z = 5$ , an improvement.

- We can start with the initial feasible solution  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 5$ ,  $s_2 = 11$ ,  $s_3 = 8$  which yields z = 0.
- We can increase the value of  $x_1$  to 1 which yields the feasible solution  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = x_3 = 0, s_1 = 3, s_2 = 7, s_3 = 5, z = 5$ , an improvement.
- We notice that the more we increase the value of *x*<sub>1</sub> the higher the value of *z* will be. But is there a limit to the size of the inrease?

- We can start with the initial feasible solution  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 5$ ,  $s_2 = 11$ ,  $s_3 = 8$  which yields z = 0.
- We can increase the value of  $x_1$  to 1 which yields the feasible solution  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 3$ ,  $s_2 = 7$ ,  $s_3 = 5$ , z = 5, an improvement.
- We notice that the more we increase the value of *x*<sub>1</sub> the higher the value of *z* will be. But is there a limit to the size of the inrease?
- Yes there is a limit. For instance, if x<sub>1</sub> = 3 equation (36) cannot be satisfied with s<sub>3</sub> ≥ 0.

17/21

- We can start with the initial feasible solution  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 5$ ,  $s_2 = 11$ ,  $s_3 = 8$  which yields z = 0.
- We can increase the value of  $x_1$  to 1 which yields the feasible solution  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 3$ ,  $s_2 = 7$ ,  $s_3 = 5$ , z = 5, an improvement.
- We notice that the more we increase the value of *x*<sub>1</sub> the higher the value of *z* will be. But is there a limit to the size of the inrease?
- Yes there is a limit. For instance, if x<sub>1</sub> = 3 equation (36) cannot be satisfied with s<sub>3</sub> ≥ 0.
- It is now clear that  $x_1$  cannot be greater than  $\frac{5}{2}$  getting the feasible solution:  $x_1 = \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ,  $s_1 = 0$ ,  $s_2 = 1$ ,  $s_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z = \frac{25}{2}$ , a big improvement.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー のなる

17/21

• How can we find the next improvement or decide that  $z = \frac{25}{2}$  is the desired maximum?

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- How can we find the next improvement or decide that  $z = \frac{25}{2}$  is the desired maximum?
- A close study of equations (37 41) shows why it was so easy to pick the increased amount of  $x_1$ :

All variable in the right side of the equation were 0. The non-zero variables were on the left side.

- How can we find the next improvement or decide that  $z = \frac{25}{2}$  is the desired maximum?
- A close study of equations (37 41) shows why it was so easy to pick the increased amount of x<sub>1</sub>:
   All variable in the right side of the equation were 0. The non-zero variables were on the left side.
- We can rearrange the equations to reflect this observation.

- How can we find the next improvement or decide that  $z = \frac{25}{2}$  is the desired maximum?
- A close study of equations (37 41) shows why it was so easy to pick the increased amount of x<sub>1</sub>:
   All variable in the right side of the equation were 0. The non-zero variables were on the left side.
- We can rearrange the equations to reflect this observation.

- How can we find the next improvement or decide that  $z = \frac{25}{2}$  is the desired maximum?
- A close study of equations (37 41) shows why it was so easy to pick the increased amount of x<sub>1</sub>:
   All variable in the right side of the equation were 0. The non-zero

variables were on the left side.

• We can rearrange the equations to reflect this observation.

$$z - 5\left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}s_1 - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3\right) - 4x_2 - 3x_3 = 0$$
(42)

$$x_1 = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}s_1 - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 \tag{43}$$

$$s_2 = 11 - 4\left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}s_1 - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3\right) - x_2 - 2x_3 \tag{44}$$

$$s_3 = 8 - 3(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{1}{2}s_1 - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3) - 4x_2 - 2x_3$$
 (45)

After rearranging the terms on the right side of equations (42 - 45) we get:

$$z = \frac{25}{2} - \frac{3}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}s_1$$
(46)  
$$x_1 = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}s_1$$
(47)  
$$s_2 = 1 + 5x_2 + 2s_1$$
(48)

$$s_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 + \frac{3}{2}s_1 \tag{49}$$

3

After rearranging the terms on the right side of equations (42 - 45) we get:

$$z = \frac{25}{2} - \frac{3}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}s_1 \tag{46}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}s_1 \tag{47}$$

$$s_2 = 1 + 5x_2 + 2s_1 \tag{48}$$

$$s_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 + \frac{3}{2}s_1 \tag{49}$$

Looks like we can increase the value of  $x_3$  to 1 (largest possible because of equation (49)).

After rearranging the terms on the right side of equations (42 - 45) we get:

$$z = \frac{25}{2} - \frac{3}{2}x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}s_1 \tag{46}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}s_1 \tag{47}$$

$$s_2 = 1 + 5x_2 + 2s_1 \tag{48}$$

$$s_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 + \frac{3}{2}s_1 \tag{49}$$

Looks like we can increase the value of  $x_3$  to 1 (largest possible because of equation (49)).

Repeating the same process we get:

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

$$z = 13 - 3x_2 - s_1 - s_3$$
(50)  

$$x_3 = 1 + x_2 + 3s_1 - 2S_3$$
(51)  

$$x_1 = 2 - 2x_2 - 2s_1 + s_3$$
(52)  

$$s_2 = 1 + 5x_2 + 2s_1$$
(53)  
The feasible solution is:

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1, s_3 = 0.$$

(54)

$$z = 13 - 3x_2 - s_1 - s_3 \tag{50}$$

$$x_3 = 1 + x_2 + 3s_1 - 2S_3 \tag{51}$$

$$x_1 = 2 - 2x_2 - 2s_1 + s_3 \tag{52}$$

$$s_2 = 1 + 5x_2 + 2s_1 \tag{53}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

The feasible solution is:

$$x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1, s_3 = 0.$$
 (54)

From equation (50) we can now deduce that the maximum is 13

$$z = 13 - 3x_2 - s_1 - s_3 \tag{50}$$

$$x_3 = 1 + x_2 + 3s_1 - 2S_3 \tag{51}$$

$$x_1 = 2 - 2x_2 - 2s_1 + s_3 \tag{52}$$

$$s_2 = 1 + 5x_2 + 2s_1 \tag{53}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The feasible solution is:

$$x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1, s_3 = 0.$$
 (54)

From equation (50) we can now deduce that the maximum is 13

#### Can you see why?

Analysis of the simplex method.

- Analysis of the simplex method.
- Improvements.

- Analysis of the simplex method.
- Improvements.
- Alternative methods.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Analysis of the simplex method.
- Improvements.
- Alternative methods.
- Solving problems by reducing them to LP problems.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > -

21/21

- Analysis of the simplex method.
- Improvements.
- Alternative methods.
- Solving problems by reducing them to LP problems.
- ILP (Integer or mixed integer Linear Programs).

- Analysis of the simplex method.
- Improvements.
- Alternative methods.
- Solving problems by reducing them to LP problems.
- ILP (Integer or mixed integer Linear Programs).

- Analysis of the simplex method.
- Improvements.
- Alternative methods.
- Solving problems by reducing them to LP problems.
- **ILP** (Integer or mixed integer Linear Programs).

In this class we shall study how to use LP to solve practical problems. AIMMS will take care of the technical details for us.