## Wavelet Variance – Outline

- examples of time series to motivate discussion
- decomposition of sample variance using wavelets
- theoretical wavelet variance for stochastic processes
  - stationary processes
  - nonstationary processes with stationary differences
- sampling theory for Gaussian processes
- four examples, including use on time series with time-varying statistical properties
- summary

## **Examples: Time Series** $X_t$ Versus Time Index t



(a) atomic clock frequency deviates (daily observations, N = 1025)
(b) subtidal sea level fluctuations (twice daily, N = 8746)
(c) Nile River minima (annual, N = 663)

(d) vertical shear in the ocean (0.1 meters, N = 4096)

- four series are visually different
- goal of time series analysis is to quantify these differences

WMTSA: 8, 184, 192, 328

#### **Decomposing Sample Variance of Time Series**

- one approach: quantify differences by analysis of variance
- let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}$  represent time series with N values
- let  $\overline{X}$  denote sample mean of  $X_t$ 's:  $\overline{X} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} X_t$
- let  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$  denote sample variance of  $X_t$ 's:

$$\hat{\sigma}_X^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left( X_t - \overline{X} \right)^2$$

- idea is to decompose (analyze, break up)  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$  into pieces that quantify how time series are different
- wavelet variance does analysis based upon differences between (possibly weighted) adjacent averages over scales

#### **Empirical Wavelet Variance**

• define empirical wavelet variance for scale  $\tau_j \equiv 2^{j-1}$  as

$$\tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \widetilde{W}_{j,t}^2, \text{ where } \widetilde{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \mod N}$$

• if  $N = 2^J$ , obtain analysis (decomposition) of sample variance:

$$\hat{\sigma}_X^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \left( X_t - \overline{X} \right)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^J \tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$$

(if N not a power of 2, can analyze variance to any level  $J_0$ , but need additional component involving scaling coefficients)

• interpretation:  $\tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$  is portion of  $\hat{\sigma}_X^2$  due to changes in averages over scale  $\tau_j$ ; i.e., 'scale by scale' analysis of variance

#### **Example of Empirical Wavelet Variance**

• wavelet variances for time series  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  of length N = 16, each with zero sample mean and same sample variance



### Second Example of Empirical Wavelet Variance

• top: part of subtidal sea level data (blue line shows scale of 16)



- bottom: empirical wavelet variances  $\tilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$
- note: each  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t}$  associated with a portion of  $X_t$ , so  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t}^2$  versus t offers time-based decomposition of  $\widetilde{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$

WMTSA: 298

#### **Theoretical Wavelet Variance: I**

• now assume  $X_t$  is a real-valued random variable (RV)

- let  $\{X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  denote a stochastic process, i.e., collection of RVs indexed by 'time' t (here  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of all integers)
- use *j*th level equivalent MODWT filter  $\{\tilde{h}_{j,l}\}$  on  $\{X_t\}$  to create a new stochastic process:

$$\overline{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l}, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which should be contrasted with

$$\widetilde{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \bmod N}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$$

#### **Theoretical Wavelet Variance: II**

- if Y is any RV, let  $E\{Y\}$  denote its expectation
- let var  $\{Y\}$  denote its variance: var  $\{Y\} \equiv E\{(Y E\{Y\})^2\}$
- definition of time dependent wavelet variance:

$$\nu_{X,t}^2(\tau_j) \equiv \operatorname{var} \{ \overline{W}_{j,t} \},\$$

with conditions on  $X_t$  so that var  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  exists and is finite

- $\nu_{X,t}^2(\tau_j)$  depends on  $\tau_j$  and t
- will focus on time independent wavelet variance

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) \equiv \operatorname{var}\left\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\right\}$$

(can adapt theory to handle time varying situation)

•  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  well-defined for stationary processes and certain related processes, so let's review concept of stationarity

#### **Definition of a Stationary Process**

• if U and V are two RVs, denote their covariance by  $\operatorname{cov} \left\{ U,V \right\} = E\{(U-E\{U\})(V-E\{V\})\}$ 

• stochastic process  $X_t$  called stationary if

 $-E\{X_t\} = \mu_X \text{ for all } t, \text{ i.e., constant independent of } t$  $-\cos\{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} = s_{X,\tau}, \text{ i.e., depends on lag } \tau, \text{ but not } t$ 

• 
$$s_{X,\tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$$
, is autocovariance sequence (ACVS)

• 
$$s_{X,0} = \operatorname{cov}\{X_t, X_t\} = \operatorname{var}\{X_t\}$$
; i.e., variance same for all  $t$ 

#### **Spectral Density Functions: I**

• spectral density function (SDF) given by

$$S_X(f) = \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} s_{X,\tau} e^{-i2\pi f\tau}, \quad |f| \le \frac{1}{2}$$

• above requires condition on ACVS such as

$$\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} s_{X,\tau}^2 < \infty$$

(sufficient but not necessary)

## **Spectral Density Functions: II**

• if square summability holds,  $\{s_{X,\tau}\} \longleftrightarrow S_X(\cdot)$  says

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S_X(f) e^{i2\pi f\tau} \, df = s_{X,\tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{Z}$$

• setting  $\tau = 0$  yields fundamental result:

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S_X(f) \, df = s_{X,0} = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\};$$

i.e., SDF decomposes var  $\{X_t\}$  across frequencies f

• interpretation:  $S_X(f) \Delta f$  is the contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  due to frequencies in a small interval of width  $\Delta f$  centered at f

#### White Noise Process: I

- simplest example of a stationary process is 'white noise'
- process  $X_t$  said to be white noise if
  - it has a constant mean  $E\{X_t\} = \mu_X$
  - it has a constant variance var  $\{X_t\} = \sigma_X^2$
  - $-\cos \{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} = 0$  for all t and nonzero  $\tau$ ; i.e., distinct RVs in the process are uncorrelated
- ACVS and SDF for white noise take very simple forms:

$$s_{X,\tau} = \operatorname{cov} \{X_t, X_{t+\tau}\} = \begin{cases} \sigma_X^2, & \tau = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$S_X(f) = \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} s_{X,\tau} e^{-i2\pi f\tau} = s_{X,0}$$

#### White Noise Process: II

• ACVS (left-hand plot), SDF (middle) and a portion of length N = 64 of one realization (right) for a white noise process with  $\mu_X = 0$  and  $\sigma_X^2 = 1.5$ 



• since  $S_X(f) = 1.5$  for all f, contribution  $S_X(f) \Delta f$  to  $\sigma_X^2$  is the same for all frequencies

### Wavelet Variance for Stationary Processes

• for stationary processes, wavelet variance decomposes var  $\{X_t\}$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\}$$

(above result similar to one for sample variance)

- $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is thus contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  due to scale  $\tau_j$
- note:  $\nu_X(\tau_j)$  has same units as  $X_t$ , which is important for interpretability

#### Wavelet Variance for White Noise Process: I

• for a white noise process, can conclude from Exer. [8.1] that

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) \propto \tau_j^{-1}$$

• note that

$$\log\left(\nu_X^2(\tau_j)\right) \propto -\log\left(\tau_j\right),$$

so plot of log  $(\nu_X^2(\tau_j))$  vs. log  $(\tau_j)$  is linear with a slope of -1

#### Wavelet Variance for White Noise Process: II



- $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  versus  $\tau_j$  for j = 1, ..., 8 (left-hand plot), along with sample of length N = 256 of Gaussian white noise
- largest contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  is at smallest scale  $\tau_1$
- note: later on, we will discuss fractionally differenced (FD) processes that are characterized by a parameter  $\delta$ ; when  $\delta = 0$ , an FD process is the same as a white noise process

#### **Generalization to Certain Nonstationary Processes**

- if wavelet filter is properly chosen,  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  well-defined for certain processes with stationary backward differences (increments); these are also known as intrinsically stationary processes
- first order backward difference of  $X_t$  is process defined by

$$X_t^{(1)} = X_t - X_{t-1}$$

• second order backward difference of  $X_t$  is process defined by  $X_t^{(2)} = X_t^{(1)} - X_{t-1}^{(1)} = X_t - 2X_{t-1} + X_{t-2}$ 

•  $X_t$  said to have dth order stationary backward differences if

$$Y_t \equiv \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} (-1)^k X_{t-k}$$

forms a stationary process (d is a nonnegative integer)

WMTSA: 287-289

## **Examples of Processes with Stationary Increments**



1st column shows, from top to bottom, realizations from
(a) random walk: X<sub>t</sub> = Σ<sup>t</sup><sub>u=1</sub> ε<sub>u</sub>, & ε<sub>t</sub> is zero mean white noise
(b) like (a), but now ε<sub>t</sub> has mean of -0.2
(c) random run: X<sub>t</sub> = Σ<sup>t</sup><sub>u=1</sub> Y<sub>u</sub>, where Y<sub>t</sub> is a random walk

• 2nd & 3rd columns show 1st & 2nd differences  $X_t^{(1)}$  and  $X_t^{(2)}$ 

# Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: I

- let  $\{X_t\}$  be nonstationary with dth order stationary differences
- if we use a Daubechies wavelet filter of width L satisfying  $L \geq 2d$ , then  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is well-defined and finite for all  $\tau_j$ , but now

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \infty$$

#### Wavelet Variance for Random Walk Process: I

• random walk process  $X_t = \sum_{u=1}^t \epsilon_u$  has first order (d = 1) stationary differences since  $X_t - X_{t-1} = \epsilon_t$  (i.e., white noise)

•  $L \ge 2d$  holds for all wavelets when d = 1; for Haar (L = 2),  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \frac{\operatorname{var}\left\{\epsilon_t\right\}}{6} \left(\tau_j + \frac{1}{2\tau_j}\right) \approx \frac{\operatorname{var}\left\{\epsilon_t\right\}}{6} \tau_j,$ 

with the approximation becoming better as  $\tau_j$  increases

- note that  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  increases as  $\tau_j$  increases
- $\log(\nu_X^2(\tau_j)) \approx \log(\operatorname{var} \{\epsilon_t\}/6) + \log(\tau_j)$ , which says that a plot of  $\log(\nu_X^2(\tau_j))$  vs.  $\log(\tau_j)$  is  $\approx$  linear with a slope of +1
- as required, also have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \frac{\operatorname{var}\left\{\epsilon_t\right\}}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + 2 + \frac{1}{4} + 4 + \frac{1}{8} + \cdots\right) = \infty$$

WMTSA: 337

#### Wavelet Variance for Random Walk Process: II



- $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  versus  $\tau_j$  for j = 1, ..., 8 (left-hand plot), along with sample of length N = 256 of a Gaussian random walk process
- smallest contribution to var  $\{X_t\}$  is at smallest scale  $\tau_1$
- note: a fractionally differenced process with parameter  $\delta = 1$  is the same as a random walk process

#### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: II

• to see why  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is well-defined and finite if  $L \ge 2d$ , need basic result from filtering theory: if  $\{Y_t\}$  stationary with SDF  $S_Y(\cdot)$ , then

$$Z_t \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} a_m Y_{t-m}$$

is also a stationary process, and its SDF is

$$S_Z(f) = \mathcal{A}(f)S_Y(f)$$
, where  $\mathcal{A}(f) \equiv \left|\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} a_m e^{-i2\pi fm}\right|^2$ ,

from which it follows that its variance is

var 
$$\{Z_t\} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S_Z(f) df = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \mathcal{A}(f) S_Y(f) df.$$

WMTSA: 267–268

## Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: III

• example: first backward difference  $Y_t^{(1)} = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$ , i.e.,  $\{Y_t\} \longrightarrow [\{1, -1\}] \longrightarrow \{Y_t^{(1)}\}$ 

• here  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = -1$  and  $a_m = 0$  otherwise, yielding  $\mathcal{A}(f) = 4\sin^2(\pi f) \equiv \mathcal{D}(f)$ (proof of the above is Ever [105b])

(proof of the above is Exer. [105b])

#### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: IV

• consider  $\nu_X^2(\tau_1)$  (Exer. [304] generalizes result for  $\tau_j, j \ge 2$ )

- by definition,  $\nu_X^2(\tau_1) \equiv \operatorname{var} \{\overline{W}_{1,t}\}$ , with  $\overline{W}_{1,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \tilde{h}_l X_{t-l}$
- because  $\tilde{h}_l = h_l / \sqrt{2}$ , have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{1}^{(D)}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H}^{(D)}(f) = \sin^{L}(\pi f) \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{L}{2}-1} {\binom{L}{2}-1+l} \cos^{2l}(\pi f)$$
$$= \mathcal{D}^{\frac{L}{2}}(f) \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L}(f)$$
where, as before,  $\mathcal{D}(f) = 4 \sin^{2}(\pi f)$  and
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L}(f) \equiv \frac{1}{2^{L}} \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{L}{2}-1} {\binom{L}{2}-1+l} \cos^{2l}(\pi f)$$

### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: V

• interpret  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_1^{(D)}(f) = \mathcal{D}^{\frac{L}{2}}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_L(f)$  as the squared gain function for filter cascade consisting of three parts

• first part of cascade consists of a cascade of d first differences:  $\{X_t\} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\{1, -1\}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \underbrace{\{1, -1\}} \longrightarrow \{Y_t\}$ d of these where  $\{Y_t\}$  is stationary with SDF  $S_V(\cdot)$ • if  $\frac{L}{2} > d$ , second part uses  $\frac{L}{2} - d$  first differences:  $\{Y_t\} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\{1, -1\}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \underbrace{\{1, -1\}} \longrightarrow \{Z_t\}$  $\frac{L}{2} - d$  of these where  $\{Z_t\}$  is stationary with SDF  $S_Z(f) = \mathcal{D}^{\frac{L}{2}-d}(f)S_V(f)$ 

#### Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: VI

• third part uses averaging filter embedded within Daubechies wavelet filter:

$$\{Z_t\} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_L(\cdot) \longrightarrow \{\overline{W}_{1,t}\},\$$

where  $\{\overline{W}_{1,t}\}$  is stationary with SDF given by

$$S_{1}(f) \equiv \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L}(f)S_{Z}(f)$$
  
=  $\mathcal{D}^{\frac{L}{2}-d}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L}(f)S_{Y}(f)$   
=  $\mathcal{D}^{\frac{L}{2}-d}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{L}(f)\mathcal{D}^{d}(f)S_{X}(f) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{1}^{(D)}(f)S_{X}(f)$ 

if we define an SDF for the nonstationary process  $\{X_t\}$  via

$$S_X(f) \equiv \frac{S_Y(f)}{\mathcal{D}^d(f)} = \frac{S_Y(f)}{[4\sin^2(\pi f)]^d}$$

(Yaglom, 1958)

WMTSA: 304–305, 287

## Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: VII

• for general  $\tau_j$ , can claim that, if  $\{X_t\}$  has stationary increments of order d and if we use a Daubechies MODWT wavelet filter  $\{\tilde{h}_l\}$  of width  $L \ge 2d$ , the fact that the resulting process  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  is stationary with variance  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  says that

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_j^{(D)}(f) S_X(f) \, df,$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{j}^{(D)}(\cdot)$  is the squared gain function for the *j*th level equivalent filter  $\{\tilde{h}_{j,l}\}$ 

## Fractionally Differenced (FD) Processes: I

- can create a continuum of processes that 'interpolate' between white noise and random walks using notion of 'fractional differencing' (Granger and Joyeux, 1980; Hosking, 1981)
- FD( $\delta$ ) process is determined by 2 parameters  $\delta$  and  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ , where  $-\infty < \delta < \infty$  and  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 > 0$  ( $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  is less important than  $\delta$ )
- if  $\{X_t\}$  is an FD( $\delta$ ) process, its SDF is given by

$$S_X(f) = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\mathcal{D}^\delta(f)} = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{[4\sin^2(\pi f)]^\delta}$$

- if  $\delta < 1/2$ , FD process  $\{X_t\}$  is stationary, and, in particular,
  - reduces to white noise if  $\delta = 0$
  - has 'long memory' or 'long range dependence' if  $\delta > 0$
  - is 'antipersistent' if  $\delta < 0$  (i.e.,  $\operatorname{cov} \{X_t, X_{t+1}\} < 0$ )

WMTSA: 281-285

### Fractionally Differenced (FD) Processes: II

- if  $\delta \geq 1/2$ , FD process  $\{X_t\}$  is nonstationary with dth order stationary backward differences  $\{Y_t\}$ 
  - here  $d = \lfloor \delta + 1/2 \rfloor$ , where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is integer part of x
  - $\{Y_t\}$  is stationary  $FD(\delta d)$  process
- if  $\delta = 1$ , FD process is the same as a random walk process
- using  $\sin(x) \approx x$  for small x, can claim that, at low frequencies,

$$S_X(f) = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{[4\sin^2(\pi f)]^{\delta}} \approx \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{(2\pi f)^{2\delta}}$$

(approximation quite good for  $f \in (0, 0.1]$ )

• right-hand side describes SDF for a 'power law' process with exponent  $-2\delta$ 

### Fractionally Differenced (FD) Processes: III

• except possibly for two or three smallest scales, have

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_j^{(D)}(f) S_X(f) \, df$$
  

$$\approx 2 \int_{1/2^{j+1}}^{1/2^j} \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{[4\sin^2(\pi f)]^{\delta}} \, df$$
  

$$\approx \frac{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}{(2\pi)^{2\delta}} \int_{1/2^{j+1}}^{1/2^j} \frac{1}{f^{2\delta}} \, df = C\tau_j^{2\delta-1}$$

• thus 
$$\log (\nu_X^2(\tau_j)) \approx \log (C) + (2\delta - 1) \log (\tau_j)$$
, so a log/log plot  
of  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  vs.  $\tau_j$  looks approximately linear with slope  $2\delta - 1$   
for  $\tau_j$  large enough

#### LA(8) Wavelet Variance for 2 FD Processes



- left-hand column:  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  versus  $\tau_j$  based upon LA(8) wavelet
- right-hand: realization of length N = 256 from each FD process
- see overhead 16 for  $\delta = 0$  (white noise), which has slope = -1

## LA(8) Wavelet Variance for 2 More FD Processes



- $\delta = \frac{5}{6}$  is Kolmogorov turbulence;  $\delta = 1$  is random walk
- note: positive slope indicates nonstationarity, while negative slope indicates stationarity

### **Expected Value of Wavelet Coefficients**

- in preparation for considering problem of estimating  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  given an observed time series, let us consider  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$
- if  $\{X_t\}$  is nonstationary but has dth order stationary increments, let  $\{Y_t\}$  be the stationary process obtained by differencing  $\{X_t\}$  a total of d times; if  $\{X_t\}$  is stationary, let  $Y_t = X_t$

• Exer. [305]: with 
$$\mu_Y \equiv E\{Y_t\}$$
, have

- $-E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0 \text{ if either (i) } L > 2d \text{ or (ii) } L = 2d \text{ and } \mu_Y = 0$  $-E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} \neq 0 \text{ if } \mu_Y \neq 0 \text{ and } L = 2d$
- thus have  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$  if L is picked large enough (L > 2d is sufficient, but might not be necessary)
- as the argument that follows shows, highly desirable to have  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$  in order to ease the job of estimating  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$

### **Estimation of a Process Variance: I**

- suppose  $\{U_t\}$  is a stationary process with mean  $\mu_U = E\{U_t\}$ and unknown variance  $\sigma_U^2 = E\{(U_t - \mu_U)^2\}$
- can be difficult to estimate  $\sigma_U^2$  for a stationary process
- to understand why, assume first that  $\mu_U$  is known
- when this is the case, can estimate  $\sigma_U^2$  using

$$\tilde{\sigma}_U^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (U_t - \mu_U)^2$$

• estimator above is unbiased:  $E\{\tilde{\sigma}_U^2\} = \sigma_U^2$ 

### **Estimation of a Process Variance: II**

• if  $\mu_U$  is unknown (more common case), can estimate  $\sigma_U^2$  using

$$\hat{\sigma}_U^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (U_t - \overline{U})^2, \text{ where } \overline{U} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} U_t$$

• can argue that  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} = \sigma_U^2 - \operatorname{var}\{\overline{U}\}$ 

- implies  $0 \le E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \le \sigma_U^2$  because var  $\{\overline{U}\} \ge 0$
- $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \to \sigma_U^2$  as  $N \to \infty$  if SDF exists ... but, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (say,  $0.00 \cdots 01$ ) and sample size N (say,  $N = 10^{10^{10}}$ ), there is some FD( $\delta$ ) process  $\{U_t\}$  with  $\delta$  close to 1/2 such that  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} < \epsilon \cdot \sigma_U^2$ ;

i.e., in general,  $\hat{\sigma}_U^2$  can be *badly* biased even for very large N

#### **Estimation of a Process Variance: III**

• example: realization of FD(0.4) process ( $\sigma_U^2 = 1 \& N = 1000$ )



• using  $\mu_U = 0$  (lower horizontal line), obtain  $\tilde{\sigma}_U^2 \doteq 0.99$ 

- using  $\overline{U} \doteq 0.53$  (upper line), obtain  $\hat{\sigma}_U^2 \doteq 0.71$
- note that this is comparable to  $E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \doteq 0.75$
- for this particular example, we would need  $N \ge 10^{10}$  to get  $\sigma_U^2 E\{\hat{\sigma}_U^2\} \le 0.01$ , i.e., to reduce the bias so that it is no more than 1% of true variance  $\sigma_U^2 = 1$

#### **Estimation of a Process Variance: IV**

- conclusion:  $\hat{\sigma}_U^2$  can have substantial bias if  $\mu_U$  is unknown (can patch up by estimating  $\delta$ , but must make use of model)
- if  $\{X_t\}$  stationary with mean  $\mu_X$ , then, because  $\sum_l \tilde{h}_{j,l} = 0$ ,

$$E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} E\{X_{t-l}\} = \mu_X \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} = 0$$

- because  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  is known, we can form an unbiased estimator of var  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = \nu_X^2(\tau_j)$
- more generally, if  $\{X_t\}$  is nonstationary with stationary increments of order d, we can ensure  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$  if we pick the filter width L such that L > 2d (in some cases, we might be able to get away with just L = 2d)

# Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: VIII

• conclusions:  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  well-defined for  $\{X_t\}$  that is

- stationary: any L will do and  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}=0$ 

- nonstationary with dth order stationary increments: need at least  $L \ge 2d$ , but might need L > 2d to get  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$
- if  $\{X_t\}$  is stationary, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\} < \infty$$

(recall that each RV in a stationary process must have the same finite variance)

## Wavelet Variance for Processes with Stationary Backward Differences: IX

• if  $\{X_t\}$  is nonstationary, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \infty$$

- $\bullet$  with a suitable construction, we can take the variance of a nonstationary process with  $d{\rm th}$  order stationary increments to be  $\infty$
- using this construction, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var} \{X_t\}$$

for both the stationary and nonstationary cases

WMTSA: 299–301, 305

## **Background on Gaussian Random Variables**

- $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  denotes a Gaussian (normal) RV with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$
- will write

$$X \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

to mean 'RV X has same distribution as Gaussian RV'

- RV  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  often written as Z (called standard Gaussian or standard normal)
- let  $\Phi(\cdot)$  be Gaussian cumulative distribution function

$$\Phi(z) \equiv \mathbf{P}[Z \le z] = \int_{-\infty}^{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

• inverse  $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)$  of  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is such that  $\mathbf{P}[Z \leq \Phi^{-1}(p)] = p$ 

•  $\Phi^{-1}(p)$  called  $p \times 100\%$  percentage point

WMTSA: 256-257

### **Background on Chi-Square Random Variables**

• X said to be a chi-square RV with  $\eta$  degrees of freedom if its probability density function (PDF) is given by

$$f_X(x;\eta) = \frac{1}{2^{\eta/2} \Gamma(\eta/2)} x^{(\eta/2)-1} e^{-x/2}, \quad x \ge 0, \ \eta > 0$$

•  $\chi^2_{\eta}$  denotes RV with above PDF

• 3 important facts:  $E\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = \eta$ ; var  $\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = 2\eta$ ; and, if  $\eta$  is a positive integer and if  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_\eta$  are independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ RVs, then

$$Z_1^2 + \dots + Z_\eta^2 \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \chi_\eta^2$$

• let  $Q_{\eta}(p)$  denote the *p*th percentage point for the RV  $\chi_{\eta}^2$ :

$$\mathbf{P}[\chi_{\eta}^2 \le Q_{\eta}(p)] = p$$

#### **Unbiased Estimator of Wavelet Variance: I**

- given a realization of  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{N-1}$  from a process with dth order stationary differences, want to estimate  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$
- for wavelet filter such that  $L \ge 2d$  and  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$ , have

$$\nu_X^2(\tau_j) = \operatorname{var}\left\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\right\} = E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}^2\}$$

• can base estimator on squares of

$$\widetilde{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j-1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \mod N}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

• recall that

$$\overline{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \tilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{Z}$$

#### **Unbiased Estimator of Wavelet Variance: II**

• comparing

$$\widetilde{W}_{j,t} = \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l \mod N} \text{ with } \overline{W}_{j,t} \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{L_j - 1} \widetilde{h}_{j,l} X_{t-l}$$

says that  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t} = \overline{W}_{j,t}$  if 'mod N' not needed; this happens when  $L_j - 1 \le t < N$  (recall that  $L_j = (2^j - 1)(L - 1) + 1$ )

• if  $N - L_j \ge 0$ , unbiased estimator of  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  is

$$\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) \equiv \frac{1}{N - L_j + 1} \sum_{t=L_j - 1}^{N-1} \widetilde{W}_{j,t}^2 = \frac{1}{M_j} \sum_{t=L_j - 1}^{N-1} \overline{W}_{j,t}^2,$$

where  $M_j \equiv N - L_j + 1$ 

# Statistical Properties of $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$

- assume that  $\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\}$  is Gaussian stationary process with mean zero and ACVS  $\{s_{j,\tau}\}$
- suppose  $\{s_{j,\tau}\}$  is such that

$$A_j \equiv \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} s_{j,\tau}^2 < \infty$$

(if  $A_j = \infty$ , can make it finite usually by just increasing L) • can show that  $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$  is asymptotically Gaussian with mean  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  and large sample variance  $2A_j/M_j$ ; i.e.,

$$\frac{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) - \nu_X^2(\tau_j)}{(2A_j/M_j)^{1/2}} = \frac{M_j^{1/2}(\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) - \nu_X^2(\tau_j))}{(2A_j)^{1/2}} \stackrel{\text{d}}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
approximately for large  $M_j \equiv N - L_j + 1$ 

WMTSA: 307

## Estimation of $A_j$

- in practical applications, need to estimate  $A_j = \sum_{\tau} s_{j,\tau}^2$
- can argue that, for large  $M_i$ , the estimator

$$\hat{A}_{j} \equiv \frac{\left(\hat{s}_{j,0}^{(p)}\right)^{2}}{2} + \sum_{\tau=1}^{M_{j}-1} \left(\hat{s}_{j,\tau}^{(p)}\right)^{2},$$

is approximately unbiased, where

$$\hat{s}_{j,\tau}^{(p)} \equiv \frac{1}{M_j} \sum_{t=L_j-1}^{N-1-|\tau|} \widetilde{W}_{j,t} \widetilde{W}_{j,t+|\tau|}, \quad 0 \le |\tau| \le M_j - 1$$

• Monte Carlo results:  $\hat{A}_j$  reasonably good for  $M_j \ge 128$ 

# Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : I

• based upon large sample theory, can form a 100(1-2p)% confidence interval (CI) for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ :

$$\left[\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) - \Phi^{-1}(1-p)\frac{\sqrt{2A_j}}{\sqrt{M_j}}, \hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) + \Phi^{-1}(1-p)\frac{\sqrt{2A_j}}{\sqrt{M_j}}\right];$$

i.e., random interval traps unknown  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  with probability 1-2p

- if  $A_j$  replaced by  $\hat{A}_j$ , approximate 100(1-2p)% CI
- critique: lower limit of CI can very well be negative even though  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j) \ge 0$  always
- can avoid this problem by using a  $\chi^2$  approximation

# Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : II

- $\chi^2_{\eta}$  useful for approximating distribution of linear combinations of squared Gaussians
- let  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_K$  be K independent Gaussian RVs with mean 0 & variance  $\sigma^2$ ; then, since var  $\{U_k^2\} = 2\sigma^4$ ,

$$Q \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k U_k^2 \text{ has } E\{Q\} = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k \& \text{ var} \{Q\} = 2\sigma^4 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k^2$$

- take distribution of Q to be that of the RV  $a\chi_{\eta}^2$ , where a and equivalent degrees of freedom (EDOF)  $\eta$  are to be determined
- because  $E\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = \eta$  and var  $\{\chi_{\eta}^2\} = 2\eta$ , we have  $E\{a\chi_{\eta}^2\} = a\eta$ and var  $\{a\chi_{\eta}^2\} = 2a^2\eta$
- can equate  $E\{Q\}$  & var  $\{Q\}$  to  $a\eta$  &  $2a^2\eta$  to determine a &  $\eta$

WMTSA: 313

Confidence Intervals for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : III

• obtain

$$E\{Q\} = a\eta = \sigma^2 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k$$
 and  $\operatorname{var} \{Q\} = 2a^2\eta = 2\sigma^4 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k^2$ ,

which, when combined, yield

$$\eta = \frac{2(E\{Q\})^2}{\operatorname{var}\{Q\}} = \frac{(\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k)^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^2} \text{ and } a = \sigma^2 \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^2}{\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k}$$

- can also use to approximate sums of correlated squared Gaussians with zero means, e.g.,  $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j) = \frac{1}{M_j} \sum_{t=L_j-1}^{N-1} \overline{W}_{j,t}^2$
- can determine  $\eta$  based upon  $E\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\} = \nu_X^2(\tau_j)$  and an approximation for var  $\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\}$

#### Three Ways to Set $\eta$ : I

1. use large sample theory with appropriate estimates:

$$\eta = \frac{2(E\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\})^2}{\operatorname{var}\{\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)\}} \approx \frac{2\nu_X^4(\tau_j)}{2A_j/M_j} \text{ suggests } \hat{\eta}_1 = \frac{M_j\hat{\nu}_X^4(\tau_j)}{\hat{A}_j}$$

2. assume nominal shape for SDF of  $\{X_t\}$ :  $S_X(f) = hC(f)$ , where  $C(\cdot)$  is known, but h is not; though questionable, get acceptable CIs using

$$\eta_{2} = \frac{2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (M_{j}-1)/2 \rfloor} C_{j}(f_{k})\right)^{2}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor (M_{j}-1)/2 \rfloor} C_{j}^{2}(f_{k})} \& C_{j}(f) \equiv \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{j}^{(D)}(f) C(f) df$$

3. make an assumption about the effect of wavelet filter on  $\{X_t\}$  to obtain simple (but effective!) approximation

$$\eta_3 = \max\{M_j/2^j, 1\}$$

WMTSA: 313-315

# Three Ways to Set $\eta$ : II

- comments on three approaches
  - 1.  $\hat{\eta}_1$  requires estimation of  $A_j$ 
    - works well for  $M_j \ge 128 \ (5\% \text{ to } 10\% \text{ errors on average})$
    - can yield optimistic CIs for smaller  $M_j$
  - 2.  $\eta_2$  requires specification of shape of  $S_X(\cdot)$ 
    - common practice in, e.g., atomic clock literature
  - 3.  $\eta_3$  assumes band-pass approximation
    - default method if  $M_j$  small and there is no reasonable guess at shape of  $S_X(\cdot)$

# Confidence Intervals for $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ : IV

- after  $\eta$  has been determined, can obtain a CI for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$
- Exer. [313b]: with prob. 1 2p, the random interval

$$\left[\frac{\eta\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)}{Q_\eta(1-p)}, \frac{\eta\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)}{Q_\eta(p)}\right]$$

traps the true unknown  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ 

- lower limit is now nonnegative
- get approximate 100(1-2p)% CI for  $\nu_X^2(\tau_j)$ , with approximation improving as  $N \to \infty$ , if we use  $\hat{\eta}_1$  to estimate  $\eta$
- as  $N \to \infty$ , above CI and Gaussian-based CI converge

#### **Atomic Clock Deviates: I**



## **Atomic Clock Deviates: II**

- top plot: errors  $\{X_t\}$  in time kept by atomic clock 571 as compared to time kept at Naval Observatory (measured in microseconds, where 1,000,000 microseconds = 1 second)
- middle: first backward differences  $\{X_t^{(1)}\}$  in nanoseconds (1000 nanoseconds = 1 microsecond)
- bottom: second backward differences  $\{X_t^{(2)}\}$ , also in nanoseconds
- if  $\{X_t\}$  nonstationary with dth order stationary increments, need  $L \ge 2d$ , but might need L > 2d to get  $E\{\overline{W}_{j,t}\} = 0$
- Q: what is an appropriate L here?

### **Atomic Clock Deviates: III**



WMTSA: 319

# Atomic Clock Deviates: IV

- square roots of wavelet variance estimates for atomic clock time errors  $\{X_t\}$  based upon unbiased MODWT estimator with
  - Haar wavelet ( $\mathbf{x}$ 's in left-hand plot, with linear fit)
  - D(4) wavelet (circles in left- and right-hand plots)
  - D(6) wavelet (pluses in left-hand plot).
- Haar wavelet inappropriate
  - need {X<sub>t</sub><sup>(1)</sup>} to be a realization of a stationary process with mean 0 (stationarity might be OK, but mean 0 is way off)
    see Exer. [320b] for explanation of linear appearance
- 95% confidence intervals in the right-hand plot are the square roots of intervals computed using the chi-square approximation with  $\eta$  given by  $\hat{\eta}_1$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, 6$  and by  $\eta_3$  for j = 7 & 8

## Wavelet Variance Analysis of Time Series with Time-Varying Statistical Properties

- each wavelet coefficient  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t}$  formed using portion of  $X_t$
- suppose  $X_t$  associated with actual time  $t_0 + t \Delta t$ 
  - \*  $t_0$  is actual time of first observation  $X_0$
  - \*  $\Delta t$  is spacing between adjacent observations
- suppose  $\tilde{h}_{j,l}$  is least asymmetric Daubechies wavelet
- can associate  $\widetilde{W}_{j,t}$  with an interval of width  $2\tau_j \Delta t$  centered at  $t_0 + (2^j(t+1) 1 |\nu_j^{(H)}| \mod N) \Delta t$ ,

where, e.g.,  $|\nu_j^{(H)}| = [7(2^j - 1) + 1]/2$  for LA(8) wavelet

• can thus form 'localized' wavelet variance analysis (implicitly assumes stationarity or stationary increments locally)

WMTSA: 114–115

### Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: I



- estimated time-dependent LA(8) wavelet variances for physical scale  $\tau_2 \Delta t = 1$  day based upon averages over monthly blocks (30.5 days, i.e., 61 data points)
- plot also shows a representative 95% confidence interval based upon a hypothetical wavelet variance estimate of 1/2 and a chi-square distribution with  $\eta = 15.25$

### Subtidal Sea Level Fluctuations: II



 $2^{j-2}$  days, j = 2, ..., 7, grouped by calendar month

### **Annual Minima of Nile River**



- left-hand plot: annual minima of Nile River
- right: Haar  $\hat{\nu}_X^2(\tau_j)$  before (**x**'s) and after (**o**'s) year 715.5, with 95% confidence intervals based upon  $\chi^2_{\eta_3}$  approximation

Vertical Shear in the Ocean: I



• selected 'stationary' portion of vertical shear measurements  $\{X_t\}$  (top plot) and their first backward differences  $\{X_t^{(1)}\}$ 

#### Vertical Shear in the Ocean: II



• unbiased MODWT wavelet variance estimates using the following wavelet filters: Haar (**x**'s in left-hand plot, through which two regression lines have been fit); D(4) (small circles, righthand plot); D(6) (pluses, both plots); and LA(8) (big circles, right-hand plot).

#### Vertical Shear in the Ocean: III



• D(6) wavelet variance estimates, along with 95% confidence intervals for true wavelet variance with EDOFs determined by, from left to right within each group of 3,  $\hat{\eta}_1$  (estimated from data),  $\eta_2$  (using a nominal model for  $S_X(\cdot)$ ) and  $\eta_3 = \max\{M_j/2^j, 1\}$ 

## Some Extensions and Ongoing Work

- biased estimators of wavelet variance
- unbiased estimator of wavelet variance for 'gappy' time series
- asymptotic theory for non-Gaussian processes satisfying a certain 'mixing' condition
- wavelet cross-covariance and cross-correlation
- extension of notion and estimators to random fields

# Summary

- wavelet variance gives scale-based analysis of variance
- presented statistical theory for Gaussian processes with stationary increments
- in addition to the applications we have considered, the wavelet variance has been used to analyze
  - genome sequences
  - changes in variance of soil properties
  - canopy gaps in forests
  - accumulation of snow fields in polar regions
  - boundary layer atmospheric turbulence
  - regular and semiregular variable stars