Mathematical Methods for Philosophy: In-Class Exercises 22/10/2013

Exercise 1: Identify all of the positive subformula in the following formula:

1. $\neg \neg p$ 2. $\neg p \lor (q\&(r \lor \neg s))$ 3. $(p \to (q \to r))$ 4. $(\neg p \to q)$

Exercise 2: Construct strategic proofs of the following two arguments. Make sure to write down if you "back track" at any point.

| Argument 1 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $(p \lor q) \to r$ |
| $\boxed{\neg \left(\left(p\&\neg r\right) \lor \left(q\&\neg r\right) \right) }$ |

| Argument 2 |
|------------------------|
| $p \rightarrow q$ |
| $\neg p \rightarrow q$ |
| \overline{q} |

Exercise 3: Translate the following argument into sentential logic, and provide a proof.

- Either Jim or Jane fed the chickens, but only one of them fed the chickens.
- If the chickens were fed, then they are happy now.
- If the chickens were fed by both Jim and Jane, then would be dead from overeating.
- Conclusion: Either the chickens are happy now or they are dead.