Astro 190 Winter 2018

Bruce Balick, <u>balick@uw.edu</u>, 206.543.7683 (no "social media") https://faculty.washington.edu/balick/astro190/

• Required text: Ostriker & Mitton (\$25?)

- One or two chapters per week

- About math and algebra
- About homework, term papers, & guest lectures
- About grades
 - homework (7@50% total), 2 term papers (15+25%), participation (10%)
- About the struggle
 - Thinking and visualizing are key parts of the course
 - I'm here to help!

Reading: Prologue, Chapter 1 this week

Homework 1: coming by email in a day or two. Due January 14. Research: *use the internet as needed.*

Is there a cosmic purpose?

Any answer lies far beyond the scope of science!

When Did Humanity Begin to Ponder the Birth and Purpose of the Universe?

- There is a preponderance of evidence that there was a Birth
 - We have a corpus
- There is no evidence that the Universe has a purpose
 - We don't have an agreed or testable purpose or motivation
 - So we fake it!
 - Cultures love to make up stories ("cultural stories")
 - with no interest in evaluating their veracity
 - Typically, the stories invoke sentient and powerful deities with oversized abilities (that are impossible for mortals) and diverse motivations who control events and our experiences (if not our very lives)
 - What are some Judeo-Christian examples?

The ancient Greeks invented science as a means to understand their world

- The ancient Greeks inherited a set of argumentative and powerful supernatural beings from earlier local cultures. Their decisions allegedly (but mysteriously) explain our experiences.
 - "gods of the gaps": replacing ignorance with fables-legends
 - The same type of history applies to Judaism (Gary Martin lecture)
- Science began when Thales et al. (~624-546 BCE) embraced the heretical notion that experience is governed by natural, universal, and timeless laws that we are capable of
 - recognizing and articulating (science and math) and
 - exploiting for our benefit (engineering)

Early Cosmology

- The early physical laws and concepts of cosmology arise from
 - careful observations of the skies and motions of the planets using primitive technology,
 - vivid imaginations, and
 - intense determination to fill the gaps
 - (later assembled into a credible cosmology by Aristotle and Plato)
- Geocentric model for the cosmos.
 - Earth is huge and massive, so it takes the central position
 - Is geocentrism really only egocentrism?

Geocentrism



Geocentrism: It seems so right!

Why don't you believe it now?



Post-Roman Cosmology

- Geocentrism morphed from a geometric-mathematical model to theological dogma before the early Renaissance
 - at first we didn't have the tools to evaluate the model
 - there were no contending models that worked any better
 - The Vatican insisted that geocentrism reflected God's intention of placing us at the very (and unique) center of the action
 - The Renaissance was an era of increasing literacy, curiosity, and skepticism of established notions
 - Copernicus proposed a radical model of the Sun at the cosmic center
 - Galileo was a cynic of everything Aristotelian. The telescope provided him with a vital new tool to undermine geocentrism. He used it brilliantly.
 - First, his images of the orbiting Jovian moons G's showed that the Earth is not the enter of all orbital motions
 - Soon thereafter telescopic his observations of the phases Venus were starkly incompatible with geocentrism

Timeline: Renaissance Astronomy and the second great Era of Discovery



Nicolas Copernicus (1473-1543, primarily Poland)

Image: State of the s

Sun in Greek:

"ilios" ήλιος

<u>Heliocentrism: The currently fashionable model.</u>

You have been taught that this model is correct. Is that what makes it more credible than other models?

Giordano Bruno (1548-1600, primarily Tuscany)



Galileo Galilei (a pesky nerd) (1564-1642, primarily Tuscany)







Galileo's observations stripped the myths of heavenly perfection and our divine place in the heavens.

Galileo's first telescopic observations: The Moon (Padova, 1609).

Talk about imperfect heavenly bodies!







Phases of Venus Galileo saw this:



"The bible teaches us how to go to heaven, not how the heavens go." – Cardinal Baronius (mistakenly attributed to Galileo)

Geocentric Model



a) If Venus moved in an epicycle on the Earth-Sun line, it would always appear as a crescent. Heliocentric Model



b) Viewed through Galileo's telescope, Venus is seen to go through a full set of phases, consistent with the Copernican world-view, and contradicting the Ptolemaic one.

What do you believe? Epistemology: Why do you believe it?



Vatican: "fake facts"

