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This is not a scientific talk, but a political talk about science.

I want to talk about which research questions we choose to answer.
The computing education research (CER) community is awesome!
Our mission from 1970-present...

How do we teach programming effectively, equitably, and at scale to post-secondary students?
Our missions from 2000-present...

- How can we equitably and effectively teach CS to every child in primary and secondary education?

- How can we ensure everyone feels welcome in computing, not just white and Asian boys and men?
We’re not done with these missions.

But, I believe **bigger problems** deserve our scarce attention.
Automation, amplified by computing, may cause **global economic disruption**.
Climate change is causing mass migration, natural disasters, and political upheaval.
Disinformation is **amplifying** these trends, destabilizing democracies.
The consequences of these problems are falling upon our most marginalized people.
What do these have to do with computing?
As a CS major, I learned that...

CS values **efficiency** over **humanity**.
(As if automation is always good. It’s not.)

But I learned later about the **limits** of computing, and how focusing on efficiency can harm humanity.
As a CS major, I learned that...

CS values **power** over **sustainability**.
(As if computing resources are free. They’re not.)

*I later learned that the choices I make in software have consequences, and I'm **responsible** for those consequences.*
As a CS major, I learned that...

CS values **neutrality** over **truth**.
(As if software doesn’t have an opinion. It does.)

I later learned that software isn't neutral because the **data** that moves through it isn't neutral, nor are the **algorithms** that process it.
As a CS major, I learned that...

CS values **competition** over **justice**.
(As if society is a pure meritocracy. It’s not.)

I later learned that the world isn't a meritocracy, but one that often reinforces power and ignores **diversity**.
The values in CS reinforce, amplify, and contribute to the world's 21st century grand challenges.
But we can change the values of CS...

Prioritize efficiency  →  Accept the limits of computing
Maximize convenience  →  Accept responsibility
Maintain neutrality  →  Accept that data is non-neutral
Maintain meritocracy  →  Design for diversity
My proposal

Our most urgent global problems demand that we integrate **four ideas** into CS curricula:

- The limits of computing
- Social responsibility
- Data literacy
- Designing for diversity
The rest of this talk...

- Why the limits of computing?
- Why social responsibility?
- Why data literacy?
- Why diversity?
- Call to action
The limits of computing
Computing is powerful.
Many people believe that the power of computing always makes things better.
Judges in the U.S. are automating sentencing because they believe that machine learning can’t be wrong.
Faith in technology to **solve any problem** is used as a justification for inaction on climate change policy.
Belief that **algorithms are neutral** has concentrated power in Facebook, Apple, Amazon, Netflix and Google.
Developers are spending their time making apps to "solve" homelessness, rather than addressing housing costs.
Everyone in the world needs to know that...

Software is often wrong. Garbage in, garbage out.

Software isn’t neutral. It expresses the values encoded by its makers.

Software isn’t free. People have to make it, energy has to power it.

Software can’t solve every problem. No software will ever solve poverty.
If everyone knew these limits...

Judges and driverless car users might have less faith in algorithms to make autonomous decisions, preventing death.

The public might be more skeptical that technology alone will save us from climate change, promoting policy change.

 Consumers might be more mindful about the price that they and others are paying for a "free" internet, catalyzing regulation.

Developers might spend less time making unhelpful apps and more time advocating for meaningful social change.
Teaching everyone to code only strengthens belief in the power of computing.

When people learn to program, they come to believe that:

1) Programming is powerful.
2) Programmers are powerful wizards who can do anything.

They learn nothing about what it can’t do, only that “smart people” can make computers do anything.
Research opportunities

- How do we teach the limits of computing in a way that transfers to **everyday life**?
- How do we integrate these ideas into primary, secondary, and post-secondary education?
- How do we **prepare teachers** at all levels to teach these the limits of computing in transferable ways?
Social responsibility
Most CS education is agnostic to what students choose to make.

- We focus on programming
- We focus on whatever students’ interests happen to be (games, apps, jobs, money)
Of course, what students choose to make matters.
When students become developers, what ethical stands against automation should they fight for?

We are Google employees. Google must drop Dragonfly.

We are Google employees and we join Amnesty International in calling on Google to cancel project Dragonfly, Google’s effort to create a censored search engine for the Chinese market that enables state surveillance.

We are among thousands of employees who have raised our voices for
Should students’ first jobs be e-commerce at Amazon or sustainable energy?
Should they innovate in social media or in sustainable business models for **ethical journalism**?
Why students ignore big problems

- Wealthy companies are better at recruiting, but we do little to show them the alternatives.
- Students want to work on new technology, but we rarely talk about applications outside of consumer technology.
- Students value wealth and status, but we rarely attempt to change their values.
Every developer needs to know that...

- The **problems they choose to work on** will shape the world.

- **Where they choose to work** will determine problems they work on.

- To make these choices, we need to help them develop their **values and ethics**, and their entrepreneurial skills.
If developers had different values...

We might have products and services that **augment** human ability rather than try to replace it.

We might have more products and services **addressing** climate change.

We might have a more robust **free press and stable democracy**.

We might have **more effective public and private services** for people with the greatest needs in society.
Educating great programmers will not teach them social responsibility.

When people learn to program, they come to believe that:

1) Programming is about **puzzle solving, not social change**
2) Developers' responsibility is purely **technical, not social**

They learn nothing about the role that software developers play in shaping our products, services, governments, and communities.
Research opportunities

- How do we convince students that they are responsible for their technical and career choices?
- How do we prepare students to make socially responsible career decisions across their lifespan?
- How do we empower students to start socially responsible software companies?
Data literacy
Google with and without data
CS largely ignores the power of data

- When people think of Facebook, they think of apps, the Internet, and news feed algorithms, **not the content that billions of people give Facebook for free and make Facebook valuable.**

- When people learn about machine learning, they learn of algorithms, **not the data required to power it, and what it takes to produce, maintain, and repair that data.**
Of course, data is central to computing, and is often the source of the harms caused by computing
Facial recognition datasets have severe race and gender biases, but law enforcement uses it anyway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender Classifier</th>
<th>Darker Male</th>
<th>Darker Female</th>
<th>Lighter Male</th>
<th>Lighter Female</th>
<th>Largest Gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.3%</td>
<td>20.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACE++</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td>99.2%</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>88.0%</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It's humanity's **hunger for data, not algorithms** that drives the massive power consumption in data centers.
Social media companies have quickly learned that disinformation can **kill, bully, violate, and destabilize**.
Metadata used in machine learned models reinforce invalid models of gender, leading to harassment.


Everyone in the world needs to understand that...

- **Data is an imperfect record**, and can often be entirely wrong (e.g., my car title still says "Andrew"; do I still own my car?)

- **Data is always about the past**, not the future (e.g., CS is predominantly male, but won't always be)

- **Data is always biased**, encoding the values of the people who captured it (e.g., my medical record says I’m female; am I?)
If everyone knew these facts...

We might stop developers from using biased, incorrect, unrepresentative data to automate the world.

We might reduce people's faith in machine learning to solve every problem, nudging toward policy solutions.

We might grow demand for better data privacy rights (e.g., GDPR++)

We might prevent computing from amplifying bias in the world.
Teaching everyone to code will not teach data literacy.

When people learn to program, they come to believe that:

1) Data is abstract, free of values, free of meaning, and free in general.
2) Algorithms are the primary lever of computation, not data.

They learn nothing about what data is, how it is limited, and how algorithms can amplify the harm of data.
Research opportunities

- How do we teach the limits of data in a way that transfers to decisions in everyday life and software engineering?

- How do we integrate ideas about data into primary, secondary, and post-secondary education?

- How do we prepare teachers at all levels to teach these the limits of data in transferable ways?
Designing for diversity
Diversity

It is a fact of nature and society.

But it’s a fact often ignored in the design of software.

It’s also a fact at the foundation of the three areas of knowledge I’ve proposed.
Diversity limits the power of computing

- Automation can actually work great for "normal", "typical", "average" cases.

- But diversity guarantees that non-normality.

- Whether deterministic algorithms or data-driven probabilistic reasoning, software struggles with diversity, and our students don’t know this.
Diversity increases social responsibility

- Responsibility comes with power.
- Disrupting every facet of society, CS has become responsible for everything and everyone.
- Our students don’t recognize the power we don’t educate them to use it responsibly.
Data literacy is diversity literacy

- Data can do harm precisely because of diversity.
- Data bias, disinformation, data privacy are fundamentally about who is represented by data.
- Our students don’t comprehend the true meaning of a bit and it’s ability to entrench power, warp society, and destroy lives.

Every developer needs to know that...

- It’s because of diversity that computing is limited.
- It’s because of diversity that they are responsible.
- It’s because of diversity that data does harm.
- And so if developers ignore diversity, they’re ignoring a central property of software as a medium and a tool for change.
If developers knew these ideas...

Developers might seriously consider not creating something because of the harm it might do.

Developers might choose projects that help everyone rather than just people like them.

Developers might acknowledge the power that they have to make or break democracy.

Developers might recognize that the world is far more complicated than they think, humbling them.
Teaching everyone to **code** will **not** teach how to design for diversity.

When people learn to program, we teach:

1) The simple, average cases for highly abstracted problems
2) That good design is a computational matter, not a social one.

They learn nothing about the diversity of humanity or society, or how their software design choices interact with this diversity. Today, most of academic CS doesn’t view these as part of computer science.
Research opportunities

- How do we teach diversity in the context of computing in a way that transfers into software design contexts?
- How do we prepare developers to design for outliers instead of averages?
- How do we prepare teachers to teach these ideas?
Call to action
Remember, our community is awesome
Here’s what we talked about at Koli 2018

- Better ways of teaching programming
- Understanding student conceptions
- Describing and critiquing curricula
- Assessing programming knowledge
Here’s what we’re talking about at Koli 2019

Better ways of teaching programming
Understanding student conceptions
Assessing programming knowledge
Describing and critiquing curricula
Replication
Research skills
What we *should* talk about at Koli 2020

- Effective ways of teaching the limits of computing
- Effective ways of teaching social responsibility
- Effective ways of teaching data literacy
- How to integrate these topics into curricula
- How to assess this knowledge
- How teaching programming affects the above
We are the *only* community positioned to answer these questions and change CS education. It is our responsibility.
Thank you!

Amy J. Ko, Ph.D. she/her/hers

Teach and research the learning of:

- The limits of computing
- Social responsibility
- Data literacy
- Diversity
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