Below is a list of aluminosilicate minerals formed from weathering of K-feldspar. Note that many of the minerals formed in the real-world weathering environment have complicated structures and compositions. The phases listed below are simple analogs for these minerals. Remember also that most of the thermodynamic data listed below are estimated or derived "empirically" - in other words, the various equilibrium constants are juggled until predicted mineral stabilities match geological observations.

K – feldspar: \( \text{KAlSi}_3 \text{O}_8 \) weathers to form ...

Gibbsite: \( \text{Al(OH)}_3 \)
Kaolinite: \( \text{Al}_2 \text{Si}_2 \text{O}_5 \) (OH)\(_4\)
Muscovite (an analog of illite, but with a better defined composition): \( \text{KAl}_2 \text{Si}_3 \text{AlO}_{10} \) (OH)\(_2\)
Pyrophyllite: \( \text{Al}_2 \text{Si}_4 \text{O}_{10} \) (OH)\(_2\)

The weathering reactions that occur when K – feldspar comes into contact with rainwater, river water or soil moisture generally consume \( \text{H}^+ \) and release dissolved \( \text{K}^+ \) to solution. Aqueous silica, (which we can write as \( \text{SiO}_2 \) even though the dissolved species is more correctly described as the hydrated neutral complex \( \text{H}_4 \text{SiO}_4 \)) is a participant in many reactions. Aluminum is found at very low concentrations in most natural waters. For these reasons, it is logical to choose to describe the weathering process in terms of reactions that:

1. Keep Al in the solid phases rather than liberate dissolved \( \text{Al}^{3+} \), which is not observed. This is referred to as 'consuming Al to the solid phases'.
2. Consume or release \( \text{K}^+ \) relative to \( \text{H}^+ \) and consume or release \( \text{SiO}_2 \). Changes in the fluid phase composition that take place during weathering can be described most easily in terms of the activity ratio \( \frac{a_{\text{K}^+}}{a_{\text{H}^+}} \) and the silica activity \( a_{\text{SiO}_2} \). We can plot the fluid composition on a diagram with axes \( \log_{10} \left( \frac{a_{\text{K}^+}}{a_{\text{H}^+}} \right) \) and \( \log_{10} \left( a_{\text{SiO}_2} \right) \).

**Example 1 - Weathering of K-feldspar to kaolinite**

Consider the reaction:

\[
\text{K – feldspar} + \text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2 \text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{kaolinite} + \text{K}^+ + \text{SiO}_2(\text{aq}) \quad \text{Now balance it:}
\]

\[
2 \text{KAlSi}_3 \text{O}_8 + 2 \text{H}^+ + \text{H}_2 \text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Al}_2 \text{Si}_2 \text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + 2 \text{K}^+ + 4 \text{SiO}_2(\text{aq})
\]

... and write the expression for \( K_{eq} = \frac{(a_{\text{K}^+})^2 (a_{\text{SiO}_2(\text{aq})}^4}{(a_{\text{H}^+})^2} = 10^{-1.8} \)

Taking the log of both sides (be sure you see how and why we do this), gives:

\[
\log_{10} \left( \frac{a_{\text{K}^+}}{a_{\text{H}^+}} \right) = -0.9 - 2 \log_{10} \left( a_{\text{SiO}_2(\text{aq})} \right)
\]

This is the equation of a straight line, with slope -2 and intercept -0.9 in our \( \log \left( \frac{a_{\text{K}^+}}{a_{\text{H}^+}} \right) \) vs \( a_{\text{SiO}_2} \) diagram. The line represents the narrow range of \( \text{K}^+ \),
H⁺ and dissolved SiO₂ activities at which K – feldspar and kaolinite can coexist. Either side of this line the K⁺, H⁺ and SiO₂ activities favor the stability of one mineral over the other. To the right (high a_{SiO₂(aq)}), K – feldspar is stable. To the left (lower a_{SiO₂(aq)}), kaolinite is the stable phase.

Example 2 - weathering of K-feldspar to 'muscovite'

Similarly, for the reaction:

K – feldspar + H⁺ ⇌ muscovite + K⁺ + SiO₂(aq),

which we can balance by ensuring Al is conserved between the solid minerals:

3 KAlSi₃O₈ + 2 H⁺ ⇌ KAl₂Si₃O₁₀(OH)₂ + 2 K⁺ + 6 SiO₂(aq)

... giving \( K_{eq} = \frac{(a_{K⁺})^2 (a_{SiO₂(aq)})^6}{(a_{H⁺})^2} = 10^{-8.2} \).

Hence K-feldspar and muscovite can only be stable together at K⁺, H⁺ and dissolved SiO₂ activities lying along the line

\[ \log_{10} \left( \frac{a_{K⁺}}{a_{H⁺}} \right) = -4.1 - 3 \log_{10} (a_{SiO₂}) . \]

Again, high a_{SiO₂(aq)} favors K – feldspar, low silica activity favors muscovite.

Example 3 - the reaction between kaolinite and 'muscovite'.

What conditions favor kaolinite over muscovite, or vice versa? Again, write a transformation reaction involving the two minerals and determine its slope

2 KAl₂Si₃O₁₀(OH)₂ + 2 H⁺ + 3 H₂O ⇌ 3 Al₂Si₃O₈(OH)₄ + 2 K⁺

Notice that silica does not appear as a product or reactant in this reaction. This should suggest to you what the slope of the phase boundary will be.

For the reaction above \( K_{eq} = \frac{(a_{K⁺})^2}{(a_{H⁺})^2} = 10^{11.0} \).

Hence kaolinite reacts with water to form muscovite across a phase boundary given by the line

\[ \log_{10} \left( \frac{a_{K⁺}}{a_{H⁺}} \right) = 5.5, \text{ with slope zero.} \]

Use these examples to help you complete this week’s lab, in which you're asked to write and balance similar reactions between gibbsite, K-feldspar, kaolinite, muscovite and quartz. Notice also that even when you're not given a value for \( K_{eq} \) of a reaction (and therefore can't figure out the intercept of the phase boundary) you can still determine its slope from the reaction stoichiometry.