A short note on linear representation of the Cox's profile likelihood estimator

Yen-Chi Chen University of Washington June 2, 2020

In survival analysis, the Cox model is a powerful method for understanding the effect of covariates on the time-to-event variable. Consider a typical right-censoring data where we observe IID

$$(Y_1, X_1, \Delta_1), \cdots, (Y_n, X_n, \Delta_n),$$

where $Y_i = \min\{T_i, C_i\}$ is the observed time and $T_i \ge 0$ is the time-to-event of interest and $C_i \ge 0$ is the censoring time and $\Delta_i = I(Y_i = T_i)$ is the censoring indicator and $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the covariate. We assume the typical assumption that

$$T \perp C | X.$$

Let $P(t|x) = P(T \le t|X = x)$ be the CDF of *T* given X = x and S(t|x) = 1 - P(t|x) is the survival time and $h(t|x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \log S(t|x)$ be the hazard function and $H(t|x) = \int_0^t h(s|x) ds$ is the cumulative hazard.

The Cox (proportional hazard) model assumes that

$$h(t|x) = h_0(t) \exp(\beta^T x).$$

And the goal is to estimate the coefficients β .

It is known that we can estimate β by solving the following *profile/partial likelihood (score) equation*:

$$\widehat{\beta} : 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i \left(X_i - \frac{S_n^{(1)}(Y_i; \widehat{\beta})}{S_n^{(0)}(Y_i; \widehat{\beta})} \right)$$

$$S_n^{(1)}(t; \beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\beta^T X_i) X_i$$

$$S_n^{(0)}(t; \beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\beta^T X_i)$$
(1)

It is well-known that the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent under regularity conditions and has asymptotic normality. Although one may expect this result from the usual theory of estimating equations, equation (1) is not a simple estimating equation that consists of summation of IID terms because $S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)$ and $S_n^{(0)}(t;]beta$) depend on every observation.

This fact has made the analysis a bit complicated. Here we will provide a simple way to illustrate the asymptotic linear form (summation of IID random elements) of $\hat{\beta}$ even if equation (1) does not consists of IID terms. The asymptotic linear form of $\hat{\beta}$ makes the consistency and asymptotic normality very straight forward.

While there are many ways to derive this, the approach we will be using is based on the following paper:

[LW1989] Lin, D. Y., & Wei, L. J. (1989). The robust inference for the Cox proportional hazards model. Journal of the American statistical Association, 84(408), 1074-1078.

To start with, we describe the population version of equation (1):

$$\beta^*: 0 = \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_i \left(X_i - \frac{s^{(1)}(Y_i; \beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(Y_i; \beta^*)}\right)\right]$$

$$s^{(1)}(t; \beta) = \mathbb{E}\left[I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\beta^T X_i) X_i\right]$$

$$s^{(0)}(t; \beta) = \mathbb{E}\left[I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\beta^T X_i)\right]$$
(2)

We will show that

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\beta} - \beta^*) = \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + o_P(1), \tag{3}$$

where ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are IID random vectors (they are the influence function evaluated at each observation).

1 Derivation of the asymptotic linear form

Step 1: Taylor expansion. Let $U_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \left(X_i - \frac{S_n^{(1)}(Y_i;\beta)}{S_n^{(0)}(Y_i;\beta)} \right)$ and $U_0(\beta) = \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_i \left(X_i - \frac{s^{(1)}(Y_i;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(Y_i;\beta)} \right) \right]$. Using equation (1) and (2), we can easily decompose

$$U_n(\beta^*) = U_n(\beta^*) - U_n(\beta)$$

= $(\beta^* - \widehat{\beta})^T \nabla U_n(\beta^*) + \text{smaller order terms.}$

Ignoring the smaller order terms, we obtain

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^* \approx [\nabla U_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)]^{-1} U_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)$$

One can show that under suitable conditions, the matrix

$$[\nabla U_n(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)]^{-1} \xrightarrow{P} [\nabla U(\boldsymbol{\beta}^*)]^{-1}$$

and is invertible. Thus, all we need to focus is the term $U_n(\beta^*)$.

We will show that $U_n(\beta^*)$ has an asymptotic linear expansion.

Step 2: linking U_n to empirical process. We will derive an alternative representation of U_n to make a clear

link to the empirical process. Define $N_i(t) = I(Y_i \le t, \Delta_i = 1)$. Then $U_n(\beta)$ can be represented as

$$U_{n}(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i} \left(X_{i} - \frac{S_{n}^{(1)}(Y_{i};\beta)}{S_{n}^{(0)}(Y_{i};\beta)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \left(X_{i} - \frac{S_{n}^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{S_{n}^{(0)}(t;\beta)} \right) dN_{i}(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int X_{i} dN_{i}(t) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \frac{S_{n}^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{S_{n}^{(0)}(t;\beta)} dN_{i}(t)}_{(*)}$$

(4)

We will now focus on the term (*). Let $G_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i \le t, \Delta_i = 1)$. This quantity behaves like the observed event empirical distribution function but note that the denominator is *n*. Let $\bar{G}(t) = \mathbb{E}[G_n(t)]$. We then decompose (*) by the following

$$(*) = \int \frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta)} dG_n(t)$$

= $\underbrace{\int \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)} d(G_n(t) - \bar{G}(t))}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\int \frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta)} d\bar{G}(t)}_{(II)}$
+ $\underbrace{\int \left(\frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta)} - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)}\right) d(G_n(t) - \bar{G}(t))}_{(III)}.$ (5)

Step 3: controlling (III). First, we want to note that by the usual empirical process theory, $\sqrt{n}(G_n(t) - \overline{G}(t))$ converges to to a Gaussian process uniformly. Also, one can easily see that

$$S_n^{(1)}(t;\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T X_i) X_i$$

is essentially an average of IID random element and $\mathbb{E}[S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)] = s^{(1)}(t;\beta)$. Assuming that both *T* and *C* are bounded from the above and the parameter β is restricted to a compact set \mathcal{B} , one can easily show that the function

$$\{\eta_{t,\beta}(y,x) = I(y \le t) \exp(\beta^T x) : t \in [0,\bar{T}], \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

forms a GC class so $\sup_{t,\beta} |S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta) - s^{(1)}(t;\beta)| = o_P(1)$ and similarly $\sup_{t,\beta} |S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta) - s^{(0)}(t;\beta)| = o_P(1)$. As a result, one can see that $(III) = o_P(1/\sqrt{n})$.

Step 4: controlling (I) and (II). Now we control the second term (II). We denote

$$\varepsilon_j = S_n^{(j)}(t;\beta) - s^{(j)}(t;\beta)$$

for j = 0, 1. Due to the uniform convergence property, ε_j is approaching 0. So we can rewrite the ratio using the Taylor's theorem as

$$\begin{split} \frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta)} &= \frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta) + \varepsilon_0} \\ &= \frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)})} \\ &\approx \frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)}\right) \\ &\approx \frac{1}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)} \left(S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta) - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)}\varepsilon_0\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)} \left(S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta) - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta)}S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta) + s^{(1)}(t;\beta)\right). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$(II) \approx \int \left(\frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} \frac{S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} + \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} \right) d\bar{G}(t).$$

Notice that the third term of (II) also appears in (I). So

$$(I) + (II) + (III) = \int \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} dG_n(t) + \int \left(\frac{S_n^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} \frac{S_n^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)}\right) d\bar{G}(t) + o_P(1/\sqrt{n}).$$

Step 5: Final expression. Using the fact that $G_n(t), S_n^{(j)}(t; \beta^*)$ are both summation of IID terms, we can rewrite (*) as

$$(*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i + o_P(1/\sqrt{n}),$$

$$W_i = \int \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} dN_i(t) + \int \frac{I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\beta^{*T} X_i)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} \left(X_i - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)}\right) d\bar{G}(t).$$
(6)

Note that W_1, \dots, W_n are IID random variables. Putting this into equation (4), we conclude that

$$U_n(\beta^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i + o_P(1/\sqrt{n}),$$

$$\Gamma_i = \int X_i dN_i(t) + W_i = X_i \Delta_i + W_i$$
(7)

and W_1, \dots, W_n are IID random elements. As a result, the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ can be written as

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\beta} - \beta^*) = [\nabla U(\beta^*)]^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i \Delta_i + W_i) + o_P(1),$$

which provides an asymptotic linear form of the estimator in equation (3) with $\xi_i = [\nabla U(\beta^*)]^{-1}(X_i\Delta_i + W_i)$. The asymptotic normality can be derived easily under this form (note: it is not hard to see that $E[X_i\Delta_i + W_i] = 0$).

2 Remarks

• In [LW1989], they derive the asymptotic linear form in terms of time-varying covariates. In this case, the covariate $X_i = X_i(t)$ and we observe the covariate $\{X_t(t) : t \in [0, Y_i]\}$ until the observed time point Y_i . The score equation remains very similar; here is the score equation (c.f. equation (1)):

$$\widehat{\beta} : 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i} \left(X_{i}(Y_{i}) - \frac{S_{n}^{(1)}(Y_{i};\widehat{\beta})}{S_{n}^{(0)}(Y_{i};\widehat{\beta})} \right)$$

$$S_{n}^{(1)}(t;\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y_{i} \ge t) \exp(\beta^{T} X_{i}(t)) X_{i}(t)$$

$$S_{n}^{(0)}(t;\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(Y_{i} \ge t) \exp(\beta^{T} X_{i}(t))$$
(8)

and one can modify the population version in equation (2) accordingly. The asymptotic linear form remains very similar and we only need to modify

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_i = \int X_i(t) dN_i(t) + W_i \\ &W_i = \int \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} dN_i(t) + \int \frac{I(Y_i \ge t) \exp(\beta^{*T} X_i(t))}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} \left(X_i(t) - \frac{s^{(1)}(t;\beta^*)}{s^{(0)}(t;\beta^*)} \right) d\bar{G}(t) \end{split}$$

and $s^{(j)}(t;\beta^*)$ is the modified version of the population quantity.

• This idea can be combined with IPW estimators under complex design. In particular, the following paper discussed the idea of generalizing it into a survey sample problem:

Lin, D. Y. (2000). On fitting Cox's proportional hazards models to survey data. Biometrika, 87(1), 37-47.

And the following paper considered the problem of missing covariates:

Lin, D. Y., & Ying, Z. (1993). Cox regression with incomplete covariate measurements. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 88(424), 1341-1349.

Note that both of the above papers are working on time-varying covariates as well.