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## Density Estimation

## Density Estimation: Introduction

- Recall that a statistical model views the data as random variables $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ from an unknown distribution function $P(x)$.
- We further assume that such a distribution function has a probability density function (PDF) $p(x)$.


## Density Estimation: Introduction

- Recall that a statistical model views the data as random variables $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$ from an unknown distribution function $P(x)$.
- We further assume that such a distribution function has a probability density function (PDF) $p(x)$.
- In most cases, we do not know the PDF $p(x)$ but we want to reconstruct it from the data.
- The goal of density estimation is to estimate $p(x)$ using $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$.
- In other words, the parameter of interest is the PDF $p(x)$.


## Parametric Approach: Introduction

- The PDF $p(x)$ is a function. It may not be easily estimated.
- A simple approach is to further assume that $p(x)=p(x ; \theta)$ for some parameter $\theta$.
- Then estimating $p$ can be done by estimating $\theta$.
- Estimating a value is much easier than estimating the entire function.


## Parametric Approach: MLE

- We can estimate the parameters by the MLE.
- Here is an example of assuming the data being normally distributed: $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
- In this case, we only need to estimate the two parameters $\mu, \sigma^{2}$.


## Parametric Approach: MLE

- We can estimate the parameters by the MLE.
- Here is an example of assuming the data being normally distributed: $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
- In this case, we only need to estimate the two parameters $\mu, \sigma^{2}$.
- The estimators are

$$
\widehat{\mu}_{M L E}=\bar{X}_{n}, \quad \widehat{\sigma}_{M L E}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

- Then the estimated density function is

$$
\widehat{p}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \widehat{\sigma}_{M L E}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\widehat{\mu}_{M L E}\right)^{2}}{2 \widehat{\sigma}_{M L E}^{2}}} .
$$

- Note that MLE is not the only approach to estimate the parameter; one can use method of moments or other approaches.


## Parametric Approach: Mixture Model - 1

- Sometimes simple parametric models such as Gaussian, exponential, Gamma distribution are too restrictive to capture the complicated structure of the data.
- For instance, if the distribution has a bimodal density (two local maxima), none of these traditional model is reasonable.
- In this case, the mixture model may be useful.
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- The idea of mixture model is to assume that the PDF can be written as a mixture of several 'simple' parametric densities.
- For instance, Gaussian mixture model assumes

$$
p(x)=\omega_{1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{1}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}}}+\cdots+\omega_{K} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma_{K}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_{K}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{K}^{2}}},
$$

where $\omega_{1}+\cdots+\omega_{K}=1$ and $\omega_{\ell}>0$.

- The above model assumes that the PDF consists of $K$ components and each component is a Gaussian.
- The quantities $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{K}$ are the mixing proportion of each component.
- In this case, the parameters are

$$
\theta=\left(\omega_{1}, \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \cdots, \omega_{K}, \mu_{K}, \sigma_{K}^{2}\right)
$$

## Parametric Approach: Mixture Model - 3

- We can estimate a mixture model using the MLE again.
- However, performing MLE in the mixture model is often computationally difficult and in general, there is no closed form solution to the MLE.
- People often use a method called EM algorithm to compute the MLE.


## Parametric Approach: Mixture Model - 3

- We can estimate a mixture model using the MLE again.
- However, performing MLE in the mixture model is often computationally difficult and in general, there is no closed form solution to the MLE.
- People often use a method called EM algorithm to compute the MLE.
- In additional to the computational challenges, the identifiability is another issue of the mixture model (different parameters lead to the same PDF).


## Nonparametric Approach: Introduction

- Parametric models only require estimating a few parameters to estimate the PDF.
- However, they are either too restrictive to capture the intricate structure of the PDF or computationally infeasible.


## Nonparametric Approach: Introduction

- Parametric models only require estimating a few parameters to estimate the PDF.
- However, they are either too restrictive to capture the intricate structure of the PDF or computationally infeasible.
- An alternative approach is to estimate the PDF nonparametrically.
- Namely, we directly estimate the PDF without assuming a parametric form of the PDF.


## Nonparametric Approach: Histogram

- A simple nonparametric approach is the histogram.
- A histogram first bins the entire range into equal width bins and counts the number of observation within each bin.
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- A histogram first bins the entire range into equal width bins and counts the number of observation within each bin.
- To make a histogram a density estimator, we need to rescale the Y -axis a bit.
- Instead of using the count of numbers observations within each bin, we need to divide the count by the total number of observations and the width of the bin.


## Nonparametric Approach: Histogram

- A simple nonparametric approach is the histogram.
- A histogram first bins the entire range into equal width bins and counts the number of observation within each bin.
- To make a histogram a density estimator, we need to rescale the Y -axis a bit.
- Instead of using the count of numbers observations within each bin, we need to divide the count by the total number of observations and the width of the bin.
- Assume our histogram has bins $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{K}$ and all bins have width $L$.
- For a point $x$ within the bin $B_{\ell}$, the density estimated by the histogram is

$$
\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)=\frac{\# \text { of } X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n} \text { within } B_{\ell}}{n \cdot L}
$$

## Bias-Variance Tradeoff - 1

- Is the histogram a good estimator?
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## Bias-Variance Tradeoff - 1

- Is the histogram a good estimator?
- We can answer this question using the mean square error (MSE).
- Given $x$ being fixed, the quantity $\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)$ is a random variable and it is the estimator of $p(x)$.
- Therefore, we compute its bias and variance to obtain the MSE.
- It terms outs that when the size of bin $L \approx 0$ and sample size $n$ is large,

$$
\operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{p}_{h i s t}(x)\right)=O(L), \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{n L}\right)
$$

- Therefore, the MSE of the histogram estimator is

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)\right)=O\left(L^{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n L}\right) .
$$
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$$

- The MSE shows a very important pattern: it can be decomposed into the bias and the variance.
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## Bias-Variance Tradeoff - 2

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)\right)=\underbrace{O\left(L^{2}\right)}_{\text {Bias }}+\underbrace{O\left(\frac{1}{n L}\right)}_{\text {Variance }}
$$

- The MSE shows a very important pattern: it can be decomposed into the bias and the variance.
- When the bin width $L$ is small, the bias is small but the variance is large.
- When the bin width $L$ is large, the bias is large but the variance is small.
- Such a tradeoff between bias and variance is known as the bias-variance tradeoff.
- Moreover, it shows that we should choose $L$ at the rate of $L \asymp n^{-1 / 3}$ to minimize the MSE.
- This choice leads to the optimal rate of histogram: $\operatorname{MSE}^{*}\left(\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)\right)=O\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$.
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## Nonparametric Approach: Kernel Density Estimation - 1

- Here we introduce another nonparametric density estimation approach: the kernel density estimation (KDE).
- The KDE estimate the PDF using the following form:

$$
\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)=\frac{1}{n h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h}\right),
$$

where $K(x)$ is a function called the kernel function and $h>0$ is a quantity called smoothing bandwidth that controls the amount of smoothing.

- Common choice of $K(x)$ includes the Gaussian $K(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}$, uniform $K(x)=\frac{1}{2} I(-1 \leq x \leq 1)$.
- The idea of KDE is: we smooth out each data point using the kernel function into small bumps and then we sum over all bumps to obtain a density estimate.

Nonparametric Approach: Kernel Density Estimation - 2


Black dots: locations of observations.
Purple bumps: the kernel function at each observation.
Brown curve: final density estimate from KDE.

Nonparametric Approach: Kernel Density Estimation - 3


The kernel function generally does not affect the density estimate too much.

Nonparametric Approach: Kernel Density Estimation - 4


The smoothing bandwidth often has a much stronger effect on the quality of estimation.

Nonparametric Approach: Kernel Density Estimation - 5

- We can also analyze the MSE of the KDE.
- When the smoothing bandwidth $h \approx 0$ and sample size $n$ is large,

$$
\operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)\right)=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{n h}\right)
$$
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- We can also analyze the MSE of the KDE.
- When the smoothing bandwidth $h \approx 0$ and sample size $n$ is large,

$$
\operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)\right)=O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{n h}\right) .
$$

- Therefore, the MSE of the KDE is

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)\right)=O\left(h^{4}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n h}\right)
$$

- The optimal choice of $h$ is $h \asymp n^{-1 / 5}$, leading to the optimal convergence rate

$$
\operatorname{MSE}^{*}\left(\widehat{p}_{K D E}(x)\right)=O\left(n^{-4 / 5}\right)
$$

- Note that this convergence rate is faster than the rate of histogram $\operatorname{MSE}^{*}\left(\widehat{p}_{\text {hist }}(x)\right)=O\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$.


## Nonparametric Approach: k-NN - 1

- The idea of the $k$-nearest neighbor ( $k-N N$ ) can also be applied to density estimation.
- For a given point $x$ (not necessarily an observation), its k-NN are the collection of observations whose distance to $x$ is among the shortest $k$.
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- The idea of the $k$-nearest neighbor ( $k-N N$ ) can also be applied to density estimation.
- For a given point $x$ (not necessarily an observation), its k-NN are the collection of observations whose distance to $x$ is among the shortest $k$.
- Let $R_{k}(x)$ be the distance from $x$ to its $k$-th nearest neighbor observation.
- The k-NN density estimation uses the following approximation:

$$
\frac{k}{n} \approx P\left(X_{n e w} \in B\left(x, R_{k}(x)\right)\right) \approx C_{d} R_{k}^{d}(x) \cdot p(x)
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where $d$ is the dimension of the data (often $d=1,2,3$ ) and $C_{d}$ is the size of d-dimensional unit ball and $p(x)$ is the PDF.
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- The idea of the $k$-nearest neighbor ( $k-N N$ ) can also be applied to density estimation.
- For a given point $x$ (not necessarily an observation), its k-NN are the collection of observations whose distance to $x$ is among the shortest $k$.
- Let $R_{k}(x)$ be the distance from $x$ to its $k$-th nearest neighbor observation.
- The k-NN density estimation uses the following approximation:

$$
\frac{k}{n} \approx P\left(X_{n e w} \in B\left(x, R_{k}(x)\right)\right) \approx C_{d} R_{k}^{d}(x) \cdot p(x)
$$

where $d$ is the dimension of the data (often $d=1,2,3$ ) and $C_{d}$ is the size of d-dimensional unit ball and $p(x)$ is the PDF.

- Thus, the k-NN density estimation is

$$
\widehat{p}_{k n n}(x)=\frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{d} R_{k}^{d}(x)}
$$

Nonparametric Approach: k-NN - 2

- When $d=1, C_{d}=2$ so

$$
\frac{k}{n} \approx 2 R_{k}(x) \cdot p(x), \quad \widehat{p}_{k n n}(x)=\frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{2 R_{k}(x)}
$$

- When $d=2, C_{d}=\pi$ so

$$
\frac{k}{n} \approx \pi R_{k}^{2}(x) \cdot p(x), \quad \hat{p}_{k n n}(x)=\frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi R_{k}^{2}(x)} .
$$

- When $d=3, C_{d}=\frac{4}{3} \pi$ so

$$
\frac{k}{n} \approx \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{k}^{3}(x) \cdot p(x), \quad \hat{p}_{k n n}(x)=\frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{3}{4 \pi R_{k}^{3}(x)} .
$$

- And again, there will be a bias-variance tradeoff; in the case of $d=1$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\widehat{p}_{k n n}(x)\right)=\underbrace{O\left(\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{4}\right)}_{\text {bias }}+\underbrace{O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)}_{\text {variance }} .
$$
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## Density Estimation: Inference - 1

- There are ways to do statistical inference for the PDF. We will comment on how to construct a Cl .
- In the case of parametric approach, we can convert a Cl of parameter into a Cl of a PDF.
- In the case of nonparametric approach, generally we will use a bootstrap approach to construct a Cl of a PDF.
- But note that there are two types of CI for a 'function'.
- Pointwise Cl : given a point $x$ and confidence level $1-\alpha$, we construct an interval $C_{1-\alpha}=\left[\ell_{1-\alpha}, u_{1-\alpha}\right]$ from the data such that

$$
P\left(\ell_{1-\alpha} \leq p(x) \leq u_{1-\alpha}\right) \approx 1-\alpha
$$

- Simultaneous CB (confidence band): given $\alpha$, we construct a band $C_{1-\alpha}(x)=\left[L_{1-\alpha}(x), U_{1-\alpha}(x)\right]$ from the data such that

$$
P\left(L_{1-\alpha}(x) \leq p(x) \leq U_{1-\alpha}(x) \text { for all } x\right) \approx 1-\alpha
$$

## Density Estimation: Inference - 2




Pointwise Cl (left) and simultaneous CB (right) ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ A tutorial on this topic is in: https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.03924

## Regression

## Regression: Introduction

- Regression is an approach to study the relationship between a response variable $Y$ and a covariate $X$.
- The covariate is also called a feature, a predictor, or an independent variable.
- Note that the covariate $X$ can be multivariate.


## Regression: Introduction

- Regression is an approach to study the relationship between a response variable $Y$ and a covariate $X$.
- The covariate is also called a feature, a predictor, or an independent variable.
- Note that the covariate $X$ can be multivariate.
- A traditional way to summarize the relationship via the regression function:

$$
r(x)=\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X=x)=\int y \cdot f(y \mid x) d y
$$

- The goal of regression is to estimate $r(x)$ using the random sample $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$.


## Linear Regression - 1

- Linear regression is a parametric approach that models the function $r(x)$ as a linear function:

$$
r(x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

- In many case, we will make further assumption on the noise and rewrite the linear model as

$$
Y_{i}=\underbrace{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X_{i}}_{\text {signal }}+\underbrace{\epsilon_{i}}_{\text {noise }}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}\left(\epsilon_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{i} \mid X_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$.

## Linear Regression - 2

- In the linear regression model, there are two parameters: intercept $\beta_{0}$ and slope $\beta_{1}$.


## Linear Regression - 2

- In the linear regression model, there are two parameters: intercept $\beta_{0}$ and slope $\beta_{1}$.
- To estimate them, a classical approach is the least squares (LS):

$$
\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0}, \widehat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{argmin}_{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} X_{i}\right)^{2},
$$

where the notation $\operatorname{argmin}{ }_{\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}}$ means finding the value of $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ that minimizes the followings.

- You can solve the above LS criterion and find a closed form solution to the estimate:

$$
\widehat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2}}, \quad \widehat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{Y}_{n}-\widehat{\beta}_{1} \bar{X}_{n}
$$

## Linear Regression - 3

- Using the LS estimator (LSE), we will predict the value of $Y_{i}$ as

$$
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- The residual sums of squares $R S S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}$ measures how our estimate fits the data.


## Linear Regression - 3

- Using the LS estimator (LSE), we will predict the value of $Y_{i}$ as

$$
\widehat{Y}_{i}=\widehat{\beta}_{0}+\widehat{\beta}_{1} X_{i}
$$

- The difference between predicted and observed value is called residual

$$
e_{i}=Y_{i}-\widehat{Y}_{i}=Y_{i}-\widehat{\beta}_{0}-\widehat{\beta}_{1} X_{i}
$$

- The residual sums of squares $R S S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}$ measures how our estimate fits the data.
- You can interpret the LS approach as finding the best linear model to minimize RSS.
- Note that the noise level $\sigma^{2}$ can be estimated by $\widehat{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}$.


## Linear Regression - 4

- The LSE has nice theoretical properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n s_{X}^{2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n s_{X}^{2}}, \\
& \text { where } s_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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- The LSE has nice theoretical properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{bias}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n s_{X}^{2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n s_{X}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{X}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2}$.

- Moreover, central limit theorem implies that the LSE converges to a normal distribution under appropriate conditions.
- Thus, we can construct CI for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ using the standard errors of $\widehat{\beta}_{0}, \widehat{\beta}_{1}$.


## Linear Regression - 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)= & \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n s_{X}^{2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2} \\
& \longrightarrow S E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0}\right)=\frac{\widehat{\sigma}}{s_{X} \sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}}{n}} \\
\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1} \mid X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) & =\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n s_{X}^{2}} \\
& \longrightarrow S E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\frac{\widehat{\sigma}}{s_{X} \sqrt{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, a $1-\alpha \mathrm{Cl}$ will be

$$
\widehat{\beta}_{0} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} S E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{0}\right), \quad \widehat{\beta}_{1} \pm z_{\alpha / 2} S E\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}\right)
$$

for $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ respectively.

## Linear Regression: Multiple Covariates - 1

- In the case of the multiple covariates $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}\right)$, the linear regression can be easily extended:

$$
r(x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{p} x_{p} .
$$

- Let $Y=\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}\right)$ be the vector of responses and

$$
\mathbb{X}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & X_{1,1} & \cdots & X_{1, p} \\
1 & X_{2,1} & \cdots & X_{2, p} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
1 & X_{n, 1} & \cdots & X_{n, p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the $n \times(p+1)$ data matrix (each row is an observation).

- The multiple linear regression can be written as the follows:

$$
Y=\mathbb{X} \beta+\epsilon,
$$

where $\beta=\left(\beta_{0}, \cdots, \beta_{p}\right)$ is the parameter vector and $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \cdots, \epsilon_{n}\right)$ is the noise.

## Linear Regression: Multiple Covariates - 2

- The LS method is to find

$$
\widehat{\beta}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\beta}\|Y-\mathbb{X} \beta\|^{2}
$$

- And it has a closed form solution:

$$
\widehat{\beta}=\left(\mathbb{X}^{T} \mathbb{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{X}^{T} Y
$$
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- The LS method is to find

$$
\widehat{\beta}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\beta}\|Y-\mathbb{X} \beta\|^{2}
$$

- And it has a closed form solution:

$$
\widehat{\beta}=\left(\mathbb{X}^{T} \mathbb{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{X}^{T} Y
$$

- The LSE has a nice property that

$$
\widehat{\beta} \approx N\left(\beta, \sigma^{2}\left(\mathbb{X}^{T} \mathbb{X}\right)^{-1}\right)
$$

- Actually, you can show that the LSE is an unbiased estimator and the variance is $\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbb{X}^{T} \mathbb{X}\right)^{-1}$. The above expression further suggests that we can use it to construct a Cl for $\beta$.


## Linear Regression: Remarks

- The linear regression is an important topic in statistics. It can be a course for an entire semester!
- You can search online to learn more about it.
- Here are a few key words related to it: ANOVA, $R^{2}$, outliers, leverage points.
- Note that the idea of LS approach can be applied to 'non-linear' model as well. For instance, we can model

$$
r(x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\beta_{3} \exp \left(-\beta_{4} x\right)
$$

and apply LS approach to find the parameters.

## Logistic Regression - 1

- In some special case, the response $Y$ may take only two possible values, say 0 and 1.
- For instance, our response $Y$ may be the type of galaxy and $Y=1$ if it is a spiral galaxy and $Y=0$ if it is an elliptical galaxy.
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- In some special case, the response $Y$ may take only two possible values, say 0 and 1.
- For instance, our response $Y$ may be the type of galaxy and $Y=1$ if it is a spiral galaxy and $Y=0$ if it is an elliptical galaxy.
- In this special case,

$$
\mathbb{E}(Y \mid X=x)=P(Y=1 \mid X=x)=r(x)
$$

is a probability.

- If we naively model it as a linear function, then we may obtain a negative probability or a probability greater than 1 , both are not reasonable.
- The logistic regression uses a smart way to model such a probability.
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- However, the odds is not symmetric with respect to $x$, so we take logarithm of it:

$$
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This quantity is more symmetric - it can take values anywhere in the real line.
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- The quantity $r(x)=P(Y=1 \mid X=x) \in[0,1]$ because it is a probability.
- We first consider the odds:

$$
o(x)=\frac{r(x)}{1-r(x)}=\frac{P(Y=1 \mid X=x)}{P(Y=0 \mid X=x)} \in[0, \infty)
$$

- However, the odds is not symmetric with respect to $x$, so we take logarithm of it:

$$
\ell(x)=\log o(x)=\log \left(\frac{r(x)}{1-r(x)}\right) \in(-\infty, \infty)
$$

This quantity is more symmetric - it can take values anywhere in the real line.

- The logistic regression models the log odds as a linear function of $x$ :

$$
\ell(x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

## Logistic Regression - 3

- The model

$$
\ell(x)=\log o(x)=\log \left(\frac{r(x)}{1-r(x)}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

leads to the following form of $r(x)$ :

$$
r(x)=P(Y=1 \mid X=x)=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x}}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x}}
$$

- Using this probability model, we can then apply the MLE to find $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$.


## Logistic Regression - 3

- The model

$$
\ell(x)=\log o(x)=\log \left(\frac{r(x)}{1-r(x)}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

leads to the following form of $r(x)$ :

$$
r(x)=P(Y=1 \mid X=x)=\frac{e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x}}{1+e^{\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x}}
$$

- Using this probability model, we can then apply the MLE to find $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$.
- Note that the MLE does not have a closed form solution but one can find it using numerical methods such a gradient descent approach.


## Nonparametric Regression

- A problem of parametric regression is: the actual regression function may not have the desired form.
- When the parametric form is mis-specified, the result can be very bad.
- Nonparametric regression attempts to directly estimate the regression function without assuming a parametric form of it.
- We will talk about three popular methods: regressogram (binning), kernel regression, and spline approach.


## Nonparametric Regression: Example



## Regressogram (Binning)

- The regressogram (binning) might be one of the most popular regression approach but very few people know its name.
- The regressogram $=$ regression + histogram.


## Regressogram (Binning)

- The regressogram (binning) might be one of the most popular regression approach but very few people know its name.
- The regressogram $=$ regression + histogram.
- The idea is: we bin the range of covariates into several intervals.
- We then use the average of the responses for observations within the same interval as the estimated value.


## Regressogram: Example - 1



Regressogram: Example - 1


## Regressogram: Example - 2



## Kernel Regression - 1

- The kernel regression is another nonparametric regression estimator.
- The kernel regression uses an estimator of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{r}_{\text {ker }}(x) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}(x) Y_{i} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_{i}-x}{h}\right) Y_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_{j}-x}{h}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
W_{i}(x)=\frac{K\left(\frac{x_{i}-x}{h}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_{j}-x}{h}\right)}
$$

- The function $K(x)$ is again the kernel function we talk about in the KDE.
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## Kernel Regression - 2

- The quantity

$$
W_{i}(x)=\frac{K\left(\frac{x_{i}-x}{h}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_{j}-x}{h}\right)}
$$

satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}(x)=1$ and $W_{i}(x) \geq 0$.

- Namely, it behaves like a weight of each $Y_{i}$.
- The estimator $\widehat{r}_{k e r}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}(x) Y_{i}$ can be interpreted as follows.
- To estimate the regression function at $X=x$, we use a weighted average of all responses such that observations close to $x$ will be given a higher weight $\left(W_{i}(x)\right.$ will be large if $X_{i}$ is close to $x$ ).
- The kernel function determines how we are going to give weights to the nearby points.
- The smoothing bandwidth $h$ controls the range of influence from each observation (the degree of smoothing).


## Kernel Regression: Example
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- How can we choose the smoothing bandwidth?
- There are many ways to do that but a simple principle is: we want to choose it to optimize the prediction accuracy.
- For an estimator $\widehat{m}$, a prediction accuracy is

$$
R=\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{\text {new }}-\widehat{m}\left(X_{\text {new }}\right)\right|^{2}\right),
$$

where $\left(X_{\text {new }}, Y_{\text {new }}\right)$ is a new observation.

- In the case of kernel regression, the prediction accuracy depends on $h$ so

$$
R(h)=\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{\text {new }}-\widehat{m}_{\text {ker }}\left(X_{\text {new }}\right)\right|^{2}\right) .
$$

- We want to pick the smoothing bandwidth

$$
h^{*}=\operatorname{argmin}_{h} R(h)
$$

## Cross-Validation Approach - 2

- The quantity $R(h)=\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{\text {new }}-\widehat{m}_{\text {ker }}\left(X_{\text {new }}\right)\right|^{2}\right)$ is unknown to us - we need to estimate it.
- However, $R(h)$ involves two expectations: one for the estimator $\widehat{m}_{\text {ker }}$ and the other for the new observation.
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- The quantity $R(h)=\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{\text {new }}-\widehat{m}_{\text {ker }}\left(X_{\text {new }}\right)\right|^{2}\right)$ is unknown to us - we need to estimate it.
- However, $R(h)$ involves two expectations: one for the estimator $\widehat{m}_{k e r}$ and the other for the new observation.
- We know that we can use sample average to estimate the expectation.
- Thus, a simple approach to consistently estimate $R(h)$ is to split the data into two parts: we use one part to construct $\widehat{m}_{\text {ker }}$ and the other part of the data as the new observations.
- This idea is called data splitting.
- The cross-validation is a modified approach of data splitting that repeat the splitting procedure multiple times and then use the average as the final estimate of $R(h)$.
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## Cross-Validation Approach - 3

- In practice, we will split the data into several subsets and treat part of them as training set (the part of data used to computed the estimator $\widehat{m}_{k e r}$ ) and the other part as validation set (the set treated as future observations).
- We often choose one subset as the validation set and the others as the training set.
- After evaluating the prediction risk, then we use another subset as the validation set and others as the training set.
- We repeat this process until all subsets have been used as the validation set.
- We then use the average of all these prediction risks as an estimate of the prediction.
- We often repeat the above procedure several times and take the total average as the the final risk estimate.
- Note: if we split the data into $k$ subset, we call this approach the k-fold cross validation.


## Cross-Validation Approach: 5-fold CV

- Here is an illustration for 5-fold CV:


## Original Data

Randomly split into 5 subsets


- Validation Set. Training Set.
- We use the average $\widehat{R}(h)=\frac{1}{5} \sum_{\ell=1}^{5} \widehat{R}^{(\ell)}(h)$ as a risk estimate.
- In practice, we repeat this procedure for several times and take the total average of them.


## 5-fold Cross-Validation: Example
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## Overfitting and underfitting

- Why we cannot use the same data twice for both training set and validation set?
- From a theoretical point of view, this leads to a biased estimator of the prediction risk.
- Sometimes people call this overfitting - a more complex model you are using, you may seemly fit the data better but actually the prediction error gets worse.
- As an extreme example: consider $h \approx 0$, then the kernel regression passes every data point. If we use the training set as the validation set, this leads to a prediction risk $=0$ !
- Note that: an opposite case is called underfitting - you fit a too easy model so it cannot capture the complicated structure of the data. When we apply the linear regression to the example of a wave-form data, we suffer from underfitting.


## Spline Approach - 1

- Spline approach is a penalized regression method.
- The goal is to find a function $f$ such that it fits the data well and $f$ is smooth.
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- Spline approach is a penalized regression method.
- The goal is to find a function $f$ such that it fits the data well and $f$ is smooth.
- To quantify smoothness, the spline approach places a penalty on the curvature - the second derivative of $f$.
- In more details, the spline approach attempts to find $\widehat{f}_{s p}$ such that

$$
\widehat{f}_{s p}=\operatorname{argmin}_{f} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \int_{X_{\min }}^{X_{\text {max }}}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter determines how smooth we want.

- There are some smart ways ${ }^{2}$ to find such a minimal function $\widehat{f}_{s p}$.
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- A large $\lambda$ leads to a smooth function $\widehat{f}_{\text {sp }}$.
- A small $\lambda$ yields a more wiggly function.


## Spline Approach - 2

$$
\widehat{f}_{s p}=\operatorname{argmin}_{f} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}}_{\text {fitting to the data }}+\underbrace{\lambda \int_{X_{\min }}^{X_{\max }}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s}_{\text {smoothness penalty }}
$$

- A large $\lambda$ leads to a smooth function $\widehat{f}_{\text {sp }}$.
- A small $\lambda$ yields a more wiggly function.
- The choice of $\lambda$ determines how we want to weight the fitting quality and smoothness.
- We often use cross-validation to choose $\lambda$.


## Spline Approach: Example


spar: a quantity in R related to $\lambda$.

## Useful References

- All of statistics: a concise course in statistical inference. Larry Wasserman. Springer Science \& Business Media, 2013.
- All of nonparametric statistics. Larry Wasserman. Springer, 2006.
- Multivariate density estimation: theory, practice, and visualization. David Scott. John Wiley \& Sons, 2015.
- Applied Linear Regression. Sanford Weisberg. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 2005.
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