MEDED 590 – Journal Club Instructor: Wanda Pratt Assistant Professor Biomedical & Health Informatics Information School Other faculty involved: John Gennari http://www.ischool.washington.edu/wpratt/MEDED590/

What is a Journal Club? > Forum for students → Read the literature → Analyze & critique articles → Practice presentation skills + Discuss related ideas → Appreciate other perspectives

Logistics > Subset of students present ➤ Class time: 1:00-1:50pm + Student presents: 20-25 minutes + Class discusses: ~20 minutes + Faculty feedback: ~5 minutes

How to do a Journal Club Part I - Content

Expectations When others present → Read selected article + Attend class → Listen to presenter & faculty feedback → Participate in discussion → Provide written, constructive feedback + Incorporate feedback into your presentations

Why a Journal Club? ➤ Learn about our field ➤ Keep abreast of new developments > Foster informal discussion and interaction ➤ Help students develop presentation skills

Getting Ready

- > Choose a few good peer-reviewed journal articles
 - + Relevant to biomedical informatics
 - + Interesting for you & audience
 - + Important result or approach
- ➤ Send choices to instructor for feedback
 - + 2 weeks before your presentation
- Choose one article
- > Read critically
- > Take notes while reading
- > Reread even more critically
- > Skim related articles or web information

Good Sources for Articles

- ➤ Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association - <u>www.jamia.org</u>
- Journal of Biomedical Informatics www.academicpress.com/jbi
- International Journal of Medical Informatics www.elsevier.com/locate/ijmedinf
- Informatics articles in medical journals (JAMA, BMJ), nursing journals, management in medicine journals, etc.
- ➤ Related articles in computer science or information science journals (e.g. <u>www.acm.org</u>)

Creating the Content

- > Introduce yourself
 - → Why did you choose this paper?
 - → How does it relate to your interests?
- ➤ Summarize article (briefly!)
- > Provide context
- > Critique, question, react
- ➤ Conclude

Summarize Article

- > Assume audience read the paper
- > Do not assume audience understood it
- > Provide context
 - + When?
 - + Where?
 - + Why?
- > State authors' take home messages
- ➤ Focus on interesting/controversial issues

Provide Context

- > Research author and related work
 - + Look up author's webpage
 - → Email author specific questions/clarifications
- ➤ Compare & Contrast
 - + Earlier work (follow citations)
 - + Conflicting work (by others)
 - → Alternative visions for same niche
 - → Later work (follow citation index)

Critique, Question, React

- ➤ Objectively
 - → Did the author(s) support their point?
 - → Was their support valid?
- > Subjectively
 - + How does it relate to your own experiences?
 - Why did you find this paper interesting or important?
 - + What do you think the impact of this paper is?

Conclude > Restate author's take-home message > State your own take-home message + Provide a personal perspective + Be provocative

