Augmenting On-Screen Instructions with Micro-Projected Guides: When it Works, and When it Fails

Stephanie Rosenthal,^{1,3} Shaun K. Kane,^{2,3} Jacob O. Wobbrock,² Daniel Avrahami³

¹School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213 srosenth@cs.cmu.edu

²The Information School, DUB Group University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195 {skane, wobbrock}@u.washington.edu ³Intel Labs Seattle

1100 NE 45th Street Seattle, WA 98105 daniel.avrahami@intel.com

ABSTRACT

We present a study that evaluates the effectiveness of augmenting on-screen instructions with micro-projection for manual task guidance unlike prior work, which replaced screen instructions with alternative modalities (e.g., headmounted displays). In our study, 30 participants completed 10 trials each of 11 manual tasks chosen to represent a set of common task-components (e.g., cutting, folding) found in many everyday activities such as crafts, cooking, and hobby electronics. Fifteen participants received only onscreen instructions, and 15 received both on-screen and micro-projected instructions. In contrast to prior work, which focused only on whole tasks, our study examines the benefit of augmenting common task instructions. The augmented instructions improved participants' performance overall; however, we show that in certain cases when projected guides and physical objects visually interfered, projected elements caused increased errors. Our results demonstrate that examining effectiveness at an instruction level is both useful and necessary, and provide insight into the design of systems that help users perform everyday tasks.

Author Keywords

Task guidance, computer-assisted instruction, augmented reality, micro-projection, computer vision, everyday tasks.

ACM Classification Keywords

K.3.1 [Computing Millieux]: Computer assisted instruction. H.5.1 [Information interfaces and presentation]: Artificial, augmented, and virtual realities.

ACM General Terms

Human Factors, Experimentation

INTRODUCTION

The use of instructions for completing everyday manual

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

UbiComp '10, Sep 26-Sep 29, 2010, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Copyright 2010 ACM 978-1-60558-843-8/10/09...\$10.00.




Figure 1. Augmenting on-screen instructions with microprojection for guiding a tracing activity.

tasks is an integral part of life. We follow recipes when cooking, use maps when driving, consult manuals for putting furniture together, or learn the rules of a board game. On the web, a growing number of sites are dedicated to user-generated instructions, guides, recipes, how-tos, and do-it-yourself projects. As the availability of these resources grows, people increasingly turn to their computers for instructions to help them complete various manual tasks in the real world. Still, interpreting, following, and applying instructions can often be a challenge.

Prior work in the field of Augmented Reality (AR) has shown that instructions superimposed onto the physical space through a head-mounted display (HMD), are effective for task guidance (cf. [3, 5]). Other work used large, topmounted projectors with additional instrumentation to guide users through physical tasks [8]. Recent advancements in micro-projection technology have made it possible to imbue typical laptop computers with small projection capabilities (cf. [10]). While micro-projectors are limited in many respects compared to HMDs (e.g., in projection area, resolution, and brightness), we propose that using microprojection to augment, rather than replace, on-screen instructions for task guidance can deliver some strengths of in-place guides with the benefits of high-resolution screenbased instructions, all in a portable and affordable way.

In this paper, we present a study that examines in detail the effectiveness of augmenting on-screen instructions with a micro-projector pointed at the table for computer-based task guidance (Figure 1). We compared users' performance in a variety of common tasks (involving activities such as assembling, sorting, organizing, and folding) as users followed instructions presented on a laptop screen or a combination of on-screen instructions and micro-projected guides. Our results show that people were able to complete tasks 27% faster *overall* and with 32% fewer errors when using the combined instructions compared to using screen-only instructions. Our results also show, however, that projected instructions can *harm* performance, specifically when they interfere visually with physical objects.

Our work provides three primary contributions: First, our study examines the effectiveness of augmented instructions at the *task-component level* (separately considering components required for a variety of manual tasks such as locating items, positioning items, identifying errors, *etc.*), and in doing so provides a detailed understanding of how and when augmented instructions should be used within the process of an entire task. Second, to our knowledge, ours is the first study to show that in certain cases projection is not only unhelpful, but can *harm* performance. Third, our work extends prior work on see-through head-mounted displays by showing the usefulness of systems that combine low-fidelity in-place micro-projection and an upright high-resolution screen for providing task guidance.

RELATED WORK

Our investigation of the effectiveness of augmenting onscreen instructions with micro-projection for task guidance draws from research in the areas of Computer-Aided Instruction, remote instructions in Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW), and Augmented Reality.

Computer-Aided Instruction: Years of research in the area of Computer-Aided Instruction (CAI) have shown that computer-based instructions can support effective learning. CAI findings suggest adapting instructions to a user's current level of comprehension as well as using video, animation, and other media to improve information retention [1, 6, 18]. While multimedia demonstrations have been shown to increase task performance when compared to static text or verbal instructions, studies have found that demonstration information is not retained for long [16, 23]. To address this limitation, Palmiter and Elkerton [16] suggest providing both text instructions and "well-placed" instructions to give the immediate benefits of multimedia while supporting retention. Our work builds on this notion by providing both screen-based instructions along with "well-placed" guides projected upon the task area. Our current study focuses on static text and pictorial instructions on a screen and micro-projector, although we expect that integrating multimedia instructions would only bring added benefits that have been described in prior CAI literature.

Remote Instruction in CSCW: The use of projection for guiding users through tasks is not entirely new. A line of research in CSCW examines different mechanisms to allow two or more people who are not co-located to perform tasks

together. Particular attention is given to cases where one person remotely guides another person through a task (for example, a medical expert remotely guiding a local doctor through a complex medical procedure). As previous studies have shown [8, 13, 17], technology that enables a helper to see into the remote workplace can improve coordination, allow participants to maintain a shared context of the task, and use shared language to describe the task effectively. Other research [7, 11, 12] has introduced interactive capabilities to the remote viewing experience, allowing the helper to point, highlight, or gesture into the remote space. Fussell et al. [7] found that superimposing a remote helper's sketches over a user's work area can significantly reduce the time needed to complete a task. Our work draws upon this prior research and aims to provide similar improvements to the use of computer-guided instructions.

Task Guidance in Augmented Reality: Finally, and most directly, our work builds on and extends prior research on the use of Augmented Reality (AR) for guiding users through manual tasks. Most existing work on task guidance in AR uses wearable high-resolution head-mounted displays (HMDs) for presenting information in space. Feiner et al.'s [5] early work demonstrates the use of AR for guiding a user through a complex laser printer repair by overlaying visual instructions on the view of the printer. Recent work [14, 15, 19] demonstrates AR for a variety of tasks, which include playing musical instruments, reading, and manufacturing. Ju et al. [8] presented the Origami Desk, an interaction design exhibit that uses top-mounted projection, electric field sensing, and swept-frequency sensors to instruct users in folding origami paper into boxes and cranes. (While inspiring, it provides only anecdotal evidence as to the effectiveness of its approach.)

Studies of the appropriateness of HMD-based instructions for task guidance over on-screen instructions have found AR to be effective. Neumann and Majoros [15] identify several cognitive benefits that reduce error rates when using AR for task guidance. For example, overlaying instructions onto the physical objects reduces the need to memorize instructions before performing the task. Baird and Barfield [2] show that participants who used a see-through HMD in a circuit board building task were at least 47% faster at completing the task than when they used paper or on-screen instructions. Tang *et al.* [20] compared the effectiveness of spatially-aligned AR instruction in a LEGO construction task. Their study showed a significant benefit of spatially-aligned instructions, primarily over paper instructions.

Unlike with see-through HMDs, for which display area is effectively infinite and full instructions can be displayed, and unlike for top-mounted projectors (such as those used by Ju *et al.* [8]) that have large projection area and can produce high-fidelity images, micro-projection imposes limitations on both projection area and resolution. We therefore refer to our projected material as "guides." One implication of these differences between HMDs, top-

mounted projections, and micro-projections is that the benefits of HMDs for task guidance, as shown in prior work, may not directly apply to task guidance using other tools such as micro-projection.

Prior work [2, 5, 20] compared the effectiveness of AR instructions at the level of an entire task. However, we cannot assume that all components of a task (*e.g.*, locating the next piece *vs.* assembling that piece in the right manner) would benefit equally from AR instructions. A taxonomy of assembly instructions or task-components, proposed by Dunston and Shin [4], describes how AR could help in categories such as "*Identify*" a specific piece and "*Cut materials.*" While originally created for designing AR instructions in the area of civil engineering, we use this taxonomy to investigate a hybrid system for presenting instructions for everyday physical tasks, such as cooking, electronics, and arts and crafts.

This review of prior work raises a number of interesting questions: Could the advantages shown for the use of projectors with remote instructions in CSCW be useful for CAI? Would the ability to use both an upright high-resolution screen and low-fidelity micro-projected guides prove useful? Could a simple small laptop-mounted micro-projector deliver today some of the promise of future head-mounted AR systems? Which task-components or categories of instructions benefit most from augmentation? Our study answers some of these questions, fills gaps in our understanding of the role of augmented instructions in physical-task guidance, and informs the design of systems that provide guidance for everyday manual tasks.

STUDY DESIGN

To investigate the effectiveness of a system that combines a laptop with micro-projection for task guidance, we designed a study that compares screen-based instructions augmented with micro-projected guides (Screen+Projection) to screenonly instructions (Screen-Only) typical in laptop use. To understand the benefits and limitations of the two modes of instruction, we designed instructional material for a variety of manual task-components (11 overall), drawing from 7 of the 9 categories proposed by Dunston and Shin [4] (the last two categories relate to clarifying communication and are not relevant here). We should note that a projection-only condition was not included because the limitations of micro-projectors in projection-size and resolution mean that such a condition would be guaranteed to be inferior to both the Screen-Only and Screen+Projector conditions (it would be impossible to fit both the textual instructions as well as guides in the projection). Including a projection-only condition with low-resolution technology would therefore not have provided meaningful insights about the performance benefits of projected guides.

The tasks and categories used in this experiment, shown in Table 1, were: *Identify Piece*: Locate a physical item in a set based on its shape and size. *Identify Missing Piece*: Given a set of 10 Scrabble tiles and a corresponding list of

11 letters on the screen/projector, identify the missing letter. Trace Shape: Using pen and paper, draw a specified shape to scale. Position Wire: Insert a piece of electric wire into a hole in a breadboard specified by a diagram. Mark Location: Using pen and graph paper, mark the cell specified by a corresponding diagram. Cut Wire: Use scissors to cut a piece of 8" wire into three segments of specified length. Fold Paper: Fold a piece of origami paper once based on a diagram. Bend Wire: Bend an 8" wire to match a simple rectilinear shape. Sculpt: Roll out 2 oz. of Play Doh into a tube of a specified length, or spread it to fit a specified area. Verify Breadboard: Given a breadboard and a diagram, verify that the location of a wire already in the breadboard matches the diagram. Verify Grid: Compare a printed 5×5 grid of symbols to a displayed grid and verify that the two grids match.

Our experimental tasks closely mirror everyday manual tasks. For example, our *Identify Piece* task echoes instructions to find the correct size screw when assembling furniture. For each of the tasks, we created 10 trials of approximately equal difficulty, as well as a single, simplified practice trial. For each trial, we designed both on-screen instructions (seen by all participants), and microprojected guides (seen by half of the participants).

METHOD

In order to compare the two modes of instruction, we conducted a between-subjects experiment. Each participant performed 1 practice and 10 real trials for each task described above, for a total of 110 real trials per subject. We compared the error rates and completion times between display conditions for each task.

Apparatus

On-screen instructions were presented on a Dell Studio XPS 16 laptop running Windows Vista. An Optoma DLP micro-projector running at a resolution of 480×320 was mounted to the top-right of the laptop screen using a plastic bracket. A Logitech webcam was directed at the table to the right of the laptop and was connected to the experimenter's computer to view the participants' work. We used black tape to mark a working area on the table that was fully within the camera view. Participants were asked to perform the tasks within the working area when possible. Figure 1 shows the experimental setup with micro-projection.

For each trial, we created static images for the on-screen and projected instructions. We created custom software using Python and OpenGL to both display the images and record when a key was pressed to start or end a trial.

Procedure

Participants were assigned at random to one of the two display conditions (*Screen-Only* or *Screen+Projection*). The order in which tasks were presented to a participant was determined using a Latin Square. We ensured that each row in the Latin Square was completed by two participants, one from each display condition.

Task: Identify a plastic picce from a lin of objects by size and shape. Materials: Bin of all shapes in a bin with target shape, to scale. Success: Correct piece identified. Real-World Example: Identified and acki, identify the correct screw to build a desk; identify correct resistor for a circuit. Fask: Identify a missing piece from a set be screen. In the bin, determine if pieces missing from assembly set. Trace Shape Daw/Trace Position Wire Position Wire Trace Shape Task: Trace specified shape accurately onto grid paper. Materials: Pen and a paper with So, K' cell grids. On Screen: A shape, drawn over a Sx6 grid (e.g., harr, star, sprinal). Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: Trace mains within ± K' of the specified cell on a she to fig d paper. Materials: A piece dwire. On Screen: A shape, drawn over a Sx6 grid (e.g., harr, star, sprinal). Projected: Real-World Example: Teach kids move to with 10x15, K'' cell grids and pen. On Screen: A lagre with 10x15, K'' cell grids and pen. On Screen: A lagre with a locale success: The song rule. On Screen: A lagre with a locale success: The correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Copy complex pattern or a segnetified ell on a she to fig d paper. Materials: A piece drift paper with 10x15, K'' cell grids and pen. On Screen: A scale. Success: Trace mains with 10x15, K'' cell grids and cell set specified cell on a she to fig d paper. Materials: A piece drift paper with 10x15, K''' cell grids and cell set specified cell on a she to grid paper. Materials: A piece drift paper with 10x15, K''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	Identify Piece	Identify in Set	Identify Missing Piece	Identify in Set	
containing 10 plastic circles, sources, or generations, sources, or specific discover, or build a desk, identify the correct cover to build a desk identify the correct cover to build a desk. identify the correct cover to desk. identify the correct cover to build a desk. identify the correct cover to desk. identify the correct to be cover the cover to build a desk. identify the cover to desk. identify the cover to desk. identify the cover to be cover to desk. identify the cover to cover to a cover to cover to the cover to cover to cover to cover to the cover to cover to cover to cover to the cover to cover to cover to cover to the cover to cover to cover to the cover to cover to cover to the cover t		Task: Identify a plastic piece from a bin of		Task: Identify a missing piece from a set	
The part of a songer in a bin with target shade by the project of target shade to be constructed on the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the screen is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the screen is the screen in the		<i>,</i>		of tiles. Materials: Ten Scrabble game	
The part of a songer in a bin with target shade by the project of target shade to be constructed on the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the project is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the screen is the screen in the bin, determine if part of the screen is the screen in the		containing 10 plastic circles, squares, or		tiles in bin, each with a single letter on it.	
shapes in a bin with trapet shape thape, to scale. Success: Correct pice date, to scale. Success: Correct pice are in the bind determine: I pice and a pice to divide the molecular pice to divide the divide the molecular pice to divide the divide the divide the pice to divide the divide the divide the divide the divide the pice to divide the divide the divide the divide the pice to divide the divid				On Screen: The 10 letters from the tiles,	
Image: Trace Shape Description: Constraining State: Trace Shape Trace Shape Trace Shape Down/Trace Policion Wire Trace: Shape Trace Shape Trace Shape Down/Trace Policion Wire Trace: Shape Trace Shape Trace Shape Down/Trace Policion Wire Trace: Shape: Grawn over a So got Iq: Got Shape Trace Shape Trace Shape State: Trace specified shape accurately on got got paper. With So: X ⁺ cell grids. On Screen: A diagnment markers, for board, and paper. With Diagnment house see Shape. Grawn over a So got Iq: Got Shape. Grawn over a So got Iq: Got Shape. Grawn over a So got Iq: Got Shape. Grawn over Shape Grawn over Shape Grawn over Shape Grawn over Shape. Grawn over Shape Grawn over Shape. Grawn over Shape Grawn o		•		-	
Identified, Real-World Example: ::dentify correct resistor for a circuit. World Example: ::continn all pazze pieces are in the bins determine if pieces missing from asombly set. Trace Shape Doutinn wiffer Polation Wife Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Polation Wife Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on cake events. Wirk Location Name as the screen, to scale. Screen: A hape. frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on cake events. Wird Example: ::near his specified elion in the segment length. Real-World Example: ::near his specified sing in scale. Cut Wire Cut Wire Wird Example: ::near his specified sing in scale. Scale :::near specified sing in scale. Cut Wire Take: ::near specified sing in scale. Wird Example:::near his specified sing in scale. Scale ::::near specified sing in scale. Cut Wire Take: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::				•	
Identified, Real-World Example: ::dentify correct resistor for a circuit. World Example: ::continn all pazze pieces are in the bins determine if pieces missing from asombly set. Trace Shape Doutinn wiffer Polation Wife Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Polation Wife Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on paper with 556, %' cell grids. On Screen: A hape, frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on cake events. Wirk Location Name as the screen, to scale. Screen: A hape. frawn over a 5x6 grid (beck). Take: ::near specified shape accurately on cake events. Wird Example: ::near his specified elion in the segment length. Real-World Example: ::near his specified sing in scale. Cut Wire Cut Wire Wird Example: ::near his specified sing in scale. Scale :::near specified sing in scale. Cut Wire Take: ::near specified sing in scale. Wird Example:::near his specified sing in scale. Scale ::::near specified sing in scale. Cut Wire Take: :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::				-	
Take Shape Dow/Trace Polation Wire December Composition Take Shape Dow/Trace Polation Wire December Composition December Composition December Composition December Composition December Composition December Composition Take: Insert a write in the specification is a performation of the specification is a projected: Insert a strength of the specification is a performation of the specification is a performation of the specification is a projected: Insert a strength of the specification is a performation of the specificating performation of the specification is a performate				-	
Image: correct resistor for a circuit. Image: correct resistor for a circuit. Trace Spape Down/Trace Position Wire Placement (fine) Task: Trace specified shape accurately on tog right apper: Materials: Pane and a paper with 5x6, %" cell grints; On Screen: A lagram of a paper with 5x6, %" cell grints; On Screen: A lagram of a paper with 5x6, %" cell grints; On Screen: A lagram of a breadboard. Materials: Paper laboration over a 5x6 grint for a circuit. Task: Trace specified shape accurately on the specified labor. Task: Trace specified labor.	/ 2 \		/ **** (are in the bin; determine if pieces missing	
Task: Trace specified shape accurately on ording of paper, Materials: An and a paper with Su6, %" cell grids. On Screen: A shape, drawn orer a Sus grid (e.g., heart, star, spiral). Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: Wire placed in the correct hole. Real-World Scample: Tools: Wire 'Apape' Ministry' on Cake even by: Constrained and the correct hole. Success: Wire place of the specified heart, Beal-World Scample: Tools: Wire 'Apape' Ministry' on Cake even by: Constrained and the correct hole. Real-World Scample: Tools: Sing and the constrained and the correct hole. Real-World Scample: Correct with a dotted line. Materials: A preadboard with wire is within a set of specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Correct hole. Real-World Scample: Tools: Sing and the correct hole. Real-World Scample: Tools: Sing and the constrained and the correct hole. Real-World Scample: Correct hole. Real-World Scample: Correct hearth as specific distry the sing the star of the specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Correct hearth is too correct hearth as specific distry to sing the star of the specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Correct hearth as the specific distry to star of the specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Correct hearth as the specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Tools: Societary to show the specific distry to star of the specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Tools: Societary to show the specified hearth. Real-World Scample: Tools: Societary to show the specific distry to show the specific dis					
ordo graper, Materials: Pen and a pare with Six, Ye religned. To Screen: A space, drawn over a Six grid (eg. hast, star, spin): Projected: Six consumer markers for board, and the correct hole. Success: Tree remains with a 'K' of the specified cells of the screen hole. Success: Tree remains with a 'K' of the specified cells of the correct hole. Residued A specific specific screen hole. Success: Tree remains with a 'K' of the specified cells of the correct hole. Residued A specific specific screen hole. Success: The correct hole. Residued A specific specific screen hole. Success: The correct hole. Residued A specific specific screen hole. Success: The correct hole. Residued A specific specific screen hole. Success: The correct hole. Success: The correct hole. Residued A specific specific specific screen hole. Success: The correct hole. Residued A specific specif	Trace Shape	Draw/Trace	Position Wire	Placement (fine)	
paper with bisk, 3th 2 cell grids. On Screen: A shape, drawn over a bisk grid (e.g., heart, star, spina). Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: Trace remains within a 1th 2 th of the specified hole. Success: Work Planet Copy complex Copy comple		Task: Trace specified shape accurately		Task: Insert a wire into a specified hole in	
A shape, drawn over a Sró grid (eg. heart, str., spin). Projected: Nearner as the screen, to scale. Success: Trace there are an with a X" of the specified shape. Real-World Example: Teach kids box to write letters or draw carnoos; Write "Happy Birthday" on cake evenly. During the scheme of the correct hole. Real- World Example: Copy complex pattern or design. Assemble drawing on box or page: write inters or draw carnoos; Write "Happy Birthday" on cake evenly. Mark Location Boxeent (Correct) Cut Wire Cut Wire Task: Corry and X in specified cell on a sheet of grid paper. Miterials: A plec of page: write into Scale. Success: the correct tails specified length. Materials: write: selected: the correct tails materials in the screent. Cut Wire Task: Corr jean in Str. Scale. Success: the correct tails materials in the screent of write south the correct tails materials in the screent of write south the correct tails materials in the screent of write south the correct tails materials in the screent of write south the correct tails materials in the screent of scale. Success: Stah segment of write south the correct tails materials in the screent of scale. Success: Stah segment of write south the distage. 123 the pape I along the screent of the fold and southed the fold and numbers showing the with of the fold scale. State: Write Bare as screent, to scale. Success: Stah segment of scale. Success: Stah as dort end so the fold and mather screent digram. Materials: a difference from paper. State: Write for and so the fold and mather screent digram. Materials: A difference from paper is the screent origani indicating folds. Verify Breadboard Task: King the write in breadboard writh matches on screen digram. Materials: a difference from paper		onto grid paper. Materials: Pen and a		breadboard. Materials: A breadboard & a	
Note: Note: <td< td=""><td></td><td>paper with 5x6, ½" cell grids. On Screen:</td><td></td><td>piece of wire. On Screen: A diagram of a</td></td<>		paper with 5x6, ½" cell grids. On Screen:		piece of wire. On Screen: A diagram of a	
Image: State State, Spiral). Projected: The same as the screen. To scale. Success: Trace remains within a X ² of the specified how to write letters or draw carbons. Image: Spiral Screen Screen Wite State Screen Screen dign: Assemble circuits on breadbard or PCB. Mark Location Placement (correr) Cut Wire Cut Wire Task: Draw and Xin Specified lon as the or drait philphiled. Projected: The same as the screen, to Scale. Success: the correct cutors markers screen diment. On Screen: A 10x51, grid drawing with one cell highlighted. Projected: The same as the screen, to Scale. Success: the correct cutors markers screen diment. On Screen: A 10x51, grid drawing with one cell highlighted. Projected: Streens the correct cutors markers screens go dretkers indicating possible moves. Fold Paper Task: Tota an absect of paper along specified line. Near-You Screen: A success: Each segment to vire is within a screen and under screen to scale. Success: Sch and grid or organic drait and screen is draited with of the fold (e.g. 1/3) the paper length. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct hubbes to advare with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers schowing the width of the did (e.g. 1/3) the paper length. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Nor has the screen dot the fold and a stree or organic line. Real-World Sample: Task: Hord's wire organic line. Screen: A line representing the screen screen digram. Materials: a 10 screen: Signification, screen screen, biggs and sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with mark here physical wire-ends during the screen screen digram. Materials: Participants. Screen K line wire here screen screen biggs marks, and the screen screen screen biggs marks, and trad-world screen N in asks: Merk the screen screen real screend screen screen. Screen N in asks: Merk t		A shape, drawn over a 5x6 grid (e.g.		breadboard with 1 highlighted hole.	
remains within ± %" of the specified Wire placed in the correct hole. Real-World Example: Cay complex pattern of or C8. Mark Location Placement (carres) Cut Wire Cut Wire Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a bated of gid paper. Materials: A piece of paper with 10x15, %" cell gids and part Materials. A piece of paper with 10x15, %" cell gids drawing with one cell highlighted. Projected: The interactively teach chees of correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Cay correct was point furture: interactively teach chees or the correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Cay correct project Mire is within ± %" of the specified line, Materials: 1 piece of 15 may. Fold Paper Reshope Reshope Verify Breadboard Task: Coll a sheet of paper along specified line, Materials: 1 piece of 15 may is the organe is bowing the within the field (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Page: Reshope Verify Breadboard Task: Coll a sheet of paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of specified line, Real-World Example: Coll Correct humber of screen X hard specified line, Real-World Example: Coll Correct humber of screen X hard specified line, Real-World Example: Coll Correct humber of screen X hard specified Correct humber of screen X hard specified Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of specified line, Real-World Example: Coll Correct humber of screen X hard specified Correct humber of scre		heart, star, spiral). Projected: The same		Projected: Alignment markers for board,	
Shape, Real-World Example: Teach tids how to write "Happy Birthday" on cake evenly. World Example: Copy complex pattern or degree X-asemble circuits on breadboard or PCB. Mark Location Placement (correct) Cut Wire Cut Wire Cut Wire Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a sheet of grid paper. Materials: A piece of paper with hot5, X," cell grids and pen. On Screen: A 10x15 grid drawing with on cell Highlighted. Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: The correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Cut yan for at project, Mark length to freed or rell was for the Real-World Example: Cut yan for at project, Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the though event yfor baking. Fold Paper Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm A 15cm Organi paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the within of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both eds of the fold are within to dide not gride market for screen: Super Materials: 1 piece of 15cm A 15cm Organi paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold and numbers schworing the within of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: But else fold line. Real-World Example: Indicat the super Materials: A B ^d wire to match a specific length. Real-World Example: Indicat the fold scheet on specified line. Materials: A breakboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire draw and 2. holes highlighted. Projected: Same as screen, success: Super Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 sparer, Success: Circle vide the holes. Succes: Circle vi		as the screen, to scale. Success: Trace		a circle around the correct hole. Success:	
how to write letters or draw cartoons; Write "Happy Birthdy" on case eveny, Write "Happy Birthdy" on case eveny, Cut Wire Cut Wire Mark Location Placement (coarse) Cut Wire Cut Wire Cut Street Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a net of grid paper. Materials: A piece of paper with 10x15, X' cell grids and pen. On Screen: A DuX5 grid drawing with one cell highlighted. Projected: Tase: Cut piece of 8" wire is within in none cell highlighted. Projected: Secress: The correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Determine where screws go in furniture; interactively teach cheas or checkers indicating possible moves. Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origani paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Bird ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origani indicating folds. Verify Breadboard Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with wire drawn and 2. Ables: highlighted. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Correctil identified where physical wire ends don' divide wire wire holes. Success: Correctil identified where physical wire hoard with wire drawn and 2. Ables: highlighted. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Creek for errors in complex drouts shown only to participants: the projected instructions shown only to participants in the Szreen-throgection condition, the success critications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown on all pase in the ssc. encert filting show box screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Pareciffeed one practice trinil, followed by 10 instances of a lask on		remains within ± ¼" of the specified		Wire placed in the correct hole. Real-	
Write "Happy Birthday" on cake every. or PCB. Mark Location Plocement (coarse) Cut Wire Cut Mire Mark Location Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a sheet of grid paper. Materials: A piece of paper with 10x15, X" cell grids and pen. On Screen: A 10x15 grid drawing with on cell highlighted. Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: The correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Determine where screws go in turnicute. Interactively teach chess or checkers indicating possible moves. Task: Total a sheet of paper along specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yan for art project, Mark and the specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the specified line. Real-World Example: Cut yan for art project, Mark and the specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the specified line. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model arplane wings. Verify Breadboard Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on screen digram. Materials. A more (in the specified line, Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model arplane wings. Verify Breadboard Task: Verify that wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire for holes highlighted. Projected: Same as screen diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circlis. The correct provided inter ons fine. Verify Breadboard Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with mire free sheet of paper along sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with mire free sheet scorrect core screen dingram. Real-World Example: Screen screen scre		shape. Real-World Example: Teach kids		World Example: Copy complex pattern or	
Mark Location Placement (course) Cut Wire Cut Pice of Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a sheet of grid paper. Materials: A pice of paper with 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. On Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. No Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. No Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. No Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and pen. No Screen: A 10:55, X° cell grids and transe file to the scale or the screen to the scale or the scale o		how to write letters or draw cartoons;	· · · ·	design; Assemble circuits on breadboard	
Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a sheet of grid paper. Materials: A piece of paper with 10x15, X [*] cell grids and pen. On Screen: A 10x15 grid drawing with one cell highlighted. Projected: The correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Determine where screws go in furniture: Interactively teach cheas or checkers indicating possible moves. Task: Cut piece of 8" wire into 13 segment 5 specified length. Materials: Discovers: Each segment of wire is within teach. Projected: 3 lines, to scale. Success: Each segment of wire is within trans. Project Marked Science 10 was marked. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project, Mark of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yard for an the specified length. Real-World Example: Rea		Write "Happy Birthday" on cake evenly.		or PCB.	
Second	Mark Location	Placement (coarse)	Cut Wire	Cut	
Fold Paper Reshope Fold Paper Reshope Task: Fold a sheet of paper aint a specified line. Materials: A grade with a specified line. Real-World Example: rol care, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± X or of specified line. Real-World Example: rol care, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± X or of specified line. Real-World Example: rol care, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± X or of specified line. Real-World Example: rol care, and grade specified with wire, pen, and grading specifications, but not the specified with wire, pen, and grading specifications, the physical task, and real-world Example: rol care, to scale. Success: Correctly materials read with wire reads with reads with wire reads with wire reads with wire		Task: Draw an X in specified cell on a		Task: Cut piece of 8" wire into 3	
pice on Screen: A 10x15 grid drawing with one cell highlighted. Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: Each segment of wire is within ± X or the specified length. Real-World Example: Cot yraw for at project. Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the same is cot yraw for the specified length. Real-World Example: Cot yraw for at project. Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the same is cot yraw for the specified length. Real-World Example: Cot yraw for at project. Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the same is the screen, to scale. Success: Each ends of the fold are within ± X' of the specified line. Real-World Example: cot yraw for at project. Mark length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Each ends of the fold are within ± X' of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicating folds. Verify Breadboard Task: Fold a sheet of paper length. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Unit he specified line. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model arplane wings. Verify Breadboard Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with wire ends adord with wire, end, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire ends adord with wire, end, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire ends correct true wire holes. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen yrojected issue of the specified length and endset sheet she		sheet of grid paper. Materials: A piece		segments of specified length. Materials:	
with one cell highlighted. Projected: The same as the screen, to scale. Success: Each segment of wire is within ± X* of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for at project, Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the dough evenly for baking. Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Bend Wire Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for at project, Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the dough evenly for baking. No rolgami paper. On Screen: A line representing the fold example: no screen fragma indicating folds. Verify Breadboard Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the fold example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Grid Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: Screens. Success: Correct marked cells where the paper and screen / Jine groups. Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheed. On screen: Skeen Screen. Skeen Screen: Skeen Scre		of paper with 10x15, ¼" cell grids and		8" wire, scissors, and ruler. On Screen: 3	
Same as the screen, to scale. Success: The correct cell was marked. Real-World Example: Determine where screws go in furnture; interactively teach chess or checkers indicating possible moves. Success: Each segment of wire is within ± X" of the specified length. Real-World Example: Cut yam for art project; Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the dough evenly for baking. Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold and numbers showing the width of the fold (eg. 1/3 the paper length). Task: Send 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Verify Breadboard Fold Erons (fine) Verify Grid Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with markes on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading wire draw mand 2 holes highlighted. Verify Grid Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid containg 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: SS grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Board corner markers, circles wire draw and 2 holes highlighted. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical water, and screen is projection condition, the success circle in for each task, and real-world Example: or projection synthe or a specified length and width. Materials: 2 or of Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, and width. matcess c		pen. On Screen: A 10x15 grid drawing		lines, with the segment length specified	
Fold Paper Reshape Fold Paper Reshape Specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm As guare with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold as with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold as guare with a dotted line. Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specifie length. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project; Mark for a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold as within ± 3'' of the specified line. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project; Mark for a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold as within ± 3'' of the specified line. Real-World Example: Cut yarn for art project; Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of sigen on specified line. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Grid Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: Scccess: Current projected instructions shown and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circle over two wire holes. Success: Current Sys Grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Current Sys Grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Current Sys Grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Current Sys Grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Current Sys Grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Current Sys Grid with u-to 2 differences from paper. Projecte		with one cell highlighted. Projected: The		in inches. Projected: 3 lines, to scale.	
Example: Determine where screws go in furiture; Interactively teach chess or checkers indicating possible moves. Example: Cut yarn for art project; Mark length of bread or rolls to divide the dough evenly for baking. Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Grid Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen jing sheet. On Screen: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends dorti- match fagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task, poeifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to 10 participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants		same as the screen, to scale. Success:		Success: Each segment of wire is within ±	
Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Ingth of bread or rolls to divide the dough evenly for baking. Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15 cm x 15 cm Origami paper. On Screen: A guare with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Foiget Paper Task: Endl & Sites not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Verify Grid Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: 13 grading of board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends dort match for acent. Sos grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: Sos grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: Sos grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: Sos grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, priced. Same as screen, Soc grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, priced. Same as screen, Soc grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: So grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: So grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: So grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: So grid conta		The correct cell was marked. Real-World		¼" of the specified length. Real-World	
Checkers indicating possible moves. dough evenly for baking. Fold Paper Reshape Bend Wire Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± % of the specified line. Real-World Example: Totake correct number of segments, each within ± % of specified line. Real-World Example: Totake correct number of segments, each within ± % of specified totake the curve of model airplane wings. Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Grid Find Errors (coarse) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with wire pen, and prading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire frame and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ Real-World Example: Totake the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown not all participants, the projected instructions, but not the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials provided, the on-screen instructions, but not the physical task materials provided in the task. Each they see in the specified dimension. Real-World Example: Check for eracts projected instructions of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials provided in the task. Each they sereal therogecifytion condition, the success criteria f		Example: Determine where screws go in		Example: Cut yarn for art project; Mark	
Fold PaperReshapeBend WireReshapeJoin ControlTask: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origani paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origani indicating folds.Image: Screen A screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings.Verify BreadboardFind Errors (fine) Frojected: Same as creen, to a cale. Success: Correctly marked calls and bloes highighted. Projected: Bard corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Verify GridTask: Identify extra, conflicting, missing speer grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: An esserten. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected issmot circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown not y to participants in the Screen+Projected circuin condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed on epractice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachMoterial of blowed by 10 in		furniture; Interactively teach chess or		length of bread or rolls to divide the	
Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold and numbers showing the width of the fold and numbers showing the width of the fold are within the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are within the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are within the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are within the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are within the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are within the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are withing the stuccess: Both ends of the fold are withing the stucces within the stuccess within the stucces within the stuccess within the stuccess within the stuccess withing the stucces within the stuccess withing the stucces with the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucces with the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucces with the stucces withing the stucces with the stucces withing the stucce withing the stucce withing the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucces withing the stucce withing the stucce withing the stucce withing the stucce withing the stucces withing the stucce withing the stucces withing the stuc		checkers indicating possible moves.		dough evenly for baking	
specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origani paper. On Screen: A is user with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within the '' of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origani indicating folds.Shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-hangle bends. Success: Both ends of the fold are within the '' of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origani indicating folds.Verify BreadboardThat Errors (fine) is the correen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading heet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends dort match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.SculptTask: Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, she physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success cirteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, shown only to participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success cirteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11		sheetiers marcating possible morest		dough evening for building.	
 x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the with dith of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both heads of the fold are within ± X" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Verify Breadboard Verify Grid Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success: circeria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each 	Fold Paper		Bend Wire	Reshape	
Square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: check for errors in complex circuits.Verify GridWire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: check for errors in complex circuits.Verify GridWire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. success: Correctly wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles or errow wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: check for errors in complex circuits.SculptTask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, or sheet of a specified length and width. Materials: 2 or of Play Doh, ruler. On Sereen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected isame line or rectangle to scale. Success: Sculpted diggrin is the brule of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each <b< td=""><td>Fold Paper</td><td>Reshape</td><td>Bend Wire</td><td>Reshape</td></b<>	Fold Paper	Reshape	Bend Wire	Reshape	
Image: the stand series of the	Fold Paper	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each	
Fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: indicate the curve of model airplane wings.Verify BreadboardFind Errors (fine) Find Errors (fine)Verify GridFind Errors (corse)Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends dori wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Find Errors (corse)Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown nolly to participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSulptSulptSulptSuccess: Sculpted specified length, and width. Materials: 2 oz of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSulptSulptSuccess: Sculpted one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSulptSulptSuccess: Sculpted speie is with it 4.% of the specifie	Fold Paper	Reshape Task : Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials : 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the	
Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Verify Breadboard Find Errors (fine) Verify Grid Find Errors (carse) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't that diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions shown only to participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each Sculpt Task: Roll and spread Play Doh, ruler. On secreting the solution, the success of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each	Fold Paper	Reshape Task : Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials : 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold,	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends.	
Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± %" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.Segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings.Verify BreadboardFind Errors (fine)Verify GridFind Errors (coarse)Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly utentified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions show no all participants in the Screen+Projected instructions shown only to participants in the Screen+Projected instructions shown only to participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one pra	Fold Paper	Reshape Task : Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials : 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly.	
± X" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.Verify Gridlength. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings.Verify BreadboardFind Errors (fine)Verify GridFind Errors (coarse)Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants in the Screen +Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachMaterials. Participants on the of the 11 tasks. EachFind Errors (fine)Materials. Participants how by the full with t	Fold Paper	Reshape Task : Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials : 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen : A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length).	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale.	
Example: Teach origami indicating folds.curve of model airplane wings.Verify BreadboardFind Errors (fine)Verify GridFind Errors (carse)Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Bard corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols: on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptTask: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World Example: Real-World examples of the task. Real-World examples of the task	Fold Paper	Reshape Task : Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials : 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen : A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected : Same as screen, to scale.	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of	
Verify BreadboardFind Errors (fine)Verify GridFind Errors (coarse)Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptTask: Real-World Example: correctipants, the projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachVerify GridTask: Real-World Example: corectified imensions. Real-World Example: Roll	Fold Paper	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified	
Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown only to participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptTable under the with with with with the with the with the with the with the full tasks. EachSculptTask: Real-World Example: Real-World Ex	Fold Paper	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World	Bend Wire	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the	
matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighed. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Summary and set on screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSucletTask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length and width. Materials: 2 oz of Play Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected: Same line or rectangle, to scale. Success: Sculpted dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.		Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings.	
 breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown to all participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each 		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds.		Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse)	
 sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projected instructions shown only to participants in the Screen+Projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each 		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with		Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing	
 wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown solut to participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each 		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A		Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on-	
 Where unawn and 2 holes mightighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each 		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading		Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid.	
over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptFask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, and width. Materials: 2 oz of Play Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected: Same line or rectangle, to scale. Success: Sculpted shape is within ± ¼" of the specified dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles,	
identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptCorrectly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.SupportSupportStak SupportSculptSpread/CoverTask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length and width. Materials: 2 oz of Play Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected: Same line or rectangle, to scale. Success: Sculpted shape is within ± ¼" of the specified dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted.	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid	
match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptTask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, and width. Materials: 2 oz of Play Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected: Same line or rectangle, to scale. Success: Sculpted shape is within ± ¼" of the specified dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles	Verify Grid	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to onscreen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper.	
Check for errors in complex circuits.Example: Check furniture assembly.Table 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachCulptExample: Check furniture assembly.SculptStudtSpread/CoverTask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, or sheet of a specified length and width. Materials: 2 oz of Play Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected: Same line or rectangle, to scale. Success: Sculpted shape is within ± ¼" of the specified dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± %" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success:	
SupportSculptTable 1. The 11 tasks used in the study. Includes the task name (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants in the Screen+Projection condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. EachSculptTask: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length and width. Materials: 2 oz of Play Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rectangle drawing, with lengths in inches. Projected: Same line or rectangle, to scale. Success: Sculpted shape is within ± ¼" of the specified dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper	
Table 1. The TT tasks used in the study. Includes the task halfe (in bold), the corresponding category name (from [4]), task specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example:	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World	
specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each		Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example:	Verify Grid	Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.	
specifications, the physical materials provided, the on-screen instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits.	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover	
instructions shown to all participants, the projected instructions shown only to participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling	
shown only to participants in the <i>Screen+Projection</i> condition, the success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task	Verify Grid	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length,	
success criteria for each task, and real-world examples of the task. Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate specifications, the physical m instructions shown to all part	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task naterials provided, the on-screen icipants, the projected instructions	Verify Grid Verify Grid Sculpt	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid wire doell some as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, or sheet of a specified length and width.	
Diagrams show screen and projected instructions, but not the physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each dimensions. Real-World Example: Roll	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate specifications, the physical m instructions shown to all part	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task naterials provided, the on-screen icipants, the projected instructions	Verify Grid Verify Grid Sculpt	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid wire doell some as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, or specified length and width. <td cols<="" td=""></td>	
physical task materials. Participants completed one practice trial, followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each dimensions. Real-World Example : Roll	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate specifications, the physical minstructions shown to all part shown only to participants in	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task naterials provided, the on-screen icipants, the projected instructions the Screen+Projection condition, the	Verify Grid Verify Grid Sculpt	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, or flay Doh, ruler. On Screen: A line or rec	
followed by 10 instances of a task for each of the 11 tasks. Each dimensions. Real-World Example : Roll	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate specifications, the physical minstructions shown to all part shown only to participants in success criteria for each task,	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task naterials provided, the on-screen icipants, the projected instructions the Screen+Projection condition, the and real-world examples of the task.	Verify Grid Verify Grid Sculpt	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly. Spread/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, or sheet of a specified length and width. Materials: 2 o	
	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate specifications, the physical minstructions shown to all part shown only to participants in success criteria for each task, Diagrams show screen and provide the specification of the specification of the physical minstruction of the physical minstructions shown to all part shown only to participants in success criteria for each task, Diagrams show screen and physical minstructions where the physical minstruction of the physical	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task naterials provided, the on-screen icipants, the projected instructions the Screen+Projection condition, the and real-world examples of the task.	Verify Grid Verify Grid Sculpt	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Example: Check furniture assembly.	
	Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Verify Breadboard Table 1. The 11 tasks used in bold), the corresponding cate specifications, the physical minstructions shown to all part shown only to participants in success criteria for each task, Diagrams show screen and prophysical task materials. Participants	Reshape Task: Fold a sheet of paper along specified line. Materials: 1 piece of 15cm x 15cm Origami paper. On Screen: A square with a dotted line for the fold, and numbers showing the width of the fold (e.g. 1/3 the paper length). Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Both ends of the fold are within ± ¼" of the specified line. Real-World Example: Teach origami indicating folds. Find Errors (fine) Task: Verify that wire in breadboard with matches on-screen diagram. Materials: A breadboard with wire, pen, and grading sheet. On Screen: Diagram of board with wire drawn and 2 holes highlighted. Projected: Board corner markers, circles over two wire holes. Success: Correctly identified where physical wire-ends don't match diagram. Real-World Example: Check for errors in complex circuits. n the study. Includes the task name (in gory name (from [4]), task naterials provided, the on-screen icipants, the projected instructions the Screen+Projection condition, the and real-world examples of the task.	Verify Grid Verify Grid Sculpt	Reshape Reshape Task: Bend 8" wire to match a specific shape. Materials: An 8" wire for each trial. On Screen: A line representing the wire, with up to 2 right-angle bends. Length of sides not given explicitly. Projected: Same as screen, to scale. Success: Wire has the correct number of segments, each within ± ¼" of specified length. Real-World Example: Indicate the curve of model airplane wings. Find Errors (coarse) Task: Identify extra, conflicting, missing symbols on paper grid compared to on- screen grid. Materials: Pen, and a 5x5 paper grid containing 15 symbols: circles, squares, and stars. On Screen: 5x5 grid with up-to 2 differences from paper. Projected: Same as screen. Success: Correctly marked cells where the paper and screen/projected differ. Real-World Expend/Cover Task: Roll and spread Play Doh modeling dough into a tube of a specified length, <td colspac<="" td=""></td>	

Participants were told that time and accuracy would be measured for each task and that they should strive to complete each task as quickly and accurately as possible. For each task, the participant was first asked to read the instructions and ask any questions they had. They were then given a practice trial for the task. The completion time and accuracy of the practice trial were not recorded. Once ready, the participant started each of the 10 trials by pressing the spacebar, and ended each the same way. Each participant completed all 10 trials before moving to the next task. The order of trials within a task was fixed for all participants. After finishing all 11 tasks, participants completed a questionnaire, and were paid \$20 for their time. The study took approximately one hour.

Participants

Thirty participants were recruited for the study using Craigslist and university mailing lists for staff and students. There were 17 female participants (10 in the *Screen-Only* condition). Nine participants were left-handed (four in the *Screen-Only* condition). The average age was 27.4 (min 20, max 46, *SD*=7.2) Nineteen participants reported they were students. Other occupations included technical support staff, office worker, homemaker, librarian, and document manager. Participants performed a total of 3,300 trials, 1,650 in each display condition.

MEASURES

We logged key presses and collected task artifacts for all trials. From these, we computed two performance measures: time and error rate. To compute a task's error rate, each trial was first scored as either a success or an error using the criteria described in Table 1. A wide variation in how accurately participants performed the *Bend Wire* task made scoring by a single person difficult. For this task only, we used multiple coders as follows: After scoring 30 trials as a group, three of the authors each scored 2/3 of the remaining 270 trials independently, such that each trial was scored twice. This method resulted in a high Kappa agreement of $\kappa = 0.85$. Conflicting scores (29 of 270) were resolved by a third coding.

The following variables were used in the analysis:

Dependent Variables:

- **Time (log₁₀-transformed)**: The time to complete a trial, in milliseconds. Since completion time displayed a lognormal distribution, it was transformed prior to analysis.
- Error Rate: The percentage of error trials (out of a possible 10) in each task.

Independent Variables:

- **Display Condition**: Screen-Only vs. Screen+Projection.
- Task-Type: The 11 tasks described in Table 1.
- TrialID: The name of an individual trial.
- **TaskOrder**: The order (1-11) in which a task was presented to the participant.
- **TrialOrder**: The order (1-10) in which a trial within each task was presented to the participant.

Covariates:

- ParticipantID
- Age
- Gender: Female vs. Male.
- Handedness: Left vs. Right.

ANALYSIS

We utilized two separate statistical models to analyze the effects of display condition and task type on Time and Error Rate.

Completion-time was analyzed using a mixed-effects model analysis of variance in which Time (log_{10} -transformed) was the dependent measure. Display Condition (*Screen-Only vs. Screen+Projection*), Task-Type, TaskOrder (1-11), TrialOrder (1-10), Handedness (*L vs. R*), and all two-way interactions were modeled as fixed effects. ParticipantID and TrialID were modeled as random effects.¹

Error-rate was analyzed at the task level using Poisson regression for event-count data [22] in which task-level Error Rate (percent in task) was the dependent measure. Display Condition (*Screen-Only vs. Screen+Projection*), Task-Type, TaskOrder (1-11), Handedness (L vs. R), and all two-way interactions between them were modeled as fixed effects.² Finally, to control for individual differences, ParticipantID was nested within Handedness and Display Condition, and included in the model as a fixed effect.

Since neither Age nor Gender showed a significant relationship with Time or Error Rate, we did not include them in the models.

RESULTS

Our results show that adding micro-projected guides can lower completion time and error rate overall. However, a detailed look revealed that not all tasks benefited from the added projected guides and in one case, performance was, in fact, *worse* for participants using the guides. Both the completion-time and error-rate models were significant (p<.001). The model for completion time had a high R^2 of 0.77, meaning that the model was able to account for 77% of the variance in the data.

Display Condition and Task-Type

Display Condition had a significant main effect on both Time (F[1,26]=12.9, p<.002) and Error Rate ($\chi^2(1)$ =27.6, p<.001) with faster completion time for *Screen+Projection*

¹Trial ID was modeled as a random effect because we are not interested in the specific 10 trials we generated per task, but in the larger set of possible trials that these were drawn from, just as we are interested in the population from which participants were drawn, not the specific individuals who participated.

²The infrequency of errors resulted in a highly non-normal distribution precluding the option of using an ANOVA. Poisson regression is typically utilized to model rare-event data like this.




Figure 2. The main effect of Display Condition on completion time (\log_{10} scale) and error-rate and effect by Task-Type. Lower values are better. Tasks shown in bold indicate a statistically significant difference between display conditions.

 $(12s vs. 16.5s)^3$ as well as fewer errors per task (15% vs. 22%). These main effects replicate results from prior work in AR [2, 20] that show the benefit of augmented instructions over entire tasks (Figure 2).

As one would expect due to the qualitatively different nature of the various task-components, Task-Type also had a significant main effect on both Time (F[10,92]=58.1, p<.001) and Error Rate ($\chi^2(10)=263.1$, p<.001). For example, the *Sculpt* task took 39 seconds on average to complete, while a *Mark Location* averaged only 7 seconds.

Our analysis now departs from prior studies by examining differences between conditions over individual task-components. Interactions between Display Condition and Task-Type were also significant for both Time (F[10,3125]=35.4, p<.001) and Error Rate ($\chi^2(10)$ =65.3, p<.001), implying that the way in which micro-projected guides affected completion time and error rate was not equal for all task types. In order to identify tasks in which combined instructions differed significantly from screen-only instructions, we examined completion time and error rate for each Task-Type, comparing between *Screen-Only* and *Screen+Projector*. We first conducted a planned pair-

wise comparison of completion Time between display conditions for each task type. To account for the number of pair-wise comparisons (11) and reduce the risk of Type I statistical errors, we employed a Bonferroni correction, resulting in α =0.0045, *i.e.*, a difference is considered statistically significant if and only if p<.0045.

As can be seen in Figure 2, in 6 of the 11 tasks, participants in the Screen+Projector condition completed each trial significantly faster, on average, than participants in the *Screen-Only* condition. Those tasks were Verify Breadboard (8s vs. 12s; F[1,37]=19.7, p<.001), Identify Piece (10s vs. 19s; F[1,37]=36.6, p<.001), Sculpt (34s vs. 46s; F[1,37]=9.7, p<.004), Trace Shape (13s vs. 29s; F[1,37]=58.2, p<.001), Position Wire (6s vs. 9s: F[1,37]=17.3, p<.001), and Mark Location (5s vs. 9s; F[1,37]=27.9, p<.001). These results support the effectiveness of augmenting on-screen instructions with micro-projected guides, especially because these 6 tasks represent 5 of the 7 task-categories from [4] that we evaluated. No other comparisons were significant.

Next we conducted similar pair-wise comparisons for Error Rate (again, with α =0.0045). Four task types showed significant difference in Error Rate between the display conditions (see Figure 2, bottom). We found that in both of the tasks from the *Placement* task category (*Mark Location* and *Position Wire*), participants in the *Screen+Projector* condition not only completed trials significantly faster than

³Because the independent variables were not completely orthogonal, we used Least Squared Means (LS Means) to control for the values of the other independent variables. When possible, the means reported are LS Means.

participants in the *Screen-Only* condition, but that all participants completed these tasks without any errors. This is compared to an average error rate of 10% for *Mark Location* for participants in the *Screen-Only* condition $(\chi^2(1)=18.5, p<.001)$ and 11% for *Position Wire* $(\chi^2(1)=18.4, p<.001)$. Considering the precision demands of the *Position Wire* task, the result is somewhat surprising and supports the use of micro-projected guides for similar *Placement* tasks. Error Rate in the *Bend Wire* task was much higher for participants in the *Screen-Only* condition (55%) than participants in the *Screen+Projector* condition (19%; $\chi^2(1)=21.6, p<.001$).

Finally, a surprising result shows that participants in the *Screen+Projection* condition did significantly worse in the *Verify Grid* task than participants in the *Screen-Only* condition (6.7% vs. 20.0%; $\chi^2(1)=8.9$, p=.003). Recall that in this task, users were asked to compare a printed 5×5 grid of symbols to a displayed (and projected) grid, and identify missing, extra, or conflicting symbols on the paper.

In order to understand the possible causes for this negative effect (we are unaware of any prior reports of a negative impact of augmented instructions), we analyzed the 300 *Verify Grid* trials in greater detail. We first coded the number and type of mismatches (*Symbol Missing from Paper, Extra Symbol on Paper*, and *Conflicting Symbol on Paper*) in each trial. We then analyzed the interaction between Display Condition and Type of Mismatch on the likelihood of error in each trial to determine whether the type of mismatch was associated with different error levels for the different display conditions. As the interaction was significant (F[2,236]=4.9, p<.01) we then performed a Tukey HSD pair-wise comparison (see Figure 3).

The results show that when a symbol on paper conflicted with a displayed/projected symbol (Conflicting Symbol on Paper), participants in the Screen+Projection condition committed significantly more errors than participants in the Screen-Only condition (F[1,185]=18.6, p<.001). There was no significant difference between display conditions when a symbol was Missing from Paper (F[1,85]=0.9, n.s.), nor when there was an *Extra Symbol on Paper* (F[1,122]=3.3, n.s.). This indicates that Screen+Projection participants' errors can be attributed to significantly worse performance at identifying conflicting symbols (to illustrate, consider a contrived example where the letter "F" is projected on top of the letter "E"). This finding indicates that the spatial interaction between the physical and virtual must be considered. We conclude our results with a description of the effects of control measures and covariates.

Handedness

There was no significant effect of Handedness on completion time (F[1,26]=0.2, *n.s.*). However, left-handed participants had a significantly higher error rate than right-handed participants (23% vs. 16%; $\chi^2(1)$ =11.0, p<.001). Looking closely, we found that the average error rate was actually marginally higher for left-handed participants in




Figure 3. Errors in *Verify Grid* by Display Condition and Type of Mismatch. Significant difference for *Conflicting Symbol*.

the *Screen-Only* condition (25%) than in the *Screen+Projection* condition (22%; $\chi^2(1)=3.7$, p=.054). We can thus conclude that the increased error rate for left-handed participants was not due to occlusion of the projection, and more likely due to the requirement to work on the table immediately to the right of the laptop (possibly inconvenient for left-handed users).

Learning Effects

Somewhat surprisingly, we found neither a significant main effect of TrialOrder on Time (F[1,91]=0.7, n.s.), nor any interactions with significant two-way TrialOrder. TaskOrder did have a significant effect on Time (F[1,3125]=10.6, p<.002), with participants completing tasks faster as the study progressed. The interaction between Task-Type and TaskOrder was significant for Time (F[10,3140]=7.2, p<.001), indicating that tasks encountered at different stages of the study resulted in different improvements in completion time. It is interesting to notice that the shorter completion time did not translate to a significant increase in Error Rate ($\chi^2(1)=0.2, n.s.$), discounting the possibility that participants became careless over time. No other effects or interactions were significant.

To summarize, our results show significant overall benefit, in completion time and error rate, of the *Screen+Projection* condition. A closer examination shows that this benefit was not true in all cases, and in one case (*Verify Grid*) the projection harmed performance. An additional analysis revealed that this was likely a result of visual interference between projected and physical (printed) elements.

DISCUSSION

Like prior work in AR, the micro-projected guides improved participants' overall performance. A closer examination showed that in 7 of the 11 tasks, performance with the guides lowered completion time, reduced error rate, or both. In 3 tasks, performance did not significantly differ, and in 1 task, performance was, in fact, worse. By considering the categories of tasks, we can understand which ones are aided by augmented instructions and should be used within the process of entire tasks. In tasks for which projected guides improved performance, we observed benefits similar to those previously reported [2, 20], including reducing visual context switching by providing to-scale feedback, and by reducing the need for additional tools. These benefits of micro-projected guides are encouraging considering the micro-projector limitations discussed above, and were made possible through the additional availability of on-screen instructions. We next discuss these benefits, as well as drawbacks.

Benefits of Combined Instructions

As we cannot assume that augmented instructions would benefit all task-components equally, we hypothesized that projected guides would improve performance on some taskcomponents more than others. For task-components that require the user to check many details from the instructions, like debugging a circuit or drawing a complicated pattern, the user may have to glance back and forth between the screen and work area many times. By placing feedback directly on the work area, the projected guides removed the need to switch their gaze between the screen and table. For example, in the *Identify Missing Letter* task, participants were required to look at the screen to see the full set of letters, then down at the table to confirm which letter tiles were present. In contrast, we observed many participants in the Screen+Projection condition set their tiles adjacent to the projection area so that they could view the letter list and the tiles simultaneously. Although this effect did not result in significantly faster performance in the Identify Missing Letter task, it likely contributed to the performance of this and other tasks, such as Trace Shape and Position Wire, which are used often in art and engineering projects.

In real-life tasks such as model airplane or furniture building, it is important to identify the correct pieces to use. The projected guides gave participants the ability to match physical objects to the to-scale projections. In many cases, this allowed the participant to replace a difficult mental operation with a much simpler one. For example, in the Identify Piece task, Screen-Only participants often removed all of the pieces from a bin and placed them on the table, while participants in the Screen+Projector condition could simply match single pieces to the size of the projected shape (Figures 4a and 4b). In our experimental design, we chose to use on-screen images that were not to scale, as instructional images found on the Web are typically not to scale. Thus, presenting images to scale on screen might improve performance for some tasks, although this would clearly not be possible for tasks where the user needs to place something in front of the screen (e.g., Mark Location), or use a vertical surface (e.g., Sculpt).

Finally, an additional benefit of to-scale projection was the ability to reduce the need for additional tools, specifically the ruler. In cake decorating, for example, projecting a ruler could help space "Happy Birthday" evenly when it is not feasible to place an actual ruler in the icing. All participants were given a ruler during those tasks that required precise




Figure 4. Participants performing the *Identify Piece* task (top) and *Sculpt* task (bottom). (a) A participant in the *Screen-Only* condition arranges all of the pieces on the table to compare them. (b) A participant in the *Screen+Projection* condition matches a piece to its projected outline. (c) A participant in the *Screen-Only* condition uses a ruler to measure Play Doh. (d) A participant in the *Screen+Projection* condition uses a projected guide to measure length while sculpting.

measurement (*Cut Wire*, *Sculpt*). Participants in the *Screen-Only* condition were required to perform an additional measurement step with the ruler, while participants in the *Screen+Projector* condition were able to use the to-scale projected measurements, removing the need to switch tools and perform an additional step (Figures 4c and 4d). Although our tasks used only the ruler as a measuring tool, to-scale projection would likely reduce the need for other measuring tools, such as a compass or grid paper.

The combined screen and projection system was also more flexible for the participants' different work styles and provided two sources of information to complete the tasks. We found that some participants seemed to use the screen primarily to verify the projected information. For example, it is not feasible to project all of the holes in the breadboard but the screen instructions clearly show every hole. After using projected guides to insert a wire into the breadboard, some participants checked their work by comparing it to the on-screen breadboard diagram. Although the on-screen and projected information in this condition were similar, future systems could use the screen to present other information that cannot be displayed in the projection area, such as high-resolution images or multi-media demonstrations.

Because the combined system was implemented on a laptop, as opposed to a static table setup, it supports users' workflow by allowing them to perform tasks where they prefer. A user could bring it to a workshop to assemble electronics or other materials and into the kitchen for help with cooking. While we tested the system in only a single environment, there was nothing that would have prevented us from moving the setup to different places for each task.

Limitations of Micro-Projected Guides

Although participants performed faster and more accurately with the projected guides for some tasks, many participants encountered difficulties using the projections. In the *Verify Grid* task, in particular, participants had difficulty distinguishing projected material from the printed material we provided. We also observed the following problems with use of the projected guides: visual interference, hand occlusion, a limited workspace, and difficult aligning real-world objects to the guides. These problems are common to both high-resolution and low-fidelity projection systems.

In the Verify Grid task, the projected shapes were overlaid directly onto the printed shapes for participants to verify whether the two sets of shapes were identical. It was difficult for participants to see the output of the projector on top of the printed grid. Participants in both the Screen+Projection and Screen-Only conditions had similar difficulty identifying when there was an extra shape on the printout that was not in the instructions. Participants in the Screen+Projection condition were significantly worse at detecting when two overlapping shapes were not the same. Some participants adapted to this by placing the paper at a slight offset to the projection to compare the shapes, but this issue likely contributed to the increased error rate for that task. The difficulty separating the augmented information from the underlying object may affect all augmented reality systems [2], but could perhaps be addressed by projecting in an area adjacent to the grid, or projecting in colors that stand out better against the object.

Some participants also experienced difficulties when their hand occluded the projected guides—a common problem in front-projection systems. This happened most often when participants moved their hands into the projection area to work on a task, such as *Trace Shape*. While participants could often resolve the occlusions themselves, one potential automated solution is to detect possible occlusions, and redirect the projected information to another area of the table, possibly using a callout as in *Shift* [21].

Another common problem was the limited workspace provided by the projector mounted on a laptop monitor. Even with a small area, we see a lot of benefit in the combined screen and projected instructions. However, the workspace size required participants to adopt some specific poses to complete tasks and use the projected guides. For example, during the Cut Wire task, participants in the Screen-Only condition typically held the wire in front of themselves to cut it, while participants in the projector condition often held the wire down to the table to cut it to match the projected guide. The location of the workspace was also difficult for left-handed participants, who had to reach across the laptop to the right side to complete the tasks. These problems could be addressed by providing a steerable projector, by providing projected feedback even if the user's hand is outside the projection area, or by mounting two projectors on the laptop, one on each side as in Bonfire [10].

Finally, some participants in the *Screen+Projection* condition experienced some difficulties when aligning a physical object to the projected guides. This was not a significant problem in the *Trace Shape* or *Fold Paper* tasks, where the projection clearly showed the outline of the object, but was challenging in the breadboard tasks, where a slight misalignment of the breadboard could result in inaccurate projected guidance. As discussed previously, a benefit of the combined screen and projection system is that participants could use the screen instructions to verify their alignment. This problem should be addressed in future systems by using a camera to detect the object's position and orientation, and adjusting the projection to match.

Task Completion Strategies

Our robust system enabled participants to use a variety of (sometimes unexpected) strategies for completing the tasks. For example, in the Identify Piece task, participants in the Screen-Only condition used various strategies to quickly find the correct shape. Some participants laid out their shapes in the same pattern as shown on screen, while others stacked the shapes by decreasing size, and some picked up shapes a few at a time to make pair-wise comparisons. In another example, some participants used the ruler to create straight edges in the Sculpt task by cutting the Play-Doh using the ruler's edge. One major design implication of this result is that task guidance systems should allow users to perform tasks in a variety of ways without disrupting the overall flow of instruction. Although we initially expected that participants in the Screen+Projection condition would line up the letter tiles with the projection in the *Identify* Missing Letter task, very few in fact did so.

FUTURE WORK

The current study provides evidence of the effectiveness and potential pitfalls of augmenting on-screen instructions with micro-projected guides for a number of categories of task-components. However, future work should examine the appropriateness of this feedback for more complex, multi-step tasks that utilize these components. In some cases, the tasks here can be extended to these multi-step tasks. For example, our Fold Paper task could be combined to teach a user to create an origami (similar to Ju et al. [8]). Supporting multi-step tasks will require additional interaction methods to step through the task, for example, animation portraying or giving feedback for a dynamic process, and could include an authoring tool for recording new instructions. Further analysis is needed to determine which components of multi-step tasks are helped most and which might be harmed by augmented instructions.

Another item for future work is using computer vision to detect and recognize the actions a user is taking, and possibly adjust the guides to correct missteps or change task orderings based on user behaviors. A simple example would be a vision-based inventory of small parts, whereby after the user lays out all the parts necessary for a given task, the system informs the user of any missing pieces. Finally, future research in this area should also explore the use of different types of on-screen and projected feedback. The instructions evaluated in this study featured text and static images only on a high-resolution laptop and low-fidelity projection. Including animation, video, interactive components, and higher fidelity projections could potentially improve both on-screen and projected guides.

CONCLUSION

We have presented a user study that compared task performance using typical on-screen instructions to a system that augments on-screen instructions with microprojected guides. The results of the study show that combined instructions can improve speed and reduce errors for a variety of task-components present in manual tasks. However, we also found that projected guides resulted in worse performance when projected guides and physical objects visually interfered with each other. These results extend prior research on AR-based instruction by showing that task guidance can be implemented effectively using commodity hardware available today (a laptop, webcam, and micro-projector). Furthermore, by evaluating users as they perform a large set of task-components, we have shown where projected guides can provide benefits within a variety of tasks that could be augmented in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Matthai Philipose, Anind Dey, Adam Rea, Darren Gergle, Yaakov Kareev, Pedja Klasnja, Anthony LaMarca, Richard Beckwith, and Beverly Harrison.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anderson, J. R., Corbett, A. T., Koedinger, K. R., Pelletier, R., Cognitive tutors: lessons learned. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4, 2 (1995), 167-207.
- Azuma, R., Baillot, Y., Behringer, R., Feiner, S., Julier, S., Macintyre, B. Recent advances in augmented reality. *Computer Graphics and Applications*, IEEE Press, 21, 6(2001), 34-47.
- 3. Baird, K.M., Barfield, W., Evaluating the effectiveness of augmented reality displays for a manual assembly task. *Virtual Reality*, 4, 4 (1999), 250-259.
- 4. Dunston, P.S., Shin, D. H., Key areas and issues for augmented reality applications on construction sites. In *Mixed Reality in Architecture, Design, and Construction 2009*, Springer (2009). 157-170.
- Feiner, S., Macintyre, B., Seligmann, D., Knowledgebased augmented reality. *Communications of the ACM*, 36, 7 (1993), 53-62.
- 6. Fletcher-Flinn, C. and Gravatt, B. The efficacy of computer assisted instruction (CAI): a meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 12, 3 (1995), 219-242.
- 7. Fussell, S.R., Setlock, L. D., Yang, J., Ou, J., Mauer, E., Kramer, A. D. I., Gestures over video streams to

support remote collaboration on physical tasks. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 19, 3 (2004), 273-309.

- 8. Gergle, D., Kraut, R.E., and Fussell, S.R. (2004). Action as language in a shared visual space. In *Proc. CSCW 2004*, ACM Press (2004), 487-496.
- Ju, W., Bonanni, L., Fletcher, R., Hurwitz, R., Judd, T., Post, R., Reynolds, M., and Yoon, J., Origami Desk: integrating technological innovation and human-centric design. In Proc *DIS 2002*, ACM Press (2002), 399-405.
- Kane, S.K., Avrahami, D., Wobbrock, J. O., Harrison, B., Rea, A., Phillipose, M., LaMarca, A., Bonfire: a nomadic system for hybrid laptop-tabletop interaction. In *Proc. UIST 2009*, ACM Press (2009), 129-138.
- Kirk, D., Fraser, D. S., Comparing remote gesture technologies for supporting collaborative physical tasks. In *Proc. CHI 2006*, ACM Press (2006), 1191-1200.
- 12. Kirk, D., Rodden, T., Fraser, D. S., Turn it this way: grounding collaborative action with remote gesture. In *Proc. CHI 2007*, ACM Press (2007), 1039-1048.
- Kraut, R. E., Miller, M. D. & Siegel, J. (1996). Collaboration in performance of physical tasks: effects on outcomes and communication. In *Proc. CSCW* 1996, ACM Press (1996), 57-66.
- Motokawa, Y., Saito, H., Support system for guitar playing using augmented reality display. In *Proc. ISMAR 2006*, IEEE Press (2006), 243-244.
- 15. Neumann, U., Majoros, A., Cognitive, performance, and systems issues for augmented reality applications in manufacturing and maintenance. In *Proc. Virtual Reality*, IEEE Press (1998), 4-11.
- 16. Palmiter, S., Elkerton, J., An evaluation of animated demonstrations for learning computer-based tasks. In *Proc. CHI 1991*, ACM Press (1991), 257-263.
- 17. Ranjan, A., Birnholtz, J. P., Balakrishnan, R., An exploratory analysis of partner action and camera control in a video-mediated collaborative task. In *Proc. CSCW* 2006, ACM Press (2006), 403-412.
- 18. Swets, J.A., Feurzeig, W., Computer-aided instruction. *Science*, 150, 3696 (1965), 572-576.
- 19. Taketa, N., Hayashi, K., Kato, H., Noshida, S., Virtual pop-up book based on augmented reality. In *Interacting in Information Environments*, Springer, 2007, 475-484.
- Tang, A., Owen, C., Biocca, F., Mou, W., Comparative effectiveness of augmented reality in object assembly. In *Proc. CHI 2003*, ACM Press (2003), 73-80.
- Vogel, D. and Baudisch, P. 2007. Shift: a technique for operating pen-based interfaces using touch. In *Proc. CHI 2007*, ACM Press (2007), 657-666.
- 22. Vermunt, J.K. *Log-linear Models for Event Histories*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications, 1997.
- 23. Watson, G., Curran, R., Butterfield, J., Craig, C., The effect of using animated work instructions over text and static graphics when performing a small scale engineering assembly. In *Proc. CE 2008*, Springer (2008), 541-550.