

MATERIAL / PACE

Please classify your perspective on material covered in today's class (15 respondents):


1-mostly review, 5-equal new/review, 10-mostly new

Average - 7.21 (↑ - previous 6.46)

Please rate the pace of today's class:

1-slow, 5-just right, 10-fast

Average - 5.5 (↑ - previous 5.3)



L4.8

AMDAHL'S LAW

- Portion of computation which cannot be parallelized determines the overall speedup
- For an embarrassingly parallel job of fixed size
- Assuming no overhead for distributing the work, and a perfectly even work distribution

α: fraction of program run time which can't be

(e.g. must run sequentially)

Maximum speedup is:

 $S = 1/\alpha$

October 12, 2020

TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma

L4.7

L4.9

L4.11

GUSTAFSON'S LAW

- Calculates the <u>scaled speed-up</u> using "N" processors $S(N) = N + (1 - N) \alpha$
- N: Number of processors
- α: fraction of program run time which can't be parallelized (e.g. must run sequentially)
- Can be used to estimate runtime of parallel portion of program

October 12, 2020

TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma

FEEDBACK - 2

- The difference between soft modularity and enforced modularity (Slide 22)
 - Not covered on 10/7, will be covered next

October 12, 2020

TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS

- Collection of autonomous computers, connected through a network with distribution software called "middleware" that enables coordination of activities and sharing of resources
- Key characteristics:
- Users perceive system as a single, integrated computing facility.
- Compute nodes are autonomous
- Scheduling, resource management, and security implemented by every node
- Multiple points of control and failure
- Nodes may not be accessible at all times
- System can be scaled by adding additional nodes
- Availability at low levels of HW/software/network reliability

October 12, 2020

TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS - 2

- Kev non-functional attributes
 - Known as "ilities" in software engineering
- Availability 24/7 access?
- Reliability Fault tolerance
- Accessibility reachable?
- Usability user friendly
- Understandability can under
- Scalability responds to variable demand
- Extensibility can be easily modified, extended
- Maintainability can be easily fixed
- Consistency data is replicated correctly in timely manner

October 12, 2020


TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma


TRANSPARENCY PROPERTIES OF **DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS**


- Access transparency: local and remote objects accessed using identical operations
- Location transparency: objects accessed w/o knowledge of their location.
- Concurrency transparency: several processes run concurrently using shared objects w/o interference among them
- Replication transparency: multiple instances of objects are used to increase reliability
- users are unaware if and how the system is replicated
- Fallure transparency: concealment of faults
- Migration transparency: objects are moved w/o affecting operations performed on them
- Performance transparency: system can be reconfigured based on load and quality of service requirements
- Scaling transparency: system and applications can scale w/o change in system structure and w/o affecting applications


October 12, 2020


TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma



































































BUSINESS DRIVERS FOR CLOUD - 3 Cost reduction IT Infrastructure acquisition IT Infrastructure maintenance Operational overhead Technical personnel to maintain physical IT infrastructure System upgrades, patches that add testing to deployment cycles Utility bills, capital investments for power and cooling Security and access control measures for server rooms Admin and accounting staff to track licenses, support agreements, purchases


TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma L4.37


October 12, 2020


BUSINESS DRIVERS FOR CLOUD - 4 Organizational agility Ability to adapt and evolve infrastructure to face change from internal and external business factors Funding constraints can lead to insufficient on premise IT Cloud computing enables IT resources to scale with a lower financial commitment TCSSS62: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing (Fail 2020) School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma 14.38

































































































































PERFORMANCE VARIABILITY Cloud platforms exhibit performance variability which varies over time Goal of this case study is to measure performance variability (i.e. extent) for AWS Lambda services by hour, day, week to look for common patterns Can also examine performance variability by availability zone and region Do some regions provide more stable performance? Can services be switched to different regions during different times to leverage better performance? Remember that performance = cost If we make it faster, we make it cheaper... TCSS562: Software Engineering for Cloud Computing [Fall 2020] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma October 12, 2020 L4.85

■ Traditionally AWS Lambda functions have been limited to 500MB of storage space ■ Recently the Elastic File System (EFS) has been extended to support AWS Lambda ■ The Elastic File System supports the creation of a shared volume like a shared disk (or folder) ■ EFS is similar to NFS (network file share) ■ Multiple AWS Lambda functions and/or EC2 VMs can mount and share the same EFS volume ■ Provides a shared R/W disk ■ Breaks the 500MB capacity barrier on AWS Lambda ■ Downside: EFS is expensive: ~30 \$\tilde{F}\$/GB/month ■ Project: EFS performance & scalability evaluation on Lambda October 12, 2020 | TC55562: Software Engineering for Coulc Camputing [fill 2020] | C65562: Software Engineering for Coulc Camputing [fill 2020]

