TCSS 422: OPERATING SYSTEMS

Intro to Concurrency, Linux Thread API, Locks, Lock-based data structures

Wes J. Lloyd
School of Engineering and Technology
University of Washington - Tacoma

1

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

2

ONLINE DAILY FEEDBACK SURVEY

- Daily Feedback Quiz in Canvas – Available After Each Class
- Extra credit available for completing surveys ***ON TIME***
- Tuesday surveys: due by ~ Wed @ 11:59p
- Thursday surveys: due ~ Mon @ 11:59p



3

TCSS 422 - Online Daily Feedback Survey - 4/1

Quiz Instructions

Question 1 0.5 pts

On a scale of 1 to 10, please classify your perspective on material covered in today's class:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Mostly Review To Me				Equal New and Review					Mostly New to Me

Question 2 0.5 pts

Please rate the pace of today's class:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Slow				Just Right					Fast

4

MATERIAL / PACE

- Please classify your perspective on material covered in today's class (45 respondents):
- 1-mostly review, 5-equal new/review, 10-mostly new
- **Average – 7.44 (↓ - previous 7.58)**

- Please rate the pace of today's class:
- 1-slow, 5-just right, 10-fast
- **Average – 5.84 (↓ - previous 6.14)**

5

FEEDBACK FROM 4/20

- ***For the CFS, what is the main reason we need to use it?***
- Prior to the Linux Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS), Linux used the Big O(1) scheduler
- To read more about the specific problems CFS tries to solve with the O(1) scheduler, see the article:
- https://dl.acm.org/doi/fullHtml/10.5555/1594371.1594375

- ***And can instead of using a Red-Black tree, is it possible to use another type of tree?***
- Red-Black tree is a type of self balancing binary search tree
- Balanced binary search trees are much more efficient at search than unbalanced binary search trees
- Another tree may not be as efficient
- See article: https://brilliant.org/wiki/red-black-tree/

6

FEEDBACK - 2

- ***How does sched_latency_ns affect the behavior of CFS?***
- See slides 8.28 & 8.29
- Sched_latency_ns is the total cycle time for iterating through the set of processes in a runqueue
- Default is 24,000,000 ns (24 ms) when the number-of-processes in a runqueue < 8
- Otherwise 3ms * number-of-processes in runqueue
- For example: with 12 processes in runqueue, sched_latency is 36,000,000 ns (36 ms)
- When less 8 jobs in runqueue, individual jobs get more than sched_min_granularity execution time (3ms)
- Longer runtime is better for long running batch jobs
- Long runtime is poor for GUI/IO jobs that run for short bursts

7

BONUS SESSION – EXAMPLE SCHEDULER PROBLEMS

- Bonus session:
  Monday May 1 starting at 6:30pm
  - Zoom link to be posted on Canvas
  - Problems and solutions posted on "Schedule" tab of website
- A series of example scheduling problems will be solved:
  - Focus on: FIFO, SJF, STCF, RR, MLFQ

8

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- **C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28**
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

9

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- **Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9**
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

10

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- **Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)**
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

QUIZ 1

- Active reading on Chapter 9 – Proportional Share Schedulers
- Posted in Canvas
- Due Thursday April 27th at 11:59pm
- **Link:**
- **https://faculty.washington.edu/wlloyd/courses/tcss422/quiz/TCSS422_s2023_quiz_1.pdf**

QUIZ 2

- Canvas Quiz – Practice CPU Scheduling Problems

- Posted in Canvas
- Unlimited attempts permitted
- Provides CPU scheduling practice problems
  - FIFO, SJF, STCF, RR, MLFQ (Ch. 7 & 8)
- Multiple choice and fill-in the blank
- Quiz automatically scored by Canvas
  - Please report any grading problems

- Due Tuesday May 2nd at 11:59pm

- **Link:**
- **https://canvas.uw.edu/courses/1642522/assignments/8316759**

13

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- **Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction**
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

14

COUNTER EXAMPLE

- Counter example
- A + B : ordering
- Counter: incrementing global variable by two threads
- ***Is the counter example embarrassingly parallel?***
- ***What does the parallel counter program require?***

15

PROCESSES VS. THREADS

- What's the difference between forks and threads?
  - Forks: duplicate a process
  - Think of ***CLONING*** - There will be two identical processes at the end
  - Threads: no duplication of code/heap, lightweight execution threads



16

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - **Race condition**
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

RACE CONDITION

- What is happening with our counter?
  - When counter=50, consider code: counter = counter + 1
  - If synchronized, counter will = 52

OS	Thread1	Thread2	(after instruction) PC	%eax	counter
	before critical section		100	0	50
	mov 0x8049a1c, %eax		105	50	50
	add $0x1, %eax		108	51	50
interrupt					
save T1's state					
restore T2's state			100	0	50
		mov 0x8049a1c, %eax	105	50	50
		add $0x1, %eax	108	51	50
		mov %eax, 0x8049a1c	113	51	51
interrupt					
save T2's state					
restore T1's state			108	51	50
	mov %eax, 0x8049a1c		113	51	**51**

RACE CONDITION

Thread 2 preempts Thread 1 and changes the counter value AFTER Thread 1 has read the value

When Thread 1 resumes, it does not reread the counter value and Thread 1 overwrites value from Thread 2

Thread 1's Increment is lost

```
restore T1's state                    108   51    50
        mov %eax, 0x8049a1c           113   51    51
```


19

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - **Critical section**
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

20

CRITICAL SECTION

- Code that accesses a shared variable must not be ***concurrently*** executed by more than one thread
- Multiple *active* threads inside a ***critical section*** produce a ***race condition***.
- ***Atomic execution*** (*all code executed as a unit*) must be ensured in ***critical*** sections
  - These sections must be ***mutually exclusive***

21

LOCKS

- To demonstrate how critical section(s) can be executed "atomically-*as a unit*" Chapter 27 & beyond introduce **LOCKS**

```
1    lock_t mutex;
2    . . .
3    lock(&mutex);
4    balance = balance + 1;
5    unlock(&mutex);
```

Critical section

- Counter example revisited

22

COUNTER EXAMPLE

- With locks
  - 2 threads count to 16 million
  - ~1.4 seconds
  - COUNT IS CORRECT – no data loss
- Without locks
  - 2 threads count to 16 million
  - ~0.03 seconds
  - COUNT IS INCORRECT - DATA IS LOST
- Correct version is 46.6 x slower
  - Cost is ~16 million Lock & Unlock API calls

23

CHAPTER 27 - LINUX THREAD API



24

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - **pthread_create/_join**
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

25

THREAD CREATION

- pthread_create

```
#include <pthread.h>

int
pthread_create(      pthread_t*       thread,
               const pthread_attr_t* attr,
                     void*           (*start_routine)(void*),
                     void*           arg);
```

- thread: thread struct
- attr: stack size, scheduling priority… (*optional*)
- start_routine: function pointer to thread routine
- arg: argument to pass to thread routine (*optional*)

26

PTHREAD_CREATE – PASS ANY DATA

```
#include <pthread.h>

typedef struct __myarg_t {
        int a;
        int b;
} myarg_t;

void *mythread(void *arg) {
        myarg_t *m = (myarg_t *) arg;
        printf("%d %d\n", m->a, m->b);
        return NULL;
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
        pthread_t p;
        int rc;

        myarg_t args;
        args.a = 10;
        args.b = 20;
        rc = pthread_create(&p, NULL, mythread, &args);
        …
}
```


27

PASSING A SINGLE VALUE

Using this approach on your Ubuntu VM, How large (in bytes) can the primitive data type be?

How large (in bytes) can the primitive data type be on a 32-bit operating system?

```
9       int rc, m;
10      pthread_create(&p, NULL, mythread, (void *) 100);
11      pthread_join(p, (void **) &m);
12      printf("returned %d\n", m);
13      return 0;
14  }
```


28

WAITING FOR THREADS TO FINISH

```
int pthread_join(pthread_t thread, void **value_ptr);
```

- thread: which thread?
- value_ptr: pointer to return value
  type is dynamic / agnostic

- Returned values *must* be on the heap
- Thread stacks destroyed upon thread termination (join)
- Pointers to thread stack memory addresses are invalid
  - May appear as gibberish or lead to crash (seg fault)
- Not all threads join – ***What would be Examples ??***

29

What will this code do?

```
struct myarg {
  int a;
  int b;
};

void *worker(void *arg)
{
  struct myarg *input = (struct myarg *) arg;
  printf("a=%d b=%d\n",input->a, input->b);
  struct myarg output;
  output.a = 1;
  output.b = 2;
  return (void *) &output;
}

int main (int argc, char * argv[])
{
  pthread_t p1;
  struct myarg args;
  struct myarg *ret_args;
  args.a = 10;
  args.b = 20;
  pthread_
  pthread_
  printf("
  return 0;
}
```

Data on thread stack

```
$ ./pthread_struct
a=10 b=20
Segmentation fault (core dumped)
```

How can this code be fixed?

30

How about this code?

```
struct myarg {
  int a;
  int b;
};

void *worker(void *arg)
{
  struct myarg *input = (struct myarg *) arg;
  printf("a=%d b=%d\n",input->a, input->b);
  input->a = 1;
  input->b = 2;
  return (void *) &input;
}

int main (int argc, char * argv[])
{
  pthread_t p1;
  struct myarg args;
  struct myarg *ret_args;
  args.a = 10;
  args.b = 20;
  pthread_create(&p1, NULL, worker, &args);
  pthread_join(p1, (void *)&ret_args);
  printf("returned %d %d\n", ret_args->a, ret_args->b);
  return 0;
}
```

```
$ ./pthread_struct
a=10 b=20
returned 1 2
```


31

ADDING CASTS

- Casting
- Suppresses compiler warnings when passing "typed" data where (void) or (void *) is called for
- Example: uncasted capture in pthread_join

```
pthread_int.c: In function 'main':
pthread_int.c:34:20: warning: passing argument 2 of 'pthread_join'
from incompatible pointer type [-Wincompatible-pointer-types]
   pthread_join(p1, &p1val);
```

- Example: uncasted return

```
In file included from pthread_int.c:3:0:
/usr/include/pthread.h:250:12: note: expected 'void **' but argument
is of type 'int **'
 extern int pthread_join (pthread_t __th, void **__thread_return);
```


32

ADDING CASTS - 2

- **pthread_join**

```
int * p1val;
int * p2val;
pthread_join(p1, (void *)&p1val);
pthread_join(p2, (void *)&p2val);
```

- **return from thread function**

```
int * counterval = malloc(sizeof(int));
*counterval = counter;
return (void *) counterval;
```


33

WE WILL RETURN AT 4:55PM



34

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - **pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock**
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

35

LOCKS

- pthread_mutex_t data type
- /usr/include/bits/pthread_types.h

```
// Global Address Space
static volatile int counter = 0;
pthread_mutex_t lock;

void *worker(void *arg)
{
  int i;
  for (i=0;i<10000000;i++)  {
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
    assert(rc==0);
    counter = counter + 1;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
  }
  return NULL;
}
```


36

LOCKS - 2

- Ensure critical sections are executed atomically-*as a unit*
  - Provides implementation of "***Mutual Exclusion***"
- API

```
int pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_unlock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
```

- Example w/o initialization & error checking

```
pthread_mutex_t lock;
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
x = x + 1; // or whatever your critical section is
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

  - Blocks forever until lock can be obtained
  - Enters critical section once lock is obtained
  - Releases lock

LOCK INITIALIZATION

- Assigning the constant

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
```

- API call:

```
int rc = pthread_mutex_init(&lock, NULL);
assert(rc == 0); // always check success!
```

- Initializes mutex with attributes specified by 2nd argument
- If NULL, then default attributes are used
- Upon initialization, the mutex is initialized and unlocked

LOCKS - 3

- Error checking wrapper

```
// Use this to keep your code clean but check for failures
// Only use if exiting program is OK upon failure
void Pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex) {
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(mutex);
    assert(rc == 0);
}
```

- What if lock can't be obtained?

```
int pthread_mutex_trylock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_timelock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex,
                           struct timespec *abs_timeout);
```

- trylock – returns immediately (fails) if lock is unavailable
- timelock – tries to obtain a lock for a specified duration

39

When poll is active, respond at **pollev.com/wesleylloyd641**
Text **WESLEYLLOYD641** to **22333** once to join

Which NON-BLOCKING API call can be used to obtain a lock without BLOCKING the calling thread?

- pthread_mutex_lock()
- pthread_mutex_unlock()
- pthread_join()
- pthread_mutex_trylock()
- None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at **pollev.com/app**

40


When poll is active, respond at pollev.com/wesleylloyd641
Text WESLEYLLOYD641 to 22333 once to join
Which API call BLOCKS temporarily for a specified amount of time while trying to obtain a lock before giving up?
Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

41


OBJECTIVES – 4/25
Questions from 4/20
C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
Race condition
Critical section
Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
pthread_create/_join
pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
Chapter 28: Locks
Introduction, Lock Granularity
Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
Sloppy Counter
Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table
April 25, 2023
TCSS422: Operating Systems [Spring 2023]
School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma
L9.42

42

CONDITIONS AND SIGNALS

- Condition variables support "signaling" between threads

```
int pthread_cond_wait(pthread_cond_t *cond,
                      pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t *cond);
```

- pthread_cont_t datatype
- pthread_cond_wait()
  - Puts thread to "sleep" (waits) (THREAD is BLOCKED)
  - Threads added to >**FIFO queue**<, lock is released
  - Waits ***(listens)*** for a "signal" (NON-BUSY WAITING, no polling)
  - When signal occurs, interrupt fires, wakes up first thread, (THREAD is RUNNING), lock is provided to thread

43

CONDITIONS AND SIGNALS - 2

```
int pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t * cond);
int pthread_cond_broadcast(pthread_cond_t * cond);
```

- pthread_cond_signal()
  - Called to send a "signal" to wake-up first thread in **FIFO "wait" queue**
  - The goal is to unblock a thread to respond to the signal
- pthread_cond_broadcast()
  - Unblocks ***all*** threads in **FIFO "wait" queue**, currently blocked on the specified condition variable
  - Broadcast is used when all threads should wake-up for the signal
- Which thread is unblocked first?
  - Determined by OS scheduler (based on priority)
  - Thread(s) awoken based on placement order in **FIFO wait queue**
  - When awoken threads acquire lock as in pthread_mutex_lock()

44

CONDITIONS AND SIGNALS - 3

- Wait example:

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (initialized == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
// Perform work that requires lock
a = a + b;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

- wait puts thread to sleep, releases lock
- when awoken, lock reacquired (but then released by this code)
- When initialized, another thread signals

State variable set, Enables other thread(s) to proceed above.

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
initialized = 1;
pthread_cond_signal(&init);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```


45

CONDITION AND SIGNALS - 4

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (initialized == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
// Perform work that requires lock
a = a + b;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

- Why do we wait inside a while loop?
- The while ensures upon awakening the condition is rechecked
  - A signal is raised, but the pre-conditions required to proceed may have not been met. **MUST CHECK STATE VARIABLE**
  - Without checking the state variable the thread may proceed to execute when it should not. (e.g. too early)

46

PTHREADS LIBRARY

- Compilation:
  gcc requires special option to require programs with pthreads:
  - gcc –pthread pthread.c –o pthread
  - Explicitly links library with compiler flag
  - RECOMMEND: using makefile to provide compiler arguments
- List of pthread manpages
  - man –k pthread

47

SAMPLE MAKEFILE

```
CC=gcc
CFLAGS=-pthread -I. -Wall

binaries=pthread pthread_int pthread_lock_cond pthread_struct

all: $(binaries)

pthread_mult: pthread.c pthread_int.c
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) $^ -o $@

clean:
    $(RM) -f $(binaries) *.o
```

- Example builds multiple single file programs
  - All target
- pthread_mult
  - Example if multiple source files should produce a single executable
- clean target

48

When poll is active, respond at **pollev.com/wesleylloyd641**
Text **WESLEYLLOYD641** to **22333** once to join

What key feature differentiates condition variables from mutex_locks in C ?

- Condition variables provide only NON-BLOCKING API calls.
- Locks can not be used without condition variables.
- Condition variables introduce a FIFO queue enabling control of the order that threads will receive the lock which provides fairness.
- Condition variables must first be initialized to a non-NULL value before being used in the program.
- None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at **pollev.com/app**

49

CHAPTER 28 – LOCKS

April 25, 2023

TCSS422: Operating Systems [Spring 2023]
School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma

L9.50

50

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - **Introduction** Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

LOCKS



- Ensure critical section(s) are executed atomically-*as a unit*
  - Only one thread is allowed to execute a critical section at any given time
  - Ensures the code snippets are "mutually exclusive"
- Protect a global counter:

```
balance = balance + 1;
```

- A "critical section":

```
1    lock_t mutex; // some globally-allocated lock 'mutex'
2    …
3    lock(&mutex);
4    balance = balance + 1;
5    unlock(&mutex);
```

LOCKS - 2

- Lock variables are called "MUTEX"
  - Short for mutual exclusion (that's what they guarantee)
- Lock variables store the state of the lock
- States
  - **Locked** (acquired or held)
  - **Unlocked** (available or free)
- Only 1 thread can hold a lock

53

LOCKS - 3

- `pthread_mutex_lock(&lock)`
  - Try to acquire lock
  - If lock is free, calling thread will acquire the lock
  - Thread with lock enters critical section
    - Thread "owns" the lock
- No other thread can acquire the lock before the owner releases it.

54

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, **Lock Granularity**
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

55

LOCKS - 4

- Program can have many mutex (lock) variables to "serialize" many critical sections
- Locks are also used to protect data structures
  - Prevent multiple threads from changing the same data simultaneously
  - Programmer can make sections of code "granular"
    - ***Fine grained*** – means just one grain of sand at a time through an hour glass
  - Similar to relational database transactions
    - DB transactions prevent multiple users from modifying a table, row, field

56

FINE GRAINED?

- ***Is this code a good example of "fine grained parallelism"?***

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
a = b++;
b = a * c;
*d = a + b +c;
FILE * fp = fopen ("file.txt", "r");
fscanf(fp, "%s %s %s %d", str1, str2, str3, &e);
ListNode *node = mylist->head;
Int i=0
while (node) {
  node->title = str1;
  node->subheading = str2;
  node->desc = str3;
  node->end = *e;
  node = node->next;
  i++
}
e = e – i;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

FINE GRAINED PARALLELISM

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_a);
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_b);
a = b++;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_b);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_a);

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_b);
b = a * c;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_b);

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_d);
*d = a + b +c;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_d);

FILE * fp = fopen ("file.txt", "r");
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_e);
fscanf(fp, "%s %s %s %d", str1, str2, str3, &e);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_e);

ListNode *node = mylist->head;
int i=0 . . .
```

LOCK GRANULARITY TRADE-OFF SPACE



59

EVALUATING LOCK IMPLEMENTATIONS

- **Correctness**
  - Does the lock work?
  - Are critical sections mutually exclusive? (atomic-*as a unit*?)

What makes a good lock?

- **Fairness**
  - Do all threads that compete for a lock have a fair chance of acquiring it?
- **Overhead**

60

BUILDING LOCKS

- Locks require hardware support
  - To minimize overhead, ensure fairness and correctness
  - Special "atomic-*as a unit*" instructions to support lock implementation
  - Atomic-*as a unit* exchange instruction
    - `XCHG`
  - Compare and exchange instruction
    - `CMPXCHG`
    - `CMPXCHG8B`
    - `CMPXCHG16B`

61

HISTORICAL IMPLEMENTATION

- To implement mutual exclusion
  - Disable interrupts upon entering critical sections

```
1   void lock() {
2       DisableInterrupts();
3   }
4   void unlock() {
5       EnableInterrupts();
6   }
```

- Any thread could disable system-wide interrupt
  - What if lock is never released?
- On a multiprocessor processor each CPU has its own interrupts
  - Do we disable interrupts for all cores simultaneously?
- While interrupts are disabled, they could be lost
  - If not queued…

62

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

63

SPIN LOCK IMPLEMENTATION

- Operate without atomic-*as a unit* assembly instructions
- "Do-it-yourself" Locks
- Is this lock implementation: ***(1)Correct? (2)Fair? (3)Performant?***

```
1   typedef struct __lock_t { int flag; } lock_t;
2
3   void init(lock_t *mutex) {
4       // 0 → lock is available, 1 → held
5       mutex->flag = 0;
6   }
7
8   void lock(lock_t *mutex) {
9       while (mutex->flag == 1)  // TEST the flag
10          ;  // spin-wait (do nothing)
11      mutex->flag = 1;  // now SET it !
12  }
13
14  void unlock(lock_t *mutex) {
15      mutex->flag = 0;
16  }
```


64

DIY: CORRECT?

- Correctness requires luck… (e.g. *DIY lock is incorrect*)

Thread1	Thread2
call lock() while (flag == 1) interrupt: switch to Thread 2	

	call lock()
	while (flag == 1)
	flag = 1;
	interrupt: switch to Thread 1

flag = 1; // set flag to 1 (too!)	

- Here both threads have "acquired" the lock simultaneously

65

DIY: PERFORMANT?

```
void lock(lock_t *mutex)
{
  while (mutex->flag == 1);   // while lock is unavailable, wait…
  mutex->flag = 1;
}
```

- What is wrong with while(<cond>); ?
- Spin-waiting wastes time actively waiting for another thread
- while (1); will "peg" a CPU core at 100%
  - Continuously loops, and evaluates mutex->flag value…
  - Generates heat…

66

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, **Test and Set**, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

TEST-AND-SET INSTRUCTION

- Hardware support required for working locks
- Book presents pseudo code of C implementation
  - TEST-and-SET adds a simple check to the basic spin lock
  - Assumption is this "C code" runs atomically on CPU:

```
1   int TestAndSet(int *ptr, int new) {
2       int old = *ptr;   // fetch old value at ptr
3       *ptr = new;       // store 'new' into ptr
4       return old;       // return the old value
5   }
```

- lock() method checks that TestAndSet doesn't return 1
- Comparison is in the caller
- Can implement the C version (non-atomic) and have some success on a single-core VM

DIY: TEST-AND-SET - 2

- C version: requires preemptive scheduler on single core system
- Lock is never released without a context switch
- single-core VM: occasionally will deadlock, doesn't miscount

```
1   typedef struct __lock_t {
2       int flag;
3   } lock_t;
4
5   void init(lock_t *lock) {
6       // 0 indicates that lock is available,
7       // 1 that it is held
8       lock->flag = 0;
9   }
10
11  void lock(lock_t *lock) {
12      while (TestAndSet(&lock->flag, 1) == 1)
13              ;       // spin-wait
14  }
15
16  void unlock(lock_t *lock) {
17      lock->flag = 0;
18  }
```


69

SPIN LOCK EVALUATION

- **Correctness:**
  - Spin locks with atomic Test-and-Set:
    Critical sections won't be executed simultaneously by (2) threads
- **Fairness:**
  - No fairness guarantee. Once a thread has a lock, nothing forces it to relinquish it…
- **Performance:**
  - Spin locks perform "busy waiting"
  - Spin locks are best for short periods of waiting (< 1 time quantum)
  - Performance is slow when multiple threads share a CPU
    - Especially if "spinning" for long periods

70

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, **Compare and Swap**
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

71

COMPARE AND SWAP

- Checks that the lock variable has the expected value FIRST, before changing its value
  - If so, make assignment
  - Return value at location
- Adds a comparison to TestAndSet
  - Textbook presents C pseudo code
  - Assumption is that the compare-and-swap method runs atomically
- Useful for wait-free synchronization
  - Supports implementation of shared data structures which can be updated atomically (*as a unit*) using the HW support CompareAndSwap instruction
  - Shared data structure updates become "wait-free"
  - Upcoming in Chapter 32

72

COMPARE AND SWAP

- Compare and Swap

```
1   int CompareAndSwap(int *ptr, int expected, int new) {
2       int actual = *ptr;
3       if (actual == expected)
4               *ptr = new;
5       return actual;
6
```

C implementation 1-core VM: Count is correct, no deadlock

- Spin loc

```
1
2
3               ; // spin
4   }
```

- X86 provides "cmpxchgl" compare-and-exchange instruction
  - cmpxchg8b
  - cmpxchg16b

73

When implementing locks in a high-level language (e.g. C), what is missing that prevents implementation of CORRECT locks?

- Shared state variable
- Condition variables
- ATOMIC instructions
- Fairness
- None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

74

TWO MORE "LOCK BUILDING" CPU INSTRUCTIONS

- Cooperative instructions used together to support synchronization on RISC systems
- No support on x86 processors
  - Supported by RISC: Alpha, PowerPC, ARM
- Load-linked (LL)
  - Loads value into register
  - Same as typical load
  - Used as a mechanism to track competition
- Store-conditional (SC)
  - Performs "mutually exclusive" store
  - Allows only one thread to store value

75

LL/SC LOCK

```
1   int LoadLinked(int *ptr) {
2       return *ptr;
3   }
4
5   int StoreConditional(int *ptr, int value) {
6       if (no one has updated *ptr since the LoadLinked to this address) {
7               *ptr = value;
8               return 1; // success!
9       } else {
10              return 0; // failed to update
11      }
12  }
```

- LL instruction loads pointer value (ptr)
- SC only stores if the load link pointer has not changed
- Requires HW support
  - C code is psuedo code

76

LL/SC LOCK - 2

```
1   void lock(lock_t *lock) {
2       while (1) {
3               while (LoadLinked(&lock->flag) == 1)
4                       ; // spin until it's zero
5               if (StoreConditional(&lock->flag, 1) == 1)
6                       return; // if set-it-to-1 was a success: all done
7                               otherwise: try it all over again
8       }
9   }
10
11  void unlock(lock_t *lock) {
12      lock->flag = 0;
13  }
```

- Two instruction lock

77

CHAPTER 29 – LOCK BASED DATA STRUCTURES

78

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- **Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures**
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

79

LOCK-BASED CONCURRENT DATA STRUCTURES

- Adding locks to data structures make them **thread safe**.
- Considerations:
  - Correctness
  - Performance
  - Lock granularity

80

COUNTER STRUCTURE W/O LOCK

- Synchronization weary --- not thread safe

```
1       typedef struct __counter_t {
2               int value;
3       } counter_t;
4
5       void init(counter_t *c) {
6               c->value = 0;
7       }
8
9       void increment(counter_t *c) {
10              c->value++;
11      }
12
13      void decrement(counter_t *c) {
14              c->value--;
15      }
16
17      int get(counter_t *c) {
18              return c->value;
19      }
```


CONCURRENT COUNTER

```
1       typedef struct __counter_t {
2               int value;
3               pthread_lock_t lock;
4       } counter_t;
5
6       void init(counter_t *c) {
7               c->value = 0;
8               Pthread_mutex_init(&c->lock, NULL);
9       }
10
11      void increment(counter_t *c) {
12              Pthread_mutex_lock(&c->lock);
13              c->value++;
14              Pthread_mutex_unlock(&c->lock);
15      }
16
```

- Add lock to the counter
- Require lock to change data

CONCURRENT COUNTER - 2

- Decrease counter
- Get value

```
(Cont.)
17      void decrement(counter_t *c) {
18              Pthread_mutex_lock(&c->lock);
19              c->value--;
20              Pthread_mutex_unlock(&c->lock);
21      }
22
23      int get(counter_t *c) {
24              Pthread_mutex_lock(&c->lock);
25              int rc = c->value;
26              Pthread_mutex_unlock(&c->lock);
27              return rc;
28      }
```


83

CONCURRENT COUNTERS - PERFORMANCE

- iMac: four core Intel 2.7 GHz i5 CPU
- Each thread increments counter 1,000,000 times



Traditional vs. sloppy counter
Sloppy Threshold (S) = 1024

Synchronized counter scales poorly.

84

PERFECT SCALING

- Achieve (N) performance gain with (N) additional resources
- Throughput:
- Transactions per second (tps)
- 1 core
- N = 100 tps
- 10 cores (x10)
- N = 1000 tps (x10)

85

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - **Sloppy Counter**
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, Hash Table

86

SLOPPY COUNTER

- Provides single logical shared counter
  - Implemented using local counters for each ~CPU core
    - 4 CPU cores = 4 local counters & 1 global counter
    - Local counters are synchronized via local locks
  - Global counter is updated periodically
    - Global counter has lock to protect global counter value
    - Sloppiness threshold (*S*):
      Update threshold of global counter with local values
    - Small (*S*): more updates, more overhead
    - Large (*S*): fewer updates, more performant, less synchronized
- Why this implementation?
  Why do we want counters local to each CPU Core?

87

SLOPPY COUNTER – MAIN POINTS

- Idea of Sloppy Counter is to ***RELAX*** the synchronization requirement for counting
  - Instead of synchronizing global count variable each time:
    `counter=counter+1`
  - Synchronization occurs only every so often:
    e.g. *every **1000** counts*
- Relaxing the synchronization requirement ***drastically*** reduces locking API overhead by trading-off split-second accuracy of the counter
- Sloppy counter: trade-off accuracy for speed
  - It's sloppy because it's not so accurate (until the end)

88

SLOPPY COUNTER - 2

- Update threshold (S) = 5
- Synchronized across four CPU cores
- Threads update local CPU counters

Time	L_1	L_2	L_3	L_4	G
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0
2	1	0	2	1	0
3	2	0	3	1	0
4	3	0	3	2	0
5	4	1	3	3	0
6	5 → 0	1	3	4	5 (from L_1)
7	0	2	4	5 → 0	10 (from L_4)

89

THRESHOLD VALUE S

- Consider 4 threads increment a counter 1000000 times each
- Low S → What is the consequence?
- High S → What is the consequence?



90

SLOPPY COUNTER - EXAMPLE

- Example implementation
- Also with CPU affinity

91

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - **Concurrent Structures: Linked List**, Queue, Hash Table

92

CONCURRENT LINKED LIST - 1

- Simplification - only basic list operations shown
- Structs and initialization:

```
1       // basic node structure
2       typedef struct __node_t {
3               int key;
4               struct __node_t *next;
5       } node_t;
6
7       // basic list structure (one used per list)
8       typedef struct __list_t {
9               node_t *head;
10              pthread_mutex_t lock;
11      } list_t;
12
13      void List_Init(list_t *L) {
14              L->head = NULL;
15              pthread_mutex_init(&L->lock, NULL);
16      }
17
(Cont.)
```


93

CONCURRENT LINKED LIST - 2

- Insert – adds item to list
- Everything is critical!
  - There are two unlocks

```
(Cont.)
18      int List_Insert(list_t *L, int key) {
19              pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
20              node_t *new = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
21              if (new == NULL) {
22                      perror("malloc");
23                      pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
24              return -1; // fail }
26              new->key = key;
27              new->next = L->head;
28              L->head = new;
29              pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
30              return 0; // success
31      }
(Cont.)
```


94

CONCURRENT LINKED LIST - 3

- Lookup – checks list for existence of item with key
- Once again everything is critical
  - Note - there are also two unlocks

```
(Cont.)
32
32      int List_Lookup(list_t *L, int key) {
33              pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
34              node_t *curr = L->head;
35              while (curr) {
36                      if (curr->key == key) {
37                              pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
38                              return 0; // success
39                      }
40                      curr = curr->next;
41              }
42              pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
43              return -1; // failure
44      }
```


CONCURRENT LINKED LIST

- First Implementation:
  - Lock **everything** inside Insert() and Lookup()
  - If malloc() fails lock must be released
    - Research has shown "***exception-based control flow***" to be error prone
    - 40% of Linux OS bugs occur in rarely taken code paths
    - Unlocking in an exception handler is considered a poor coding practice
    - There is nothing specifically wrong with this example however
- Second Implementation …

CCL – SECOND IMPLEMENTATION

- Init and Insert

```
1       void List_Init(list_t *L) {
2               L->head = NULL;
3               pthread_mutex_init(&L->lock, NULL);
4       }
5
6       void List_Insert(list_t *L, int key) {
7               // synchronization not needed
8               node_t *new = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
9               if (new == NULL) {
10                      perror("malloc");
11                      return;
12              }
13              new->key = key;
14
15              // just lock critical section
16              pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
17              new->next = L->head;
18              L->head = new;
19              pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
20      }
21
```


CCL – SECOND IMPLEMENTATION - 2

- Lookup

```
(Cont.)
22      int List_Lookup(list_t *L, int key) {
23              int rv = -1;
24              pthread_mutex_lock(&L->lock);
25              node_t *curr = L->head;
26              while (curr) {
27                      if (curr->key == key) {
28                              rv = 0;
29                              break;
30                      }
31                      curr = curr->next;
32              }
33              pthread_mutex_unlock(&L->lock);
34              return rv; // now both success and failure
35      }
```

CONCURRENT LINKED LIST PERFORMANCE

- Using a single lock for entire list is not very performant
- Users must "wait" in line for a single lock to access/modify any item
- Hand-over-hand-locking (lock coupling)
  - Introduce a lock for each node of a list
  - Traversal involves handing over previous node's lock, acquiring the next node's lock…
  - Improves lock granularity
  - Degrades traversal performance
- Consider hybrid approach
  - Fewer locks, but more than 1
  - Best lock-to-node distribution?

99

OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, **Queue**, Hash Table

100

MICHAEL AND SCOTT CONCURRENT QUEUES

- Improvement beyond a single master lock for a queue (FIFO)
- Two locks:
  - One for the **head** of the queue
  - One for the **tail**
- Synchronize enqueue and dequeue operations
- Add a dummy node
  - Allocated in the queue initialization routine
  - Supports separation of head and tail operations
- Items can be added and removed by separate threads at the same time

101

CONCURRENT QUEUE

- Remove from queue

```
1       typedef struct __node_t {
2               int value;
3               struct __node_t *next;
4       } node_t;
5
6       typedef struct __queue_t {
7               node_t *head;
8               node_t *tail;
9               pthread_mutex_t headLock;
10              pthread_mutex_t tailLock;
11      } queue_t;
12
13      void Queue_Init(queue_t *q) {
14              node_t *tmp = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
15              tmp->next = NULL;
16              q->head = q->tail = tmp;
17              pthread_mutex_init(&q->headLock, NULL);
18              pthread_mutex_init(&q->tailLock, NULL);
19      }
20
(Cont.)
```


102

CONCURRENT QUEUE - 2

- Add to queue

```
(Cont.)
21      void Queue_Enqueue(queue_t *q, int value) {
22              node_t *tmp = malloc(sizeof(node_t));
23              assert(tmp != NULL);
24
25              tmp->value = value;
26              tmp->next = NULL;
27
28              pthread_mutex_lock(&q->tailLock);
29              q->tail->next = tmp;
30              q->tail = tmp;
31              pthread_mutex_unlock(&q->tailLock);
32      }
(Cont.)
```


OBJECTIVES – 4/25

- Questions from 4/20
- C Tutorial - Pointers, Strings, Exec in C - Due Fri Apr 28
- Assignment 1 - Due Tue May 9
- Quiz 1 (Due Thur Apr 27) – Quiz 2 (Due Tue May 2)
- Chapter 26: Concurrency: An Introduction
  - Race condition
  - Critical section
- Chapter 27: Linux Thread API
  - pthread_create/_join
  - pthread_mutex_lock/_unlock/_trylock/_timelock
  - pthread_cond_wait/_signal/_broadcast
- Chapter 28: Locks
  - Introduction, Lock Granularity
  - Spin Locks, Test and Set, Compare and Swap
- Chapter 29: Lock Based Data Structures
  - Sloppy Counter
  - Concurrent Structures: Linked List, Queue, **Hash Table**

CONCURRENT HASH TABLE

- Consider a simple hash table
  - Fixed (static) size
  - Hash maps to a bucket
    - Bucket is implemented using a concurrent linked list
    - One lock per hash (bucket)
    - Hash bucket is a linked lists

105

INSERT PERFORMANCE – CONCURRENT HASH TABLE

- Four threads – 10,000 to 50,000 inserts
  - iMac with four-core Intel 2.7 GHz CPU



The simple concurrent hash table scales magnificently.

106

CONCURRENT HASH TABLE

```
1       #define BUCKETS (101)
2
3       typedef struct __hash_t {
4               list_t lists[BUCKETS];
5       } hash_t;
6
7       void Hash_Init(hash_t *H) {
8               int i;
9               for (i = 0; i < BUCKETS; i++) {
10                      List_Init(&H->lists[i]);
11              }
12      }
13
14      int Hash_Insert(hash_t *H, int key) {
15              int bucket = key % BUCKETS;
16              return List_Insert(&H->lists[bucket], key);
17      }
18
19      int Hash_Lookup(hash_t *H, int key) {
20              int bucket = key % BUCKETS;
21              return List_Lookup(&H->lists[bucket], key);
22      }
```


107

Which is a major advantage of using concurrent data structures in your programs?

- Locks are encapsulated within data structure code ensuring thread safety.
- Lock granularity tradeoff already optimized inside data structurew
- Multiple threads can more easily share data
- All of the above
- None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at **pollev.com/app**

108

LOCK-FREE DATA STRUCTURES

- Lock-free data structures in Java
- Java.util.concurrent.atomic package
- Classes:
  - AtomicBoolean
  - AtomicInteger
  - AtomicIntegerArray
  - AtomicIntegerFieldUpdater
  - AtomicLong
  - AtomicLongArray
  - AtomicLongFieldUpdater
  - AtomicReference
- See: https://docs.oracle.com/en/java/javase/11/docs/api/java.base/java/util/concurrent/atomic/package-summary.html

109

QUESTIONS

110