TCSS 422: OPERATING SYSTEMS

Locks API,
Introduction to Locks,
Lock-Based Data Structures

Wes J. Lloyd
School of Engineering and Technology,
University of Washington - Tacoma

FEEDBACK FROM 10/17

- What resources do threads share?
- pthread.c example:
  - Do locks cause the two threads to both ping back and forth until both reach desired count?
  - Why do locks cause both thread values to not be overridden with each other?
  - Why did the worker function in the pthread.c example have an asterisk before it?
    - void * - is a void pointer – essentially an untyped pointer
    - Worker function uses this to avoid compiler warning to match typing of the pthread_create() function signature

FEEDBACK - 2

- What is the purpose of joining threads?
  - When a thread exits, the parent can join to receive return results from the worker method
- Is the only purpose for locking to protect variables from outside manipulation?
  - Locks can also be used to order the sequence of execution
  - Who goes first…
    (*though condition variables are technically better…*)
- Could you simply poll a variable (e.g. int ready) to enforce sequence of execution?
- How is using pthread_mutex_t() better than polling?

Why does this code seg fault?

```
struct my
  int a;
  int b;
};

void *worker(void *arg)
{
  struct myarg *input = (struct myarg *) arg;
  printf("a=%d b=%d\n",input->a, input->b);
  struct myarg output;
  output.a = 1;
  output.b = 2;
  return (void *) &output;
}

int main (int argc, char * argv[])
{
  pthread_t p1;
  struct myarg args;
  struct myarg *ret_args;
  args.a = 10;
  args.b = 20;
}
```

Data on thread stack

```
$ ./pthread_struct
a=10 b=20
Segmentation fault (core dumped)
```

What would be another example where joining would cause a seg fault?



OBJECTIVES

- Program 1 – MASH Shell (Friday 10/26)
- Midterm – (Wed 10/31)

- <u>Multi-threaded Programming</u>
- Chapter 27 – Linux Thread API
- Chapter 28 – Introduction to Locks
- Chapter 29 – Lock-based Data Structures



CHAPTER 9 - PROPORTIONAL SHARE SCHEDULER

CHAPTER 27 - LINUX THREAD API



ADDING CASTS

- Casting
- Suppresses compiler warnings when passing “typed” data where (void) or (void *) is called for

- Example: uncasted capture in pthread_join

```
pthread_int.c: In function ‘main’:
pthread_int.c:34:20: warning: passing argument 2 of ‘pthread_join’
from incompatible pointer type [-Wincompatible-pointer-types]
   pthread_join(p1, &p1val);
```

- Example: uncasted return

```
In file included from pthread_int.c:3:0:
/usr/include/pthread.h:250:12: note: expected ‘void **’ but argument
is of type ‘int **’
 extern int pthread_join (pthread_t __th, void **__thread_return);
```

ADDING CASTS - 2

- **pthread_join**

```
int * p1val;
int * p2val;
pthread_join(p1, (void *)&p1val);
pthread_join(p2, (void *)&p2val);
```

- **return from thread function**

```
int * counterval = malloc(sizeof(int));
*counterval = counter;
return (void *) counterval;
```

LOCKS

- `pthread_mutex_t` **data type**
- **/usr/include/bits/pthread_types.h**

```
// Global Address Space
static volatile int counter = 0;
pthread_mutex_t lock;

void *worker(void *arg)
{
  int i;
  for (i=0;i<10000000;i++)  {
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
    assert(rc==0);
    counter = counter + 1;
    pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
  }
  return NULL;
}
```



LOCKS - 2

- Ensure critical sections are executed atomically-*as a unit*
  - Provides implementation of "***Mutual Exclusion***"
- API

```
int pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_unlock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
```

- Example w/o initialization & error checking

```
pthread_mutex_t lock;
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
x = x + 1; // or whatever your critical section is
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

  - Blocks forever until lock can be obtained
  - Enters critical section once lock is obtained
  - Releases lock

October 22, 2018 | TCSS422: Operating Systems [Fall 2018] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma | L8.11



LOCK INITIALIZATION

- Assigning the constant

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
```

- API call:

```
int rc = pthread_mutex_init(&lock, NULL);
assert(rc == 0); // always check success!
```

- Initializes mutex with attributes specified by 2nd argument
- If NULL, then default attributes are used
- Upon initialization, the mutex is initialized and unlocked

October 22, 2018 | TCSS422: Operating Systems [Fall 2018] School of Engineering and Technology, University of Washington - Tacoma | L8.12

LOCKS - 3

- Error checking wrapper

```
// Use this to keep your code clean but check for failures
// Only use if exiting program is OK upon failure
void Pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex) {
    int rc = pthread_mutex_lock(mutex);
    assert(rc == 0);
}
```

- What if lock can't be obtained?

```
int pthread_mutex_trylock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_timelock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex,
                           struct timespec *abs_timeout);
```

- trylock – returns immediately (fails) if lock is unavailable
- timelock – tries to obtain a lock for a specified duration

CONDITIONS AND SIGNALS

- Condition variables support "signaling" between threads

```
int pthread_cond_wait(pthread_cond_t *cond,
                      pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t *cond);
```

- `pthread_cont_t` datatype

- pthread_cond_wait()
  - Puts thread to "sleep" (waits)   (THREAD is BLOCKED)
  - Threads added to FIFO queue, lock is released
  - Waits ***listens*** for a "signal"   (NON-BUSY WAITING, no polling)
  - When signal occurs, interrupt fires, wakes up first thread, (THREAD is RUNNING), lock is provided to thread



CONDITIONS AND SIGNALS - 2

```
int pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t * cond);
int pthread_cond_broadcast(pthread_cond_t * cond);
```

- `pthread_cond_signal()`
  - Called to send a "signal" to wake-up first thread in FIFO "wait" queue
  - The goal is to unblock a thread to respond to the signal
- `pthread_cond_broadcast()`
  - Unblocks ***all*** threads in FIFO "wait" queue, currently blocked on the specified condition variable
  - Broadcast is used when all threads should wake-up for the signal
- Which thread is unblocked first?
  - Determined by OS scheduler (based on priority)
  - Thread(s) awoken based on placement order in FIFO wait queue
  - When awoken threads acquire lock as in `pthread_mutex_lock()`



CONDITIONS AND SIGNALS - 3

- Wait example:

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (initialized == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
// Perform work that requires lock
a = a + b;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

- wait puts thread to sleep, releases lock
- when awoken, lock reacquired (but then released by this code)
- When initialized, another thread signals

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
initialized = 1;
pthread_cond_signal(&init);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

State variable set,
Enables other thread(s)
to proceed above.

CONDITION AND SIGNALS - 4

```
pthread_mutex_t lock = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
while (initialized == 0)
    pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &lock);
// Perform work that requires lock
a = a + b;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

- Why do we wait inside a while loop?
- The while ensures upon awakening the condition is rechecked
  - A signal is raised, but the pre-conditions required to proceed may have not been met. **MUST CHECK STATE VARIABLE**
  - Without checking the state variable the thread may proceed to execute when it should not. (e.g. too early)

If multiple threads are blocked waiting for a signal after calling pthread_cond_wait(), when pthread_cond_signal() is called which thread will execute next?

The thread that most recently called pthread_cond_signal()

The thread that most recently called pthread_cond_wait()

The thread that first called pthread_cond_signal()

The thread that first called pthread_cond_wait()

The thread that most recently returned from pthread_join()

Start the presentation to see live content. Still no live content? Install the app or get help at **PollEv.com/app**

Total Results

PTHREADS LIBRARY

- Compilation
  - gcc –pthread pthread.c –o pthread
  - Requires explicitly linking the library with compiler flag
  - Use makefile to provide compiler arguments
- List of pthread manpages
  - man –k pthread

SAMPLE MAKEFILE

```
CC=gcc
CFLAGS=-pthread -I. -Wall

binaries=pthread pthread_int pthread_lock_cond pthread_struct

all: $(binaries)

pthread_mult: pthread.c pthread_int.c
    $(CC) $(CFLAGS) $^ -o $@

clean:
    $(RM) -f $(binaries) *.o
```

- Example builds multiple single file programs
  - All target
- pthread_mult
  - Example if multiple source files should produce a single executable
- clean target

CHAPTER 28 – LOCKS

LOCKS



- Ensure critical section(s) are executed atomically-*as a unit*
  - Only one thread is allowed to execute a critical section at any given time
  - Ensures the code snippets are “mutually exclusive”

- Protect a global counter:

```
balance = balance + 1;
```

- A “critical section”:

```
lock_t mutex; // some globally-allocated lock 'mutex'
…
lock(&mutex);
balance = balance + 1;
unlock(&mutex);
```

LOCKS - 2

- Lock variables are called "MUTEX"
  - Short for mutual exclusion (that's what they guarantee)
- Lock variables store the state of the lock
- States
  - **Locked** (acquired or held)
  - **Unlocked** (available or free)
- Only 1 thread can hold a lock

LOCKS - 3

- `pthread_mutex_lock(&lock)`
  - Try to acquire lock
  - If lock is free, calling thread will acquire the lock
  - Thread with lock enters critical section
    - Thread "owns" the lock
- No other thread can acquire the lock before the owner releases it.

LOCKS - 4

- Program can have many mutex (lock) variables to “serialize” many critical sections
- Locks are also used to protect data structures
  - Prevent multiple threads from changing the same data simultaneously
  - Programmer can make sections of code “granular”
    - Fine grained – means just one grain of sand at a time through an hour glass
  - Similar to relational database transactions
    - DB transactions prevent multiple users from modifying a table, row, field

FINE GRAINED?

- Is this code a good example of “*fine grained parallelism*”?

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock);
a = b++;
b = a * c;
*d = a + b +c;
FILE * fp = fopen ("file.txt", “r");
fscanf(fp, "%s %s %s %d", str1, str2, str3, &e);
ListNode *node = mylist->head;
Int i=0
while (n
  node->
  node->subheading = str2;
  node->desc = str3;
  node->end = *e;
  node = node->next;
  i++
}
e = e - i;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock);
```

Example of coarse-grained parallelism

FINE GRAINED PARALLELISM

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_a);
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_b);
a = b++;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_b);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_a);

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_b);
b = a * c;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_b);

pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_d);
*d = a + b +c;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_d);

FILE * fp = fopen ("file.txt", “r");
pthread_mutex_lock(&lock_e);
fscanf(fp, "%s %s %s %d", str1, str2, str3, &e);
pthread_mutex_unlock(&lock_e);

ListNode *node = mylist->head;
int i=0 . . .
```

EVALUATING LOCK IMPLEMENTATIONS

- Correctness
  - Does the lock work?
  - Are critical sections mutually exclusive? (atomic-*as a unit*?)

- Fairness
  - Are threads competing for a lock have a fair chance of acquiring it?
- Overhead

BUILDING LOCKS

- Locks require hardware support
  - To minimize overhead, ensure fairness and correctness
  - Special "atomic-*as a unit*" instructions to support lock implementation
  - Atomic-*as a unit* exchange instruction
    - `XCHG`
  - Compare and exchange instruction
    - `CMPXCHG`
    - `CMPXCHG8B`
    - `CMPXCHG16B`

QUESTIONS