# Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

Stefan Sint

Trinity College Dublin



#### Seattle, August 17, 2007

Stefan Sint

Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

1 / 27

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- Definition & properties of the Schrödinger functional
- Definition of the running SF coupling
- Renormalisation conditons for composite operators
- Construction of the step-scaling functions
- Some results

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

## The Schrödinger functional (formal continuum)

The Schödinger functional appears naturally in the Schrödinger representation of QFT (Symanzik '81), as the time evolution kernel when integrating the functional Schrödinger equation: Wave functional in Dirac's notation (A, A': field configurations at (Euclidean) times 0, T):

$$\begin{split} \psi[A] &\equiv \langle A | \psi \rangle \\ \psi'[A'] &= \int D[A] \langle A' | e^{-T \mathbb{H}} | A \rangle \langle A | \psi \rangle \end{split}$$

The Schrödinger functional is a functional of the initial and final field configuration:

$$\mathcal{Z}[A,A'] = \langle A' | \mathrm{e}^{-T\mathbb{H}} | A \rangle = \int D[\phi] \mathrm{e}^{-S}.$$

The Euclidean field  $\phi$  satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\phi(x)|_{x_0=0} = A(\mathbf{x})$$
  $\phi(x)|_{x_0=T} = A'(\mathbf{x})$ 

Stefan Sint

Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

The Schrödinger functional is an example of a field theory defined on a manifold with boundary  $\Rightarrow$  problems/questions:

- Translation invariance is broken  $\Rightarrow$  momentum is not conserved.
- Conventional proofs of perturbative renormalisability rely on power counting theorems in momentum space: not applicable here!
- Heuristic arguments by Symanzik:

A renormalisable QFT remains renormalisable when considered on a manifold with boundary. Besides the usual parameter and field renormalisations one just needs to add a complete set of local boundary counterterms to the action, i.e. polynomials in the fields and its derivatives of dimension 3 or less, integrated over the boundary.

In the case of scalar  $\phi_4^4$ -theory and boundary at  $x_0 = 0$  one finds:

$$\int_{x_0=0} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \phi^2, \qquad \int_{x_0=0} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \phi \partial_0 \phi$$

- 本間 ト 本 ヨ ト - オ ヨ ト - ヨ

## The Schrödinger functional in QCD (formal continuum)

The definition for gauge theories and QCD is analogous: The Schrödinger functional is the functional integral on a hyper cylinder,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\text{fields}} e^{-\mathcal{S}}$$

with periodic boundary conditions in spatial directions and Dirichlet conditions in time.



Correlation functions are then defined as usual

$$\langle O \rangle = \left\{ Z^{-1} \int_{\text{fields}} O e^{-S} \right\}_{\rho = \rho' = 0; \, \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}' = 0}$$

O may contain quark boundary fields



 $\Rightarrow$  the boundary values of the quark fields are used as external sources

Stefan Sint

## Properties of the QCD Schrödinger functional

- The SF is renormalisable: besides the renormalisation of the coupling and quark masses, the boundary quark fields require a multiplicative renormalisation.
- absence of fermionic zero modes: numerical simulations at zero quark masses are possible!
- For some choices of C<sub>k</sub> and C'<sub>k</sub> it can be shown that the induced background gauge field is an absolute minimum of the action ⇒ perturbation theory is straightforward and seems practical at least to 2-loop order.
- As  $C_k$  and  $C'_k$  are held fixed only spatially constant gauge transformations are possible at the boundaries!:

$$C_k(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\mathbf{x})C_k(\mathbf{x})\Lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \Lambda(\mathbf{x})\partial_k\Lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$$

i.e. the allowed  $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathrm{SU}(N)$  must be x-independent and commute with  $C_k$ .

• Therefore, bilinear boundary quark sources such as

$$\mathcal{O}^{a} = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{z} \ \overline{\zeta}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma_{5} \frac{\tau^{a}}{2} \zeta(\mathbf{z}), \qquad \mathcal{O}^{\prime a} = \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{y} \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{z} \ \overline{\zeta}^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma_{5} \frac{\tau^{a}}{2} \zeta^{\prime}(\mathbf{z})$$

are gauge invariant!

• Typical gauge invariant correlation functions are then



space

⇒ convenient in perturbation theory: in contrast to a periodic or infinite volume where gauge invariant fermionic correlation functions lead to one-loop diagrams at lowest order, e.g.

$$g_{\mathrm{PP}}(x_0) = -a^3 \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{a=1}^3 \langle P^a(x) P^a(0) \rangle$$

 dimensional analysis ⇒ at short distances one finds the asymptotic behaviour (up to logarithms):

$$g_{\mathrm{PP}}(x_0) \sim rac{1}{(x_0)^3}, \qquad f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0) \sim \mathrm{const}$$

- expect
  - small cutoff effects for  $f_{\rm P}(x_0)$  due to mild  $x_0$ -dependence
  - good signal in numerical simulations.

### More on the renormalisability of the SF

- no gauge invariant dimension ≤ 3 counterterm exists, the pure gauge SF is finite after renormalisation of the coupling constant
- continuum quark action with SF boundary conditions at tree-level:

$$S_{\rm f} = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \overline{\psi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \overleftrightarrow{p} + m \right) \psi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0 = 0} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \overline{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{2} \int_{x_0 = T} \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x} \, \overline{\psi} \psi$$

#### Exercise:

Show that the boundary terms are necessary if one requires the existence of smooth solutions to the equations of motion with SF boundary conditions

• The counterterms are linear in the boundary fields

$$\begin{split} \overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)|_{x_0=0} &= \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x})P_-\psi(0,\mathbf{x}) + \overline{\psi}(0,\mathbf{x})P_+\rho(\mathbf{x}),\\ \overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)|_{x_0=\mathcal{T}} &= \bar{\rho}'(\mathbf{x})P_+\psi(\mathcal{T},\mathbf{x}) + \overline{\psi}(\mathcal{T},\mathbf{x})P_-\rho'(\mathbf{x}), \end{split}$$

### More on the renormalisability of the SF

- The only dimension 3 counterterm with correct symmetries is  $\overline{\psi}\psi$
- Time reversal symmetry requires the same coefficient at  $x_0 = 0, T$
- This counterterm can thus be absorbed in a multiplicative rescaling of  $\rho, \rho', \bar{\rho}, \bar{\rho}'$  by the same renormalization constant:

$$\rho_{\rm R} = Z_{\rho}\rho, \qquad \bar{\rho}_{\rm R} = Z_{\rho}\bar{\rho}, \qquad \rho_{\rm R}' = Z_{\rho}\rho', \qquad \bar{\rho}_{\rm R}' = Z_{\rho}\bar{\rho}'$$

Consequently, setting  $Z_{\zeta} = Z_{\rho}^{-1}$ :

$$\zeta_{\mathrm{R}} = Z_{\zeta}\zeta, \qquad \zeta_{\mathrm{R}}' = Z_{\zeta}\zeta', \qquad \overline{\zeta}_{\mathrm{R}} = Z_{\zeta}\overline{\zeta}, \qquad \overline{\zeta}_{\mathrm{R}}' = Z_{\zeta}\overline{\zeta}',$$

• Hence sources like  $\mathcal{O}^a$  are multiplicatively renormalised by  $Z_{\zeta}^2$ 

## Definition of the SF coupling [Lüscher et al. '92]

• Choose abelian and spatially constant boundary gauge fields:

$$C_{k} = \frac{i}{L} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi_{3} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C'_{k} = \frac{i}{L} \begin{pmatrix} \phi'_{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi'_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \phi'_{3} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

• with angles taken to be linear functions of a parameter  $\eta$ :

$$\begin{split} \phi_1 &= \eta - \frac{\pi}{3}, & \phi_1' &= -\phi_1 - \frac{4\pi}{3}, \\ \phi_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta, & \phi_2' &= -\phi_3 + \frac{2\pi}{3}, \\ \phi_3 &= -\frac{1}{2}\eta + \frac{\pi}{3}, & \phi_3' &= -\phi_2 + \frac{2\pi}{3}. \end{split}$$

• The gauge action has an absolute minimum for:

$$B_0 = 0,$$
  $B_k = [x_0C'_k + (L - x_0)C_k]/L,$   $k = 1, 2, 3.$ 

i.e. other gauge fields with the same action must be gauge equivalent to  $B_{\mu}$ 

## Definition of the SF coupling

- Define the effective action of the induced background field  $\Gamma[B] = -\ln \mathcal{Z}[C, C']$
- In perturbation theory the effective action has the expansion

$$\Gamma[B] ~~\sim~~ g_0^{-2} \Gamma_0[B] + \Gamma_1[B] + O(g_0^2)$$

• Definition of the SF coupling:

$$\bar{g}^{2}(L) = \left. \frac{\partial_{\eta} \Gamma_{0}[B]|_{\eta=0}}{\partial_{\eta} \Gamma[B]|_{\eta=0}} \right|_{m_{\mathrm{q,i}}=0} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{g}^{2}(L) = g_{0}^{2} + \mathrm{O}(g_{0}^{4})$$

• b.c.'s induce a constant colour electric field:

$$G_{0k} = \partial_0 B_k = \frac{C_k - C'_k}{L}$$

⇒ The coupling is defined as "response coefficient" to a variation of a constant colour electric field.

Stefan Sint

## Renormalisation of operators in the SF scheme (1)

Example: renormalisation of  $P^a = \overline{\psi}\gamma_5 \frac{\tau^a}{2}\psi$ :

- In this case we set  $C_k = C'_k = 0$ , i.e. trivial background field B = 0
- Define correlation functions

$$f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0) = \langle \mathcal{O}^a P^a(x) \rangle, \qquad f_1 = L^{-6} \langle \mathcal{O}^a \mathcal{O}'^a \rangle$$



• Renormalised correlation functions:

$$f_{\mathrm{P,R}}(x_0) = Z_{\zeta}^2 Z_P f_{\mathrm{P}}(x_0), \qquad f_{1,R} = Z_{\zeta}^4 f_1,$$

set T = L, m = 0,  $x_0 = L/2$ , and impose

$$Z_{\rm P}(g_0,L/a)rac{f_{
m P}(L/2)}{\sqrt{f_1}} = \left.rac{f_{
m P}(L/2)}{\sqrt{f_1}}
ight|_{g_0=0}$$

- similarity with MOM schemes: the renormalised amplitude at  $\mu = L^{-1}$  equals its tree-level expression
- The ratio is formed to cancel any  $Z_{\zeta}$ .
- definition of running quark mass:  $\overline{m}(L) = Z_{\rm P}^{-1}(L)m$ .

• The aim is to construct the Step Scaling Functions  $\sigma(u)$  and  $\sigma_{\rm P}(u)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u) &= \bar{g}^{2}(2L)|_{u=\bar{g}^{2}(L)}, \\ \sigma_{P}(u) &= \lim_{a \to 0} \left. \frac{Z_{P}(g_{0}, 2L/a)}{Z_{P}(g_{0}, L/a)} \right|_{u=\bar{g}^{2}(L)} \end{aligned}$$

• These are related to the usual RG functions:

$$\int_{\sqrt{\sigma(u)}}^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{\mathrm{d}g}{\beta(g)} = \ln 2 \qquad \sigma_{\mathrm{P}}(u) = \exp \int_{\sqrt{\sigma(u)}}^{\sqrt{u}} \frac{\tau(g)}{\beta(g)} \mathrm{d}g$$

One thus considers a change of scale by a finite factor s = 2; RG functions tell us what happens for infinitesimal scale changes.

# Lattice approximants $\Sigma(u, a/L)$ for $\sigma(u)$

- choose  $g_0$  and L/a = 4, measure  $\bar{g}^2(L) = u$  (this sets the value of u)
- double the lattice and measure

 $\Sigma(u,1/4)=\bar{g}^2(2L)$ 

- now choose L/a = 6 and tune g'<sub>0</sub> such that g<sup>2</sup>(L) = u is satisfied
- double the lattice and measure

$$\Sigma(u,1/6)=\bar{g}^2(2L)$$

and so on ...



		÷.,	1	

 $\Sigma(2,u,1/6)$ 



- 4 目 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト



17 / 27

 $\Sigma(2,u,1/4)$ 

## Continuum extrapolation of the SSF [ALPHA '05]



Stefan Sint

Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

18 / 27

э

★ 3 > < 3 >

## The SSF in the continuum limit

[ALPHA coll., Della Morte et al '05]



Stefan Sint

Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

19 / 27



Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

20 / 27

## Determination of the A-parameter

The formula

$$\Lambda = \mu (b_0 \bar{g}^2)^{-b_1/2b_0^2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2b_0 \bar{g}^2}\right\} \\ \times \exp\left\{-\int_0^{\bar{g}} dx \left[\frac{1}{\beta(x)} + \frac{1}{b_0 x^3} - \frac{b_1}{b_0^2 x}\right]\right\}$$

holds for any value of  $\mu.$  We may use it at  ${\it L}_{\rm min}$  to obtain

 $\Lambda L_{\min} = f(\bar{g}(L_{\min}))$ 

• The function f(g) can be evaluated at  $g = \overline{g}(L_{\min})$  since this is deep in the perturbative region. The integral in the exponent

$$\int_0^{\bar{g}} \mathrm{d}x \left[ \frac{b_2 b_0 - b_1^2}{b_0^3} x + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \right] = \frac{b_2 b_0 - b_1^2}{2b_0^3} \bar{g}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\bar{g}^4)$$

may thus be evaluated using the  $\beta$ -function at 3-loop order.

- Since  $L_{\max} = 2^n L_{\min}$  one knows  $L_{\max} \Lambda$
- still need  $F_{\pi}L_{\max}$

• • = • • = • = =

## Matching to a low energy scale

Ideally one would like to compute e.g.  $F_{\pi}\Lambda$ , and take  $F_{\pi} = 132 \mathrm{MeV}$  from experiment

• What is required? The scale  $L_{\max}$  is implicitly defined:

$$ar{g}^2(L_{
m max})=4.61 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad (L_{
m max}/a)(g_0)$$

For example, setting  $L_{\max}/a = 6, 8, 10, ...$  one then finds corresponding values of the bare coupling

 One must then be able to compute aF<sub>π</sub> in a large volume simulation at the very same values of the bare coupling:

$$F_{\pi}\Lambda = \lim_{g_0 
ightarrow 0} (L_{\max}/a)(g_0)(aF_{\pi})(g_0)$$

- $\bullet$  One thus needs a range of  $g_0$  where both can be computed,  $aF_\pi$  and  $\bar{g}\,L_{\rm max}$
- This has not yet been accomplished with a hadronic scale, but only using the scale  $r_0$ ; expect this to change in the near future

Stefan Sint

#### Results

• The scale  $r_0$  [R. Sommer '93 ] is obtained from the force F(r) between static quark and antiquark separated by a distance r:

 $r_0^2 F(r_0) = 1.65$ 

The r.h.s. was chosen so that phenomenological estimates from potential models yield  $r_0 = 0.5 \text{ fm}$ .

- Note: recent simulations (QCDSF coll., ETM coll.) indicate  $r_0 \approx 0.47 \, {\rm fm}$  when matching to hadronic quantities
- Published results for  $\Lambda$  using  $r_0 = 0.5 \, \text{fm}$  [ALPHA '05 ]

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Lambda^{(2)}_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} r_0 &=& 0.62(4)(4), & \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} = 245(16)(16)\,\mathrm{MeV} \\ \Lambda^{(0)}_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} r_0 &=& 0.602(48), & \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} = 238(19)\,\mathrm{MeV} \end{array}$$

## The running quark mass

• Coupled evolution of the running mass and the coupling:

 $\overline{m}(2L) = \sigma_m(u)\overline{m}(L), \qquad \sigma_m(u) = 1/\sigma_{\rm P}$  $\overline{g}^2(2L) = \sigma(u)$ 

• Once the running coupling is known in a range [u<sub>0</sub>, u<sub>n</sub>],

$$u_0 = \bar{g}^2(L_{\min}), \quad u_k = \bar{g}^2(2^k L_{\min}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

determine  $\sigma_m(u)$  for the same range of couplings: evolution of quark mass and coupling recursively

$$\overline{m}(2^k L_{\min})/\overline{m}(2^{k-1}L_{\min}) = \sigma_m(u_k), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- one obtains  $\overline{m}(2L_{\max})/\overline{m}(L_{\min})$
- Extract  $\overline{m}(L_{\min})/M$  using PT as for  $\Lambda$ -parameter

Stefan Sint

▲■▶▲■▶ ■ ∽��?

## Running mass in the SF scheme [ALPHA '05 ]



Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

25 / 27

( )

#### Relation to bare quark masses

 In practice with Wilson type quarks, one avoids the additive renormalisation of the bare quark mass parameter by replacing it by a *measured* bare mass m<sub>PCAC</sub> from the (bare) PCAC relation:

$$m_{\mathrm{PCAC}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} rac{\langle \partial_{\mu} A^{a}_{\mu}(x) O 
angle}{2 \langle P^{a}(x) O 
angle}$$

• The running quark mass is then related to  $m_{
m PCAC}$ 

$$\overline{m}(L) = \underbrace{Z_{\rm P}^{-1}(g_0, L/a) Z_{\rm A}(g_0)}_{\text{known factors}} \underbrace{m_{\rm PCAC}(g_0)}_{\text{measured}},$$

Combine results,

$$M = Z_M(g_0)m_{\rm PCAC}(g_0)$$

and take the continuum limit  $g_0 \rightarrow 0$ .

Stefan Sint

Finite volume schemes based on the Schrödinger functional (lecture III)

26 / 27

## Concluding remarks

- The recursive finite volume technology has completely eliminated the problem with large scale differences. The RG running is determined in the continuum limit and universal (i.e. regularisation independent)
- To obtain physical results one needs to perform a matching calculation at a low energy scale: it is crucial to have a range in bare couplings where both, the renormalisation conditions and the hadronic input can be computed
- Whether perturbation theory for the running operator is working well or not down to low scales is not so important; you would not know this beforehand! What error estimate would you have given?!
- Many operator renormalisation problems have been treated already; the technique can be generalised to operators containing static quarks (cf. R. Sommer's Nara lectures) and works fine with dynamical quarks!