# Multiparticle scattering



### Steve Sharpe University of Washington





### Steve Sharpe University of Washington



## Outline

#### **M**Lecture I

- Motivation/Background/Overview
- Deriving the two-particle quantization condition (QC2)

#### Lecture 2

- Applying the QC2, in brief
- Deriving the three-particle quantization condition for identical scalars (QC3)

#### Lecture 3

- Status of three-particle formalism
- Applications of QC3
- Outlook

### Main references for this lecture [Full list of references at end of lecture 3]

- Briceño, Dudek & Young, "Scattering processes & resonances from LQCD," 1706.06223, RMP 18
- Hansen & SS, "LQCD & three-particle decays of resonances," 1901.00483, to appear in ARNPS
- Hansen & SS <u>1408.5933</u>, PRD14 & <u>1504.04248</u>, PRD15 (derivation of QC3 in QFT)
- Blanton & SS 2007.16188, PRD20 (Alternative derivation of QC3 using time-ordered PT)

### Outline for Lecture 2

- Applying the QC2, in brief
- Deriving of the three-particle quantization condition for identical scalars (QC3)
  - Presenting the QC3
  - Sketch of derivation using time-ordered PT (TOPT)
  - Integral equations related three-particle K matrix to  $\mathcal{M}_3$

# Applying the QC2, in brief

### 2-particle quantization condition

• At fixed  $L, \vec{P}$  the spectrum is given (up to corrections  $\propto e^{-M_{\min}L}$ ) by solutions of

$$\det \left[ F_{PV}(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_2(E^*) \right] = 0$$

- $F_{\text{PV}}$  and  $\mathscr{K}_2$  are matrices in  $\ell, m$  space, with  $[\mathscr{K}_2]_{\ell'm',\ell m} = \delta_{\ell'\ell} \delta_{m'm} \mathscr{K}_2^{(\ell)}$
- $\mathscr{K}_{2}^{(\ell)} = (16\pi E_{2}^{*})/(q^{*} \cot \delta_{\ell}(q^{*}))$  is real and smooth (no threshold branch points)
- $F_{\rm PV}$  is a kinematic function

$$F_{\mathrm{PV};\ell'm';\ell m}(E,\vec{P},L) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}} - \mathrm{PV} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \right) \frac{\mathcal{Y}_{\ell'm'}(\vec{k}^*) \mathcal{Y}_{\ell m}^*(\vec{k}^*) h(\vec{k})}{2\omega_k 2\omega_{P-k}(E-\omega_k-\omega_{P-k})}$$

### Truncation

$$\det\left[F_{PV}(E,\overrightarrow{P},L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_2(E^*)\right] = 0$$

- Near threshold  $\mathscr{K}_{2}^{(\ell)} \propto (q^*)^{2\ell}$  [familiar from QM]
- In practice, for  $E^* \leq 1 \text{GeV}$  it is a good approximation to keep only the lowest one or two partial waves, i.e to set  $\mathscr{K}_2^{(\ell)} = 0$  for  $\ell > \ell_{\max}$ 
  - Then can show that only need to keep  $\ell \leq \ell_{\max}$  in  $F_{PV}$
  - Leads to a finite-dimensional matrix that can be implemented numerically
- Can further reduce the dimensionality by projecting onto irreps of the cubic group  $[A_1^+, A_2^+, E^+, \dots$ —no time to discuss here]

### Simplest case: single value of $\ell$

• If  $\ell_{max} = 0$ , only a single value of  $\ell$  contributes, and QC2 becomes algebraic



- One-to-one relation between energy levels and  $K_2 \sim 1/(q^* \cot \delta)$
- Holds also if  $\ell_{max} = 1$  and one uses a cubic-group irrep that does not couple to  $\ell = 0$
- Most state-of-the-art applications (e.g.  $f_0 = \sigma$ ) involve multiple  $\ell$  and multiple two-particle channels

### Overview of effects on spectrum



- Unphysical example for sake of illustration
- $\ell_{\text{max}} = 0, m = 300 \text{ MeV}, a_0 = \pm 0.32 \text{ fm} (ma_0 = 0.48)$
- Illustrates the power of using moving frames ( $P \neq 0$ ) and multiple levels

### Overview of effects on spectrum



• Narrow Brett-Wigner resonance at 1182 MeV

• Spectrum contains an additional level, and displays avoided level crossings

### Overview of effects on spectrum



- Broad Breit-Wigner resonance at 1182 MeV
- Association of levels with "resonance" or "almost-free particles" no longer holds

# QC3: Presenting the result

### Sketch of history for three particles

- [Beane, Detmold, Savage et al. 07-11] studied ground state energies of  $N\pi^+, MK^+, N\pi^+ + MK^+$  systems, and determined 3-particle interactions for particles at rest
- [Polejaeva & Rusetsky 12] showed in NREFT that 3 body spectrum determined by  $2 \rightarrow 2$  &  $3 \rightarrow 3$  infinite-volume scattering amplitudes
- [Hansen & SRS 14, 15] derived quantization condition (QC3) for 3 identical scalars in generic, relativistic EFT, working to all orders in Feynman-diagram expansion, keeping all angular momenta—"RFT approach"
- [Hammer & Rusetsky 17] derived QC3 using NREFT—greatly simplified derivation
- [Mai & Döring 17] obtained QC3 using unitary, relativistic representation of  $3 \rightarrow 3$  amplitude—"FVU approach"
- [Blanton & SRS 20] showed equivalence of RFT & FVU approaches
- [Müller, Pang, Rusetsky & Wu 20] relativized NREFT approach
- [Müller & Rusetsky 20; Hansen, Romero-López & SRS 21] derived formalism for determining  $K \rightarrow 3\pi$  amplitude

### Sketch of history for three particles

- [Beane, Detmold, Savage et al. 07-11] studied ground state energies of  $N\pi^+, MK^+, N\pi^+ + MK^+$  systems, and determined 3-particle interactions for particles at rest
- [Polejaeva & Rusetsky 12] showed in NREFT that 3 body spectrum determined by  $2 \rightarrow 2$  &  $3 \rightarrow 3$  infinite-volume scattering amplitudes
- [Hansen & SRS 14, 15] derived quantization condition QC3) for 3 identical Will discuss the RFT approach scalars in generic, relativistic EFT, working h Feynman-diagram expansion, keeping all angular moment oach"
- Maing NREFT—greatly simplified [Hammer & Rusetsky 17] derivation
- of QC3 using unitary, relativistic representation of • [Mai & Döring  $3 \rightarrow 3$  amplitude FVU approach"
- [Blanton & SRS 20] showed equivalence of RFT & FVU approaches
- [Müller, Pang, Rusetsky & Wu 20] relativized NREFT approach
- [Müller & Rusetsky 20; Hansen, Romero-López & SRS 21] derived formalism for determining  $K \rightarrow 3\pi$  amplitude

### Problem in finite-volume QFT



### Structure of the result (Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry)



### Two-step method

#### 2 & 3 particle Spectra from LQCD

Quantization conditions QC2: det  $[F^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_2] = 0$ QC3: det  $[F_3^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_{df,3}] = 0$ 

[These are the RFT forms, and assume  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry]

Integral equations in infinite volume Incorporates initial- and final-state interactions

### Two-step method

#### 2 & 3 particle Spectra from LQCD

Infinite-volume K matrix: Obtained from Feynman diagrams using PV prescription for poles; Real, free of unitary cuts



### Two-step method

#### 2 & 3 particle Spectra from LQCD

L

Infinite-volume K matrix: Obtained from Feynman diagrams using PV prescription for poles; Real, free of unitary cuts



[These are the RFT forms, and assume  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry]

Integral equations in infinite volume

Intermediate infinite-volume K matrix: A short-distance, real, three-particle interaction free of unitary cuts, and with physical divergences subtracted; unphysical since depends on cutoff



Incorporates initial- and final-state interactions

$$[E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_2(E^*)] = 0$$

• Total momentum (E, **P**)

det

- Matrix indices are *l*, *m*
- $F_{\rm PV}$  is a finite-volume geometric function
- $\mathcal{K}_2$  is an infinite-volume amplitude, which is real and has no unitary cusps
- $\bullet \ {\mathcal K}_2$  is algebraically related to  ${\mathcal M}_2$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(\ell)}} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{2}^{(\ell)}} - i\rho$$

[HS14]

$$QC_2 \rightarrow QC_3$$
 [HS14]

det 
$$\left| F_{\text{PV}}(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_2(E^*) \right| = 0$$

- Total momentum (E, P)
- Matrix indices are *l*, *m*
- $F_{\rm PV}$  is a finite-volume geometric function
- $\mathcal{K}_2$  is an infinite-volume amplitude, which is real and has no unitary cusps
- $\bullet$   $\mathcal{K}_2$  is algebraically related to  $\mathscr{M}_2$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{(\ell)}} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{2}^{(\ell)}} - i\rho$$

- Total momentum (E, P)
- Matrix indices are k, l, m
- $F_3$  depends on geometric functions (F<sub>PV</sub> and G) and also on  $\mathcal{K}_2$

det  $F_3(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_{df,3}(E^*)$ 

- $F_3$  is known if first solve QC2
- $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}$  is an infinite-volume 3-particle amplitude, which is real and has no unitary cusps
  - It is cutoff dependent  $\Rightarrow$  unphysical
- $\mathscr{K}_{df,3}$  is related to  $\mathscr{M}_3$  via integral equations [HSI5]

### Matrix indices in QC3

• All quantities are (infinite-dimensional) matrices, e.g.  $[F_3]_{p\ell'm',k\ell m}$ , with indices

[finite volume "spectator" momentum:  $k = 2\pi n/L \times [2\text{-particle CM} \text{ angular momentum: } \ell, m]$ 



Describes three on-shell particles with total energy-momentum (E, P)

- For large k (at fixed E, L), the other two particles are below threshold
- Must include such configurations, by analytic continuation, up to a cut-off at  $k \approx m$  [Polejaeva & Rusetsky, `12]

$$F_{3} = \frac{1}{2\omega L^{3}} \left[ \frac{F}{3} - F \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-1} + F + G} F \right]$$

19/45





• F & G are known geometrical functions, containing cutoff function H



$$F_{p\ell'm';k\ell m} = \delta_{pk} H(\vec{k}) F_{\text{PV},\ell'm';\ell m}(E - \omega_k, \vec{P} - \vec{k}, L)$$

$$G_{p\ell'm';k\ell m} = \left(\frac{k^*}{q_p^*}\right)^{\ell'} \frac{4\pi Y_{\ell'm'}(\hat{k}^*)H(\vec{p})H(\vec{k})Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{p}^*)}{(P-k-p)^2 - m^2} \left(\frac{p^*}{q_k^*}\right)^{\ell} \frac{1}{2\omega_k L^3}$$
Relativistic form introduced in [BHS17]

$$F_{3} = \frac{1}{2\omega L^{3}} \left[ \frac{F}{3} - F \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-1} + F + G} F \right]$$

 F & G are known geometrical functions, containing cutoff function H

$$F_{p\ell'm';k\ell m} = \delta_{pk} H(\vec{k}) F_{\text{PV},\ell'm';\ell m}(E - \omega_k, \vec{P} - \vec{k}, L)$$

$$G_{p\ell'm';k\ell m} = \left(\frac{k^*}{q_p^*}\right)^{\ell'} \frac{4\pi Y_{\ell'm'}(\hat{k}^*)H(\vec{p})H(\vec{k})Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{p}^*)}{(P-k-p)^2 - m^2} \left(\frac{p^*}{q_k^*}\right)^{\ell'} \frac{1}{2\omega_k L^3}$$
Relativistic form introduced in [BHS17]

$$F_{\text{PV};\ell'm';\ell'm}(E,\vec{P},L) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}} - \text{PV} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \right) \frac{\mathcal{Y}_{\ell'm'}(\vec{k}^*) \mathcal{Y}_{\ell'm}^*(\vec{k}^*) h(\vec{k})}{2\omega_k 2\omega_{P-k}(E - \omega_k - \omega_{P-k})}$$

Relativistic form equivalent up to exponentiallysuppressed terms

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\ell m}(\vec{k}^*) = \sqrt{4\pi} \left(\frac{k^*}{q^*}\right)^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{k}^*)$$

S. Sharpe, "Multiparticle Scattering", Lecture 2, 7/19/2023, Bad Honnef Summer School

#### 20/45

### Divergence-free K matrix

$$\det \left[ F_3(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(E^*) \right] = 0$$

What is this? A quasi-local divergence-free 3-particle interaction

### Divergence-free K matrix

$$\det \left[ F_3(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(E^*) \right] = 0$$

What is this? A quasi-local divergence-free 3-particle interaction



• To have a nonsingular (divergence-free) quantity, need to subtract pole

### Divergence-free K matrix

• K<sub>df,3</sub> has the same symmetries as M<sub>3</sub>: relativistic invariance, particle interchange, T-reversal



- Need more parameters to describe  $\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}$  than  $\mathscr{K}_2$  (will be discussed in lecture 3)
- $\bullet$  Why  $\mathscr{K}_2$  and  $\mathscr{K}_{\rm df,3}$  appear in QC3, rather than  $\mathscr{M}_2$  and  $\mathscr{M}_{\rm df,3},$  will be explained shortly

# QC3: Sketch of derivation

### Set-up

Work in continuum (assume that LQCD can control discretization errors)

- Cubic box of size L with periodic BC, and infinite (Minkowski) time
  - Spatial loops are sums:

$$\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_{\vec{k}} \qquad \vec{k} = \frac{2\pi}{L}\vec{n}$$

L

- Consider identical, spinless particles with physical mass m, interacting arbitrarily except for a Z<sub>2</sub> (G-parity-like) symmetry
  - Only vertices are  $2 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 4, 3 \rightarrow 3, 3 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 5, 5 \rightarrow 7$ , etc.
  - Even & odd particle-number sectors decouple





### Use TOPT approach of [Blanton & SS,20]

• Calculate (for some 
$$P = 2\pi n_P/L$$
)  

$$C_L(E, P) = \int_x d^4 x e^{iEt - iP \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \{ \sigma(x) \sigma^{\dagger}(0) \} | 0 \rangle_L$$

• Evaluate using time-ordered PT (obtained doing energy integrals)



#### Feynman

TOPT

\_3

### Energy denominators

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Skeleton expansion $\widehat{A}'(\widehat{A})$

• Use skeleton expansion in terms of TOPT diagrams, ordered by the number of ``relevant cuts'' e.g.  $i \mathcal{B}_{2,L} \equiv 2\omega L^3 i \mathcal{B}_2$ 

 $i\mathcal{B}_2$ :

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Loops with only irrelevant cuts can be integrated; build up TOPT kernels  $\widehat{A}', \overline{\mathscr{B}}_{2,L} = 2\omega L^3 \mathscr{B}_2, \mathscr{B}_3, \widehat{A}$ 

• Kernels are off shell (
$$E \neq \sum \omega_i$$
)

• Kernels are matrices with indices associated with cuts: two independent three-momenta

### Two types of relevant cuts

• Two types of relevant cuts: F- and G-like

$$[iD_F]_{ka;pr} \equiv \delta_{kp} \delta_{ar} \frac{iD_{ka}}{2!}, \qquad [iD_G]_{ka;pr} \equiv \delta_{kr} \delta_{ap} iD_{kp},$$
$$iD_{ka} \equiv \frac{1}{2\omega_k L^3} \frac{i}{2\omega_b (E - \omega_k - \omega_a - \omega_b)} \frac{1}{2\omega_a L^3}$$

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

"no switch"

"switch"

### All orders summation

• Group diagrams according to the number of relevant cuts:

• Use symmetry of  $\hat{A}', \hat{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}$  to write all cuts as  $D_F + D_G$ 

$$C_{3,L}^{(1)}(E,\vec{P}) = \hat{A}'i(D_F + D_G)\hat{A}$$

$$C_{3,L}^{(2)}(E,\vec{P}) = \hat{A}'i(D_F + D_G)i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3)i(D_F + D_G)\hat{A}$$

$$C_{3,L}^{(3)}(E,\vec{P}) = \hat{A}'i(D_F + D_G)i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3)i(D_F + D_G)i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3)i(D_F + D_G)\hat{A}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$C_{3,L}^{(n)}(E,\vec{P}) = \hat{A}'i(D_F + D_G)\left[i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3)i(D_F + D_G)\right]^{n-1}\hat{A}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{C_{3,L}(E,\vec{P}) = C_{3,\infty}^{(0)}(E,\vec{P}) + \hat{A}'i(D_F + D_G)\frac{1}{1 - i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3)i(D_F + D_G)}\hat{A}}$$

- Simple, explicit expression!
  - Clean separation of finite-vol. momentum sums and infinite-vol. integrals
  - (Relatively) straightforward to generalize to other systems (nondegenerate, multiple channels, ...)

### **On-shell projection**

• On-shell projection necessary to relate FV energies to physical infinite-vol amplitudes

$$[iD_F]_{ka;pr} \equiv \delta_{kp} \delta_{ar} \frac{iD_{ka}}{2!}, \qquad [iD_G]_{ka;pr} \equiv \delta_{kr} \delta_{ap} iD_{kp},$$
$$iD_{ka} \equiv \frac{1}{2\omega_k L^3} \frac{i}{2\omega_b (E - \omega_k - \omega_a - \omega_b)} \frac{1}{2\omega_a L^3}$$

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Re-summing

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

- "(u)" & "(u, u)" indicate asymmetry due to factors of  $\overline{\mathscr{B}}_{2,L}$
- Have assumed that  $\mathscr{B}_{2,L}$  has no poles as a function of k; if it does, can use a modified PV prescription to avoid the issue

### Meaning of asymmetry

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Momenta  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}$  spectate if external interaction involves two particles

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{\tilde{g}}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{\tilde{g}}^{(u)} \mathbf{\mathcal{Q}} \mathbf{\tilde{g}} \mathbf{\mathcal{G}} \mathbf{\tilde{g}}_{\mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbf{J}}) = (\mathbf{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbf{\mathcal{A}}_$$

$$C_{3,L} - \widetilde{C}_{3,\infty} = \widetilde{A}^{\prime(u)} i(\widetilde{F} + \widetilde{G}) \frac{1}{1 - i\left(2\omega L^3 \mathcal{K}_2 + \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{df,3}^{(u,u)}\right) i(\widetilde{F} + \widetilde{G})} \widetilde{A}^{(u)}$$

• Spectrum determined by poles in  $C_{3,L}(E, \mathbf{P})$ 

$$\Rightarrow \quad \left| \det \left[ 1 + \left( 2\omega L^3 \mathcal{K}_2 + \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}_{df,3}^{(u,u)} \right) \left( \widetilde{F} + \widetilde{G} \right) \right] = 0 \right|$$

• 
$$\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{df,3}^{(u,u)}$$
 related to  $\mathscr{M}_{B}^{1}$  by known integral equations  $+ \widetilde{G} = 0$ 

- "df"="divergence-free"; absence of divergences is manifest given explicit form
- This is the form of the QC3 that can be shown to be equivalent to that in the FVU approach [BS20b]

### Comparing QC3s

#### [HS14, HS15]

$$\det[1 + F_3 \mathscr{H}_{df,3}] = 0$$
$$F_3 = \widetilde{F} \left[ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{1/(2\omega L^3 \mathcal{K}_2) + \widetilde{F} + \widetilde{G}} \widetilde{F} \right]$$

Complicated derivation, hard to generalize

- Implicit, constructive definitions
- $\mathbf{\mathcal{M}}_{df,3}$  is Lorentz invariant
- $\label{eq:constraint} \widecheck{\mathscr{K}}_{df,3} \text{ is symmetric under particle} \\ \text{exchange, so easier to parametrize} \\ \end{array}$

#### [BS20a]

 $\det[1 + (2\omega L^3 \mathscr{K}_2 + \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{(u,u)})(\widetilde{F} + \widetilde{G})] = 0$ 

- Greatly simplified derivation, easy to generalize
- **Markov** Explicit expressions for all quantities
- Clean separation of infinite- and finitevolume quantities
- $\square \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{df,3}^{(u,u)} \text{ is not Lorentz invariant (because we used TOPT)}$
- $\square A symmetry of \ \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{df,3}^{(u,u)} implies that description requires additional parameters$

### Best of both worlds

det[1 + 
$$(2\omega L^3 \mathscr{K}_2 + \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{df,3}^{(u,u)})(\widetilde{F} + \widetilde{G})] = 0$$
  
can symmetrize to  
original form  
det[1 +  $F_3 \widetilde{\mathscr{K}}_{df,3}^{\prime}] = 0$ 

•  $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}'_{df,3}$  obtained from  $\widetilde{\mathscr{K}}^{(u,u)}_{df,3}$  by solving an integral equation and symmetrizing

• Can show that  $\mathcal{K}'_{df,3} = \mathcal{K}_{df,3}$  (since both related to  $\mathcal{M}_3$  by same integral equation) so obtain exactly the original [HS14] QC3

• Thus symmetrization also restores Lorentz invariance!

# Relating $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}$ to $\mathcal{M}_3$

### Overview of method [HS15/BS20a]

- Introduce asymmetric finite-volume 3-to-3 amplitude,  $\mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}$ , such that, when take  $L \to \infty$  limit appropriately, and symmetrize, obtain  $\mathcal{M}_3$
- Derive expression for  $\mathscr{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}$  in terms of  $\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}$  using TOPT/symmetrization
- Take  $L \rightarrow \infty$  limit and obtain integral equations

Obtaining TOPT result for  $\mathcal{M}_{3L}^{(u,u)}$ 

- TOPT form of  $\mathscr{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}$  before on-shell projection
  - Initial/Final states are at  $t = \pm \infty$
  - Kernels are the same as in expression for  $C_L$

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Obtain geometric series if include disconnected part

$$i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}) = i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3) \frac{1}{1 - i(D_F + D_G)i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L} + \mathcal{B}_3)}$$

### **On-shell projection**

Obtain geometric series if include disconnected part

$$i\left(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{M}_{2}+\mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}\right)_{\text{off}}=i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L}+\mathcal{B}_{3})\frac{1}{1-i(D_{F}+D_{G})i(\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{2,L}+\mathcal{B}_{3})}$$

• Project on shell as before, both "internally" and "externally"

$$i\left(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{M}_{2}+\mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}\right)_{\mathrm{on}}=i\left(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{K}_{2}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{(u,u)}\right)\frac{1}{1-i(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})i(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{K}_{2}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{(u,u)})}$$

• Gives expression for  $\mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}$  in terms of same quantities that appear in QC3

### Symmetrization

 $\bullet$  On-shell form in terms of asymmetric  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3}$ 

$$i\left(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{M}_{2}+\mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}\right)_{\mathrm{on}}=i\left(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{K}_{2}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{(u,u)}\right)\frac{1}{1-i(\tilde{F}+\tilde{G})i(2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{K}_{2}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{(u,u)})}$$

• Use symmetrization identities, plus extensive algebraic gymnastics, to find

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{3,L} &= \mathcal{S} \left\{ \mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} + \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3,L}^{(u,u)} \right\} \\ i\mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} &= i\mathcal{M}_{2,L} i\tilde{G}i\mathcal{M}_{2,L} \frac{1}{1 - i\tilde{G}i\mathcal{M}_{2,L}}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{2,L} = 2\omega L^{3}\mathcal{M}_{2} \\ i\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3,L}^{(u,u)} &= \mathcal{L}_{L}^{(u)} i\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3} \frac{1}{1 - iF_{3}i\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}} \mathcal{L}_{L}^{(u)\dagger} \qquad \text{Composed of same quantities as symmetric} \\ \mathcal{L}_{L}^{(u)} &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{1 - i\mathcal{M}_{2,L} i\tilde{G}} i\mathcal{M}_{2,L} i\tilde{F} \end{split}$$

### Diagrammatic interpretation

$$\mathcal{M}_{3,L} = \mathcal{S}\left\{\mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} + \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3,\mathrm{L}}^{(u,u)}\right\}$$

$$i\mathscr{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} = i\mathscr{M}_{2,L}i\tilde{G}i\mathscr{M}_{2,L}\frac{1}{1 - i\tilde{G}\mathscr{M}_{2,L}}, \qquad i\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{df},3,L}^{(u,u)} = \mathscr{L}_{L}^{(u)}i\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}\frac{1}{1 - iF_{3}i\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}}\mathscr{L}_{L}^{(u)\dagger}$$

![](_page_48_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Diagrammatic interpretation

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Diagrammatic interpretation

$$\mathcal{M}_{3,L} = \mathcal{S}\left\{\mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} + \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3,\mathrm{L}}^{(u,u)}\right\}$$

$$i\mathscr{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} = i\mathscr{M}_{2,L}i\tilde{G}i\mathscr{M}_{2,L}\frac{1}{1 - i\tilde{G}\mathscr{M}_{2,L}}, \qquad i\mathscr{M}_{\mathrm{df},3,L}^{(u,u)} = \mathscr{L}_{L}^{(u)}i\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}\frac{1}{1 - iF_{3}i\mathscr{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}}\mathscr{L}_{L}^{(u)\dagger}$$

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Infinite-volume limit

- Reintroduce  $i\epsilon$  in energy denominators (irrelevant in finite volume)
- Take  $L \to \infty$ , and sum of finite-volume TOPT (or Feynman) diagrams becomes <u>exactly</u> the diagrams leading to  $\mathcal{M}_3$ 
  - Matrix equations involving k become integrals
  - Sums over  $\ell, m$  remain
- Obtain a set of nested integral equations and applications of integral operators
  - E.g. for "ladder series" that contains divergences

$$\begin{split} i \mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} &= i \mathcal{M}_{2,L} i \tilde{G} i \mathcal{M}_{2,L} \frac{1}{1 - i \tilde{G} i \mathcal{M}_{2,L}} \\ & i \mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} = i \mathcal{M}_{2,L} i \tilde{G} i \mathcal{M}_{2,L} + i \tilde{G} i \mathcal{M}_{2,L} i \mathcal{D}_{L}^{(u,u)} \end{split}$$

# Summary of Lecture 2

### Summary of Lecture 2

- Applications of QC2 are at a mature and sophisticated stage
- Derivation of QC3 is complicated, but final result is relatively simple

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Thank you! Questions?

# Backup Slides

### Generalizations of QC2

Multiple two-particle channels [Hu, Feng & Liu, hep-lat/0504019; Lage, Meissner & Rusetsky, 0905.0069; Hansen & SS, 1204.0826; Briceño & Davoudi, 1204.1110]

• e.g. 
$$J^{PC} = 0^{++} \pi \pi + K \bar{K} (+\eta \eta)$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_{PV}^{\pi\pi}(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{PV}^{K\overline{K}}(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{K}_{2}^{\pi\pi}(E^{*}) & \mathscr{K}_{2}^{\pi K}(E^{*}) \\ \mathscr{K}_{2}^{\pi K}(E^{*}) & \mathscr{K}_{2}^{KK}(E^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

### Generalizations of QC2

Multiple two-particle channels [Hu, Feng & Liu, hep-lat/0504019; Lage, Meissner & Rusetsky, 0905.0069; Hansen & SS, 1204.0826; Briceño & Davoudi, 1204.1110]

• e.g. 
$$J^{PC} = 0^{++} \pi \pi + K \bar{K} (+\eta \eta)$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_{PV}^{\pi\pi}(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{PV}^{K\overline{K}}(E, \overrightarrow{P}, L)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathscr{K}_{2}^{\pi\pi}(E^{*}) & \mathscr{K}_{2}^{\pi K}(E^{*}) \\ \mathscr{K}_{2}^{\pi K}(E^{*}) & \mathscr{K}_{2}^{KK}(E^{*}) \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

- Even if truncate to  $l_{max}=0$ , there is no longer a one-to-one relation between energy levels and K-matrix elements
- Must parametrize the (enlarged) K matrix in some way and fit parameters to multiple spectral levels
- Using these parametrizations can study pole structure of scattering amplitude
- Approach is very similar to that used analyzing scattering data