CENTER FOR APPLIED SOCIAL COGNITION RESEARCH



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON | TACOMA

Below are a list of readings that will assist you with getting familiar with the research fields of eyewitness psychology and juror decision-making. The readings on this list will be modified depending upon the current research projects that we have going in the laboratory at any one time. The list is divided into three sections. The first section, *Primary lab readings*, is mandatory reading for all individuals in the laboratory. These readings will serve to provide you with a general overview of research in the area of legal psychology. It is imperative that you read these publications within the first week you are a member of the laboratory. The second section, *General lab readings*, will further serve to familiarize you with our research areas. While we do not require you to read these readings within the first few weeks, you should familiarize yourself with these publications within the first couple months you are a CASCR member. Finally, the third section, *Beneficial for current projects/projects under development*, is precisely what it claims to be. These readings will be assigned to each undergraduate RA depending upon which project(s) you are personally involved with. Any questions that you may have regarding the readings should be directed to the Lab Coordinator or Dr. Ross.

Primary lab readings:

- Malpass, Tredoux, McQuiston-Surrett (2007). Lineup construction and lineup fairness. In R. C. L. Lindsay, D. F. Ross, J. D. Read, M. P. Toglia (Eds.), *The handbook of eyewitness psychology, Vol. II: Memory for people.* (pp. 87-100). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Pansky, A., Koriat, A., & Goldsmith, M. (2005) Eyewitness recall and testimony. In N. Brewer & K. D. Williams (Eds.), *Psychology and law: An empirical perspective* (pp. 93-150). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Wells, G.L., Small, M., Penrod, S., Malpass, R.S., Fulero, S.M., & Brimacombe, C.A.E. (1998). Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads. *Law and Human Behavior*, *23*(6) 603-647.
- Wells, G. (1978). Applied eyewitness testimony research: System variables and estimator variables. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 36, 1546-1557.

General lab readings:

- Bruce, V., Burton, M., & Hancock, P. (2007). Remembering faces. In R. C. L. Lindsay, D. F. Ross, J. D. Read, M. P. Toglia (Eds.), *The handbook of eyewitness psychology, Vol. II: Memory for people.* (pp. 87-100). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Carlson, C.A., Gronlund, S. D., & Clark, S. E. (2008). Lineup composition, suspect position, and the sequential lineup advantage. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 14(2), 118-128.
- Clark, S. E. (2005). A re-examination of the effects of biased lineup instructions in eyewitness identification. *Law and Human Behavior*, *29*, 395-424.
- McQuiston-Surrett, D., Malpass, R. S., & Tredoux, C. G. (2006). Sequential vs. simultaneous lineups: A review of methods, data, and theory. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law, 12,* 137-169
- Technical Working Group on Eyewitness Evidence (1999). Eyewitness evidence: A guide for law enforcement. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice.

MDS 105 Box 358436 1900 Commerce St. Tacoma, WA 98402-3100

Beneficial for current projects/projects under development:

- Appearance Change Instructions
 - o Charman, S. D., & Wells, G. L. (2007). Eyewitness lineups: Is the appearance-change instruction a good idea? *Law and Human Behavior*, 31(6), 3-22.
- Authoritarianism & juror decision-making
 - o Narby, D. J., Cutler, B. L, & Moran, G. (1993). A meta-analysis of the association between authoritarianism and jurors' perceptions of defendant culpability. *Law & Human Behavior*, 78(1), 34-42.
 - o Kravitz, D. A., Cutler, B. L, & Brock, P. (1993). Reliability and validity of the original and revised Legal Attitudes Questionnaire. *Law and Human Behavior*, *17*, 661-667.
 - O Couch, J. V., & Sundre, D. L. (2001). Legal attitudes scale: A factor analytic study. *North American Journal of Psychology*, *3*(2), 309-320.
- Lineup construction techniques & structural similarity
 - o Tredoux, C. G. (2002). A direct measure of facial similarity and its relation to human similarity perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 8(3), 180-193.
- Person perception (Spontaneous inferences from facial images)
 - Cloutier, J., Mason, M. F., & Macrae, C. N. (2005). The perceptual determinants of person construal: Reopening the social—cognitive toolbox. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 6, 885-894.
 - o Hassin, R., & Trope, Y. (2000). Facing faces: Studies on the cognitive aspects of physiognomy. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(5), 837-852.
 - O Lea, M. A., Thomas, R. D., Lamkin, N. A., & Bell, A. (2007). Who do you look like? Evidence of facial stereotypes for male names. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 14(5), 901-907.
 - o Willis, J., & Todorov, A. (2006). First impressions: making up your mind after a 100-ms exposure to a face. *Psychological Science*, 17, 592-598.