PKA-Type I Selective Constrained Peptide Disruptors of AKAP Complexes
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ABSTRACT: A-Kinase Anchoring Proteins (AKAPs) coordinate complex signaling events by serving as spatiotemporal modulators of cAMP-dependent protein kinase activity in cells. Although AKAPs organize a plethora of diverse pathways, their cellular roles are often elusive due to the dynamic nature of these signaling complexes. AKAPs can interact with the type I or type II PKA holoenzymes by virtue of high-affinity interactions with the R-subunits. As a means to delineate AKAP-mediated PKA signaling in cells, we sought to develop isoform-selective disruptors of AKAP signaling. Here, we report the development of conformationally constrained peptides named RI-STapled Anchoring Disruptors (RI-STADs) that target the docking/dimerization domain of the type 1 regulatory subunit of PKA. These high-affinity peptides are isoform-selective for the RI isoforms, can outcompete binding by the classical AKAP disruptor Ht31, and can selectively displace RIα, but not RIIα, from binding the dual-specific AKAP149 complex. Importantly, these peptides are cell-permeable and disrupt Type I PKA-mediated phosphorylation events in the context of live cells. Hence, RI-STAD peptides are versatile cellular tools to selectively probe anchored type I PKA signaling events.
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Generation of the second messenger molecule 3′-5′-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) triggers a variety of downstream cellular and physiological events. After extracellular stimulation, intracellular cAMP levels rise and activate several targets. Protein kinase A (PKA) is the principal effector that is activated in response to cAMP. This broad specificity protein kinase regulates a multitude of diverse processes such as cell proliferation, cell differentiation, cell death, metabolism and immune responses. When intracellular levels of cAMP are low, PKA is maintained in an inactive tetrameric holoenzyme complex that is composed of a regulatory subunit (R) dimer and two catalytic subunits (C). Upon stimulated cAMP production, the R-subunits bind two molecules each of cAMP and undergo conformational changes to release and activate the C-subunits. Since PKA phosphorylates numerous substrates, signaling specificity is partially conferred by different R-subunits (RIα, RIIIα, RIIα, and RIIβ). Each regulatory subunit isoform varies in their holoenzyme structure, cAMP responsiveness, tissue distribution, and subcellular localization. While both RII isoforms are precisely localized in subcellular compartments, the RI isoforms are more diffusely dispersed throughout the cytoplasm.

Despite similar domain organization, the R-subunit isoforms of PKA have distinct biological profiles. For example, RI isoform expression is enhanced when the C-subunit is overexpressed, whereas RII seems to be less inducible. While RIα is constitutively expressed by all cell types, RIIβ is primarily expressed in neurons and brain tissue. Moreover, misregulation of RI isoforms has been implicated in a variety of diseases. Altered expression of RIα is believed to play a role in malignant transformation, and constitutive overexpression of RIIα occurs in several cancers. This leads to tumor growth, changes in cell morphology, and poor patient prognosis. Thus, while RI is critical regulator in cells, many questions remain about the mechanistic role of RI in the pathological conditions outlined above. At a subcellular level, RIIα is found in the cytoplasm, whereas RIIβ has been detected more
prominently at the mitochondria. Moreover, structural studies suggest that RIzx and RI/β may impose different modes of allosteric regulation on PKA. Thus, it would appear that both RI isoforms perform unique roles in the cellular environment.

Regulation can be achieved in part through their interaction with a diverse family of proteins called A-Kinase Anchoring Proteins (AKAPs). AKAPs facilitate spatial and temporal regulation of PKA through R-subunit interactions. AKAPs are also scaffolding proteins that constrain macromolecular complexes within the cell. Although AKAPs are divergent in both structure and function, they share the common feature of directly binding to the R-subunit of PKA. AKAPs anchor PKA in proximity to other proteins and enzymes including other kinases, phosphatases, adenyl cyclases, phosphodiesterases, and substrate targets. By forming these dynamic complexes, AKAPs coordinate signaling events by localizing cAMP-responsive signaling complexes to specific sites within the cell. Under these conditions, the scaffolded fraction of PKA has restricted access to potential substrates and therefore provides a mechanism for signaling specificity.

While the vast majority of AKAPs selectively bind the RII isoform, RI-selective AKAPs including SKIP and smAKAP, have been identified. A third class of AKAPs, termed dual-specific AKAPs, can bind both the RI and RII isoforms, yet their preference for binding the RII isoform strongly predominates. On the basis of the identification of these classes, sequence preferences for docking were defined for each R-subunit isoform. NMR and crystal structures of A Kinase Binding (AKB) sequences bound to the docking/dimerization (D/D) domain of either RI or RII has provided further structural insight into docking interactions and isoform specificity. While both isoforms form a hydrophobic binding surface for AKAP binding, RI has a deeper, more rigid binding cleft and is therefore more discriminating for binding. Indeed, introduction of single point mutations at most positions in the binding interface of an AKB sequence results in abolishment of binding to RIzx. Furthermore, an aromatic group in the first helical turn of the AKB binding interface appears to favor binding of RI over RII (Figure 1a) since this side chain complements a cavity on the docking surface of RI which is absent in RII.

Despite having an improved understanding of the physical interactions involved in the engagement of PKA, the functional significance of AKAPs on signaling in normal and disease-state cells remains elusive. One means to study the role of PKA anchoring on cellular processes has been the development of peptide-based inhibitors. Peptides were designed from AKB anchoring on cellular processes has been the development of peptide-based inhibitors. Peptides were designed from AKB sequences that were empirically derived from various AKAPs. The AKB domain is an amphipathic helix that is formed by 16–20 residues which target a binding groove formed on the surface of the D/D domain (Figure 1). The original RI-selective AKAP disruptor, Ht31, was derived from AKAP-Lbc (AKAP13). Since then, multiple high-affinity, RII-selective disruptors were identified including AKAP-IS, SuperAKAP-IS, and STAD-2. Although several RII disruptors were developed, it took over a decade to develop the first RI-selective disruptors. The first RI-specific disruptor, PV-38, was derived from peptide array screening using the AKB domain of AKAP10 (D-AKAP2) as a starting template. Shortly after this, a generic RI-selective disruptor, RIAD, emerged. RIAD was subsequently modified to incorporate non-natural amino acids that improved proteolytic stability.

![Figure 1. RIAD serves as a template for developing stapled RI-anchoring disruptors. (a) Alignment of various RI- and RII-specific AKAP or AKAP-mimicking sequences. The four hydrophobic registers shared by the docking sequences are highlighted in green. The aromatic residues shared by RI-specific sequences are highlighted in blue. (b) The hydrophobic surface of the α-helical docking peptide is highlighted in green. The hydrocarbon staple is introduced on the solvent-exposed surface and is shown in red. The right panel models the stapled peptide bound to the surface of the docking/dimerization (D/D) domain in solution, marked in green with its hydrophobic surface highlighted in green. The structure was rendered in PyMol using PDB ID 3IM4.](image-url)

However, major drawbacks that remain with the currently available RI-selective compounds are that they are hydrophobic and lack appreciable cell permeability. Accordingly, the utility of these reagents in cellulo remains limited. Intrinsic disadvantages of nonmodified peptides include compromised secondary structure in solution, insufficient cellular permeability in intact cell membranes, and susceptibility to proteolytic degradation.

Although current AKAP disruptor peptides are proficient tools for studying local PKA signaling, there remains a significant need for cell-permeable and RI-selective AKAP disruptors. Consequently, the biology of local type I PKA-mediated signaling remains less well understood. To address this gap in our knowledge, we sought to develop RI-selective reagents that can selectively disrupt RI interactions with AKAPs without requiring further manipulation to cells. Here, we report a series of RI-STAp led Anchoring Disruptors (RI-STADs) that are cell-permeable and selectively bind the RI subunit and disrupt RI-AKAP anchoring in live cells. These high-affinity compounds preferentially bind RIzx by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude over the RII isoforms. Moreover, these peptides can effectively outcompete Ht31 binding, demonstrating that the RI-STADs target the canonical D/D binding site on the R-subunit. Furthermore, RI-STAD-2 was found to have nanomolar affinities for both type I R-subunits (RIzx and RI/β) and could interrupt protein–protein interactions between the dual-specific anchoring protein AKAP149 (D-AKAP1) and RIzx but not RI/β.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Design of Stapled RI-Selective AKAP Disruptors.** Since there are notable differences in AKAP docking interactions between the RI and RII isoforms, we reasoned that these
variations could be exploited to design improved isoform-selective disruptors for PKA anchoring. The peptide sequence of the RI-selective inhibitor, RIAD (LEQYANQLADQ-IKEATE), is approximately 50-fold more selective for RII α over RII β.43 RIAD is an amphipathic helix with hydrophobic residues aligned on one face so as to form a hydrophobic patch that complements the hydrophobic binding surface of RI while the polar and charged residues are on the opposing, solvent-exposed face (Figures 1 and 2a). Using RIAD as a template, we sought to engineer improved biophysical and biochemical properties into this sequence by adopting a helix-stabilizing strategy coined hydrocarbon "stapling."47,48 This synthetic modification not only stabilizes the α-helical structure but also improves cell permeability and proteolytic stability of the peptide.46,48 In order to chemically constrain the α-helical conformation, a pair of non-natural olefinic amino acids ((S)-2-(4′-pentenyl)alanine), abbreviated as S5, were introduced into the sequence. The S5 residues were positioned on the solvent-exposed face so that the staple would not interfere with the protein–peptide interface (Figure 1b). A small library was generated where the staple position was varied so as to surround each individual hydrophobic register along the sequence, thereby stabilizing a single helical turn (Figure 2b). Ring-closing metathesis was subsequently performed to covalently cross-link the olefinic side chains to form the hydrophobic staple (Figure 1b and Figures S1–S3). Since RIAD is hydrophobic and the hydrocarbon staple provides additional hydrophobicity, all peptides were conjugated with an N-terminal (PEG)3 moiety to promote suitability for aqueous-based experiments.

**In Vitro Characterization of RI-Stapled Anchoring Disruptors (RI-STADs).** As an initial step to characterize isoform specificity, fluorescence polarization (FP) assays were performed to determine the binding affinity of each peptide toward the D/D domains of RII α and RII β (Figures 2c,d and S4). The D/D domains were plated over a concentration range of 100 pM to 100 μM using 10 nM of each fluorescently labeled peptide tested. The stapled (PEG)3-RIAD peptides (st.1–3) showed increased binding affinities for both RII α and RII β D/D as compared to that of the unstapled (PEG)3-RIAD sequence. In contrast, st.4 had a slightly weaker affinity for RII α. Generally, the dissociation constants (Kd) of the peptides binding to RII α had a larger decrease as compared to RII β. The improved affinity for RII β is perhaps not too surprising given that the D/D of RII β is more tolerant to changes in the AKB domain of AKAPs50 and peptide stapling can improve binding affinities.46 Importantly, st.1 and st.3 maintained high-affinity binding for RII α in the low nanomolar range. Furthermore, st.1 maintained selectivity toward RII β over RII α.

Although st.1 demonstrated the highest isoform selectivity for RII α (~3-fold preference), it also exhibited notably limited cell permeability (Figure S5b). Therefore, we further modified this sequence by shifting the position of the staple to the N- or C-terminus by a single residue to determine the optimal staple position over this hydrophobic patch (st.5–7, Figure 2b). In addition, an extra lysine was added to the C-terminus of each st.1-derived sequence to increase the overall net charge in an effort to remedy the poor cellular uptake demonstrated by st.1.39 Binding affinities were measured by fluorescence polarization (FP), and st.5 was found to have improved Kd values for both RII α and RII β. On the other hand, st.7 had no appreciable binding to RII α. This may be due to the fact that st.7 lacks an aromatic residue in the first hydrophobic register, and this is a hallmark feature often found in RI-specific AKB sequences.50 Furthermore, as compared to st.1, st.6 showed an increase in Kd values for the D/D domain of both isoforms, particularly RII β. However, st.6 still maintained approximately

---

**Figure 2.** Development of RI-STAD peptides. (a) The amphipathic RIAD sequence is represented as a helical wheel. The addition of a terminal Lys (K19) is included in the wheel (as indicated by a purple arrow). The helical wheel was created using DNASTAR. (b) Sequences for two generations of peptide candidates are listed. The second-generation peptides, st.5–st.7, contain the addition of a terminal Lys residue. S5 is represented by asterisk symbol, and a red bridge represents the staple. (c) Fluorescence polarization (FP) was used to determine the dissociation constant of the fluorescein-labeled peptides using D/D domain constructs from either PKA-RII β or PKA-RII α. Dissociation constants were calculated using nonlinear regression and are presented as the mean ± SEM from triplicate experiments. (d) Graphic presentation of the dissociation constants from the FP binding assay.
10-fold isoform selectivity toward RI. On the basis of their overall properties, three peptides were selected as candidates for RI-selective disruption and were designated RI-STapled Anchoring Disruptors (st.3, RI-STAD-1; st.5, RI-STAD-2; and st.6, RI-STAD-3).

Next, binding affinities were measured using full-length versions of all four human PKA isoforms (Figure 3a,b). The R-subunits were tested over a concentration range of 60 pM to 10 μM using 4 nM of each fluorescently labeled peptide. All three peptides demonstrated nanomolar affinities for RI (K_D = 5.8, 6.2, and 7.3 nM for RI-STAD-1, -2, and -3, respectively) and similar K_D values for RIβ ranging from 12 to 35 nM. Consistent with our previous findings using the D/D domain construct, RI-STAD-1 was less isoform-selective and also bound tightly to RIIα, with a K_D value of 19.4 nM. However, RI-STAD-1 had a lower affinity for RIIβ, with a K_D value in the midnanomolar range (166 nM), indicating that RI-STAD-1 selectively binds all isoforms with high affinity except for RIIβ. RI-STAD-2 and RI-STAD-3, on the other hand, were both notably selective for the RI isoforms. Relative to the RIIα isoform, RI-STAD-2 was 26-fold less selective for RIIα and 79-fold less selective for RIIβ. Of the three RI-STAD peptides, RI-STAD-3 demonstrated the highest selectivity for RIIβ with 58-fold selectivity for RI against RIIα and 89-fold selectivity over RIIβ. Thus, our data shows that RI-STAD-2 and RI-STAD-3 have notable isoform selectivity for RI over RII by 1 to 2 orders of magnitude. We observed a considerable increase in isoform selectivity for the RI disruptor peptides when using the full-length R-subunits. Although full-length crystal structures are not available for each of the R-subunit isoforms, it has been proposed that each RI isoform may exhibit slightly different modes of interaction for dimerization. Hence, long-range allosteric effects may play a key role in regulating engagement with AKAP proteins, and this mode of regulation may provide enhanced isoform selectivity.

The AKAP family is structurally and functionally diverse. This extends to the R-subunit docking interface between AKAPs. In fact, not all AKAPs exclusively bind to the D/D
domain of PKA using an AKB docking helix. Some of these noncanonical AKAPs include pericentrin, which binds the RII subunit using a nonhelical, leucine-rich region that spans over 100 amino acids.52 Another example is α4-integrin, which is an RII-specific AKAP that is insensitive to traditional amphipathic peptide disruptors and therefore may dock through a different site on the R-subunit.53 Furthermore, several conventional AKAPs also utilize an additional binding surface that has been denoted as the RI specifier region (RISR).54 This region is proximal or distal to the traditional amphipathic AKB domain and appears to facilitate the stabilization of AKAP-RI complex. Therefore, competition assays were performed using a prototypic AKAP disrupter, Ht31, to ensure that the RI-STAD peptides are indeed targeting RI through its conventional binding site on the D/D domain. The competition experiment was performed by mixing 4 nM of fluorescently labeled RI-STAD peptides with a concentration range of unlabeled Ht31, followed by a 5 min incubation period with RIIα before measuring fluorescence polarization. The calculated EC50 values of Ht31 for RI-STAD-1, -2, and -3 are 1.9, 2.1, and 1.5 μM, respectively (Figure 3c,d). These values correlate with the reported Kd value of 2.1 μM for the measured binding affinity between Ht31 and RIIα.55 As a control, a competition assay was performed using RI-STAD-2 and a concentration range of Ht31P (Figure S6). Ht31P is an inactive analog of Ht31 where two isoleucines are substituted with prolines, thereby disrupting the essential helical conformation that is required for binding the D/D domain.59,60 Even at concentrations up to 30 μM, Ht31P did not displace RI-STAD-2 from RIIα. Together, this data suggests that the RI-STAD peptides do indeed bind the D/D domain of RIIα by mimicking the amphipathic helical AKB of canonical AKAPs. Furthermore, it appears that these peptides have the potential to act as disruptors to displace AKAPs that interface with type I PKA holoenzymes.

**RI-STAD-2 Is a High-Affinity Binder to the RI Isoforms.** To quantify the interaction of RI-STAD-2 with recombinant human RI subunits, Biacore technology was employed. RIIα and RIIβ were captured on 8-AHA-cAMP sensor surfaces at surface concentrations of 800 resonance units (see Supporting Information, Methods, for details). RI-STAD-2 was injected as an analyte at different concentrations varying from 4.8 to 312.5 nM RI-STAD-2 (Figure 4a,b). Association and dissociation rate constants were determined. Using these rate constants, RI-STAD-2 was calculated to have an equilibrium binding constant of 5.7 nM on an RIIα surface and 19 nM on an RIIβ surface (Table 1). Notably, RI-STAD-2 associates with fast on-rate kinetics; however, it displays very slow off rates. Thus, RI-STAD-2 binds both of the RI isoforms with high affinity, and once the complex is formed, it demonstrates slow dissociation from the RI complex.

**RI-STAD-2 Outcompetes RI-AKAP Interactions.** As a strategy to measure whether RI-STAD-2 could effectively outcompete interactions between an AKAP and the R-subunits, binding studies were performed using the dual-specific anchoring protein AKAP149 (Figure 5). Competition experiments were performed with either RI-STAD-2 or the RIAD control peptide. Binding interactions were measured by immobilizing either RIIα or RIIβ to the Biacore chip surface at concentrations of approximately 2500 RU. A fragment of AKAP149 (285–387) was then injected in the presence or absence of 5 μM RIAD or RI-STAD-2 (see Supporting Information, Methods, for details). Both RIAD and RI-STAD-2 could efficiently outcompete interactions between RIIα and AKAP149. On the other hand, binding of the AKAP149 construct to RIIβ was not affected by the presence of these AKAP disruptor peptides. No AKAP149 (285–387) binding was detected when RIIβ was captured as described previously.61 Thus, RI-STAD-2 appears to have high specificity for the RI complex and does not appear to disrupt AKAP binding interactions at the RII complex.

**RI-STADs Are Cell-Permeable and Target the RI Isoform in Cells.** To determine whether the RI-STAD peptides would be suitable for cell-based experiments, intracellular access was measured. To measure the extent of cellular uptake of the RI-STAD peptides, localization was measured using live cell imaging. Cells were treated with 5 μM of fluorescein-labeled peptides for 6 h prior to imaging. As our previous work has shown, hydrocarbon stapling drastically increased the ability of AKAP inhibitor peptides to permeate through intact cell membranes.62 Indeed, whole-field quantification of multiple fields (n = 28–32 fields representing at least 1400 cells) indicates that the RI-STAD peptides penetrated cells after this treatment period to a greater extent as compared to that of the unstacked RI-STAD-2 control (Figure 6a). While
the extent of permeability varied among the peptides, the measured fluorescence per cell was statistically significant and at least roughly twice that of the control. Furthermore, there is an increase in the cytoplasmic levels of fluorescein staining for the stapled STAD peptides, demonstrating that these peptides can access this intracellular compartment.

Next, we wanted to verify that the RI-STAD peptides could bind their target protein, RI, within the complexity of a cellular environment. To test this, biotin pulldowns were performed. Biotin-labeled RI-STAD-1, -2, or -3 was added to the media of intact MDA-MB-231 cells for 12 h. Cells were then lysed, and pulldowns were performed using avidin-coated resin. To determine whether the peptides maintained isoform selectivity in cells, immunoblotting was performed to probe for interactions with the RI and RII isoforms. Whole-cell lysates were used as an input control, and cells that were treated only with DMSO were used as a pulldown control. As compared to the DMSO-treated control, RI-STAD-1 and RI-STAD-2 appeared to gain cytosolic access and successfully bound to PKA-RI within the intracellular environment, whereas RI-STAD-3 showed nominal interactions with the RI subunit (Figure 6b). None of the RI-STAD peptides appeared to bind to the RII subunit to a detectable level. As a control, the RII-specific AKAP disruptor STAD-2 pulled down only RII but not RI. On the basis of this study, RI-STAD-1 and -2 appear to act as promising, competitive RI-selective disruptors both in vitro and in the context of a cellular environment.

As a means to further explore the specificity of RI-STAD-2, we used a previously described fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based PKA activity sensor, AKAR-18.RBS.57 AKAR-18.RBS includes a PKA-R binding helix from AKAP1858,59

Figure 5. Qualitative surface competition experiments. RIα (a, c) and RIIα (b, d) were immobilized to an 8-AHA-cAMP surface at resonance levels of 2300 or 2500 RU, respectively. AKAP149 (285–387, 500 nM) was injected in the absence or presence of 5 μM RIAD (a, b) or RI-STAD-2 (c, d), and the residual binding to the R-subunits was determined. Both RIAD and RI-STAD-2 specifically inhibit binding of AKAP149 (285–387) to RIα, whereas no competitive effect was found for the interaction between RIIα and AKAP149 (285–387). Since AKAP disruptor peptides bind to the immobilized R-subunits, a small increase in mass was expected. Therefore, control experiments were performed using 5 μM of RIAD or RI-STAD-2 without AKAP149 (285–387) present. RU, response units.
and allows the reporter to detect the activity of AKAP-anchored PKA phosphorylation in real-time by monitoring changes in the YFP/CFP emission ratio in living cells. U2OS RIIΔ cells were used to measure the effects of RI-STAD-2 on RI-AKAP anchoring. The effect of displacing RI from the reporter was examined after isoproterenol (iso)-stimulated conditions (Figure 7). The RI-selective disruptor RI-STAD-2 decreased the FRET signal as compared to that in the scrambled control (scr) after elevated cAMP levels were induced. The normalized FRET ratio of scrambled-treated cells reached a maximum at 60 s and dropped gradually over 150 s. In contrast, the FRET signal of RI-STAD-2-treated cells showed a faster decline and a lower resting level. As a control, the RI-selective disruptor, RI-STAD-2 and STAD-2 will serve as useful probes to consider with our previously reported RII-selective disruptor,42 RI-STAD-2 and STAD-2 will serve as useful probes to new insight into the biological role of RI isoforms. When considered with our previously reported RII-selective disruptor,42 RI-STAD-2 and STAD-2 will serve as useful probes to dissect the respective roles of AKAP-mediated type I and type II PKA signaling.

Figure 7. RI-STAD-2 disrupts AKAP-anchored signaling in cells. AKAP-18ΔRBS AKAR activity was monitored, and FRET signal was measured in U2OS RIIΔ cells that were preincubated with 1 μM RI-STAD-2 (n = 26 cells) or its scrambled control for 1 h (n = 24 cells). RI-specific AKAP-18ΔRBS AKAR activity was then monitored over 150 s after stimulation with 1 μM isoproterenol at the 40 s time point. Data was normalized relative to unstimulated basal FRET levels. RI-STAD-2 was found to reduce RI-specific AKAP anchoring in cells. Warmer colors indicate increasing phosphorylation.
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