## Unsteady aerodynamic models for agile flight at low Reynolds number

Steve Brunton

Princeton University

FPO - March 13, 2012

### Unsteady aerodynamic models for agile flight at low Reynolds number

### L = Length $\operatorname{Re} = \frac{LV}{-}$ V =Velocity $\nu = \text{Viscosity}$

**Steve Brunton Princeton University** FPO - March 13, 2012

V



### **Motivation**



#### **Applications of Unsteady Models**

**Conventional UAVs (performance/robustness)** 

Micro air vehicles (MAVs)

Flow control, flight dynamic control

**Autopilots / Flight simulators** 

**Gust disturbance mitigation** 

**Understand bird/insect flight** 

#### **Need for State-Space Models**

Need models suitable for control

Combining with flight models



Wednesday, March 28, 2012

#### **FLYIT** Simulators, Inc.





**Predator (General Atomics)** 



Flexible Wing (University of Florida)



### Flow Control (expert)





### Flow Control (expert)







### Flight Dynamic Control





#### **Performance**

# **Disturbance rejection Noise attenuation**

### In general, feedback control benefits from more accurate aerodynamic models.









Wind tunnel experiment, Re=65,000



Plunge



#### **Immersed boundary method**

Multi-domain approach

Boundary forces computed as Lagrangemultipliers to enforce no slip

#### Colonius & Taira, 2008.

#### **2D Incompressible Navier-Stokes:**

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\text{Re}} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \int_s \mathbf{f} \left(\xi(s,t)\right) \delta(\xi - \mathbf{x}) ds$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{u} \left(\xi(s,t)\right) = \int_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \xi) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u}_B \left(\xi(s,t)\right)$$





#### Idea: Instead of moving body, move base flow!



Base flow velocity:

 $\theta \mathbf{V}_{\alpha}$  $\gamma$ Vorticity:

$$u(x, y, t) = \|\mathbf{V}\| \cos(\alpha) - \dot{\theta}(y - y_C)$$
$$v(x, y, t) = \|\mathbf{V}\| \sin(\alpha) + \dot{\theta}(x - x_C)$$
$$\overset{\dot{\theta}}{\nabla} \times (u, v) = v_x - u_y = \dot{\theta} + \dot{\theta} = 2\dot{\theta}$$

where  $(x_C, y_C)$  is the center of mass.

#### **Unsteady Base Flow**

Faster simulations (Cholesky decomposition)

allows more aggressive maneuvers and gusts

 $-\theta$ 

24X faster, n

#### **Immersed Boundary Method**

T. Colonius and K. Taira, 2008

A fast immersed boundary method using a nullspace approach and multi-domain far-field boundary conditions.





#### Idea: Instead of moving body, move base flow!



24X faster, n





Measure of stretching between neighboring particles

 $\sigma$  is time-dependent for unsteady flows

### Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCS)

LCS are hyperbolic ridges in the FTLE field

Generalize invariant manifolds for time varying flows



where  $\Delta = \left( \mathbf{D} \Phi_0^T \right)^* \mathbf{D} \Phi_0^T$ 

 $\Phi_0^T$  - particle flow map

pLCS - positive-time LCS (repelling)

nLCS - negative-time LCS (attracting)

#### Haller, 2002; Shadden et *al*., 2005



### Attracting nLCS





Measure of stretching between neighboring particles

 $\sigma\,$  is time-dependent for unsteady flows

### Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCS)

LCS are hyperbolic ridges in the FTLE field

Generalize invariant manifolds for time varying flows

$$\sigma(\Phi_0^T; \mathbf{x_0}) = \frac{1}{|T|} \log \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\Delta(\mathbf{x_0}))}$$

where  $\Delta = \left( \mathbf{D} \Phi_0^T \right)^* \mathbf{D} \Phi_0^T$ 

 $\Phi_0^T$  - particle flow map

pLCS - positive-time LCS (repelling)

nLCS - negative-time LCS (attracting)

#### Haller, 2002; Shadden et al., 2005







Measure of stretching between neighboring particles

 $\sigma\,$  is time-dependent for unsteady flows

### Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCS)

LCS are hyperbolic ridges in the FTLE field

Generalize invariant manifolds for time varying flows

$$\sigma(\Phi_0^T; \mathbf{x_0}) = \frac{1}{|T|} \log \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\Delta(\mathbf{x_0}))}$$

where  $\Delta = \left( \mathbf{D} \Phi_0^T \right)^* \mathbf{D} \Phi_0^T$ 

 $\Phi_0^T$  - particle flow map

pLCS - positive-time LCS (repelling)

nLCS - negative-time LCS (attracting)

#### Haller, 2002; Shadden et *al*., 2005









Measure of stretching between neighboring particles

 $\sigma$  is time-dependent for unsteady flows

#### Lagrangian Coherent Structures (LCS)

LCS are hyperbolic ridges in the FTLE field

Generalize invariant manifolds for time varying flows

#### **New Fast Method**

Flow map composition removes redundant particle integrations for neighboring flow maps

10-100X speed-up

Accurate for 2D and 3D flows

For more information, see:

**Fast computation of FTLE fields** for unsteady flows: a comparison of methods

Brunton & Rowley, Chaos 20, 2010

#### Haller, 2002; Shadden et al., 2005



Wednesday, March 28, 2012

### Repelling pLCS





### 2D Model Problem



$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} &= 300 \\ \alpha &= 32^{\circ} \end{aligned}$$



### 2D Model Problem





$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Re} = 300 \\ &\alpha = 32^\circ \end{aligned}$$







#### Low Reynolds number, (Re=100)

Hopf bifurcation at  $\, lpha_{
m crit} pprox {f 28}^{\circ} \,$ 













Low Reynolds number, (Re=100)

Hopf bifurcation at  $\, lpha_{
m crit} pprox {f 28}^{\circ} \,$ 







Low Reynolds number, (Re=100)

Hopf bifurcation at  $\, lpha_{
m crit} pprox {f 28}^{\circ} \,$ 







Low Reynolds number, (Re=100)

Hopf bifurcation at  $\, lpha_{
m crit} pprox {f 28}^{\circ} \,$ 



Models based on Hopf normal form capture vortex shedding



Low Reynolds number, (Re=100)

Hopf bifurcation at  $\,lpha_{
m crit}pprox{28^\circ}$ 







**Galerkin Projection onto POD** 



Full DNS



Reconstruction







$$\dot{x} = (\alpha - \alpha_c)\mu x - \omega y - ax(x^2 + y^2) 
\dot{y} = (\alpha - \alpha_c)\mu y + \omega x - ay(x^2 + y^2) 
\dot{z} = -\lambda z$$

$$\dot{r} = r \left[ (\alpha - \alpha_c)\mu - ar^2 \right] 
\Rightarrow \qquad \dot{\theta} = \omega 
\dot{z} = -\lambda z$$

Reconstruction



### POD Modes for Stationary Plate





### Mode I

Mode 3





Mode 4





$$\operatorname{Re} = 100$$
  
 $\alpha = 30^{\circ}$ 





Mode 5



Mode 6









#### Need model that captures lift due to moving airfoil!





#### Need model that captures lift due to moving airfoil!







#### Need model that captures lift due to moving airfoil!







Need model that captures lift due to moving airfoil!







#### Need model that captures lift due to moving airfoil!



### **2D Model Problem**





$$\operatorname{Re} = 300$$
  
 $\alpha = 32^{\circ}$ 



### **2D Model Problem**











### **Added Mass**

Increasingly important for small/light aircraft

Unsteady potential flow forces (F=ma)

force needed to move air as plate accelerates

### **Circulatory/Viscous**

Captures separation effects

Need improved models here

source of all lift in steady flight... and more





### **Added Mass**

Increasingly important for small/light aircraft

Unsteady potential flow forces (F=ma)

force needed to move air as plate accelerates

The mass of the body and surrounding fluid are being accelerated, to different extents.

Kinetic energy T will be in some manner proportional to U (for potential and Stokes flows)

$$T = 
ho rac{I}{2} U^2$$
 where  $I = \int_V rac{u_i}{U} \cdot rac{u_i}{U} dV$ 

If body accelerates, T probably increases, and energy must be supplied:

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -FU \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F_i = -\underbrace{\rho I_{ij}}_{ij} \dot{U}_j$$

AM

Lamb, 1945.

#### Milne-Thompson, 1962

Newman, 1977.

### **Circulatory/Viscous**

Captures separation effects

Need improved models here

-1.5

source of all lift in steady flight... and more

#### cylinder moving in Lab frame





### **Added Mass**

Increasingly important for small/light aircraft

Unsteady potential flow forces (F=ma)

force needed to move air as plate accelerates

The mass of the body and surrounding fluid are being accelerated, to different extents.

Kinetic energy T will be in some manner proportional to U (for potential and Stokes flows)

$$T = \rho \frac{I}{2} U^2 \qquad \text{where} \qquad I = \int_V \frac{u_i}{U} \cdot \frac{u_i}{U} dV$$

If body accelerates, T probably increases, and energy must be supplied:

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -FU \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F_i = -\underbrace{\rho I_{ij}}_{ij} \dot{U}_j$$

AM

Lamb, 1945.

Milne-Thompson, 1962

Newman, 1977.

### **Circulatory/Viscous**

Captures separation effects

Need improved models here

source of all lift in steady flight... and more

#### Beer bubble acceleration







### Added Mass

Increasingly important for small/light aircraft

Unsteady potential flow forces (F=ma)

force needed to move air as plate accelerates

### **Circulatory/Viscous**

Captures separation effects

Need improved models here

source of all lift in steady flight... and more



**Boundary layer** 

Laminar separation bubble

Leading edge vortex

**Periodic Vortex Shedding** 



Milne-Thompson, 1973.

Stengel, 2004.




 $\pi f c$ 

k

### **Added Mass**

Increasingly important for small/light aircraft

Unsteady potential flow forces (F=ma)

force needed to move air as plate accelerates

### **Circulatory/Viscous**

Captures separation effects

Need improved models here

source of all lift in steady flight... and more

$$C_{L} = \underbrace{\frac{\pi}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{h} + \dot{\alpha} - \frac{a}{2} \ddot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Added-Mass}} + \underbrace{2\pi \left[ \alpha + \dot{h} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2} - a \right) \right]}_{\text{Circulatory}} C(k)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\gamma_{b} = 0} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{b} = 0} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{b}} C(k) = \frac{H_{1}^{(2)}(k)}{H_{1}^{(2)}(k) + iH_{0}^{(2)}(k)}$$

2D Incompressible, inviscid model Unsteady potential flow (w/ Kutta condition) Linearized about zero angle of attack

Theodorsen, 1935.

Leishman, 2006.



## Bode Plot of Theodorsen



$$C_{L} = \underbrace{\frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \ddot{h} + \dot{\alpha} - \frac{a}{2} \ddot{\alpha} \right]}_{\text{Added-Mass}} + \underbrace{2\pi \left[ \alpha + \dot{h} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2} - a \right) \right]}_{\text{Circulatory}} C(k)$$

$$k = \frac{\pi f c}{U_{\infty}}$$
Frequency response
input is  $\ddot{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha$  is angle of attack)
output is lift coefficient  $C_{L}$ 

Low frequencies dominated by quasi-steady forces

High frequencies dominated by added-mass forces

Intermediate frequencies determined by Theodorsen's function  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{k})$ 

### Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2011









#### non-minimum phase response:

Given a step in angle of attack, lift initially moves in opposite direction (because of negative added-mass forces), before the circulatory lift forces have a change to catch up and system relaxes to a positive lift steady state.

#### Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2011





Given an impulse in angle of attack,  $\alpha = \delta(t)$ , the time history of Lift is  $C_L^{\delta}(t)$ The response to an arbitrary input  $\alpha(t)$  is given by linear superposition:

$$C_L(t) = \int_0^t C_L^{\delta}(t-\tau)\alpha(\tau)d\tau = \left(C_L^{\delta} * \alpha\right)(t)$$

Given a step in angle of attack,  $\dot{\alpha}=\delta(t)$  , the time history of Lift is  $\,C_L^S(t)$ 

The response to an arbitrary input  $\alpha(t)$  is given by:

$$C_L(t) = C_L^S(t)\alpha(0) + \int_0^t C_L^S(t-\tau)\dot{\alpha}(\tau)d\tau$$

### **Model Summary**

**Reconstructs Lift for arbitrary input** 

Linear time-invariant (LTI) models

Based on experiment, simulation or theory

Wagner developed indicial response analytically using same approximations as Theodorsen

#### convolution integral inconvenient for feedback control design



Wagner, 1925.

Reisenthel, 1996.

Leishman, 2006.





Given an impulse in angle of attack,  $lpha=\delta(t)$  , the time history of Lift is  $C_L^\delta(t)$ 

The response to an arbitrary input  $\alpha(t)$  is given by linear superposition:

$$C_L(t) = \int_0^t C_L^{\delta}(t-\tau)\alpha(\tau)d\tau = \left(C_L^{\delta} * \alpha\right)(t)$$

Given a step in angle of attack,  $\dot{\alpha} = \delta(t)$ , the time history of Lift is  $C_L^S(t)$ 

The response to an arbitrary input  $\alpha(t)$  is given by:

$$C_L(t) = C_L^S(t)\alpha(0) + \int_0^t C_L^S(t-\tau)\dot{\alpha}(\tau)d\tau$$

### **Model Summary**

**Reconstructs Lift for arbitrary input** 

Linear time-invariant (LTI) models

Based on experiment, simulation or theory

Wagner developed indicial response analytically using same approximations as Theodorsen

convolution integral inconvenient for feedback control design



Wagner, 1925. Reisenthel, 1996. Leishman, 2006.



#### **Indicial Response**

 $C_L(t) = C_L^{\delta}(t)\alpha(0) + \int_0^t C_L^{\delta}(t-\tau)\dot{\alpha}(\tau)d\tau$ 

#### **Theodorsen's Model**

Physically motivated components

Tuned to specific geometry, Re #

Parametrized by pitch point

Frequency domain, idealized assumptions

### State-Space Model

Captures input output dynamics accurately

Computationally tractable

fits into control framework

### transient dynamics—

Added-Mass

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_r \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha}$$

 $C_L = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ \ddot{h} + \dot{\alpha} - \frac{a}{2} \ddot{\alpha} \right] + 2\pi \left[ \alpha + \dot{h} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{2} - a \right) \right] C(k)$ 

Circulatory

$$C_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{r} & C_{L_{\alpha}} & C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + C_{L_{\ddot{\alpha}}} \ddot{\alpha}$$
  
guasi-steady and added-mass



### State-Space Indicial Response



Stability derivatives plus fast dynamics

$$C_L(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \ddot{\alpha}, \mathbf{x}) = C_{L_{\alpha}}\alpha + C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}}\dot{\alpha} + C_{L_{\ddot{\alpha}}}\ddot{\alpha} + C_{L_{\ddot{\alpha$$

Quasi-steady and added-mass

Transient dynamics

**Transfer Function** 

$$Y(s) = \left\lfloor \frac{C_{L_{\alpha}}}{s^2} + \frac{C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}}}{s} + C_{L_{\ddot{\alpha}}} + G(s) \right\rfloor s^2 U(s)$$

#### State-Space Model

Captures input output dynamics accurately

Computationally tractable

fits into control framework

transient dynamics  

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_r \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha}$$

$$C_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{r} & C_{L_{\alpha}} & C_{L_{\dot{\alpha}}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + C_{L_{\ddot{\alpha}}} \ddot{\alpha}$$
  
guasi-steady and added-mass













- 1 added-mass from  $\ddot{\alpha}$  (C)
- 2 added-mass from  $\dot{\alpha}$  (B) and quasi-steady  $\alpha$  (A)
- 3 fast dynamics (G) and quasi-steady from  $\alpha$  (A)
- 4 quasi-steady from  $\alpha$  (A)

# Cartoon illustration of aerodynamic step response

4-6 orders of magnitude frequency and scale separation in response



### Method I





 $\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha}$  $y = \begin{bmatrix} C & C_{\alpha} & C_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + D\ddot{\alpha}$ 

Transient dynamics modeled using ERA model

 $\dot{\alpha} \to (A, B, C, C_{\dot{\alpha}}) \to C_L$ 



**ERA - Eigensystem realization algorithm** 



### Method II





 $\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha}$  $y = \begin{bmatrix} C & C_{\alpha} & C_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + D\ddot{\alpha}$ 

Transient dynamics modeled using ERA model

 $\dot{\alpha} \to (A, B, C, D) \to C_L$ 



**General procedure** 

**Highly flexible** 

- I. Obtain time-resolved step response in pitch angle
- 2. Identify some or all of the quasi-steady and added mass parameters  $\,C_{L_lpha},C_{\dotlpha},C_{\ddotlpha}$
- 3. Model remaining transient dynamic with Eigensystem realization algorithm (ERA)

ERA was recently shown to be equivalent to balanced proper orthogonal decomposition (BPOD)

Ma, Ahuja, & Rowley (2011)

- I. Extensions for pitch, plunge, and surge motions
- 2. Multiple input, multiple output models possible with ERA







### **Frequency response**

input is  $\ddot{lpha}$  ( lpha is angle of attack)

output is lift coefficient  $\,C_{\rm L}$ 

Pitching at leading edge

Model without additional dynamics [QS+AM (r=0)] is inaccurate in crossover region

Models with fast dynamics of ERA model order >3 are converged

**Punchline:** additional fast dynamics (ERA model) are essential







# Parametrized by Pitch Point





Frequency (rad/s c/U)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \dot{h} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \dot{h} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_1 - \frac{a}{2}B_2 & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{a}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha} \\ \ddot{h} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} C & C_{\alpha} & C_{\dot{\alpha}} & C_{\dot{h}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \\ \dot{h} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} C_{\ddot{\alpha}} - \frac{a}{2}C_{\ddot{h}} & C_{\ddot{h}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha} \\ \ddot{h} \end{bmatrix}$$

Γ.-.7

 $(A, B_1, C)$  model for pitch at mid-chord  $(A, B_2, C)$  model for plunge

Pitch about any point is linear combination of pitch at mid-chord and plunge motion

Models all have same poles, different zeros (similar to Theodorsen's model)





#### Canonical pitch-up, hold, pitch-down maneuver, followed by step-down in vertical position





Reduced order model accurately captures lift coefficient history from DNS



# Lift vs. Angle of Attack







# Lift vs. Angle of Attack









Impulse response simulations after rapid step-up  $\ lpha \in [0^\circ, 27^\circ]$ 

Initial lift  $C_L(lpha_0)$  subtracted off

Model with order r=7 required to capture this flow feature, eventually develops into vortex shedding mode







### Results

Lift slope decreases for increasing angle of attack, so magnitude of low frequency motions decreases for increasing angle of attack.

At larger angle of attack, phase converges to -180 at much lower frequencies. I.e., solutions take longer to reach equilibrium in time domain.

Consistent with fact that for large angle of attack, system is closer to Hopf instability, and a pair of eigenvalues are moving closer to imaginary axis.





# Poles and Zeros of ERA Models



As angle of attack increases, pair of poles (and pair of zeros) march towards imaginary axis. This is a good thing, because a Hopf bifurcation occurs at  $~lpha_{
m crit}pprox 28^\circ$ 

#### Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2011

# Bode Plot of Model (-) vs Data (x)



60





Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2011



## Large Amplitude Maneuver





**faneuver:**  
$$G(t) = \log \left[ \frac{\cosh(a(t-t_1))\cosh(a(t-t_4))}{\cosh(a(t-t_2))\cosh(a(t-t_3))} \right] \qquad \alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_{\max} \frac{G(t)}{\max(G(t))}$$

#### Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2011

#### OL, Altman, Eldredge, Garmann, and Lian, 2010

# (Indicial) Step Response





Previously, models are based on aerodynamic step response

# **Idea:** Perform realistic maneuver for some time, back out the Markov parameters, and construct ERA model.

# Bel OVE NUMER

## Random Input Maneuver





**Observer/Kalman filter identification (OKID) works best, so far.** 

# **Idea:** Perform realistic maneuver for some time, back out the Markov parameters, and construct ERA model.







Wednesday, March 28, 2012



# Wind Tunnel Experiments



Andrew Fejer Unsteady Flow Wind Tunnel Principle Investigator - Dave Williams

NACA 0006 Airfoil Chord Length: 0.246 m Free Stream Velocity: 4.00 m/s Reynolds Number: 65,000



## NACA 0006 Model







### Summary

- I. Account for hinge constraint nonlinearity
- 2. Rotate force vectors to obtain lift force
- 3. Subtract out point mass effects (mechanical)

$$\begin{bmatrix} L \\ D \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha) & -\sin(\alpha) \\ \sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}}_{R_{\alpha}} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ P \end{bmatrix}$$





## Wing Maneuver





Pseudo-random sequence of ramp-hold maneuvers (aggressive maneuver)











+/- 5 degree manuever, excites large range of frequencies Reduced order model outperforms Theodorsen at low and high frequencies

### AOA = 0 degrees



### Three system ID maneuvers





**AOA = 0 degrees** 

We tried three system ID maneuvers: A, B and C.



### System ID maneuver





Bootstrap: It is important that models obtained from each ID maneuver accurately reproduce every other maneuver

### **AOA = 0 degrees**



## Bode plot and Markov parameters





### Combined maneuver effectively blends each of the three individual maneuvers

Added-mass is not exclusively in first Markov parameter, but is instead distributed in the first few, contributing to the added-mass "bump"

### AOA = 0 degrees









#### +/- 10 degree manuever

**AOA = 10 degrees** 

Theodorsen is significantly worse, due to large base angle of attack and flow separation effects.


# Bode plot and Markov parameters





# Flatter Markov parameters indicate smaller lift coefficient slope

Convergence to asymptote at lower frequency indicate longer transient decay to steady state (more separated flow)

## AOA = 10 degrees









# Trend is similar to DNS, where low frequency asymptote converges at lower frequency, for larger angle of attack.



# **Pure Plunge**



**AOA = 0 degrees** 





Lift rises to steady state after step-up

Lift relaxes to steady state after step-up

Wednesday, March 28, 2012





- I. Improved computational tools
  - Unsteady base flow to solve Navier-Stokes in body fixed frame
  - Fast computation of Finite-time Lyapunov exponents
  - About 20X speed-up for both methods
- 2. Accurate, efficient reduced order modeling procedure
  - Linear unsteady pitch and plunge models from Navier-Stokes equations
  - Constructed for specific geometry, Reynolds number
  - Based on various input maneuvers
  - Modeling effort is targeted at transient fluid dynamics frequencies
- 3. Modeling techniques applied to two test problems
  - Direct numerical simulations of flat plate airfoil, Re=100
  - Wind tunnel experiment with NACA 0006 airfoil, Re=65,000
  - Reduced order model outperforms Theodorsen's model for all cases, especially at large angle of attack

## Future Work:

- Use pitch/plunge models to develop optimal control laws
- Combine into nonlinear model with limit cycle dynamics
- Extend models to large parametric study (Re #, Aspect ratio, etc.)



# Acknowledgments



### **Professor Clancy Rowley & Group**



### Professor Dave Williams & Wes Kerstens





MAE Department Faculty, Students and Staff!

## **Funding Sources**

Air force office of scientific research (AFOSR)

FAA Joint University Program

**Gordon Wu Fellowship** 







## **Bing and James**



# QUESTIONS?

10





## **Goal:** Track reference Lift, while rejecting disturbance and attenuating sensor noise

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + W^{1/2} d$$
  $d$  - disturbance

$$y = Cx + Du + V^{1/2}n$$
  $n$  - noise

#### (A,B,C,D) from Theodorsen's pitch model



#### Brunton and Rowley, in preparation.

## $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ Loop Shaping

#### **Desired loop shape:**

 $G_d = \frac{1500(s-5)}{s^2(s+75)}$ 

#### Actuator roll-off:





#### **Closed-loop step response**





## **Goal:** Track reference Lift, while rejecting disturbance and attenuating sensor noise



#### Brunton and Rowley, in preparation.

Wednesday, March 28, 2012

## $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ Loop Shaping

#### **Desired loop shape:**

 $G_d = \frac{1500(s-5)}{s^2(s+75)}$ 

#### Actuator roll-off:

$$G_a = \frac{300}{(s+500)}$$







### What we know

- I. Hopf bifurcation at  $\, lpha = 28^\circ \,$
- 2. Linear models capture conjugate pair
- 3. Linear models based on overarching nonlinear model (Navier-Stokes)

# How to construct nonlinear reduced order model?







### What we know

- I. Hopf bifurcation at  $\, lpha = 28^\circ$
- 2. Linear models capture conjugate pair
- 3. Linear models based on overarching nonlinear model (Navier-Stokes)

# How to construct nonlinear reduced order model?



# Transient dynamics from impulse at each phase of limit cycle





#### Wednesday, March 28, 2012





Accurate, efficient reduced order models

- Models are linearization of full nonlinear model
- Constructed for specific geometry, Reynolds number
- Based on various input maneuvers

Modeling techniques applied to two test problems

- Simulated flat plate airfoil, Re=100
- Wind tunnel experiment, Re=65,000
- Pitch and plunge dynamics investigated
- Reduced order model outperforms Theodorsen's model for all cases, especially at large angle of attack

**Future Work:** 

- Use pitch/plunge models for optimal control (maneuver, lift stabilization)
- Combine into nonlinear model with limit cycle dynamics

Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2009-2011
Juang and Pappa, 1985.
Ma, Ahuja, Rowley, 2010.
Juang, Phan, Horta, Longman, 1991.



# Empirical, State-Space Theodorsen





#### State-space model

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -.6828 & -.0633 & C_2 & C_2(1-2a)/4 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\alpha}$$
$$C_L = \begin{bmatrix} .197 & .0303 & .5176C_2 & C_1 + .5176C_2(1-2a)/4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \alpha \\ \dot{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{aC_1}{2}\ddot{\alpha}$$

#### Brunton and Rowley, AIAA ASM 2011

Wednesday, March 28, 2012