Weekly Homework 2

Ruth Vanderpool TMATH 402 Abstract Algebra I

October 18, 2022

Theorem (Division Existence). Let a and b be integers with b > 0. Then there exists integers q and r where $0 \le r < b$ and

$$a = bq + r.$$

Proof. Let a and b be integers with b > 0. To help us find q and r such that a = bq + r where $0 \le r < b$ we will rearrange the equation to r = a - bq. Consider letting q vary and collecting the set of all possible 'remainders', that is, let

$$R = \{a - bk | k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a - bk \ge 0\}.$$

We will consider two cases: if $0 \in R$ and if $0 \notin R$. In both cases we will identify q and r that satisfies the above conclusions of the theorem.

Case 1: Assume $0 \in R$. Then there exists a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 0 = r = a - bk or bk = a. We can let q = k and r = 0 and satisfy the conclusions of the theorem. In particular, note r = 0 satisfies $0 \le r < b$ and also that,

$$bq + r = bq + 0$$
$$= bk$$
$$= a.$$

Case 2: Assume $0 \notin R$. We would like to use the Well Ordering Principal to identify the smallest number in the set R to identify the q and r needed for this theorem. Recall the Well Ordering Principal requires us to verify that the set R is nonempty.

We will show R is nonempty using two cases (2a & 2b): if $0 \le a$ and if a < 0.

Case 2a: Assume that $0 \le a$. Notice then if we choose k = 0, that $0 \le a - b \cdot 0$, so we have identified an element $(a - b \cdot 0)$ in R.

Case 2b: Assume that a < 0. Notice then if we choose k = 2a, that a - b(2a) = a(1-2b). Recall in this case that a < 0 and since b was assumed to be positive in the hypothesis of the theorem, we know (1-2b) < 0. A negative times a negative is a positive, thus we know a(1-2b) > 0, implying that we have identified an element (a - b2a) in R. Now that we have shown R to be nonempty we can use the Well Ordering Principal to identify the smallest number in R. Denote the smallest number as r'. By construction of R then we know there exists an integer q' such that r' = a - bq'. We claim that this r' and q' will meet the conclusions stated in the theorem.

Note that q' was chosen such that r' = a - bq'. By adding bq' to both sides we see a = bq' + r' which is what we are trying to show. Notice also, by definition of R since $r' \in R$, that $0 \le r'$. It only remains to show that r' < b.

Assume towards a contradiction that $r' \ge b$. Consider the element a - b(q' + 1):

$$a - b(q'+1) = a - bq' - b$$
$$= r' - b.$$

Since we were assuming that r' > b we see that r' - b > 0 implying that the element a - b(q' - 1) is in the set R. The element a - b(q' - 1) is also smaller than a - bq' = r'. But r' was identified as the smallest element in R, thus we have a contradiction! We can thus conclude that r' < b which completes the proof.