Writing Rubric 20 points possible
TMATH 402

| Criteria | 4 Points | 3 Points | 2 Points | 1 Point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Information about Problem \& Mathematical Process | *well developed *thoroughly explains the problem/process *process clearly presented with lots of mathematical examples \& reasoning Note: does not include proofs | *fairly well developed *informs the reader of problem/process *process clearly presented with mathematical support | *little development <br> *minimal information about problem/process *process not clearly presented and has little mathematical support | *little written <br> *confusing or inaccurate *content is not tied to Abstract Algebra |
| Mathematical Details | *proper mathematical reasoning employed \& explained <br> *key steps shown with an appropriate level of detail *lots of mathematical terminology correctly used | *some specific mathematical details that adequately explain *most key steps are included with a few unnecessary computations *mathematical terminology used correctly | *either wrong or irrelevant details given *too many explicit and confusing computations \& steps provided *some mathematical terminology, but not used correctly | *no details given *no mathematical terminology |
| Writing Organization \& Style | *clearly organized throughout *clearly guides the reader through the process using words like "first" or "recall" | *organized most of the way through *usually guides the reader through the process | *little organization *often does not guide the reader | *no organization evident *does not guide the reader |
| Grammer | *both english \& mathematics are grammatically correct | *most of the english \& math grammer is correct | *some of the english \& math grammer is correct | *bad grammer hinders the reader |
| Completeness, Citations \& Form | *complete bibliography correctly formatted with reputable sources *references appropriately made throughout the paper *abstract is clear, concise, and less than 100 words *name \& reference figures, tables, and equations *all paper specifications are thoroughly satisfied | *bibliography included with appropriate sources but not correct formatting <br> *sources referenced <br> *abstract is is clear but does not accurately represent the paper <br> *many references to tables, figures, etc where understandable *paper specifications met | *bibliography includes sources that are not appropriate <br> *sparse references without proper format *abstract is not clear \& does not represent the paper *internal references made in the paper to tables, etc *most paper specifications are met | *bibliography not included *no sources are references in the text *abstract is poorly written and does not accurately represent the paper *tables, equations, \& figure formatting was confusing *not all paper specifications were met |

Portfolio Rubric 20 points possible
TMATH 402

| Criteria | 5 Points | 4 Points | 3 Points | 2 Points | 1 Point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group Activity | *has one complete activity log for group *each member regularly updated with a significant contribution *peer reviewers were all thorough \& good | *all group members updated the log but vague descriptions *each peer review gave good feedback | *has multiple activity logs from everyone *some members regularly updated with significant \& clear contributions *each member gave feedback | *multiple activity logs submitted with chaotic timelines *one group member has no recorded contributions *a peer review was inappropriate | *no activity log was submitted *peer reviews missing or misguided |
| Completeness | *has all freewrites *responds to all criticisms thoughtfully *final paper appropriately reacted to feedback | *responds to most criticisms <br> *final paper did not appropriately react to feedback | *has freewrites from most group members *fails to respond to a reasonable criticism *final paper ignores most the peer feedback | *has freewrites from some group members *does not respond to several criticisms *final paper ignores all the feedback provided | *no freewrites submitted *no response to peer feedback provided *there is no change between the peer draft and the final paper |
| Abstracts | *average score above 85\% | *average score between 70\% \& 84\% | *average score between 65\% \& 79\% | *average score between 50\% \& 64\% | *average score below 49\% |
|  | Group | Self | Evaluations |  |  |

Poster Rubric 10 points possible

| Criteria | 5 Points | 4 Points | 3 Points | 2 Points | 1 Point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clarity \& accuracy | *an intro. and ex. are presented clearly *answer questions thoroughly, clearly, and correctly | *an introduction and example are present *answer questions clearly \& correctly | *an introduction or example is present *answer questions correctly | *a poor introduction or example is present *may not answer all questions correctly | *no introduction or example is present *can not answer most questions correctly |
| Presentation | *poster's material is well presented *poster uses well chosen examples \& wording | *poster's material is clearly readable *poster uses well chosen examples or wording | *poster's material is readable *poster uses reasonable examples and wording | *poster was not well made *poster uses reasonable examples or wording | *poster is confusing *poster uses a confusing example and has poor word choice |

