

Technical Presentation Rubric			[SLO #1,3,4]			
You are assessing a senior Mathematics Major student's presentation. For each category, please mark on the 4.0 scale the value which best describes the project. Feel free to circle relevant characteristics. Students will not see your marks.						
	Overall Criteria	Unacceptable (1 Pt)	Basic (2 Pts)	Proficient (3 Pts)	Advanced (4 Pts)	
Originality	<i>Knowledge beyond UWT course offerings</i>	uses techniques to solve a problem appearing in UWT coursework	uses known techniques to solve a problem partially covered in an upper level course	uses techniques to solve a problem that is partially done in an upper level course	uses techniques to solve a problem not in curriculum	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
Originality	<i>Level of complexity</i>	topic is obtainable with a background in Freshman level mathematics	topic is obtainable with a background in Sophomore level mathematics	topic is obtainable with a background in Jr/Sr. level mathematics	topic is obtainable with a background in graduate level mathematics or second course in specialized area	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
	Presentation Criteria	Unacceptable (1 Pt)	Basic (2 Pts)	Proficient (3 Pts)	Advanced (4 Pts)	
Content	<i>Mastery of topic</i>	could not answer reasonable questions	communicated basic understanding	communicated proficiency	communicated mastery of the topic	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
	<i>Subject organization</i>	topic not presented logically or organized well	skips over important topics and focus on details	topic mostly developed	topic is well developed through logical & well organized presentation	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
Content	<i>Support/reasoning</i>	conclusions are not supported	conclusions are partially supported	adequate support & motivation for conclusions	conclusions are clearly supported and motivated	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
Content	<i>Notations & definitions:</i>	notation & definitions not introduced or used incorrectly	notation & definitions partially introduced & used correctly	notation & definitions adequately introduced & used correctly	notation & definitions introduced clearly w/appropriate examples	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
Delivery	<i>Time management</i>	poor time management	spend time on unimportant computations	appropriate time given to introduce content	excellent time management	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	
	<i>Talk organization</i>	little or no context or overview is given		content adequately organized and delivered	clear topic overview & organization	
0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0		
Delivery	<i>Visual aspects</i>	visual aid is missing or confusing	visual aid or example is not well chosen		visual aid is clear with well chosen examples	
	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	