## **Technical Presentation Rubric**

[SLO #1,3,4]

Circle the block which best describes the poster or presentation. Characteristics are given for each criteria which you are free to use (circle) or not. Pt's below are analgous to the 4. scale used to report course marks.

Criteria	Unacceptable 1 Pt	Basic 2 Pts	Proficient 3Pts	Advanced 4 Pts
(originality)	*topic is not engaging, obtainable	*topic is at Sophmore level	*complexity level is at Jr/Sr. level	*topic is advanced & presented at
	with minimal math or skills	mathematics or engineering	& suitably presented for audience	appropriate level for the audience
Content (subject organization)	*could not answer reasonable questions *topic not presented logically or organized well	*communicated basic understanding *focus and scope is either too narrow, detailed, or stressing	*communicated proficiency *ideas mostly developed and organized	*demonstrates mastery of the topic with thoughtful explanations *topic is developed through logical & organized facilitation
(reasoning/support)	*conclusions are not supported	inappropriete portions *conclusions are partially supported	*adequate support & motivation for conclusions	*conclusions are clearly supported and motivated
(notation & definitions use)	*notation & definitions not introduced or used incorrectly	*notation & definitions partially introduced & used correctly	*notation & definitions introduced & used correctly	*notation & definitions introduced clearly with appropriate examples
Delivery				
(time management)	poor time management	spend time on unimportant	appropriate time given to introduce	excellent time management
(talk organization)	*little or no context or overview is given		*content adequately organized and delivered	*clear topic overview & organization
(visual aspects)	*visual aide is missing or confusing	*visual aide or example is not well chosen		*visual aide is clear with well chosen examples