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## Outline

Today:

- CFL condition
- Numerical examples using Clawpack
- Numerical dissipation of upwind
- Lax-Wendroff method (second order)
- Numerical dispersion, modified equations

Next:

- High resolution methods

Reading: Chapters 5 and 6

## Godunov's method

$Q_{i}^{n}$ defines a piecewise constant function

$$
\tilde{q}^{n}\left(x, t_{n}\right)=Q_{i}^{n} \text { for } x_{i-1 / 2}<x<x_{i+1 / 2}
$$

Discontinuities at cell interfaces $\Longrightarrow$ Riemann problems.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{i}^{n} \\
& \tilde{q}^{n}\left(x_{i-1 / 2}, t\right) \equiv q^{\Downarrow}\left(Q_{i-1}, Q_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } t>t_{n} . \\
& F_{i-1 / 2}^{n}=\frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} f\left(q^{\Downarrow}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}, Q_{i}^{n}\right)\right) d t=f\left(q^{\Downarrow}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}, Q_{i}^{n}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Wave-propagation viewpoint

For linear system $q_{t}+A q_{x}=0$, the Riemann solution consists of waves $\mathcal{W}^{p}$ propagating at constant speed $\lambda^{p}$.


$$
Q_{i}-Q_{i-1}=\sum_{p=1}^{m} \alpha_{i-1 / 2}^{p} r^{p} \equiv \sum_{p=1}^{m} \mathcal{W}_{i-1 / 2}^{p}
$$

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left[\lambda^{2} \mathcal{W}_{i-1 / 2}^{2}+\lambda^{3} \mathcal{W}_{i-1 / 2}^{3}+\lambda^{1} \mathcal{W}_{i+1 / 2}^{1}\right]
$$

## Matrix splitting for upwind method

For $q_{t}+A q_{x}=0$, the upwind method (Godunov) is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{i}^{n+1} & =Q_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left[\sum_{p=1}^{m}\left(\lambda^{p}\right)^{+} \alpha_{i-1 / 2}^{p} r^{p}+\sum_{p=1}^{m}\left(\lambda^{p}\right)^{-} \alpha_{i+1 / 2}^{p} r^{p}\right] \\
& =Q_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left[A^{+} \Delta Q_{i-1 / 2}+A^{-} \Delta Q_{i+1 / 2}\right] \\
& =Q_{i}^{n}+\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left[A^{+}\left(Q_{i}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)+A^{-}\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i}^{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$

Natural generalization of upwind to a system.
If all eigenvalues are positive, then $A^{+}=A$ and $A^{-}=0$, If all eigenvalues are negative, then $A^{+}=0$ and $A^{-}=A$.

## The CFL Condition

For the method to be stable, the numerical domain of dependence must include the true domain of dependence.

For advection, the solution is constant along characteristics,

$$
q(x, t)=q(x-u t, 0)
$$

For a 3-point method, CFL condition requires $\left|\frac{u \Delta t}{\Delta x}\right| \leq 1$.
If this is violated:
True solution is determined by data at a point $x-u t$ that is ignored by the numerical method, even as the grid is refined.
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## Stencil

## CFL Condition



$$
-\infty<\frac{\lambda_{p} \Delta t}{\Delta x}<\infty, \quad \forall p
$$

## Numerical Experiments

Experiment with the code in \$CLAW/apps/advection/1d/example1

Make the following changes in setrun.py:

- Upwind method (clawdata.order = 1)
- Finer grid (clawdata.mx = 100)
- Periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { clawdata.mthbc_xlower }=2 \\
& \text { clawdata.mthbc_xupper }=2
\end{aligned}
$$

- Narrower pulse (beta $=300$ or 3000 )
- Courant number greater than 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { clawdata.cfl_desired = } 1.1 \\
& \text { clawdata.cfl_max }=1.1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Upwind for a linear system

The one-sided method

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A\left(Q_{i}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)
$$

is stable only if $0 \leq \Delta t \lambda^{p} / \Delta x \leq 1$ for all $p$.
Upwind method based on sign of each $\lambda^{p}$ :

$$
\text { Let } \begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{+}=\max (\lambda, 0), \lambda^{-}=\min (\lambda, 0) \\
& \Lambda^{+}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\left(\lambda^{p}\right)^{+}\right), \Lambda^{-}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\lambda^{p}\right)^{-}\right)\right. \\
& A^{+}=R \Lambda^{+} R^{-1}, \quad A^{-}=R \Lambda^{-} R^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A^{+}\left(Q_{i}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} A^{-}\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i}^{n}\right)
$$

## Symmetric methods

Centered in space, forward in time:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{i}^{n+1} & =Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left(\frac{1}{2} A\right)\left(Q_{i}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x}\left(\frac{1}{2} A\right)\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i}^{n}\right) \\
& =Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} A\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Centered approximation to $q_{x}$, but unstable for any fixed $\Delta t / \Delta x$.
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Lax-Friedrichs:

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}+Q_{i+1}^{n}\right)-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} A\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)
$$

This is stable if $\left|\frac{\lambda^{p} \Delta t}{\Delta x}\right| \leq 1$ for all $p$.

## Numerical dissipation

Lax-Friedrichs:

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}+Q_{i+1}^{n}\right)-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} A\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} A\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}-2 Q_{i}^{n}+Q_{i+1}^{n}\right)
$$
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The unstable method with the addition of artificial viscosity,
Approximates $q_{t}+A q_{x}=\epsilon q_{x x} \quad$ (modified equation)
with $\epsilon=\frac{\Delta x^{2}}{2 \Delta t}=\mathcal{O}(\Delta x)$ if $\Delta t / \Delta x$ is fixed as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$.

## Modified Equations

The upwind method

$$
Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} u\left(Q_{i}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)
$$

gives a first-order accurate approximation to $q_{t}+u q_{x}=0$.
But it gives a second-order approximation to

$$
q_{t}+u q_{x}=\frac{u \Delta x}{2}\left(1-\frac{u \Delta t}{\Delta x}\right) q_{x x}
$$

This is an advection-diffusion equation.
Indicates that the numerical solution will diffuse.
Note: coefficient of diffusive term is $O(\Delta x)$.
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$$

gives a first-order accurate approximation to $q_{t}+u q_{x}=0$.
But it gives a second-order approximation to

$$
q_{t}+u q_{x}=\frac{u \Delta x}{2}\left(1-\frac{u \Delta t}{\Delta x}\right) q_{x x}
$$

This is an advection-diffusion equation.
Indicates that the numerical solution will diffuse.
Note: coefficient of diffusive term is $O(\Delta x)$.
Note: No diffusion if $\frac{u \Delta t}{\Delta x}=1 \quad\left(Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i-1}^{n}\right.$ exactly $)$.

## Lax-Wendroff

## Second-order accuracy?

Taylor series:

$$
q(x, t+\Delta t)=q(x, t)+\Delta t q_{t}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta t^{2} q_{t t}(x, t)+\cdots
$$

From $q_{t}=-A q_{x}$ we find $q_{t t}=A^{2} q_{x x}$.

$$
q(x, t+\Delta t)=q(x, t)-\Delta t A q_{x}(x, t)+\frac{1}{2} \Delta t^{2} A^{2} q_{x x}(x, t)+\cdots
$$

Replace $q_{x}$ and $q_{x x}$ by centered differences:
$Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} A\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta t^{2}}{\Delta x^{2}} A^{2}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}-2 Q_{i}^{n}+Q_{i+1}^{n}\right)$

## Modified Equation for Lax-Wendroff

The Lax-Wendroff method
$Q_{i}^{n+1}=Q_{i}^{n}-\frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} A\left(Q_{i+1}^{n}-Q_{i-1}^{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta t^{2}}{\Delta x^{2}} A^{2}\left(Q_{i-1}^{n}-2 Q_{i}^{n}+Q_{i+1}^{n}\right)$
gives a second-order accurate approximation to $q_{t}+u q_{x}=0$.
But it gives a third-order approximation to

$$
q_{t}+u q_{x}=-\frac{u h^{2}}{6}\left(1-\left(\frac{u \Delta t}{\Delta x}\right)^{2}\right) q_{x x x}
$$

This has a dispersive term with $O\left(\Delta x^{2}\right)$ coefficient.
Indicates that the numerical solution will become oscillatory.

## Dispersion relation

Consider a single Fourier mode:

$$
q(x, 0)=e^{i \xi x} \Longrightarrow q(x, t)=e^{i(\xi x-\omega t)}
$$

Determine $\omega(\xi)$ based on the PDE.
This is the dispersion relation.
$q_{t}=-i \omega q, \quad q_{x}=i \xi q, \quad q_{x x}=-\xi^{2} q, \quad q_{x x x}=-i \xi^{3} q, \ldots$
$q_{t}+u q_{x}=0 \Longrightarrow \omega(\xi)=u \xi, \quad q(x, t)=e^{i \xi(x-u t)}$
(translates at speed $u$ for all $\xi$ )
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$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{t}+u q_{x}=\epsilon q_{x x} \Longrightarrow \quad q(x, t)=e^{-\epsilon \xi^{2} t} e^{i \xi(x-u t)} \tag{decays}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
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$$

Determine $\omega(\xi)$ based on the PDE.
This is the dispersion relation.
$q_{t}=-i \omega q, \quad q_{x}=i \xi q, \quad q_{x x}=-\xi^{2} q, \quad q_{x x x}=-i \xi^{3} q, \ldots$
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(translates at speed $u$ for all $\xi$ )
$q_{t}+u q_{x}=\epsilon q_{x x} \Longrightarrow \quad q(x, t)=e^{-\epsilon \xi^{2}} t e^{i \xi(x-u t)}$
(decays)
$q_{t}+u q_{x}=\beta q_{x x x} \Longrightarrow q(x, t)=e^{i \xi\left(x-\left(u+\beta \xi^{2}\right) t\right)}$
(translates at speed $u+\beta \xi^{2}$ that depends on wave number!)

