Today:

- OpenMP
- Friday:
 - More OpenMP
- Read: Class notes and references

Homework 4 is posted, due next Thursday.

Memory stack

Note: Parallel threads use stack and you may need to increase the limit (e.g. on the VM):

```
$ gfortran -fopenmp yeval.f90
$ ./a.out
Segmentation fault
$ ulimit -s
8192
$ ulimit -s unlimited
$ ./a.out
Using OpenMP with 2 threads
Filled vector y of length 10000000
```

Memory devoted to data for a program is generally split up:

Heap: Dynamically allocated memory — memory allocator looks for free block of memory, keeps track of free list, does garbage collection, etc.

Stack: Block of memory where space is allocated on "top" of the stack as needed and "popped" off the stack when no longer needed. Last in – first out (LIFO).

Fast relative to heap allocation.

Natural way to allocate storage for nested subroutine or function calls: If A calls B calls C, then when the variables used by C are popped off the stack, we're back to the variables of B.

Memory devoted to data for a program is generally split up:

Heap: Dynamically allocated memory — memory allocator looks for free block of memory, keeps track of free list, does garbage collection, etc.

Stack: Block of memory where space is allocated on "top" of the stack as needed and "popped" off the stack when no longer needed. Last in – first out (LIFO).

Fast relative to heap allocation.

Natural way to allocate storage for nested subroutine or function calls: If A calls B calls C, then when the variables used by C are popped off the stack, we're back to the variables of B.

Private variables for threads also put on stack, popped off when parallel block ends.

OpenMP compiler directives

Uses compiler directives that start with 1\$ (pragmas in C.)

These look like comments to standard Fortran but are recognized when compiled with the flag -fopenmp.

OpenMP statements:

Ordinary Fortran statements conditionally compiled:

!\$ print *, "Compiled with -fopenmp"

OpenMP compiler directives, e.g.

!\$omp parallel do

Calls to OpenMP library routines:

use omp_lib ! need this module
!\$ call omp_set_num_threads(2)

```
program test
   use omp_lib
   integer :: thread_num
   ! Specify number of threads to use:
   !$ call omp set num threads(2)
   print *, "Testing openmp ..."
   !$omp parallel
   !$omp critical
   !$ thread_num = omp_get_thread_num()
   !$ print *, "This thread = ",thread_num
   !$omp end critical
   !$omp end parallel
end program test
```

Could change to add a private clause:

```
!$omp parallel private(thread_num)
!$ thread_num = omp_get_thread_num()
!$omp critical
!$ print *, "This thread = ",thread_num
!$omp end critical
!$omp end parallel
```

Then each thread has it's own version of the thread_num variable.

OpenMP parallel do loops

```
!$omp parallel do
do i=1,n
   ! do stuff for each i
   enddo
!$omp end parallel do ! OPTIONAL
```

indicates that the do loop can be done in parallel.

Requires:

what's done for each value of i is independent of others Different values of i can be done in any order.

The iteration variable i is private to the thread: each thread has its own version.

By default, all other variables are shared between threads unless specified otherwise.

This code fills a vector $\ensuremath{_Y}$ with function values that take a bit of time to compute:

! fragment of \$CLASSHG/codes/openmp/yeval.f90

```
dx = 1.d0 / (n+1.d0)
```

```
!$omp parallel do private(x)
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

Elapsed time for $n = 10^8$, without OpenMP: about 9.3 sec.

Elapsed time using OpenMP on 2 processors: about 5.0 sec.

This code is not correct:

```
!$omp parallel do
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

This code is not correct:

```
!$omp parallel do
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

By default, x is a shared variable.

Might happen that:

Processor 0 sets x properly for one value of i, Processor 1 sets x properly for another value of i, Processor 0 uses x but is now incorrect.

Correct version:

```
!$omp parallel do private(x)
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

Now each thread has its own version of x.

Iteration counter i is private by default.

Correct version:

```
!$omp parallel do private(x)
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

Now each thread has its own version of x.

Iteration counter i is private by default.

Note that dx, n, y are shared by default.

dx, n are used but not changed, y is changed, but independently for each i

Incorrect code:

```
dx = 1.d0 / (n+1.d0)
!$omp parallel do private(x,dx)
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

Specifying dx private won't work here.

This will create a private variable dx for each thread but it will be uninitialized.

Will run but give garbage.

Could fix with:

```
dx = 1.d0 / (n+1.d0)
!$omp parallel do firstprivate(dx)
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

The firstprivate clause creates private variables and initializes to the value from the master thread prior to the loop.

Could fix with:

```
dx = 1.d0 / (n+1.d0)
!$omp parallel do firstprivate(dx)
do i=1,n
    x = i*dx
    y(i) = exp(x)*cos(x)*sin(x)*sqrt(5*x+6.d0)
enddo
```

The firstprivate clause creates private variables and initializes to the value from the master thread prior to the loop.

There is also a lastprivate clause to indicate that the last value computed by a thread (for i = n) should be copied to the master thread's copy for continued execution.

OpenMP parallel do loops

! from \$CLASSHG/codes/openmp/private1.f90

```
n = 7
y = 2.d0
!$omp parallel do firstprivate(y) lastprivate(y)
do i=1,n
    y = y + 10.d0
    x(i) = y
    !omp critical
    print *, "i = ",i," x(i) = ",x(i)
    !omp end critical
enddo
print *, "At end, y = ",y
```

might produce:

Order might be different but final y will be from i = 7.

R.J. LeVeque, University of Washington AMath 483/583, Lecture 14, April 27, 2011

Default is that loop iterator is private, other variables shared. Can change this, e.g.

```
!$omp parallel do default(private) shared(x,z) &
!$omp firstprivate(y) lastprivate(y)
do i=1,n
    etc.
```

With this change, only x and z are shared.

Note continuation character ${\ensuremath{\scriptscriptstyle \&}}$ and continuation line.

```
!$omp parallel do
do i=1,n
    ! do stuff for each i
enddo
!$omp end parallel do ! OPTIONAL
```

! master thread continues execution

There is an implicit barrier at the end of the loop.

The master thread will not continue until all threads have finished with their subset of 1, 2, \dots , n.

```
Except if ended by:
```

```
!$omp end parallel do nowait
```

Loop overhead may not be worthwhile for short loops. (Multi-thread version may run slower than sequential)

Can use conditional clause:

```
$omp parallel do if (n > 1000)
do i=1,n
    ! do stuff
    enddo
```

If $n \le 1000$ then no threads are created, master thread executes loop sequentially.

```
!$omp parallel do private(i)
do j=1,m
    do i=1,n
        a(i,j) = 0.d0
    enddo
enddo
```

The loop on j is split up between threads.

The thread handling j=1 does the entire loop on i, sets a(1,1), a(2,1), ..., a(n,1).

```
!$omp parallel do private(i)
do j=1,m
    do i=1,n
        a(i,j) = 0.d0
    enddo
enddo
```

The loop on j is split up between threads.

The thread handling j=1 does the entire loop on i, sets a(1,1), a(2,1), ..., a(n,1).

Note: The loop iterator i must be declared private!

```
j is private by default, \pm is shared by default.
```

Nested loops

Which is better? (assume $m \approx n$)

```
!$omp parallel do private(i)
do j=1,m
    do i=1,n
        a(i,j) = 0.d0
    enddo
enddo
```

or

```
do j=1,m
  !$omp parallel do
  do i=1,n
      a(i,j) = 0.d0
  enddo
enddo
```

Nested loops

Which is better? (assume $m \approx n$)

```
!$omp parallel do private(i)
do j=1,m
   do i=1,n
      a(i, j) = 0.d0
   enddo
enddo
```

or

```
do j=1,m
   !$omp parallel do
   do i=1, n
      a(i,j) = 0.d0
   enddo
enddo
```

The first has less overhead: Threads created only once. The second has more overhead: Threads created *m* times.

R.J. LeVeque, University of Washington AMath 483/583, Lecture 14, April 27, 2011

Nested loops

But have to make sure loop can be parallelized!

Incorrect code for replicating first column:

```
!$omp parallel do private(j)
do i=2,n
    do j=1,m
        a(i,j) = a(i-1,j)
        enddo
enddo
```

Corrected: (j's can be done in any order, i's cannot)

```
!$omp parallel do private(i)
do j=1,m
    do i=2,n
        a(i,j) = a(i-1,j)
    enddo
enddo
```

Reductions

```
Incorrect code for computing ||x||_1 = \sum_i |x_i|:
```

```
norm = 0.d0
!$omp parallel do
do i=1,n
    norm = norm + abs(x(i))
    enddo
```

There is a race condition: each thread is updating same shared variable norm.

Correct code:

```
!$omp parallel do reduction(+ : norm)
do i=1,n
    norm = norm + abs(x(i))
    enddo
```

A reduction reduces an array of numbers to a single value.

Reductions

A more complicated way to do this:

```
norm = 0.d0
!$omp parallel private(mysum) shared(norm)
mysum = 0
!$omp do
do i=1, n
    mysum = mysum + abs(x(i))
    enddo
!$omp critical
norm = norm + mysum
!$omp end critical
!$omp end parallel
```

Some other reductions

Can do reductions using $+, -, *, \min, \max, .and., .or., some others$

General form:

!\$omp parallel do reduction(operator : list)

Example with max:

```
y = -1.d300 ! very negative value
!$omp parallel do reduction(max: y)
do i=1,n
   y = max(y,x(i))
enddo
print *, 'max of x = ',y
```

Some other reductions

General form:

!\$omp parallel do reduction(operator : list)

Example with .or.:

```
logical anyzero
```

```
! set x...
anyzero = .false.
```

```
!$omp parallel do reduction(.or.: anyzero)
do i=1,n
    anyzero = anyzero .or. (x(i) == 0.d0)
    enddo
print *, 'anyzero = ',anyzero
```

Prints T if any x(i) is zero, F otherwise.