Amazonian Archaeology

Michael Heckenberger¹ and Eduardo Góes Neves²

¹Department of Anthropology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32605; email: mheck@ufl.edu

²Museu de Arqueologia e Etnologia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil 05508-900; email: edgneves@usp.br

Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 2009. 38:251-66

First published online as a Review in Advance on June 23, 2009

The Annual Review of Anthropology is online at anthro.annual reviews.org

This article's doi: 10.1146/annurev-anthro-091908-164310

Copyright © 2009 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

0084-6570/09/1021-0251\$20.00

Key Words

indigenous culture history, anthropogenic landscapes, premodern complex societies, political ecology

Abstract

Amazonian archaeology has made major advances in recent decades, particularly in understanding coupled human environmental systems. Like other tropical forest regions, prehistoric social formations were long portrayed as small-scale, dispersed communities that differed little in organization from recent indigenous societies and had negligible impacts on the essentially pristine forest. Archaeology documents substantial variation that, while showing similarities to other world regions, presents novel pathways of early foraging and domestication, semi-intensive resource management, and domesticated landscapes associated with diverse small- and medium-sized complex societies. Late prehistoric regional polities were articulated in broad regional political economies, which collapsed in the aftermath of European contact. Field methods have also changed dramatically through in-depth local and regional studies, interdisciplinary approaches, and multicultural collaborations, notably with indigenous peoples. Contemporary research highlights questions of scale, perspective, and agency, including concerns for representation, public archaeology, indigenous cultural heritage, and conservation of the region's remarkable cultural and ecological resources.

INTRODUCTION

Archaeology in the Amazon River basin has changed the way anthropologists and natural scientists view the world's largest tropical forest. Recent studies challenge scientific and popular stereotypes of ecological and cultural uniformity, notably of small, dispersed human settlements living in virgin tropical forest wilderness. These studies reveal dynamic change and variability, including complex social formations and large-scale transformations of the natural environment. The paradigm shift from ecological equilibrium and cultural stasis to diversity and change highlights social dynamics and the role of human agency in longterm change in coupled human natural systems.

Amazonian anthropology over the past several decades promotes synergy-if not synthesis-between studies focused on the past and perspectives on present social formations and environments (Carneiro da Cunha 1992, Descola & Taylor 1993, Roosevelt 1994, Sponsel 1995, Viveiros de Castro 1996). Building on the region's prodigious ethnographic tradition, notably North American cultural ecology and Franco-Brazilian structuralism, recent ethnography encourages approaches that address temporal and spatial scale and change, including indigenous histories and perspectives (Fausto & Heckenberger 2007, Whitehead 2003). In tropical forests it is difficult to ignore the natural environment, but contemporary ecological anthropology highlights symbolic, historical, and sociopolitical dimensions and diversity in human ecological systems (Balée & Erickson 2006, Biersack 1999). Today, regional specialists agree that humans and environments act recursively, rather than directionally (i.e., one simply causing change in the other), noting that pre-Columbian and historical societies made major impacts on plant and animal communities, hydrology, and soils. Likewise, human groups underwent dramatic transformations, including varied pre-Columbian trajectories of sociohistorical change and the political ecology of colonialism and modern globalization (Cleary 2001, Hecht 2009).

In-depth studies of the Amazonian past are beginning to strike a balance with the archaeology of the Andes, as reflected in the recent Handbook of South American Archaeology (Silverman & Isbell 2008). Interests have changed in stride with broader changes in archaeology, including shifts from description and culture history to explanation and culture process and, more recently, questions of perspective and voice, including the hybrid interests of Latin American archaeology (Barreto 1998, Funari 2001, Oyuela-Caycedo & Raymond 1998, Polítis & Alberti 1999) and collaboration with indigenous peoples (Colwell-Chanthaphonh & Ferguson 2007, Green et al. 2003, Heckenberger 2003). Archaeology suggests broad similarities with other world areas, particularly in the Americas and other tropical forest regions but also emphasizes the uniqueness of Amazonian societies and environments (Fausto 2000, McEwan et al. 2001, Neves 2006, Stahl 1995). This review focuses on recent field research, particularly along the Amazon and southern borderlands of the Brazilian Amazon, to highlight the deep history and temporality of the Amazon's indigenous people (see, e.g., Gassón 2003, Lathrap 1970, Myers 2004, Prous 1991, Rostain 2008b, for adjacent areas).

AMAZONIA: A BRIEF HISTORY

The Amazon River basin, covering nearly seven million square kilometers, is by far the largest on Earth, well over twice the size of the next largest basin, the Congo. Its monthly discharge far exceeds that of the Mississippi River (the third largest basin) in a year. Over this vast area there is tremendous variation in forest and river ecologies, but three forest regimes dominate throughout the Holocene (Colinvaux et al. 2000): closed broadleaf evergreen forests of the Amazon River and western tributaries [<150 meters above sea level (masl)]; more open broadleaf evergreen forests in adjacent uplands (150-250 masl); and complex contact zones in borderland areas, such as the Andes and the Guiana and Brazilian plateaus (>250 masl).

Rivers are likewise highly variable, but are commonly divided into white (Andean-derived), black (northwestern), and clear-water river systems (Meggers 1996, Moran 1993). Culture history includes varied early forager occupations, mid-Holocene settled foragers and horticulturalists, and the late Holocene emergence of settled, agricultural societies. In late pre-Columbian times, small- to medium-sized polities living in complex constructed landscapes occupied the Amazon River bottoms and several other areas (Denevan 2001).

Early Occupations

Early (~11,000 to 8500 B.P.) occupations included diverse tropical forest foraging societies. In the central and lower Amazon, bifacial (stemmed) projectile points have been identified (Costa 2009, Neves & Petersen 2006, Roosevelt et al. 1996), associated with developed rock art traditions in the lower Amazon (Pereira 2004). Other early occupations are described for several upland areas (Barse 1990, Magalhães 1994, Meggers & Miller 2003, Miller 1992, Mora 2003, Prous & Fogaça 1999). Mid-Holocene (~7500-3500 в.р.) shellfish foragers in the lower Amazon and along the Atlantic coast with early ceramics (6000 B.P. or before) have been described, with broad affinities with preagricultural shell mounds in eastern coastal South America (Bandeira 2008, Gaspar et al. 2008, Roosevelt et al. 1991, Rostain 2008b). Early evidence from coastal Peru, Northern Colombia, and Panama documents domesticated Amazonian species, including manioc, and mid-Holocene innovations, notably house gardens (Castillo & Aceituno 2006, Oliver 2008, Piperno et al. 2000, Piperno & Pearsall 1998, Raymond 2008). Mid-Holocene horticultural societies have been identified in the upper Madeira region (Miller 1999).

Late Holocene Domestication and Agriculture

In Amazonia, house garden horticulture underwent significant changes as some groups

developed extensive slash-and-burn agriculture and semi-intensive strategies during the Late Holocene (Denevan 2001, Lathrap 1977, Oliver 2008). Of the wide inventory of domesticated and semidomesticated plants, root crops, particularly manioc, and arboriculture were critical elements of Amazonian agricultural systems, although some systems relied heavily on maize (Lathrap et al. 1985, Perry 2005, Roosevelt 1980). These findings generally support Sauer's (1952) prediction that domestication and agricultural development in tropical regions differ in important ways from classic Neolithic settings and cereal crop agriculture, including complex systems of wetland management and fish farming (Erickson 2000, Schaan 2004). Further complicating conventional models of food production, numerous non- or semidomesticated plants are actively managed or cultivated in Amazonia, notably palms (Goulding & Smith 2007, Morcote-Ríos & Bernal 2001, Smith 2007). Peach palm (Bactris gasipaes) is the only domesticated palm, but numerous species, such as buriti (Mauritia flexuosa), açaí (Euterpe oleracea), and others, were subject to intense management (Clement 2006). Diverse agricultural strategies were coupled with systems of faunal exploitation that included a variety of managed species, such as birds (Muscovy ducks, parrots and macaws, and others), fish, and other aquatic species, including the giant Amazon river turtle (up to 80 cm) and manatee, or sea cow. Many managed plants and animals are difficult to distinguish morphologically from wild varieties, but detailed archaeobotanical, zooarchaeological, and genetic studies are rare (Clement 1999, Mora 2003, Morcote-Ríos 2008, Perry 2005).

Change is commonly manifest in broad transformation of habitats, rather than focus on specific domesticated plants and animals. The "domestication of landscape" refers to the "conscious process by which human manipulation of the landscape results in changes in landscape ecology and the demographics of its plant and animal populations, resulting in a landscape more productive and congenial for humans" (Clement 1999, p. 190). Human impacts

on the natural environment resulted from longterm occupations of select settings, in some cases initiated by semisedentary early to mid-Holocene societies. Agricultural intensification typically refers to large-scale technological advances, such as terracing and irrigation, but in the Amazon forest extractive strategies and semi-intensive agriculture and wetland management in broad domesticated landscapes were critical (Denevan 2001). Late Holocene landscaping involved raised mounds for crops in wet savanna areas of southwestern and northeastern Amazonia (Erickson 2008, Rostain 2008a), management of Amazonian dark earth or terra preta (Glaser & Woods 2004, Lehmann et al. 2003, Woods et al. 2009), and complex forest and wetland management strategies, which often leave obvious marks or "footprints" detectable (like crop-marks) in orbital imagery (Erickson 2000, Heckenberger et al. 2003).

Language, Agriculture, and Regional Development

In a worldwide review, Diamond & Bellwood (2003) suggest that dispersals of early agriculturalists "constitute collectively the most important process in Holocene human history" (p. 597). The farming/language dispersal hypothesis argues that early agriculturalists expanded rapidly owing to the adaptive advantage over existing foragers and horticulturalists (Bellwood 2004). Amazonia has played a small role in these discussions, but the widespread and fairly early (2500-2000 B.P.) dispersal of several language families, notably Arawak, Tupi-Guarani, and Carib, has long been recognized (Brochado 1984, Dixon & Aihkenvald 1999, Hill & Santos-Granero 2002, Lathrap 1970, Noelli 2008). Speakers of the three families dispersed widely across the tropical lowlands, including eastern coastal South America and the Caribbean.

Linguistic diversity is a notable feature of Amazonia, but no single language family dominates the region, as is true of Europe (Indo-European), sub-Saharan Africa (Niger-Congo), or the Pacific (Austronesian). Likewise, no single agricultural system, such as manioc cultivation, was accountable for the diversity of crop systems that prevailed in the area. Furthermore, no sociopolitical formation was strong enough to expand its political influence on a large scale, as was true of several episodes of Andean prehistory. Changes appear to be tied to early variability of resource management systems, including settled riverine (Arawak) and more mobile upland (Tupi-Guarani and Carib) strategies, as well as climate change and changes in agricultural lifestyles in the mid to late Holocene. Although still poorly understood, agricultural expansions were more complicated than posited by a wave of advance model, such as Lathrap's (1970) "cardiac model," or unified processes of site or trait diffusion, but instead involved complex and variable processes of change, broadly oriented to river and upland ecologies, and resulted in cultural pluralism (Carneiro 1995, Hornborg 2005, Zucchi 2002). Whether cause or consequence, changes in technoeconomics are correlated with important changes in sociopolitical organization, notably emerging social hierarchy and regional integration, as was true in the other major tropical linguistic diaspora. Carneiro's (1970) observation bears scrutiny in the general sense that in broad forested landscapes, societies tend to ramify, whereas tightly circumscribed areas, such as coastal Peruvian river valleys, seem to promote rapid and more rigid stratification.

By $\sim 2500-1500$ B.P., early expressions of sociopolitical complexity, in terms of local landscape domestication, monumentality, and integration in regional social systems, appeared in several parts of the Amazon, during a regional formative period (Arroyo-Kalin 2008, Neves 2006). These small-scale regional polities were roughly comparable with other formative cultures of the Americas, in terms of technological innovations, such as ceramics, agriculture, and settled villages or towns (Raymond 2008, Zeidler 2008). Multiethnic societies, regional sociopolitical systems, and interregional interaction underscore the diverse pathways of social complexity in the region. In this context, politically independent, permanent villages may have periodically joined into larger, regional confederations, for instance around singular leaders and warfare. In other cases, more centralized and hierarchical regional societies were integrated through ritual and elite exchange, although they maintained diverse strategies of political power, as known from several areas during the final millennium of prehistory.

LANDSCAPE AND POLITY, 500–1500 C.E.

By the 1970s, it was clear that Amazon River polities depended on fairly intensive exploitation of aquatic resources and diversified cultivation, based on early evewitness accounts from the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Accounts report large, densely settled populations, which were decimated by the early violence of colonialism (Porro 1996; Whitehead 1994, 2003). Settled populations commonly concentrated along major rivers, as common in other world areas. Where propitious ecological conditions prevailed, notably in rich soils and aquatic resources, cultural groups developed into dense, regionally organized societies by late prehistoric times (Carneiro 2007, Denevan 1996, Lathrap et al. 1985, Myers 1992, Roosevelt 1980). However, the Amazon River bottoms are a small fraction (<5%) of the basin, which is criss-crossed by numerous large tributaries. Owing to their difficult access, many upland areas remain terra incognita, although this panorama is changing, particularly as "salvage archaeology" is developed in remote parts of the region (Miller 1992).

Amazon Floodplain Polities

Early archaeological surveys were conducted primarily along the Amazon and were later expanded to several major tributaries, aimed primarily to identify broad regional ceramic traditions and local phases (Evans & Meggers 1968, Hilbert 1955, Meggers & Evans 1957, Simões & Kalkman 1987, Simões & Lopes 1987). Research in the Upper Amazon initiated by Lathrap (1970, Lathrap et al. 1985, Myers 2004, Oliver 1991) and Roosevelt (1980, 1991) in the Middle Orinoco and lower Amazon developed more in-depth studies of regional sequences and spatial distributions, which laid the foundation for detailed regional survey and studies of intrasite variability, including in upland areas (e.g., Balée & Erickson 2006, McEwan et al. 2001). Two broad ceramic traditions are widely recognized with substantial regional variation: the Amazonian Barrancoid or Incised-Rim Tradition, ~500 B.C.E. (2500 B.P.) to 900 C.E. and the Amazonian Polychrome Tradition, widespread by 1000-1250 c.e. (Lima 2008). Several subtraditions, such as the early Marajoara style, combine elements of modeled, incised-line, and bichrome decoration, typical of Amazonian Barrancoid, and the painted pottery of the southeast Amazon Tupiguarani tradition, suggesting cultural pluralism or "ethnogenesis" (Barreto 2009, Brochado 1989, Neves 2006).

Marajoara mound-building societies flourished from \sim 400 to 1300 c.e. in the wooded savannas and gallery forests of eastern Marajó, the large fluvial island in the Amazon estuary. Emerging from earlier ceramic groups, Marajoara is notable for numerous small- to medium-sized domestic mounds and major ceremonial and elite residential mounds (Meggers & Evans 1957; Roosevelt 1991; Schaan 2004; Simões 1969, 1981). In the Anajás River headwaters, Schaan (2004) describes a small polity, perhaps numbering in the thousands, which integrated dozens of domestic mounds organized around large ceremonial mounds. The large ceremonial Camutins and Belém mounds (up to 12 meters high and 2.5 ha) indicate large-scale construction, apparently early, ~400-600 c.e., and highlight the difference between smallto medium-sized domestic mounds and larger mounds, distinguished by major public ritual and elite urn-burials. Camutins/Belém mounds are centrally located between other mound groups, more or less equidistant (~8 km) to the southeast (Monte Carmelo), northwest (Pequaquara), and northeast (upper Camutins stream), which may have defined the territory of the regional polity, with smaller intervening

domestic mounds along waterways. Shared styles of prestige goods, notably burial urns, suggest subregional identities across the island and clearly reflect important social distinctions, such as gender and social hierarchy, as true of other urn cemetery complexes in the region (Guapindaia 2008b, Schaan 2004). Marajó communities were supported by a diverse resource base and focus on managed river products, such as fish and palm farming (Meggers 2003, Roosevelt 1991, Schaan 2008). Mound construction apparently declined after ~1300 c.e., but Marajoara ceramic styles continue into the dynamic and plural social landscapes of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries (Schaan 2004).

In-depth archaeological research at the confluence of the Solimões and Negro rivers (Manaus) has identified more than 100 archaeological sites, providing the clearest picture to date of late Holocene occupations along the Amazon (Arroyo-Kalin 2008, Lima 2008, Neves 2008, Neves & Petersen 2006). Major ceramic complexes include two early variants of the Incised-Rim Tradition, the Acutuba (300 B.C.E. to 400 C.E.) and Manacapuru phases (400 c.e. to 900 c.e.), a local Paredão phase (700 c.e. to 1200 c.e.), and a regional variant of the Amazonian Polychrome Tradition, called Guarita (900 c.e. to contact). The chronology shows overlapping and mixed occupations, which suggests extensive interaction and ethnic diversity (Lima 2008). Despite ceramic differences, sites share a circular or horseshoe layout. The period from 600 to 1200 c.e. appears to mark a peak in regional population, but Paredão ceramics disappear after ~1200 c.e., coincident with an apparent increase in conflict as reflected in defensive ditches constructed at Acutuba and Lago Grande at ~1100 c.e. (Moraes 2007, Neves 2008).

In late prehistory, fairly large regional populations lived in dispersed small settlements (<10 ha) and larger residential and ceremonial centers (>30 ha), such as the sites of Açutuba and Hatahara, and others located within the limits of the modern cities of Manacapuru and Manaus. Large centers were spaced roughly 30-50 km apart, which served as the sociopolitical and ritual centers of small regional polities, such as those described in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. Core residential areas overlooking the Negro and Solimões rivers were surrounded by peripheral areas of lighter traffic and nonresidential areas of anthropogenic dark earth for agricultural production (Petersen et al. 2001, Neves et al. 2003). In the centuries before 1492, major centers were structurally elaborated for ritual consumption, including prestige goods, such as elaborate elite-ware ceramics. At Açutuba, the central area is defined by a broad sunken amphitheater-like plaza (400-100 m), flanked by a series of habitation mounds with subfloor and adjacent burials, as well as ramps, ditches, and managed wetlands. Landscape transformations and available radiocarbon dates in major centers suggest long continuous occupation of these centers and stable, sedentary populations, perhaps numbering in the low thousands by ~1000 c.e. (Neves & Petersen 2006).

Early chronicles from the floodplains describe populous territorial polities with regional overlords, major settlements or towns with large-scale roads and productive technoeconomies, rich artistic and ritual traditions, and organized martial forces (Porro 1996). Among these, the polity that dominated the lower Tapajós River was perhaps the largest (Nimuendajú 1952). The Santarém or Tapajônica archaeological culture is renowned for its ornate ceramics associated with the "Incised Punctate" regional tradition (Gomes 2005, 2008). It shares affinities with the coeval Amazonian Polychrome Tradition and, particularly, the Arauquinoid ceramic complexes of the Orinoco and Guianas, which suggests that Carib-speaking peoples expanded into the middle-lower Amazon between 500 and 1000 c.e. (Lathrap 1970, Zucchi 1985). The large capital town at Santarém is composed of a core area with dense archaeological deposits $(\sim 100 \text{ ha})$ within a broader settled landscape up to 25 km, which rivals many major centers in the Americas (e.g., Cahokia, Chan Chan) (Gomes 2008, Roosevelt 1999). The polity was supported by intensive floodplain and upland agriculture, including both occupation site dark earth (*terra preta*) and nonoccupational agricultural soils (*terra mulata*) (Denevan 2001, Woods & McCann 1999). The study of Amazonian dark earths, the focus of significant recent research in a wide range of settings, has critical implications not only for cultural development, particularly related to the enrichment of infertile soils, but also for sustainable development strategies (Glaser & Woods 2004, Lehmann et al. 2003, Petersen et al. 2001, Woods et al. 2009).

Floodplain archaeology and ethnohistory suggest complementarity between densely and sparsely settled stretches of the main rivers, including buffer-zones, and with hinterland zones (DeBoer 1981, Denevan 1996, Porro 1996). In the Parauá area, 80 km upstream from the Santarém site, Gomes (2005) found little evidence of influence by the Santarém polity. Likewise, regional survey in the Trombetas River (Konduri ceramic tradition) indicates fairly small and shallow deposits (Guapindaia 2008a, Kern et al. 2003). Throughout the region, the largest centers were generally not that large (<50 ha), and cycling between periods of greater and lesser political centralization is apparent in the Central Amazon, with notable fluctuations in site locations and population densities. It remains unclear whether Santarém was a large, centralized polity or represents smaller integrated polities within a regional peer-polity, as seems to be the case in the estuary, central Amazon, and southern borderlands.

Southern Borderlands Polities

The broad transitional forests between the central Brazilian plateau (>300 masl) and the evergreen Amazon forests extend from the upper Tocantins (east) to the upper Madeira (west) rivers. A century ago, Max Schmidt (1917) noted that southern Arawak groups dominated forested headwater basins of the major southern tributaries, surrounded by more mobile groups in the rolling upland topography and open wooded savanna and gallery forest landscape of central Brazil and eastern Bolivia. Cultural variation across the region highlights the interplay of phylogenetic and reticulate processes, as well as ecological diversity, as early Arawak-speaking settled agriculturalists developed into distinctive ethnically plural societies, as seen in other areas of the lowlands (Hill & Santos-Granero 2002, Hornborg 2005).

Archaeological complexes associated with these multiethnic groups, notably mounds, roads, and agricultural earthworks, are well known from the Llanos de Mojos. Erickson's (e.g., 2000, 2006, 2008) recent work has revealed the remarkable scale and integration of agricultural earthworks in broad domesticated landscapes, including causeways, fish weirs and ponds, forest islands (ancient settlements), raised fields, and diverse other archaeological landscape features. These complexes can be subdivided into an eastern group of ringwalled villages, major causeways, and wetland fish-farming complexes in forest and savanna landscapes (Baures) and a western group, including mounds and raised fields, in the central llanos, which provide detailed examples of urban-scale production landscapes (see also Denevan 2001, Walker 2004). Excavations of mounds in the Upper Mamoré area have revealed a complex sequence indicating that the area has been occupied by different groups in the past (Calandra & Salceda 2004, Erickson & Balée 2006, Prümers 2004, Walker 2008). The plural ethnic landscape of eastern Bolivia and adjacent areas strongly influenced the development of "mission" or other postcontact "mixed blood" peoples (Block 1992, Gow 1996).

Early accounts (1600–1750) from eastern Bolivia describe diverse large, densely settled populations, with complicated settlement and agricultural works, and regional sociopolitical organization (Denevan 1966, Metraux 1942). Along the eastern Bolivian-Brazil border (Guaporé), ethnohistory documents palisaded ring villages (Block 1992, Erickson 2000). Farther east in central Brazil, Campos (1862, pp. 443–44) describes a networked settlement pattern in the 1720s, which included densely settled plaza communities, well-maintained roads, and a plaza ritual complex ("templeidol-priest complex") considered characteristic of the "theocratic chiefdoms" of the southern Amazon (Steward & Faron 1959). More autonomous ring village settlements are also widely known from central Brazil (Wüst & Barreto 1999).

In southwestern Amazonia, an area also dominated by Arawak-speaking people historically, major geoglyphs in the upper Purus River region of Brazil and adjacent portions of Peru and Bolivia reveal another complex of related monumental sites (Pärssinen & Korpisaari 2003, Schaan et al. 2007). The well-planned and laterally extensive earthworks, including massive circular and square ditches (up to 7 m deep) and long linear processionals (up to 50 m wide and nearly 1 km in length), suggest sociopolitical integration based on broadly shared ritual interaction among numerous sites (~150, which is estimated as 10%; Mann 2008). Linkages between sites is not yet described, but it is clear that basic orientations are similar and were conceived as related elements of a regional built environment and served as ceremonial central places within regional social systems.

In eastern portions of the southern borderlands region, the headwater basin of the Xingu River preserves a sequence of occupations from early agricultural groups (Arawak), who colonized the basin by 500-800 c.e. or earlier, to contemporary Xinguano peoples (Heckenberger 2005; Heckenberger et al. 2003, 2008). In one study area (~1200 km²), corresponding to the traditional lands of the Kuikuro (Xinguano) community, two dozen residential sites have been identified, most or all of which were occupied in late prehistoric to early protohistoric times, ~1250-1650 c.e. Late prehistoric settlements were integrated in two ranked clusters, which represent small, territorial polities. In clusters, large walled towns (25-50 ha), estimated to number more than 1000 in some cases, and smaller nonwalled villages were linked by an extensive road system. Road and settlement nodes, marked by large ceremonial plazas surrounded by residential areas, are archaeologically visible as linear earthworks at the margins of roads and plazas (curbs) and around major settlements (ditches). Settlement hierarchies were defined by an exemplary center and four major satellites and smaller peripheral plaza settlements and hamlets within territories of ~250 km² or more. The two clusters were part of a regional peer-polity—a confederation of culturally related territorial polities extending across an area \geq 20,000 km² and likely numbering well into the tens of thousands. Across the region, land use was fairly intensive, with settlements and countryside features (fields, orchards, wetlands) rigidly planned and defined, including dark earth farming plots within the patchy agricultural landscape.

The domesticated landscapes of the Upper Xingu basin provide a particularly striking example of the self-organized built environments of the southern borderlands. Descendent Xinguano populations, well described since the 1880s, continue to practice basic cultural patterns documented from prehistoric times, notably in terms of technoeconomy, house and village spatial organization, and general settlement locations (Fausto et al. 2008, Heckenberger 2005). Agricultural landscapes, in the past and today, included broad areas under cultivation in primary staple crops of manioc (Manihot esculenta spp.) and pequi fruit (Caryocar sp.), large tracts of sapé grass (Imperata sp.) for thatch, diverse palms and other secondary crops, and managed secondary forest, as well as managed wetland areas (Carneiro 1983). Although isolated from early colonial activities, Xinguano peoples were not insulated from the catastrophic effects of early colonialism, notably disease that decimated populations across the Amazon. Xinguano settlement and land-use provides graphic testimony of the post-1492 population collapse, with regional populations reduced to nearly 500 by the 1950s, and documents the extensive landscape fallowing that occurred across the southern borderland regions.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The archaeology of the Amazon, an area larger than Europe, is still poorly known—the least known region of the "least known continent" (Lyon 1974)-but recent advances in archaeology have dramatically changed the way scholars view the region. In world historical schemes, Amazonia was long appraised by what it lacked, notably the absence of harbingers of classic civilizations, such as stone architecture, writing, grain surplus, and domesticated ungulates. Archaeology reveals novel variation and dynamic indigenous histories, including alternative pathways to domestication, settled life, and social complexity. Recent studies into the deep history of the region, like other tropical forest regions worldwide, challenge stereotypes of small-scale, dispersed villagesprimitive tribes-in a largely pristine forest. These studies raise the possibility that the average Amazonian person in 1492 did not live in an isolated, autonomous village, but instead was part of a regional polity or articulated with one in broad regional social networks that extended across the region.

These findings suggest remarkable sociocultural diversity, although it seems likely that no large bureaucratic state or integrated macroregional political entity or empire ever developed in the area. In the comparative context of other tropical forest regions or complex social formations in the Americas, Amazonian complex societies do not seem out of place (McIntosh 1999, Pauketat 2007). In general terms, the forest polities of the Amazon were more diffuse and less centralized in terms of technoeconomic, sociopolitical, and symbolic resources than areas with more circumscribed resources, such as the desert river valleys of the Peruvian coastal region (Carneiro 1970). As the medieval historian Jacques Le Goff (1964) once noted of Europe's forest civilizations, they are like the "photographic negative" of classic "oasis" civilizations. Nonetheless, the settled territorial polities that dominated various areas in late prehistoric times constructed elaborate domesticated landscapes, linking important centers in regional peer polities and perhaps more centralized tributary systems, as suggested along portions of the Amazon River (Roosevelt 1999).

As well documented among more recent social formations, the primary capital in Amazonian political economies was sociopolitical and symbolic, in the sense that surplus and wealth orbited around human bodies, constructed through ritual and social interaction, rather than the other way around. In diffuse and often multicentric regional systems small and large settlements were integrated through major public ritual, notably including elite mortuary rituals (Chaumeil 2007, Guapindaia 2008b). Ritual performance in highly structured public ceremonial spaces and material culture, notably prestige goods and bodily adornment, were primary mechanisms of social communicationa symbolic language-within multiethnic and, in some cases, multilingual regional sociopolitical systems (Barreto 2009, Lathrap 1985). The fine-ware ceramics of the Amazonian Polychrome Tradition are the most obvious expression of such broad prestige goods economies, spread throughout the Amazon floodplains, but these economies also included numerous other wealth items, such as shell, stone, and perishable wood, basketry, and feather valuables and other commodities (McEwan et al. 2001).

Communication and integration in regional systems of interaction did not create cultural homogeneity but produced remarkable diversity and pluralism. Against the backdrop of diversity, the distinction between river and upland regimes of dwelling was critical to local and broader regional patterns of social interaction, as witnessed in archaeological distributions and "sedimented" in the languages, bodies, and built environments-the cultural memory-of living descendent peoples. The sociocultural integrity of descendent peoples, following traditional lifestyles in generally nonindustrialized landscapes, provides rich opportunities for ethnoarchaeological research into indigenous history and archaeological formation processes (e.g., DeBoer et al. 1996, Polítis 2007, Roe 1982, Silva 2008). Research with descendent populations also highlights questions of multicultural collaboration and dialogue (Colwell-Chanthaphonh & Ferguson 2007, Green et al. 2003, Schmidt & Patterson 1996).

The recognition of sociohistorical variation has great relevance to contemporary debates on biodiversity, which reflects dramatic prehistoric influence and complex post-1492 histories across the region (Cleary 2001, Denevan 1992, Erickson 2008, Stahl 1996). Long-term and, in some cases, semi-intensive resource management strategies had widespread and dramatic impacts on the natural environment. The domestication of nature began early, and over time human groups became increasingly tethered to certain places, which by late prehistoric times included major centers and dense populations in a variety of areas. The focus shifts from human societies adapting to the natural environment to humans participating as active agents of change, both before and after European contact (Balée & Erickson 2006). The decimation of regional polities and native world systems in the early centuries of European colonialism resulted in the fallowing of the region's tropical forests, which were then affected by colonial extractive economies, such as the Rubber Boom, and twentieth-century development (Balée 2006, Hecht 2009).

Discovering that the region's forested landscapes are not pristine in no way diminishes their relevance in debates on conservation and sustainable development in the Amazon, the poster child of global environmentalism. However, it does complicate things and makes archaeology—the primary means to understand change in coupled natural human systems over long timescales—not only more interesting and contested but also more central in contemporary debates on the Amazon. The legacy of cultural landscapes, including contemporary practices, offers important clues to discussions of resource management in the future (Willis et al. 2007). This is true particularly in indigenous areas, which constitute more than 20% of the Brazilian Amazon and are a critical barrier to deforestation (Nepstad et al. 2005). In these areas, indigenous and folk knowledge systems, including diverse forms of cultural and ecological memory, draw attention to the need for memory conservation and cultural property rights alongside conservation of natural resources (Nazarea 2006, Posey 2002, Posey & Balée 1989).

Much has changed in recent decades regarding how scholars view the world's largest tropical forest, including the antiquity and diversity of human occupations and how they transformed the natural environment. Much has also changed in archaeological practice, notably increasingly interdisciplinary approaches, regional perspectives, fine-grained excavations, and the application of new technologies (e.g., remote-sensing applications and geo-archaeology). These changes occur within the context of broader changes in scientific research, notably the shift from science as detached, objective observation to multivocal and multiscalar contexts of research applications, including engagement with local communities and attention to regional and global concerns (Latour 2004). In this world of research, archaeology plays an important role, particularly in understanding centennial- and millennialscale change in human-natural systems, which are vital to debates regarding conservation, sustainable development, and human rights in an era of unprecedented change across the region. For practitioners of archaeology this entails getting dirty, digging more deeply into the Amazonian past, and learning to read the varied traces of the deep past. One thing is certain: It is an exciting, challenging, and important time to be engaged with Amazonian archaeologies.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arroyo-Kalin M. 2008. Steps towards an ecology of landscape: a geoarchaeological approach to the study of anthropogenic dark earths in the Central Amazon region, Brazil. PhD thesis, Cambridge Univ., 232 pp.
- Balée W. 2006. The research program of historical ecology. Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 35:75-98
- Balée W, Erickson CL, eds. 2006. *Time and Complexity in Historical Ecology: Studies from the Neotropical Lowlands*. New York: Columbia Univ. Press
- Bandeira A. 2008. Ocupações humanas pré-históricas no litoral maranhense: um estudo arqueológico sobre o sambaqui do Bacanga na ilha de São Luís—Maranhão. Masters thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 316 pp.
- Barreto C. 1998. Brazilian archaeology from a Brazilian perspective. Antiquity 72(277):573-81
- Barreto C. 2009. Meios místicos de reprodução social: arte e estilo na cerâmica funerária da Amazônia antiga. PhD thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 332 pp.
- Barse W. 1990. Preceramic occupations in the Orinoco River Valley. Science 250:1388-90
- Bellwood P. 2004. The First Farmers: The Origins of Agricultural Societies. London: Wiley-Blackwell
- Biersack A. 1999. The new ecological anthropologies. Am. Anthropol. 10:1-22
- Block D. 1992. Mission Culture on the Upper Amazon: Native Tradition, Jesuit Enterprise and Secular Authority in Moxos, 1660–1880. Lincoln: Univ. Neb. Press
- Brochado JP. 1989. A Expansão dos tupi e da cerâmica da Tradição Policrômica Amazônica. *Dédalo* 9(17/18):41– 47
- Brochado JP. 1984. An ecological model of the spread of pottery and agriculture into Eastern South America. PhD thesis, Univ. Ill., 507 pp.
- Calandra H, Salceda SA. 2004. Bolivian Amazonia: archaeology of the llanos de Mojos. Acta Amazonica 34(2):155-63
- Campos AP. 1862 (1720). Breve notícia que dá o Capitão Antônio Pires de Campos do gentio que há na derrota da viagem das minas de Cuyabá e seu recôncavo. Rev. Trimestral Inst. Histórico, Geográfico, Etnográfico Brasileiro 5:437–49
- Carneiro RL. 1970. A theory of the origin of the state. Science 169:733-38
- Carneiro RL. 1983. The cultivation of manioc among the Kuikuro Indians of the Upper Xingu. In *Adaptive Responses in Native Amazonians*, ed. RB Hames, WT Vickers, pp. 65–111. New York: Academic
- Carneiro RL. 1995. The history of ecological interpretations in Amazonia: Does Roosevelt have it right? See Sponsel 1995, pp. 45–65
- Carneiro RL. 2007. A base ecológica dos cacicados amazônicos. Rev. Soc. Arqueol. Bras. 20:117-54
- Carneiro da Cunha M, ed. 1992. História dos Índios no Brasil. São Paulo: Companhia da Letras
- Castillo N, Aceituno J. 2006. El bosque domesticado, el bosque cultivado: un proceso milenario en el valle medio del rio Porce en el noroccidente Colombiano. *Lat. Am. Antiquity* 17(4):561–79
- Chaumeil JP. 2007. Bones, flutes, and the dead: memory and funerary treatments in Amazonia. See Fausto & Heckenberger 2007, pp. 243–83
- Cleary D. 2001. Towards an environmental history of the Amazon: from prehistory to the nineteenth century. *Lat. Am. Res. Rev.* 36(2):65–96
- Clement C. 1999. 1492 and the loss of crop genetic resources: I. Crop biogeography at contact. *Econ. Bot.* 53(2):203–16
- Clement C. 2006. Fruit trees and the transition to food production in the Amazon. See Balée & Erickson 2006, pp. 165–85
- Colinvaux P, Oliveira P, Bush M. 2000. Amazonian and neotropical plant communities on glacial time-scales: the failure of aridity and refuge hypotheses. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 19:141–49
- Colwell-Chanthaphonh C, Ferguson TJ. 2007. Collaboration in Archaeological Practice: Engaging Descendant Communities. Lanham, MD: Altamira
- Costa F. 2009. Arqueologia das campinaranas do baixo rio Negro: em busca dos pré-ceramistas nos areais da Amazônia central. PhD thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 189 pp.
- DeBoer W. 1981. Buffer zones in the cultural ecology of aboriginal Amazonia: an ethnohistorical approach. Am. Antiquity 46(2):364–77

- DeBoer W, Kintigh K, Rostoker A. 1996. Ceramic seriation and settlement occupation in Amazonia. Lat. Am. Antiquity 7(3):263–78
- Denevan W. 1966. The Aboriginal Cultural Geography of the Llanos de Mojos of Bolivia. Berkeley: Univ. Calif. Press
- Denevan W. 1992. The pristine myth: the landscape of the Americas in 1492. Ann. Assoc. Am. Geog. 82(3):369– 85

Denevan W. 1996. A bluff model of riverine settlement in Amazonia. Ann. Assoc. Am. Geog. 86(4):654-81

Denevan W. 2001. Cultivated Landscapes of Native Amazonia and the Andes. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press

- Descola P, Taylor AC, eds. 1993. La Remonteé de l'Amazonie: Anthropologie et Histoire des Sociétés Amazoniennes. Spec. Ed. L'Homme, Vols. 26/28
- Diamond J, Bellwood P. 2003. Farmers and their languages: the first expansions. Science 300:597-603
- Dixon R, Aihkenvald A. 1999. The Amazonian Languages. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Erickson CL. 2000. An artificial landscape-scale fishery in the Bolivian Amazon. Nature 408:190-93
- Erickson CL. 2006. The domesticated landscapes of the Bolivian Amazon. See Balée & Erickson 2006, pp. 235–78
- Erickson CL. 2008. Amazonia: the historical ecology of a domesticated landscape. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 157–83
- Erickson CL, Balée W. 2006. The historical ecology of a complex landscape in Bolivia. See Balée & Erickson 2006, pp. 187–233
- Evans CE, Meggers BJ. 1968. Archaeological Investigations on the Rio Napo, Ecuador. Washington, DC: Smithson. Inst. Press
- Fausto C. 2000. Os Índios antes do Brasil. Rio de Janeiro: Jorge Zahar
- Fausto C, Franchetto B, Heckenberger M. 2008. Ritual language and historical reconstruction: toward a linguistic, ethnographical, and archaeological account of Upper Xingu Society. In *Lessons from Documented Endangered Languages*, ed. KD Harrison, DS Rood, A Dwyer, pp. 129–57. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
- Fausto C, Heckenberger M, eds. 2007. Time and Memory in Indigenous Amazonia: Anthropological Perspectives. Gainesville: Univ. Press Fla.
- Funari P. 2001. Public archaeology from a Latin American perspective. Public Archaeol. 1:239-43
- Gaspar MD, DeBlassis P, Fish SK, Fish PR. 2008. Sambaqui (shell mound) societies of coastal Brazil. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 319–35
- Gásson R. 2003. Orinoquia: the archaeology of Orinoco River Basin. J. World Prehist. 16(3):237-311

Glaser B, Woods WI, eds. 2004. Amazonian Dark Earths: Explorations in Space and Time. New York: Springer

- Gomes DC. 2005. Análise dos padrões de organização comunitária no Baixo Tapajós: o desenvolvimento do Formativo na area de Santarém. PhD thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 325 pp.
- Gomes DC. 2008. The diversity of social formations in Pre-Colonial Amazonia. Rev. Arqueol. Am. 25:187-223
- Goulding M, Smith N. 2007. Palms: Sentinels of Amazonian Conservation. St. Louis: Mo. Bot. Garden Press
- Gow P. 1996. Of Mixed Blood: Kinship and History in the Peruvian Amazon. Oxford: Clarendon
- Green LF, Green D, Neves EG. 2003. Indigenous knowledge and archaeological science. J. Soc. Archaeol. 3(3):366–98
- Guapindaia V. 2008a. Além da margem do rio—a ocupação Konduri e Pocó na região de Porto Trombetas, PA. PhD thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 194 pp.
- Guapindaia V. 2008b. Prehistoric funeral practices in the Brazilian Amazon: the Maracá urns. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 1005–26
- Hecht S. 2009. Amazon Odyssey: Euclides da Cunha and the Scramble for Amazonia. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press. In press
- Heckenberger MJ. 2003. Archaeology as indigenous advocacy in Amazonia. Pract. Anthropol. 26(3):34-38
- Heckenberger MJ. 2005. The Ecology of Power: Culture, Place, and Personbood in the Southern Amazon, AD 1000-2000. New York: Routledge
- Heckenberger MJ, Kuikuro A, Kuikuro UT, Russell JC, Schmidt M, et al. 2003. Amazonia 1492: pristine forest or cultural parkland? Science 301:1710–14
- Heckenberger MJ, Russell JC, Fausto C, Toney JR, Schmidt MJ, et al. 2008. Pre-Columbian urbanism, anthropogenic landscapes, and the future of the Amazon. *Science* 321:1214–17

Hilbert PP. 1955. Archäologische Untersuchungen am Mittlern Amazonas. Berlin: Dieter Reimer Verlag

- Hill J, Santos-Granero F, eds. 2002. Comparative Arawakan Histories: Rethinking Language Group and Culture Area in Amazonia. St. Louis: Univ. Ill. Press
- Hornborg A. 2005. Ethnogenesis, regional interaction, and ecology in prehistoric Amazonia: towards a systemic perspective. Curr. Anthropol. 46(4):589–620
- Kern DC, D'Aquino G, Rodrigues TE, Frazdo FJL, Sombroek W, et al. 2003. Distribution of Amazonian Dark Earths in the Brazilian Amazon. See Lehmann et al. 2003, pp. 51–76

Lathrap DW. 1970. The Upper Amazon. London: Thames and Hudson

- Lathrap DW. 1977. Our mother the cayman, our father the gourd: Spinden revisited or a unitary model for the emergence of agriculture in the New World. In *Origins of Agriculture*, ed. C Reed, pp. 713–51. The Hague: Mouton
- Lathrap DW. 1985. Jaws: the control of power in the early Nuclear American ceremonial center. In *Early Ceremonial Architecture in the Andes*, ed. CB Donnan, pp. 241–67. Washington, DC: Dumbarton Oaks
- Lathrap DW, Gebhart-Sayer A, Mester AM. 1985. The roots of the Shipibo art style: three waves at Imiríacocha, or there were "Incas" before the Incas. *7. Lat. Am. Lore* 11(1):31–119
- Latour B. 2004. The Politics of Nature: How to Bring the Sciences into Democracy. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press
- Le Goff J. 1964. Le Civilization de l'Occident Medieval. Paris: B. Arthaud
- Lehmann J, Kern DC, Glaser B, Woods WI. 2003. Amazonian Dark Earths: Origins, Properties and Management. Dordrecht: Kluwer
- Lima HP. 2008. *História das caretas: a Tradição Borda Incisa na Amazôna Central*. PhD thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 538 pp.
- Lyon P, ed. 1974. Native South America: Ethnology of the Least Known Continent. Boston: Little Brown
- Magalhães M. 1994. Arqueologia de Carajás: A Presença Pré-Histórica do Homen na Amazônia. Rio de Janeiro: Companhia do Vale do Rio Doce
- Mann C. 2008. Ancient earthmovers of the Amazon. Science 321:1148-51
- McEwan C, Neves EG, Barreto C, eds. 2001. The Unknown Amazon: Culture and Nature in Ancient Brazil. London: Br. Mus. Press
- McIntosh S, ed. 1999. Beyond Chiefdoms: Pathways to Complexity. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Meggers BJ. 1996. Amazonia: Man and Culture in a Counterfeit Paradise. Washington, DC: Smithson. Inst. Press
- Meggers BJ. 2003. Natural versus anthropogenic sources of Amazonian biodiversity: the continuing quest for El Dorado. In *How Landscapes Change*, ed. GA Bradshaw, PA Marquet, pp. 89–107. Berlin: Springer
- Meggers BJ, Evans CE. 1957. Archaeological Investigations at the Mouth of the Amazon. Washington, DC: Smithson. Inst. Press
- Meggers BJ, Miller ET. 2003. Hunters-gatherers in Amazonia during the Pleistocene-Holocene transition. In Under the Canopy: The Archaeology of Tropical Rain Forests, ed. J Mercader, pp. 291–316. New Brunswick: Rutgers Univ. Press
- Metraux A. 1942. The Native Tribes of Eastern Bolivia and Western Matto Grosso. Washington, DC: Smithson. Inst. BAE
- Miller ET. 1992. Arqueologia nos Empreendimentos Hidroelétricos da Eletronorte: Resultados Preliminares. Brasília: Eletronorte
- Miller ET. 1999. A Limitação ambiental como barreira à transposição do period formativo no Brasil. Tecnologia, produção de alimentos e formação de aldeias no sudoeste da Amazônia. In *Formativo Sudamericano: Homenaje a Albert Rex González y Betty J. Meggers*, ed. P Ledergerber-Crespo, pp. 331–39. Quito: Ed. ABYA-YALA
- Mora S. 2003. Early Inhabitants of the Amazonian Tropical Rain Forest: A Study of Human and Environmental Dynamics. Pittsburgh: Lat. Am. Archaeol. Publ.
- Moraes C. 2007. Arqueologia na Amazônia Central vista de uma perspectiva da região do Lago do Limão. Masters thesis, Mus. Arqueol. Etnolog., Univ. São Paulo, 196 pp.
- Moran E. 1993. Through Amazonian Eyes: The Human Ecology of Amazonian Populations. Iowa City: Iowa Univ. Press

- Morcote-Ríos G. 2008. Antiguos Habitantes en Ríos de Aguas Negras: Ecosistemas y Cultivos en el Interfluvio Amazonas—Putumayo, Columbia—Brasil. Bogotá: Univ. Nac. Colombia
- Morcote-Ríos G, Bernal R. 2001. Remains of palms (Palmae) at archaeological sites in the New World: a review. *Bot. Rev.* 67(3):309–50

Myers T. 1992. Agricultural limitations of the Amazon in theory and practice. World Archaeol. 24(1):82-97

- Myers T. 2004. Dark Earth in the Upper Amazon. See Glaser & Woods 2004, pp. 67-94
- Nazarea V. 2006. Local knowledge and memory in biodiversity conservation. Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 35:317-35
- Nepstad D, Swartzman S, et al. 2005. Inhibition of Amazon deforestation and fire by parks and indigenous lands. Conserv. Biol. 20(1):65–73
- Neves EG. 2006. A Arqueologia da Amazônia. Rio de Janeiro: Jorge Zahar
- Neves EG. 2008. Ecology, ceramic chronology and distributions, long-term history, and political change in the Amazonian floodplain. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 359–79
- Neves EG, Petersen JB. 2006. Political economy and Pre-Columbian landscape transformations in Central Amazonia. See Balée & Erickson 2006, pp. 279–309
- Neves EG, Petersen JB, Bartone RN, Silva CA. 2003. Historical and socio-cultural origins of Amazonian Dark Earths. See Lehmann et al. 2003, pp. 29–50
- Nimuendaju C. 1952. Os Tapajó. Bol. Mus. Para. Emílio Goeldi 10:93-106
- Noelli F. 2008. The Tupi expansion. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 659-70
- Oliver J. 1991. Donald Lathrap: approaches and contributions to New World archaeology. *Antropológica* 75/76:5–60
- Oliver J. 2008. The archaeology of agriculture in ancient Amazonia. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 185–216
- Oyuela-Caycedo A, Raymond S, eds. 1998. Recent Advances in the Archaeology of the North Andes. Los Angeles: Inst. Archaeol., Univ. Calif.
- Pärssinen M, Korpisaari A, eds. 2003. Western Amazonia: Amazonia Ocidental: Multi-Disciplinary Studies on Ancient Expansionistic Movements, Fortifications, and Sedentary Life. Publ. 14. Helsinki: Renvall Inst.
- Pauketat T. 2007. Chiefdoms and Other Archaeological Delusions. Lanham, MD: Altamira
- Pereira E. 2004. Arte Rupestre na Amazonia: Pará. São Paulo: UNESP
- Perry L. 2005. Reassessing the traditional interpretation of "manioc" artifacts in the Orinoco Valley of Venezuela. *Latin Am. Antiquity* 16(4):409–26
- Petersen JB, Neves EG, Heckenberger MJ. 2001. Gift from the past: terra preta and prehistoric Amerindian occupation in Amazonia. See McEwan et al. 2001, pp. 86–105
- Piperno DR, Pearsall DM. 1998. The Origins of Agriculture in the Lowland Neotropics. San Diego: Academic
- Piperno DR, Ranere AJ, Hansell P. 2000. Starch grains reveal early root crop horticulture in the Panamanian tropical forest. *Nature* 407:894–97
- Polítis G. 2007. Nukak: Ethnoarchaeology of an Amazonian People. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast
- Polítis G, Alberti B. 1999. Archaeology in Latin America. London: Routledge
- Porro A. 1996. Os Povos das Aguas. Rio de Janeiro: Vozes
- Posey DA. 2002. Kayapó Ethnoecology and Conservation. London: Routledge
- Posey DA, Balée W. 1989. Resource Management in Amazonia: Indigenous and Folk Strategies. New York: Adv. Econ. Bot. 7
- Prous A. 1991. Arqueologia Brasileira. Brasília: Univ. Bras.
- Prous A, Fogaça E. 1999. Archaeology of Pleistocene-Holocene boundary in Brazil. Quat. Int. 53/54:21-41
- Prümers H. 2004. Hügel umgeben von "schönen Monstern": ausgrabungen in der Loma Mendoza (Bolivien). In Expeditionen in Vergessene Welten, pp. 47–78. AVA-Forschungen Band 10. Bonn: KAVA
- Raymond S. 2008. The process of sedentism in northwestern South America. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 79–90
- Roe P. 1982. The Cosmic Zygote: Cosmology in the Amazon Basin. Rutgers, NJ: Rutgers Univ. Press
- Roosevelt AC. 1980. Parmana: Prebistoric Maize and Manioc Subsistence along the Amazon and Orinoco. New York: Academic
- Roosevelt AC. 1991. Moundbuilders of the Amazon: Geophysical Archaeology in Marajó Island. San Diego: Academic Roosevelt AC. 1994. Amazonian Indians from Prebistory to the Present: Anthropological Perspectives. Tuscon: Univ.

Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 2009.38:251-266. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org by University of Washington on 09/15/11. For personal use only.

Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 2009.38:251-266. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org by University of Washington on 09/15/11. For personal use only.

- Roosevelt AC. 1999. The development of prehistoric complex societies: Amazonia, a tropical forest. In *Complex Polities of the Ancient Tropical World*, ed. EA Bacus, LJ Lucero, pp. 13–33. Washington, DC: Am. Anthropol. Assoc.
- Roosevelt AC, Imazio M, Maranca S, Johnson R. 1991. Eighth millennium pottery from a shell mound in the Brazilian Amazon. *Science* 254:1621–24
- Roosevelt AC, Lima da Costa M, Lopes Machado C, Michab M, Mercier N, et al. 1996. Paleoindian cavedwellers in the Amazon: the peopling of the Americas. *Science* 272:373–84
- Rostain S. 2008a. Agricultural earthworks on the French Guiana Coast. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 217–33
- Rostain S. 2008b. The Archaeology of the Guianas: an overview. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 279–302
- Sauer C. 1952. Agricultural Origins and Dispersals. New York: Am. Geog. Soc.
- Schaan DP. 2004. The Camutins chiefdom: rise and development of complex societies on Marajó Island, Brazilian Amazon. PhD thesis, Univ. Pittsburgh, 338 pp.
- Schaan DP. 2008. The non-agricultural chiefdoms of Marajó. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 339-57
- Schaan DP, Ranzi A, Pärssinen M, eds. 2007. Arqueologia da Amazônia Ocidental: Os Geoglifos do Acre. Belém: Ed. Univ. Fed. Pará
- Schmidt M. 1917. Die Aruaken: Ein Beitrag zum Problem de Kulturverbrietung. Leipzig: Veit
- Schmidt P, Patterson T. 1996. Making Alternative Histories: The Practice of Archaeology and History in Non-Western Settings. Santa Fe: SAR Press
- Silva FA. 2008. Ceramic technology of the Asurini do Xingu, Brazil: an ethnoarchaeological study of artifact variability. J. Archaeol. Method Theory 15:217–65
- Silverman H, Isbell W. 2008. Handbook of South American Archaeology. New York: Springer
- Simões M. 1969. The Castanheira site: new evidence for the antiquity and history of the Ananatuba phase. *Am. Antiquity* 34(4):402–10
- Simões M. 1981. Coletores-pescadores ceramistas do litoral do Salgado (Pará). Bol. Mus. Para. Emílio Goeldi 78:1–31
- Simões M, Kalkman A. 1987. Pesquisas arqueológicas no médio Rio Negro (Amazonas). Rev. Arqueol. 4(1):83–116
- Simões M, Lopes D. 1987. Pesquisas arqueológicas no Baixo/Médio Rio Madeira (Amazonas). Rev. Arqueol. 4(1):117–34
- Smith N. 2007. Amazon River Fruits: Flavors for Conservation. St. Louis: Mo. Bot. Garden Press
- Sponsel L. 1995. Indigenous People and the Future of the Amazon: An Ecological Anthropology of an Endangered World. Tuscon: Univ. Ariz. Press
- Stahl P. 1995. Archaeology in the American Tropics: Current Analytical Methods and Applications. Cambridge, UK: Univ. Cambridge Press
- Stahl P. 1996. Holocene biodiversity: an archaeological perspective from the Americas. Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 25:105–26
- Steward JH, Faron L. 1959. Native Peoples of South America. New York: McGraw-Hill
- Versteeg A. 2008. Barrancoid and Arauquinoid mound builders in coastal Suriname. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 303–18
- Viveiros de Castro EB. 1996. Images of nature and society in Amazonia. Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 25:179-200
- Walker J. 2004. The llanos de Mojos. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 937-38
- Walker J. 2008. Agricultural Change in the Bolivian Amazon. Pittsburgh: Latin Am. Archaeol. Publ.
- Whitehead NL. 1994. The ancient Amerindian polities of the Amazon, Orinoco, and the Atlantic coast: a preliminary analysis of their passage from antiquity to extinction. See Roosevelt 1994, pp. 33–53
- Whitehead NL, ed. 2003. Histories and Historicities in Amazonia. Lincoln: Univ. Neb. Press
- Willis KJ, Gillson L, Knapp S, eds. 2007. Biodiversity hotspots through time: using the past to manage the future. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 362:167–333
- Woods WI, McCann J. 1999. The anthropogenic origin and persistence of Amazonian Dark Earths. Yearb. Conf. Lat. Am. Geog. 25:7–14
- Woods WI, Texeira WG, Lehman J, Steiner C, WinklerPrins A, Rebellato L, eds. 2009. Amazonian Dark Earths: Wim Sombroek's Vision. New York: Springer

Wüst I, Barreto C. 1999. The ring villages of central Brazil: a challenge for Amazonian archaeology. Lat. Am. Antiquity 10(1):1–23

Zeidler JA. 2008. The Ecuadorian formative. See Silverman & Isbell 2008, pp. 459-88

Zucchi A. 1985. Evidencias arqueológicas sobre grupos de posible lengua Carib. Antropológica 63/64:23-44

Zucchi A. 2002. A new model for northern Arawakan expansion. See Hill & Santos-Granero 2002, pp. 199-222

A

Annual Review of Anthropology

Volume 38, 2009

Contents

Prefatory Chapter

Archaeology and Anthropology: A Personal Overview of the Past Half-Century
Patty Jo Watson
Archaeology
Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology Pamela L. Geller
State Emergence in Early China Li Liu
Amazonian Archaeology Michael Heckenberger and Eduardo Góes Neves 251
The Oldowan: The Tool Making of Early Hominins and Chimpanzees Compared <i>Nicholas Toth and Kathy Schick</i>
Biological Anthropology
The Early Development of Gender Differences Matthew H. McIntyre and Carolyn Pope Edwards 83
The Biology of Paternal Care in Human and Nonhuman Primates Eduardo Fernandez-Duque, Claudia R. Valeggia, and Sally P. Mendoza
Developmental Origins of Adult Function and Health: Evolutionary Hypotheses <i>Christopher W. Kuzawa and Elizabeth A. Quinn</i>
Interdisciplinary Translational Research in Anthropology, Nutrition, and Public Health <i>Stephen T. McGarvey</i>
Linguistics and Communicative Practices

Social Reproduction in Classrooms and Schools James Collins
Medical Discourse <i>James M. Wilce</i>
International Anthropology and Regional Studies
The Ethnography of South Asian Foragers Jana Fortier 99
Sociocultural Anthropology
The Commodification of Intimacy: Marriage, Sex, and Reproductive Labor <i>Nicole Constable</i>
Adoption of the Unrelated Child: Some Challenges to the Anthropological Study of Kinship Signe Howell 149
Anthropology and Global Health Craig R. Janes and Kitty K. Corbett
Transitions: Pastoralists Living with Change <i>Kathleen A. Galvin</i>
Symptom: Subjectivities, Social Ills, TechnologiesJoão Biehl and Amy Moran-Thomas267
Theme 1: Current Research on Gender
The Commodification of Intimacy: Marriage, Sex, and Reproductive Labor <i>Nicole Constable</i>
Identity and Difference: Complicating Gender in Archaeology <i>Pamela L. Geller</i>
The Early Development of Gender Differences Matthew H. McIntyre and Carolyn Pope Edwards 83
The Biology of Paternal Care in Human and Nonhuman Primates Eduardo Fernandez-Duque, Claudia R. Valeggia, and Sally P. Mendoza
Theme 2: Anthropology and Human Health
Developmental Origins of Adult Function and Health: Evolutionary Hypotheses <i>Christopher W. Kuzawa and Elizabeth A. Quinn</i>
Anthropology and Global Health Craig R. Janes and Kitty K. Corbett

Medical Discourse James M. Wilce	199
Interdisciplinary Translational Research in Anthropology, Nutrition,	
and Public Health Stephen T. McGarvey	233
Symptom: Subjectivities, Social Ills, Technologies João Biebl and Amy Moran-Thomas	267

Indexes

Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 29–38	307
Cumulative Index of Chapter Titles, Volume 29–38	310

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Anthropology* articles may be found at http://anthro.annualreviews.org/errata.shtml