and the Interest-Divergence Dilemma From Racial Liberalism to Racial Literacy: Brown v. Board of Education

Lani Guinier

constitutional canon is unimpeachable, yet over time its legacy has become compliif not since the founding of our constitutional republic. Brown's exalted status in the caused a social revolution. Legal academics and lawyers still widely acclaim the Brown dled admiration it once earned from academic commentators. Early on, the convencated and ambiguous.1 decision as one of the most important Supreme Court cases in the twentieth century, Fund (NAACP LDEF), whose inventive lawyering brought the case to fruition, had Association for the Advancement of Colored People Legal Defense and Educational tional wisdom was that the courageous social engineers from the National On its fiftieth anniversary, Brown v. Board of Education no longer enjoys the unbri-

through Brown are still deeply embedded in our society. Blacks lag behind whites in cation from kindergarten through twelfth grade appears to be eroding despite grow multiple measures of educational achievement, and within the black community, boys are falling further behind than girls. In addition, the will to support public edulems that the legally trained social engineers thought the Court had addressed The fact is that fifty years later, many of the social, political, and economic prob-

Lani Guinier is Bennett Boskey Professor of Law, Harvard Law School. The phrase "interest-divergence dilemma" in the title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence dilemma" proposed by Professor Derin he title of this essay modifies and elaborates on the "interest-convergence" and the proposed by Professor Derin he title of the pro

Meyerowitz, Frank Michelman, Martha Minow, Gerald Torres, and David Wilkins for enormously helpful comments on earlier drafts. Jay Cox, Jenee Desmond, Amos Jones, and Nathan Kitchens provided excellent research assistance. Susan Armeny, Lori Creed, Jenee Desmond, Amos Jones, and Samuel Spital provided very useful editorial and substantive feedback. I thank Stephanie Camp, Kevin Gaines, Danielle Gray, Evelyn Brooks Higginbotham, Ken Mack, Joanne

Readers may contact Guinier at <lguinier@law.harvard.edu>

Guggenheim, "Symposium: Translating Insights into Policy: Maximizing Strategies for Pressuring Adults to Do Right by Children," Arizona Law Review, 45 (Fall 2000), 779; David A. Stratus, "Interdisciplinary Approach: Afterword: The Role of a Bill of Rights," University of Chicago Law Review, 59 (Winter 1992), 547; and Jack M. Balkin, ed., What Brown v. Board of Education Should Have Said: The Nation's Top Legal Experts Rewrite America's Landmark Civil Rights Decision (New York, 2001), 3. See also Ronald S. Sullivan Jr., "Multiple Ironies: Brown a 50," Howard Law Journal, 47 (Fall 2003), 29. On the importance of the Brown decision, see Jack Greenberg, Crusaders in the Courts: How a Dedicated Band of Lawyers Fought for the Civil Rights Revolution (New York, 1994), 197; James T. Patterson, Brown v. Board of Education: A Civil Rights Milestone and Its Troubled Legacy (New York, 2001), xxvii—xxviii; Jordan Steiker, "American Icon: Does It Matter What the Court Said in Brown?" Texas Law Review, 81 (Nov. 2002), 305; Martin

> than poor whites to live in severely distressed, racially stratified urban neighboring awareness of education's importance in a knowledge-based society. In the Bostor ple, Mark Tushnet observed in 1994, it was a failure.2 ended." If Brown was a decision about integration rather than constitutional princiof Brown's legacy: "The Court ordered an end to segregation and segregation was not emphasizing the limited roles courts can generally play, bluntly summed up his view so-called liberal North, race still segregates more than class. Gerald N. Rosenberg, neighborhoods with high rates of crime and concentrations of poverty. Even in the \$50,000 were twice as likely as white households earning less than \$20,000 to live in in economically stable suburban communities, black families with incomes above hoods. Whereas poor, working-class, and middle-income whites often lived together metropolitan area in 2003, poor people of color were at least three times more likely

societal discrimination. They changed Brown from a clarion call to an excuse not to social engineers on the right adapted the Warren court's rhetoric to create a late twen-Brown's formal equality principle to equate race-conscious government decisions that seek to develop an integrated society with the evils of de jure segregation. The new ren led the Court to declare segregation unconstitutional. Brown's holding became generates a feeling of inferiority as to their status in the community that may affect it yielded became more troubling in the intervening years. Presented with psychologtieth-century constitutional principle that forbids government actors to remediate the Court's membership changed in the 1970s, advocates of color blindness used ferently based on the color of their skin was constitutionally wrong. However, once the gold standard for defining the terms of formal equality: treating individuals diftheir hearts and minds in a way unlikely ever to be undone," Chief Justice Earl Warical evidence that separating black children from whites "solely because of their race Even as constitutional principle, the Court's analysis and the formal equality rule

~

ren court's motives; others have criticized its reasoning; still others have found fault Brown's early promise and its present reality. Some scholars have challenged the War-The academy has produced a host of explanations for the discontinuity between

Globe, March 14, 2004, p. Al. After ten years of courr-ordered desegregation, barely 1% of black children in the eleven southern states attended school with whites, according to Gerald N. Rosenberg. The Hollow Hope: Can Courts Bring About Nocial Change? (Chicago, 1991), 52. See also Adam Fairclough, Better Day Coming Blacks and Equality, 1890–2000 (New York, 2001), 329; Patterston, Brown v. Board of Education, 202-4, 211–12, 229, 231; and Lani Guinter, "Admissions Rituals as Political Acts: Guardians at the Gates of Our Democratic Ideals," Harvard Law Review, 117 (Nov. 2003), 113, 118–19nn24–27. Mark Tushnet, "The Significance of Brown v. Board of Education," Virginia Law Review, 80 (Feb. 1994), 175. public schools by race and as total percentages of the population, see "Lessons for the Boston Schools," Boston 2003, *The Civil Rights Project, Harvard University* http://www.civilrightsproject.harvard.edu/research/metro/poverty_boston.php (Jan. 22, 2004). For figures on declining levels of school-age children enrolled in Boston ² Nancy McArdle, "Beyond Poverty: Race and Concentrated-Poverty Neighborhoods in Metro Boston," Dec

U.S. 55 (1980); Wygant v. Jackson Board of Education, 476 U.S. 267, 274 (1986) (plurality opinion); and Richmond v. J. A. Croson Co., 488 U.S. 469, 496 (1989). The Court held that a school desegregation plan must be linited to districts with an actual history of racial discrimination in Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717, 744-45 remedy include Regents of the University of California v. Bakke, 438 U.S. 265 (1978); City of Mobile v. Bolden, 446 policies and/or required a showing of prior intentional discrimination to justify a limited racial classification as a ³ Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483, 494 (1954). Decisions that rejected race-conscious governmental

95

with its method of implementation. For example, focusing on motivation, Derrick A. Bell Jr. questioned the case's power to promote social justice because it was shaped, not by the intentional coalescing of a transforming social movement that reached across boundaries of race and economic class, but by the calculated convergence of interests between northern liberals, southern moderates, and blacks. The resulting alliance was temporary, lacked deep populist roots, and built on a tradition of treating black rights as expendable. For throughout United States history, Bell contended, the rights of blacks have regularly been sacrificed to preserve the greater interests of the whole society.⁴

In an influential article published in 1980 in the *Harvard Law Review*, Professor Bell concluded that the *Brown* decision represented the interest *convergence* between blacks and middle- and upper-class whites:

[The] principle of "interest convergence" provides: The interest of blacks in achieving racial equality will be accommodated only when it converges with the interests of whites. However, the fourteenth amendment, standing alone, will not authorize a judicial remedy providing effective racial equality for blacks where the remedy sought threatens the superior societal status of middle and upper-class whites. . . . Racial remedies may instead be the outward manifestations of unspoken and perhaps subconscious judicial conclusions that the remedies, if granted, will secure, advance, or at least not harm societal interests deemed important by middle and upper-class whites. 5

In the post-World War II period the alignment of interests of a biracial elite shifted to accommodate legal challenges to Jim Crow, Bell argued. The Court gave its imprimatur to the desegregation of public schools to add legitimacy to the U.S. struggle against Communism; to reassure blacks that precepts of equality heralded in World War II would be applied at home (and thus to quiet the resentment and anger of black veterans who returned from the war only to be denied equality); and to eliminate an important barrier to the industrialization of the South and the transition from a plantation to a modern economy. Consistent with Bell's interest-convergence thesis, Philip Elman, special assistant to the attorney general, filed a brief on behalf of the United States in which he framed the problem of racial discrimination "in the context of the present world struggle between freedom and tyranny."⁶

The ideals of racial liberalism helped fashion the legal strategy of the biracial elite. Racial liberalism emphasized the corrosive effect of individual prejudice and the importance of interracial contact in promoting tolerance. Racial liberals stressed the damaging effects of segregation on black personality development to secure legal victory as well as white middle-class sympathy. The attorneys in *Brown* and their liberal allies invited the justices to consider the effects of racial discrimination without fear of disrupting society as a whole. The Court responded by seeking to mollify southern whites even as it declared the end to the de jure separate but equal system. Yet, to the extent that *Brown* reflected the alliance of some blacks and some upper-class whites unthreatened by desegregation, it left out crucial constituencies for change, including southern black educators and poor rural blacks.

Reservations also abound about the Court's reasoning, which was influenced by the litigation tactics of *Brown*'s advocates and allies. The lawyers wanted to dismantle segregation so that all black children would have access to resources presumptively enjoyed by all white children. The lawyers chose to achieve their goal by encouraging the Court to assume the role of protecting black children from the intangible effects of stigma and self-hate. This intangible damage thesis seemed to offer the best possible means of directly dismantling Jim Crow (de jure, formal inequality) and *indirectly* dismantling its effects. Unfortunately, in this court-centered universe, the tactic of desegregation became the ultimate goal, rather than the means to secure educational equity. The upshot of the inversion of means and end was to redefine equality, not as a fair and just distribution of resources, but as the absence of formal, legal barriers that separated the races.

Advocates for the NAACP made a conscious choice to abandon cases that demanded that states equalize the facilities, staff, and budgets of separate white and black schools to focus the Court's attention on segregation itself. As part of their litigation strategy, they appended studies by social scientists to their brief in *Brown*. The plaintiffs' attorneys successfully mobilized social scientists to support the fight against segregation, presenting racism as pathological because of the "toll it took on the black psyche." In a magisterial study, Daryl Michael Scott faulted the Court's dependence on psychological damage imagery to demonstrate the intangible costs of segregation. Segregation's evils had social and economic, not just psychological, ramifications.

Derrick A. Bell Jr., "Brown v. Board of Education and the Interest-Convergence Dilemma," Harvard Law Review, 93 (Jan. 1980), 518-33.

⁶ For the interest-convergence principle framed broadly, see Derrick A. Bell, Race, Racism, and American Law (Boston, 1980). On desegregation and the Cold War, see Greenberg, Cruaders in the Cours, 164–65; Mary L. Dudziak, "Desegregation as a Cold War Imperative," Stanford Law Review, 41 (Nov. 1988), 61–120; and Mary L. Dudziak, Cold War Civil Rights: Race and the Image of American Democracy (Princeton, 2000). On the arousal of civil rights consciousness among blacks during World War II, see, for example, Earl Lewis, In Their Own Interests: Race, Class, and Power in Twentieth-Century Norfolk, Virginia (Bekeley, 1991), 173–76; Martin Soma, In Search of the Silent Souths: Southern Liberals and the Race Issue (New York, 1977); and Michael J. Klarman, "Brown, Racial Change, and the Civil Rights Movement," Virginia Law Review, 80 (Feb. 1994), 17–18. On desegregation and southern industrialization, see Islad, 56. The brief on behalf of the United States is quoted in Yale Kamisar, "The School Desegregation Cases in Retrospect: Some Reflections on Causes and Effects," in Argument: The Oral Argument before the Supreme Court in Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, 1952–55, ed. Leon Friedman (New York, 1969), xiv. On Special Assistant to the Attorney General Philip Elman, see Robert J. Control, Raymond T. Diamond, and Leland B. Ware, Brown v. Board of Education: Caste, Culture, and the Constitution (Lawrence,

^{2003), 161-62.} On the embarrassment to foreign visitors who were mistaken for American blacks, see Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae at 4-5, Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) (No. 1).

The Court itself refocused on segregation per se: "Here, unlike Sweatt v. Painter, there are findings below that the Negro and white schools involved have been equalized, or are being equalized, with respect to buildings, curricula, qualifications and salaries of teachers, and other 'tangible' factors. Our decision, therefore, cannot turn on merely a comparison of these tangible factors in the Negro and white schools involved in each of the cases. We must look instead to the effect of segregation itself on public education." Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. at 492. On racial liberalism, see Daryl Michael Scott, Contempt and Pity: Social Policy and the Image of the Damaged Black Psyche, 1880–1996 (Chapel Hill, 1997), xiii. On constituencies Brown ignored, see David S. Cecelski, Along Freedom Road: Hyde County, Yorth Carolina, and the Fate of Black Schools in the South (Chapel Hill, 1994), 8, 12. According to the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) lawyer Constance Baker Motley, many black teachers became major foes of school desegregation after Brown. See Adam Fairclough, Teaching Equality: Black Schools in the Age of Jim Crow (Athens, Ga., 2001), 62–65, esp. n. 46. See also Martha Bigndi, To Stand and Fight: The Struggle for Civil Rights in Postwar New York City (Cambridge, Mass., 2003), 164–65, 170–71, 180–85.

to compensate for low pay and harsh working conditions.8 paid to white workers, who came to depend upon their status and privileges as whites Writing in 1935, W. E. B. Du Bois described the "public and psychological wage" darity rather than collective cross-racial mobilization around economic interests. blacks alone; it convinced working-class whites that their interests lay in white soli-Even more, as others have pointed out, the psychology of segregation did not affect

power, and, ultimately, educational opportunity, its reemergence in a more subtle form" by failing to redress "mequalities in resources, it dismantled an old form of whiteness as property while simultaneously permitting dence. As Cheryl I. Harris has written, "Brown-I's dialectical contradiction was that decision modified but did not eliminate "the property interest in whiteness" that Du influenced the reaction of blue-collar whites and arguably re-stigmatized blacks. The tion also shaped the personality development of whites. This analytic asymmetry counted its apparent effect on black children without grappling with the way segrega-Bois earlier observed and that came to define the Court's equal protection jurisprupsychological framing. The Court's measure of segregation's psychological costs de facto-separation became invisible—were predictable, given the Court's lopsided mately excused inaction in the face of a gradual return to racially segregated schools that are unquestionably separate and unequal. The sociological ramifications—that lated school boundaries because of racial animus, Brown's principled conclusion ultiindividuals. Absent evidence that local officials or state actors intentionally manipututional relief to remedying acts of intentional discrimination by local entities of udice alone cast a long doctrinal shadow, allowing subsequent courts to limit constilegal, sociological, and psychological consequences. In legal terms, the focus on prejnarrowly, as a function of individual prejudice. The Court's minimalist analysis had The Court's reasoning suffered once it considered the caste system of Jim Crow

southern whites; some also criticize integration efforts as benefiting very few poor blacks. What blacks won was not freedom, but tokenism. A cadre of middle-class Other scholars deplore the Court's remedial approach as overly deferential to

poor and working-class whites), educational opportunities remain beyond reach. 10 blacks has enjoyed the privileges of upward mobility, but for the mass of blacks (and

From Racial Liberalism to Racial Literacy

97

tive branch leadership, the courts could not bring about the dynamic social change way of integrated or improved educational facilities. Without executive and legislaactually had little effect on educational opportunity, Michael J. Klarman has argued social justice initiative was ill equipped to address complex social problems. Brown which was after all the more democratic and sustaining force for change.11 rights activists were violently brutalized on national television that blacks won their courts acting alone have failed." It was not until nonviolent and courageous civil the face of fierce white backlash. Like Wisdom, Rosenberg concluded that "the Court's ruling in Brown, was candid about the lack of judicially inspired progress in for his landmark decisions ordering desegregation in the wake of the Supreme envisioned by the Brown lawyers. The federal judge John Minor Wisdom, renowned serving instead to reenergize white racial consciousness, while providing little in the freedom" from state-sanctioned oppression. But they won through legislative action, A few scholars have sought to demonstrate that a bench-based, lawyer-crafted

a United States that has yet to come to terms with the way slavery and the racialized even skepticism, reigns in some quarters over whether the promised land can exist in compromises it produced shaped our original understanding of the nation as a question is not whether we mistook integration for the promised land. Confusion, gration has long divided the black community. For a surprising number of blacks, the munity that was destroyed post-Brown. As Adam Fairclough has noted, school inteincreasing cynicism among some. There is an eerie nostalgia for the feeling of comoptimism and contemporary hopelessness. A sense of lost opportunity has sparked Beyond the academic debates, many black activists struggle to reconcile their early

⁸ The social scientist survey on the psychological effects of segregation submitted to the Supreme Court as an appendix in *Brown* is cited in Kenneth B. Clark, *Prejudice and Your Child* (Boston, 1955), 39–41. Scott, *Contempt and Pity*, xii–xiv, 125–26, 138; W. E. B. Du Bois, *Black Reconstruction in America*, 1860–1880 (New York, 1935),

white and Negro schools are appalling . . . the median expenditure per standard classroom unit in schools for white children is \$1,160 as compared with \$476 for Negro children." See Brief of the American Federation of Teachers as Amicus Curiae at 9, Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483 (1954) (No. 1). Derrick A. Bell, "Bell, "Dissenting," in What Brown v. Board of Education Should Have Said, ed. Balkin, 185–200. Stephen E. Gortleib, "Brown v. Board of Education and the Application of American Tradition to Racial Division," Suffilk University of the Stephen E. Gortleib, "Brown v. Board of Education and the Application of American Tradition to Racial Division," Suffilk University ⁹ For an example of the judiciary's perception of racism as a matter of prejudice, see Justice Anthony M. Kennedy's concurrence in *Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett*, 531 U.S. 356, 374–75 (2001). On the development of a specific intent theory of equal protection, see John Charles Boger, "Willful Colorblindness: The New Racial Piety and the Resegregation of Public Schools," *North Carolina Law Review*, 78 (Sept. 2000), 1794. Washington v. Davis, 426 U.S. 229 (1976); *Mobile v. Bolden*, 446 U.S. 55 (1980). On the cost of segregation to black schoolchildren and ultimately their communities, one source noted "the contrasts in support of sity Law Review, 34 (2001), 282–83. See also George Lipsitz, The Possestive Investment in Whiteness: How White People Profit from Identity Politics (Philadelphia, 1998), 34. But contrast Fairclough, Teaching Equality, 66. Cheryl I. Harris, "Whiteness as Property," Harward Law Review, 106 (June 1993), 1714.

¹⁰ On the Court's deference to southern whites, see Harris, "Whiteness as Property," 1753n9. For criticism of integration efforts, see Detrick A. Bell Jr., "Serving Two Masters: Integration deals and Client Interests in School Desegregation Litigation," Yale Law Journal, 85 (March 1976), 470–516. For a critique of Bell's view that it was midde-class blacks who sought integration, see Tomiko Brown-Nagin, "Race as Identity Caricature: A Local Legal History Lesson in the Salience of Intraracial Conflict," University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 151 (June 2003), dent on which *Brown* relied, nearly 90% of the undergraduate classes with five to twenty-four students had no or only one African American to contribute their experiences or perspectives to a class discussion." Office of Admissions, University of Texas at Austin, "Diversity Levels of Undergraduate Classes at the University of Texas at Austin, 1996–2002," Nov. 20, 2003 https://www.utexas.edu/student/admissions/research/ClassroomDiversity96-03 .pd5 (Feb. 3, 2004). Cf. Sweatt v. Painter, 339 U.S. 629 (1950). 1913–76. On tokenism, consider that as recently as 2002, in a flagship state school that was the subject of a prece-

lessly Hollow History: Revisionist Devaluing of Brown v. Board of Education, Virginia Law Review, 80 (Feb. 1994), 151. Robert Korstad and Nelson Lichtenstein, "Opportunities Found and Lost: Labor, Radicals, and the Early Civil Rights Movement," Journal of American History, 75 (Dec. 1988), 787. On the role of courts in implementing desegregation, see U.S. v. Jefferson County Board of Education, 372 E. 2d 836, 847 (1966); Rosenberg, Hollow Hope, 52. commentators have suggested Klarman may have exaggerated the possibilities of northern and southern biracial cooperation or treated the role of lingation without sufficient nuance. See, for example, David Garrow, "Hopetory, 81 (June 1994), 81-118; Klarman, "Brown, Racial Change, and the Civil Rights Movement," 7-150. Some 11 Michael J. Klarman, "How Brown Changed Race Relations: The Backlash Thesis," Journal of American His

¹² Cecelski, Along Freedom Road, 8, 10, 12, 15, 34, 36. Cf. Fairclough, Better Day Coming, 148, 219, 221–23; and Fairclough, Teaching Equality, 62–65. Patterson, Brown v. Board of Education, xxvi–xxix, 201–5. See also Bell, "Serving Two Masters," 470–516.

W governing resource distribution—has not functioned simply through evit or irrational prejudice; it has been an artifact of geographic, political, and economic interests ern "lords of the lash" were complicit in the maintenance of slavery and its aftermath. dence. Such histories remind us that the northern "lords of the loom" and the south profiting from slavery" and "left the public arena to men of propertied indepenelectoral clout at the national level. For roughly 50 of our country's first 72 years, the gave southern states, and most often southern plantation owners, disproportionate In the United States racism was foundational, indeed constitutional. Mathstream his-"Negro inferiority" and white supremacy for most of the nineteenth and twentieth but it won the ideological civil war, propagating white acceptance nationwide of both As David Brion Davis has explained, the South may have lost the Civil War battles, the ideological dissonance of a country "of free men" that "worshipped liberty while the social alliances between northern and southern elites encouraged both to suppress presidency was won by southern slave owners. Indeed, before and after the Civil War torians are now busy tracing the constitutional legacy of the three-fifths clause that Racism—meaning the maintenance of, and acquiescence in, racialized hierarchies

animated the early American revolutionaries. 14 encompassed many of the principles of self-government and property ownership that alone were not the measure of success. Their struggle was for "jobs and freedom" and nomic, political, and social opportunity for the mass of blacks, for whom civil rights place. Formal legal equality granted through the courts could never guarantee ecoearliest beginnings and then to refashion a new social and economic order in its extirpate a complex system of relationships that have tortured this country from its assessment of segregation's causes and effects, could have accomplished the goals of one grounded in more rigorous social science research or employing a more balanced the Brown attorneys or could now accomplish the massive tasks that still await us: to Under those circumstances, it is an open question whether any legal analysis, even

arguably benefited most. When Brown is read in light of these divisions, it is clear that bution of resources and opportunity; and between poor and middle-class blacks, who southern elites; between white elites and poor whites, north and south; between poor were also at work ordering social, regional, and class conflict between northern and Court's initiative in Brown, geographic, racial, and class-based interest divergences the task confronting those who took on Jim Crow would prevent even the mos blacks and poor whites, whose concern was not unequal treatment, but the maldistri-While Bell focused on interest convergences to explain the limited reach of the

From Racial Liberalism to Racial Literacy

99

with de jure segregation disabled the plaintiffs' attorneys and their liberal allies from power and privilege and by poor whites to palliate their own debased circumstances. racialized hierarchy. That hierarchy was racialized both by elites to consolidate their comprehending Jim Crow as the visible manifestation of a larger, eonstandy mutating the visible manifestation of American racism. This understandable preoccupation in new garb. The social engineers in Brown identified state-sponsored segregation as ambitious policy-minded experts from challenging white supremacy as it reemerged

sides of a singular preoccupation with desegregation because their analysis essential significant resource redistribution. The legal engineers failed to anticipate the downout sacrificing any of their own privileges, believing integration was possible without fatal blow to racialized hierarchies. The lawyers' assumption and its corollary remeism influenced the legal engineers to treat the symptoms of racism, not the disease segregation by law as the primary race relations evil in this country. It was not until status. As Judge Robert Carter, one of the NAACP LDEF lawyers in Brown, has since not ended by the defeat of Jim Crow, even in school systems that achieved unitary ease Jim Crow betokened could and did easily reappear in a new guise. Racism was within the white community. The lawyers and their allies went to court to enforce a dial emphasis were limited by the nature of their allies, who wanted to do good withsymptom of the greater and more pernicious disease—white supremacy."15 was not legally enforced racial segregation itself; that this was a mere by-product, a written, "Both northern and southern white liberals and blacks looked upon racial mantling Jim Crow was a noble imperative, the lawyers did not realize that the disreserving for a privileged few the resources they needed to learn. Finally, while disblacks, reinforcing white working-class fear of economic downward mobility, and right without consciously considering the remedy, which ended up re-stigmatizing ized all white children, without identifying the regulatory role race and class played Their strategy was to eliminate desegregation, which they assumed would strike a Brown I was decided that blacks were able to understand that the fundamental vice Brown's legacy is clouded at least in part because post-World War II racial liberal-

of the dolls with which those children play, race is, and was, about the distribution of tion of seats in a classroom, the use of buses to transport schoolchildren, or the hue extended well beyond them that created the separate spheres inhabited by blacks and whites in or ill will. Racism has had psychological, sociological, and economic consequences converted into a tool of division and distraction. It is not just an outgrowth of hatred power. Race in the United States is a by-product of economic conflict that has been Even when race is no longer explicitly coded by appearance or ancestry, the alloca-

¹³ For a definition of racism, see Lani Guinier and Gerald Torres, The Miner's Canary: Enlisting Race, Resisting Power, Transforming Democracy (Cambridge, Mass., 2002), 302. On the role of racial hierarchy in American history, see, for example, David Brion Davis, Free at Last. The Enduring Legacy of the South's Civil War Victory," New York Times, Aug. 26, 2001, see. 4, p. 1; Garry Wills, "The Negro President," New York Review of Books, Nov. 6, 2003, pp. 45, 48-49; Gordon S. Wood, "Slaves in the Family," New York Times, Dec. 14, 2003, sec. 7, p. 10; and Lipsitz, Possessive Investment in Whiteness, 18. Eric Fones, The Story of American Freedom (New York, 1998), 31–32; Henry Wiencek, "Yale and the Price of Slavery," New York Times, Aug. 18, 2001, p. A15; Davis, "Free at

¹⁴ Biondi, To Stand and Fight, 183; Foner, Story of American Freedom, 21

¹⁵ On racism as the "dominant interpretative framework" for understanding and securing social stability in the United States, see Bell, "Bell, J., Dissenting," 185, 187–190. See also Lipsitz, Possesive Investment in Whiteness, 2, 19. On the difficult relationship between the legal rights in Brown and potential remedies, see Jack M. Balkin, "Brown v. Board of Education—A Critical Introduction," in What Brown v. Board of Education Should Have Said, ed. Balkin, 64–71. Robert Carter, "A Reassessment of Brown v. Board," in Shades of Brown: New Perspectives on School Desegregation, ed. Derrick A. Bell (New York, 1980), 23. See also Kenneth B. Clark, "The Social Scientists, the Brown Decision, and Contemporary Confusion," in Argument, ed. Friedman, xl. Lewis, In Their Oun Interests, the Deservation of the Properties of the Propert

To address the full range of racialized inequities in this country, racial justice advocates need to move beyond the early tenets of racial liberalism to treat the disease and not just its symptoms. A first step would be to make legible racism's ever-shifting yet ever-present structure. The oppressive conditions that most blacks still confront must not be ignored, but the continuing puzzle is how to address the complex ways race adapts its syntax to mask class and code geography. Racism is a structural phenomenon that fabricates interdependent yet paradoxical relationships between race, class, and geography—what I am calling the interest-divergence difference that requires a new racial fiteracy, meaning the capacity to decipher the durable racial grammar that structures racialized hierarchies and frames the narrative of our republic. To understand why Brown v. Board of Education has not lived up to its promise, I propose a paradigm shift from racial liberalism to racial literacy.

Racial Liberalism and the Interest-Divergence Dilemma

Post-World War II racial liberalism rejected scientific racism and discredited its postulate of inherent black inferiority. At the same time, racial liberalism positioned the peculiarly American race "problem" as a psychological and interpersonal challenge rather than a structural problem rooted in our economic and political system. Segregation was a "symptom of some psychological maladjustment" among those who imposed it; it was also a source of psychological maladjustment among those who were subjected to it. Reeling from the horrors of fascism abroad, fearing the specter of totalitarian domination, and facing continued pressure to fight racial inequities at home, proponents of greater tolerance suggested that racism was irrational and would surrender to logic and interpersonal contact. Equality before the law, through through racial integration. And that goal, in its singular and universalistic truth, would provide the ultimate reconciliation. The defining elements of postwar racial liberalism were its pragmatic devotion to a single strategy, its individualized and static view of American racism, and its focus on top-down social reform. 16

The coalition promoting racial liberalism took hold only after northern elites began to align their interests with black emancipation rather than with the interests of their putative southern counterparts who used legal segregation to preserve upperclass power. In the shadow of the Cold War, international pressure and elite-dominated racial liberalism gave the civil rights quest moral and strategic heft; but it also reconfigured civil rights advocacy. According to some scholars, the alliance between middle-class blacks and white moderates filled the void as labor influence eroded in the late 1940s due to anticommunist assaults, the slow pace of reform through administrative changes, and union leaders' unresponsiveness to the specific needs of black union members. The result was a more conservative civil rights movement.

Martha Biondi has argued that anticommunism propelled desegregation efforts while displacing grass-roots movements that had focused on building economic coalitions across lines of race.¹⁷

In the struggle between grass-roots insurgency emphasizing both political and economic issues and top-down elite control of a social agenda based on a single principle, the elites prevailed. Relying on psychological evidence of the intangible damage segregation does to black personality development, the strategic shift to challenge Jim Crow enabled many white allies to maintain their social and economic advantages without giving up the moral high ground. While anticommunist fervor helped fuel the willingness of national elites to take on segregation, it also channeled dissent from the status quo into status-based legal challenges that focused on formal equality through the elimination of de jure segregation. Is

Scholars such as Biondi have suggested that biracial activism around common economic interests existed prior to, and was displaced by, *Brown*, while others find minimal evidence of such coalitions. The real surprise, the latter have argued, has been the antipathy to the civil rights movement that northern working- and lower-middle-class whites displayed. Guided by the assumption that closer contact with whites would assure dignity and citizenship rights for blacks, the "new integrationist orthodoxy" failed to connect its version of the psychology of blacks with an equally probing analysis of the psychology of whites. The bargain struck by northern elites—that desegregation would restore credibility to the United States during the Cold War and provide social stability as it eased the dissonance experienced by black veterans returning from World War II—disregarded the substantial investment poor whites had in their superior social status vis-à-vis blacks.¹⁹

North and south, many working-class and poor whites had acquired an investment in white racial privilege even before the decision in *Brown*. Not surprisingly, remedies involving desegregation evoked virulent hostility among such whites, who were the people initially targeted by those remedies. After the Supreme Court's decision in *Milliken v. Bradley*, which held that only districts found to have intentionally discriminated could be subject to a school desegregation plan, they became the group of whites most affected by desegregation in both North and South, as wealthier whites fled inner cities for surrounding suburbs. Even the most committed proponents of racial integration of the schools acknowledge that it is poor rather than rich whites who have experienced dislocation in the transition to integrated schools. As

While color blindness was also a goal, most racial liberals were willing to endorse a temporary period of race consciousness. On racial liberalism, see Scott, Contempt and Pity, xiii.

¹⁷ On the creation of a more conservative civil rights movement, compare Biondi, *To Stand and Fight*, 171, 182–83; Lewis, *In Their Own Interests*, 144–46, 165; and Korstad and Lichtenstein, "Opportunities Found and Lost," 800–801, 804–5.

¹⁸ Biondi, To Stand and Fight, 171; Scott, Contempt and Pity, 184; Lewis, In Their Own Interests, 148, 165 10, 202

¹⁹ On the new integrationist orthodoxy, see Biondi, To Stand and Fight, 182–83. On the extent of biracial activism and the antipathy of northern working-class whites toward coalition building, compare Klarman, "Brown, Racial Change, and the Civil Rights Movement," 102–3; Thomas J. Sugrue, "Crabgrass-Roots Politics: Race, Rights, and the Reaction against Liberalism in the Urban North, 1940–1954," Journal of American History, 82 (Sept. 1995), 351–78; and Afriotic #. Histor, "Massive Restance in the Urban North: Trumbull Park, Chicago, 1953–1966," ibid., 522–50. On social scientists underestimates of the effect of racism on blacks and whites in North and South, see Clark, "Social Scientists, the Brown Decision, and Contemporary Confusion," xl-xlv, xlix.

June 2004

they had been betrayed."20 "feared a loss of control over their public schools," a loss "intensified by the sense that Bell has recognized, poor whites and blacks have much in common, yet poor whites

est divergences between poor and working-class whites and blacks. vergence between southern white elites and southern poor whites, ignored the gences between northern elites and southern whites, solidified the false interest conequal is inherently unequal" had unanticipated consequences. It intensified diverthe historical literature suggests that the Brown Court's doctrine that "separate but was not always the change they sought. A preliminary, and mostly tentative, review of interest divergences between poor and middle-class blacks, and exacerbated the interthose conflicts were allowed to fester. Ironically, the change the racial liberals wrought were transformed but not overcome. Indeed, in the petri dish of racial liberalism, divergences that defined the country in 1954 continued to incubate. The conflicts Racial liberalism identified a thin slice of the problem, while the multiple interest

Interest Divergence: Racialized Geography and the Psychology of White Solidarity

conferred on whites short shrift. In the ideology of racial liberalism, the class and advantaging blacks.21 control over poor and working-class whites as well as a means of dominating or dismade clear that segregation had offered elites an important means of exercising social tion. Neither the opinion nor the subsequent legal strategy to implement Brown elementary framework for understanding what they might gain as a result of integracommunity they did have. Brown's racial liberalism did not offer poor whites even an tion with blacks brought no added value and endangered the sense of autonomy and desegregation as downward economic mobility. To poor whites, compulsory associamany poor and working-class whites saw themselves as victims. Second, they saw ern whites—also receded from view. That inattention had two consequences. First, geographic interests of rural and poor southern whites—and of working-class northlogical damage segregation did to blacks gave the psychological benefits segregation Unlike the Jim Crow system it challenged, Brown's asymmetric focus on the psycho-

completed high school, but less than a quarter of whites of the same age living on state-by-state percentages of functional illiterates (defined as people with less than from the rest of the whites and from virtually all blacks.²² affluent white minority completed elementary and high school, standing far apart people and farm, village, and city dwellers, were semiliterates (defined as those with farms had done so. The majority of southern whites, considering older and younger In most southern states, more than half of urban whites in their late twenties had five years of schooling) for whites on farms overlapped with those for blacks in cities. ties. According to the 1950 census, among southerners in their late twenties, the had completed at least eleven years of schooling. There were also rural-urban dispariwealthiest seventeen-year-olds in the South, but less than one-sixth of the poorest, in the South than in other parts of the country. In 1940 nearly three-quarters of the tional orphans. Levels of schooling declined with falling income more precipitously blacks, poor whites, especially in rural communities in the South, were often educathe aggregate enjoyed educational resources that far exceeded those available to poor and working-class whites and those of more affluent whites, who had access to less than twelve years of schooling) who shared disadvantages with blacks, while an better education through private school or geographic mobility. Although whites in Little attention was paid to the disparities between the educational resources of

evident when race and class are disaggregated(23) "loss." That sense of loss was exploited by demagogic politicians, who have successmedia maps of the 2000 presidential election. And yet regional differences are less solidity the original bargain between poor and wealthy southern whites. Regional diffully used racial rhetoric to code American politics to this day and who continue to As a result, poor whites experienced desegregation, in Bell's terminology, as a net failed to contemplate a mechanism for acknowledging the psychological paradox of ferences remain pronounced, as evidenced in the "red" and "blue" states that defined poor whites or their need for greater material resources and other tangible benefits. M Ideologically commited to an integrationist orthodoxy, racial liberalism initially

mobility through compulsory association with blacks. The dramatic events accompa-In the South, for example, integration was successfully portrayed as downward

Encollment Shifts in Utan Public Schools, 1987–1996," Journal of Policy Analysis and Management, 20 (Spring 2001), 199–221. See also James S. Coleman, Sara D. Kelly, and John A. Moore, Trends in School Segregation, 1968–73 (Washington, 1975), 53–80; and David J. Armon, Forced Justice School Desegregation and the Law (New York, 1995), 174–93. On poor whites weathering the transition to integrated schools, see Gary Orfield, "Metropolitan School Desegregation: Impacts on Metropolitan Society," Minnesota Law Review, 80 (April 1996), 831. Bell, "Brown v. Board of Education and the Interest-Convergence Dilemma," 525. See also Linda Hamilton Kreiger, "The Content of Our Categories: A Cognitive Bias Approach to Discrimination and Equal Employment Opportunity," Samford Law Review, 47 (July 1995), 1240.

1999), 378; Pete Daniel, Lost Revolutions: The South in the 1950s (Chapel Hill, 2000), 270. Many whites believed ²⁰ Milliken v. Bradley, 418 U.S. 717 (1974). On desegregation and white flight, see Paul Gewirtz, "Remedies and Resistance," Yale Law Journal, 92 (March 1983), 628-65; Jeffrey A. Raffel, The Politics of School Desegregation: The Memopolitan Remedy in Delaware (Philadelphia, 1980), 177; and Finis Welch and Audrey Light, New Evidence on School Desegregation (Washington, 1987), 74. For the debate on whether white flight was a response to school desegregation, see Gary Orfield, Must We Buc's Segregated Schools and National Policy (Washington, 1978); Gary Orfield and David Thronson, "Dismantling Desegregation: Uncertain Gains, Unexpected Costs," Emory Law Journal, 42 (Summer 1993), 759-90; and Charles T. Clotfelter, "Are Whites Still Fleeing? Racial Patterns and Journal, 42 (Summer 1993), 759-90; and Charles T. Clotfelter, "Are Whites Still Fleeing? Racial Patterns and

that if race relations changed, they could only lose social status and power. See Robert J. Norrell, Reaping the Whirlund: The Civil Rights Movement in Tuskegee (New York, 1885), 107.

22 C. Arnold Anderson, "Social Cass Differentials in the Schooling of Youth within the Regions and Community-Size Groups of the United States," Social Force, 25 (May 1947), 440, 436; C. Arnold Anderson, "Inequalities in Schooling in the South," American Journal of Sociology, 60 (May 1955), 553, 549, 557. See also Allison Davis, "Socio-Economic Influences upon Children's Learning," in Proceedings of the Mideentury White House Conference on Children and Youth, ed. Edward A. Richards (Raleigh, 1951), 7; Robert L. Marion, Rural Education in the Southern United States (Austin, 1979); and Rashi Fein, "Educational Patterns in Southern Migration," Southern Economic Journal, 32 (July 1965, part II), 106–24.

²³ Bell, "Brown u Board of Education and the Interest-Convergence Dilemma," 525; Armor, Forced Justice, 174–93, 206–7. See also Charles E. Kimble, "Factors Affecting Adults' Attitudes toward School Desegregation," Journal of Social Psychology, 110 (April 1980), 216. On regional differences based on race, see, for example, Thomas Byrne Edsall with Mary D. Edsall, Chain Reaction: The Impact of Race, Rights, and Taxes on American Politics (New York, 1991). On maps that color code the electronate, with red for Republican states and blue for Democratic states, see Robert David Sullivan, "Beyond Red and Blue," Commonwealth Magazine chttp://www.massinc.org/commonwealth/new_map_exclusive/beyond_red_blue.html> (Feb. 3, 2004); and Tom Zellet, "One State, Two State, Blue State," New York Times, Feb. 8, 2004, p. 16.

aby, who was then assistant principal of Central High School, recalled, "Except for a ship in Little Rock by reassuring them that their children could attend the new Hall gration experiment at Central. In their minds, the "symmetry" was not coincidental; when affluent whites were exiting to attend the new school. Horace Mann would grate Central in 1955. It was scheduled to take effect in fall 1957, at the very time dle-class white students transferred to the new high school just before the school year opened in the western and more affluent portion of the city. Middle- and upper-midwhite Hall High School, the all-black Horace Mann High School, and Central. Cenwould have no more boys and girls from [the northwest] section of Little Rock where hundred of our seniors who had elected to stay at Central for their final year, we school superintendent Virgil Blossom had "sold" his desegregation plan to the leaderand Hall high schools, while working-class whites became the guinea pigs in the intewould remain in the isolated, racially homogeneous environments of Horace Mann remained at Central perceived a twisted symmetry: poor blacks and rich whites tials and middle-class appearance of the black trailblazers, those white students who tion, albeit with nine carefully chosen black students. Despite the academic credenremain all-black; Hall would be all-white. Only Central would experience integrawould lose its "citywide character." The school board had approved a plan to intebegan. This meant that once the senior class at Central graduated in 1958, Central tral had been the only white high school in Little Rock, but in summer 1957, Hall dynamic. In 1957 there were three high schools serving Little Rock: the new allnying the integration of Central High School in Little Rock, Arkansas, illustrate the men were moving, where the country clubs are."24 the finest houses were being built, where the families of the most successful business-High School, "a high school segregated by both class and race." As Elizabeth Huck-

viewees criticized the disruption desegregation brought into their lives: "I became some white students who were then at Central. Even thirty years later, her interdreams of upward mobility and put working-class students' virtual membership in for a way to explain her hatted for one of the black students who entered Central in and this is not what I was looking forward to. This is just unfair." Another, searching very disenchanted with the whole thing. I just kept thinking, This is my senior year, the "dominant class" at risk. The sociologist Beth Roy subsequently interviewed whites, integration, timed to coincide with the flight of the city's elite, was a stigma-1957, exclaimed, "She walked the halls as if she belonged there." To working-class The exodus of white elites from Central High School threatened working-class

they resisted it.25 tizing force that interfered with their ability to pursue the American dream. Thus

with their own economic and social status, they blamed blacks. Cause and effect were reduced to race.26 fluent in the language of racial scapegoating some thirty years later. Disappointed vocabulary of either class or structure, Roy's working-class white informants were still conceptions of race and class that had crystallized under segregation. Lacking a in class terms, a racially polarized contest was easily manufactured using antebellum Although working-class whites initially saw this "experiment in interracial education" view the potential economic consequences of desegregation in psychological terms. ing the conversation about desegregation around a white racial consciousness Politicians preyed on their sense of betrayal and unfair sacrifice, deliberately organiz-Goaded on by the racial demagoguery of local politicians, such whites came to

ways-indeed, in any terms except class." As "the only class fully conscious of its class, whether planters or industrialists, to "make all whites think in racial or sectional nance by undermining any class identity among poor and working-class whites. playing of their economic and political interests in favor of a vigorous detense of card to defuse opposition to the poll tax, which disenfranchised poor whites as well as example, during the 1940s white elites in Birmingham, Alabama, had played the race remained defiant post-Brown, often encouraging massive resistance in the South. For According to some accounts, southern elites, with the exception of a few moderates, sciousness as an instrument of social control.²⁷ who served them," continued, after Brown as before, to deploy a white racial conpower and purpose," the Birmingham "industrialists, and the lawyers and politicians derived from racial demagoguery. It had long been in the interests of the white upper mously in Brown, ambitious southern politicians quickly perceived the benefits to be Aided by the same fear of Communism that may have led the Court to rule unaniwhite supremacy. Post-Brown the Birmingham elites ensured their continued domiblacks. Poor whites, more than half of whom did not vote, acquiesced in the downthey acted with the tacit approval of the more affluent whites in their communities. Although poor and working-class whites were among the most visible protesters,

order to maintain political power. Michael J. Klarman, for example, argues that affluwhere local leadership had fewer incentives to mine a white racial consciousness in gation. Resistance within the South was more muted in those metropolitan areas the focus of white moderates shifted from labor reforms to eliminating de jure segrehave argued that the Brown decision radically altered elite treatment of race issues as Upper-class whites in the South, however, were not monolithic; some scholars

²⁴ Daniel, Los Revolutions, 251; Elizabeth Huckaby, Crisis at Central High: Little Rock, 1957–58 (Baton Rouge, 1980), 1–13. The Central High School integration plan had originally called for the desegregation of grades ten through Twelve with 300 black students. Over time, the number was scaled back to 25. See Greenberg, Crusaders in the Courts, 228–29. On the twisted symmetry of the integration process, see Daniel, Lost Revolutions, 254–55; and David R. Goldfield, Black, White, and Southern: Race Relations and Southern Culture, 1940 to the Present (Baron Rouge, 1990), esp. 108. Huckaby, Crisi at Central High, 2. In 1960, the per capita income in the geographic region associated with Central High was \$3,826; in the region associated with Hall High it was \$8,012. See Donald Bogue, "Census Tract Data, 1960: Elizabeth Mullen Bogue File" (University of Chicago, Community and Family Study Center, 1975), computer file, Inter-University Consortium of Political and Social Research (ICPSR) version https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/8080/ICPSR-STUDY/02932.xml (Feb. 3, 2004).

²⁵ Daniel, Lost Revolutions, 257; Roy, Bitters in the Honey, 179, 206, 338, 343–44.
²⁶ On the role of Gov. Orval Faubus and others in manufacturing the conflagration and violence that attended the desegregation of Central High in Little Rock, see Greenberg. Crusaders in the Courts, 228–43. Goldfield, Black, White, and Southern, 108.

²⁷ Robert J. Norrell, "Labor at the Ballot Box: Alabama Politics from the New Deal to the Dixiecrat Movement," *Journal of Southern History*, 57 (May 1991), 201, 234, 227, 233. On antebellum conceptions of race and class and political use of white supremacy, see W. J. Cash, *The Mind of the South* (1941; New York, 1991), 38–39, 109–10. See also Norrell, *Reaping the Whirluind*, 92–102.

option of exiting the public school system altogether either by educating their children privately or by fleeing to the (generally white) suburbs."28 housing patterns were less likely to lead resistance. Wealthier whites "retained the ent city residents who were cocooned within racially and economically segregated

their ability to control their distance from blacks as a group. Failure meant being highly visible and resented. Blue-collar whites in Detroit measured their success by largely invisible. Government programs designed to give blacks a hand up were white neighborhood associations in Detroit in the 1950s, Thomas J. Sugrue found torced to share community, schools, or economic status with blacks.²⁹ boundaries to determine access to education were taken for granted and remained that government programs that subsidized white home ownership or defined political just the South, and affected blue-collar workers, not just poor whites. In a study of Politicization of the experience of desegregation as loss existed in the North, not

mobile black pioneers. They equated racial integration with crime and violence.³⁰ served as a "grim prophesy" of what theirs would become if they welcomed upwardly communities "were the fault of irresponsible blacks." Second, those neighborhoods with blacks in two ways. First, the "wretched conditions" in predominantly black den neighborhoods. Working-class whites interpreted the poverty they associated neighbors could see was a deluge of poor black people crowded together in crime-ridblacks who sought to move into white neighborhoods, all their prospective white dential distance from blacks. Although it was often the more affluent and educated racial identity premised on American individualism" depended on maintaining resi-Aspirations to upward mobility, bonds of family and community, and the "white neighborhood enclaves was central to white working-class identity in the North. homeowner associations in Detroit suggest that maintaining racially homogeneous Arnold Hirsch's study of public housing in Chicago and Sugrue's account of

exclusive suburb. No longer did they direct their rage at the economic or social concollar workers in Detroit with their corporate bosses living in Grosse Pointe, an phy. Sugree's study demonstrates the post-New Deal political realignment of bluea right to a racially homogeneous community. While it appeared that race trumped ditions that kept them off balance. Politicians and real estate brokers were able to class, it was equally true that class was defined by race and urban-suburban geogra-Many white working-class people perceived the American dream as assuring them

achieving the American dream, especially in uncertain economic times.³¹ blue-collar homeowners' community and thus to undermine a precondition for allies. It was those groups who threatened to destroy racial homogeneity within the reorient populist rage to target civil rights organizations and their upper-class white

ing race-based borders hindered Brown's capacity to provide meaningful integraand therefore school districts were often dominated by a single racial group, preexistabilities and future opportunities. In addition, because small and medium-sized cities areas, and their students often experienced great anxiety about their own educational poor white areas. Those schools were often geographically closest to the poor black consequently race), it left the costs of integration to already underfunded schools in structure of public education and did not reduce geographic segregation by class (and on racial geography had the same effect. Because Brown did not change the funding schools that would remain segregated. The formation of new towns and cities based also employed in other cities: the establishment in upper-class neighborhoods of new artificially to shift the burden from themselves. The method used in Little Rock was exercised constraint and exhorted moderation, many took advantage of their power actors represented burden shifting. Although a few middle- and upper-class whites On the one hand, the approaches to desegregation instituted by political and judicial estate brokers, to social or residential intermingling with blacks in Detroit suggest the about working-class white resistance, abetted by government policies and private real racially coded rhetoric to manipulate or divert attention from economic conditions. key role played by politicians and self-interested business people who resorted to a The stories told by Hirsch about housing desegregation in Chicago and Sugrue

manipulations, as Richard Thompson Ford, for example, has argued.33 whites from the "burden" of integration even without subsequent selfish or racist unnecessary. Class geography, untouched by Brown, would have sheltered upper-class "naturally" on poor and working-class whites. Explicit burden shifting was often On the other hand, some costs of integration under the Brown framework fell

society. According to Sugrue, racial liberalism succumbed to "simmering white disunderstanding of their actual condition relative to more affluent members of the their psychological position of relative privilege, they were left without an alternative matized by black demands for first-class citizenship. Watching the dismantling of Whether the geographic boundaries were natural or political, poor whites felt stig-

Rights Movement," 85–90, 102–3. On urbanization in the South, the way an influx of northern whites affected southern racial reform efforts, and the gradual weakening of Jim Crow's hold on the region, see *ibid.*, 52–65, 67–71; and Daniel, Lost Revolutions, 282. Klarman, "Brown, Racial Change, and the Civil Rights Movement," 64–65. tle Rock. See Goldfield, Black, White, and Southern, 48, 108; and Klarman, "Brown, Racial Change, and the Civil After Brown, southern elites who were not threatened economically seemed to acquiesce in racial progress, as in Lit-²⁸ Norrell, "Labor at the Ballot Box," 227. Some scholars argue that in several southern states, the postwar political elite was dominated by progressives who campaigned successfully for the interests of poor blacks and whites.

²⁹ On how northern white working-class residents came to expect racially segregated neighborhoods, largely because of New Deal policies, and how the stage was set for the "backlash" long before the racial liberalism of the 1950s and 1960s, see Thomas J. Sugrue, *The Origins of the Urban Crisis: Race and Inequality in Postuar Detroit* (Princeton, 1996); and Hirsch, "Massive Resistance in the Urban North," 522–50. See also Charles R. Lawrence III, "The Id, the Ego, and Equal Protection: Reckoning with Unconscious Racism," *Stanford Law Review*, 39 (Jan. 1987), 342. Lipsitz, *Possessive Investment in Whiteness*, 18.

³⁰ Hirsch, "Massive Resistance in the Urban North"; Sugrue, "Crabgrass-Roots Politics," esp. 561, 560.

³¹ On the alignment of working-class whites with upper-class whites to resist civil rights, see Roy, *Bitters in the Honey*, 46-48, 132-33, 148-66, 179-84; and Sugrue, "Crabgrass-Roots Politics." See also Hirsch, "Massive Resis-

desegregation methods in Wilmington, Delaware, and the more evasive ones used in Dallas, Texas, illustrates how upper-class whites used political and social power to railor the implementation of desegregation to limit their burden. Compare Raffel, Politics of School Desegregation, 13, 20, 110–11, 210; and Glenn M. Linden, Desegregating Schools in Dallas; Four Decades in the Federal Cours (Dallas, 1995), 24. On racial segregation in the formation of new towns, see Nancy Burns, The Formation of American Local Governments: Private Values in Public Institutions rance in the Urban North."

3. Hirsch, "Massive Resistance in the Urban North"; Sugrue, "Crabgrass-Roots Politics." A comparison of the (New York, 1994), 35-36.

³³ Burns, Formation of American Local Governments, 112; Richard Thompson Ford, "The Boundaries of Race: Political Geography in Legal Analysis," Harvard Law Review, 107 (June 1994), 1847-57.

of the federal government, blacks absconded with the American dream.34 workers from Little Rock to Detroit, the explanation has been simple. With the aid content," constrained by "the politics of race and neighborhood." For many white

mental need to organize collectively and across racial lines to obtain similar ing-class whites accepted the terms of racial solidarity rather than confront the fundathe best educational resources, the good jobs, and the safe streets. Yet poor and workwork. The stories of Little Rock, Detroit, and Chicago suggest that it was middleprograms for homeownership and highways and who tended to monopolize access to class and often suburban whites who were subsidized in large measure by government affirmative action, lived on welfare, or chose to hustle rather than perform honorable dream. Working-class whites did not get into the colleges of their choice, did not get so because of individual merit; they earned their success. By contrast, in the stories the jobs they needed, or were the victims of crime because blacks benefited from reported to Roy, if they failed, it was because black people "stole" the American tively assigned their own failures to blacks. Whites who succeeded believed they did Witness Beth Roy's working-class white informants in Little Rock, who collec-

they assume personal responsibility for their flaws.36 discipline. The losers are not only miserable failures; they also lack character unless those who fail to climb up the ladder of success must be without talent or without and their children. Virtue leads to success; success is evidence of virtue. Therefore, talents prevail; they work hard, take risks, and imagine a better future for themselves vidual effort. Those who succeed are those who exert strenuous effort so that their inclusive fantasy, but that opportunity is presumptively obtained through one's indigood job, and economic security. The opportunity for everyone to succeed is an broadly: Everybody should have the chance to succeed as measured by income, a mistic, and high-minded myth that "evokes" "unsullied newness, infinite possibility, tity. According to Jennifer L. Hochschild, the American dream is an inclusive, optiof the American dream, many whites turned to race as an explanation and an iden-[and] limitless resources." The dream has universal elements of sharing opportunity Their fears inflamed by economic insecurity as constructed by the individualism

this metanarrative. In the words of Du Bois, the psychological wage of whiteness put neither irrational nor aberrant, given the otherwise highly individualized structure of version of the American dream. The choice of race as the explanatory covariant is matic explanation for the fact that few working-class and poor whites achieve their gap, providing a believable account of all that went wrong. Race functions as a prag-"an indelible black face to failure." Once blackness becomes the face of failure, race ingly accept an equally self-referential explanation of failure. Race arguably fills the While it is easy to see success as a sign of merit rather than luck, few people will-

From Racial Liberalism to Racial Literacy

109

color.37 and between blacks and whites and among and between blacks and other people of then influences and constrains social, economic, and political opportunities among

change one's circumstances.38 healthy psychological response, at least insofar as it may lead to collective action to understanding of failure as a product of systemic rather than personal deficiencies is a terms. Indeed, contemporary sociological and psychological research suggests that an party. For many blacks, success and failure are both understood in more collective pate in the American dream; whites think reverse discrimination is the culpable whites and blacks. Blacks think racial discrimination inhibits their chances to partici-In a somewhat incongruous fashion, race is the variable explaining failure for both

Interest Divergence: Stigmatizing Race

most of the second half of the twentieth century as the "principal ideological inspirawho argued a companion case to Brown. 39 berg, Thurgood Marshall's successor as head of the NAACP LDEF and one of the lawyers tion" to those who sought racial justice through the courts, according to Jack Greenscientific racism and other theories of inherent black inferiority. It also served for the Court had put itself in 1896. It represented the triumph of racial liberalism over formulation. It overruled Plesy v. Ferguson, the constitutional straitjacket in which claim the Constitution as theirs despite the tragic role race had played in its earliest about the changing meaning of the United States Constitution and allowed blacks to Brown helped change the quality of life for many blacks. It educated the country

a racialized hierarchy.40 could give. Brown's analysis was limited by its singular focus on the harm segregation claim as arising from differential treatment rather than demeaning treatment within biology. Subsequent cases added insult to injury as the Court began to label the legal long associated with blacks, even as it attributed the stigma to segregation rather than purportedly showcasing blacks' lack of self-esteem, the opinion reinforced the stigma caused the personality development of black children. Predicated on experiments Yet as Marshall's colleague Robert Carter concluded, Brown promised more than it

case that segregation, especially when sanctioned by law, had a detrimental effect on adopted almost verbatim testimony by the psychologist Louisa Holt in the Kansas end damage to black psyches. The district court judge and later the Supreme Court Significantly, the Court's analysis was framed as requiring racial desegregation to

³⁴ Sugrue, "Crabgrass-Roots Politics," 570, 578; Roy, Bitters in the Honey, 326, 338.
³⁵ On working-class whites' racializing of failure, see Roy, Bitters in the Honey, 324-25, 338-44; Sugrue, "Crabgrass-Roots Politics," 551-78; and Sugrue, Origins of the Urban Crisis, 213-14.
"Crabgrass-Roots Politics," 551-78; and Sugrue, Origins of the Urban Crisis, 213-14.
"Crabgrass-Roots Politics," 551-78; and Sugrue, Origins of the Urban Crisis, 213-14.

³⁷ See Guinier and Torres, Miner's Canary, 102-4, 224-29. David Levering Lewis, "The Souls of Black Folk," a Century Hence," Crisis (March-April 2003), 18.

³⁸ See Guinier and Torres, Miner's Canary, 74-86.

³⁹ See Guinier and Torres, Miner's Canary, 74-86.

³⁹ Pletsy u. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896), Jack Greenberg made the statement in a 1974 speech delivered to the New York City Bar Association. See Gerald N. Rosenberg, "Brown is Dead! Long Live Brown!: The Endless Attempt to Canonize a Case," Virginia Law Review, 80 (Feb. 1994), 171n32.

⁴⁰ Robert Carter quoted in Kamisat, "School Desegregation Cases in Recospect," xxv. In recent cases challeng-

ing affirmative action, the Court's analysis often sees race merely as phenotypic difference, fails to recognize the asymmetrical ways in which race functions in American society, and allows whites to claim reverse discrimination. See Guinier and Torres, Miner's Canary, 32–66.

"the personality development of the Negro child." One of the lawyers in *Brown* found in her testimony, which he attributed to a "God-given eloquence," "the seeds of ultimate victory." Linking responsibility for educational disadvantage to black self-loathing and connecting that to a psychological abstraction did little, however, to disrupt the powerfully negative views of blacks in the popular imagination. As Charles R. Lawrence III has written, many whites do not believe that racial discrimination is the principal cause of black inequality. The explanation lies instead in some version of black inferiority. "Few will express this belief openly. It is no longer consistent with American ideology to speak in terms of inherent racial traits. But the myth of racial inferiority remains embedded in the fabric of our culture." ⁴¹

community. These outcomes can be traced, in part, to the flawed studies on which stood the source of self-esteem for many blacks and unwittingly contributed to the Clark. The Clark study aggregated findings of northern and southern black children. studies cited by the Court was the doll experiment of Kenneth Clark and Mamie psychological impact on all black children. The most famous of the psychological plaintiffs relied to prove that physically equal but segregated facilities had a negative divergence of interests along class and geographic lines within and without the black argued that the racial identification of the southern children, almost 80 percent of acceptance," Clark reported, "is not symptomatic of a healthy personality." Clark southern black children to accept their inferior social status as normal. "Such an mores rather than resignation," whereas racial segregation and isolation had caused children showed their "discomfort with the complicated and harsh reality of racial Kenneth Clark concluded that northern black children were actually psychologically unease when prompted to consider their physical similarity to the brown dolls, yet dolls than black children in the South. Many northern black children also verbalized Black children in the more integrated North had more frequently preferred the white dren to conclude that segregation caused feelings of inferiority among all blacks. with statements such as, "This one. It's a nigger. I'm a nigger."42 described the black dolls as "pretty," "nice," or "good" but accompanied their choices of the terms they used to verbalize their choices. The southern black children whom identified themselves in some way with the brown dolls, was tainted because healthier. A historian has summarized Clark's argument: The reaction of the northern light-skinned and dark-skinned black children, and middle-class and poor black chil-Basing its opinion on the psychological research of the time, the Court misunder-

41 Greenberg, Crusaders in the Courts, 130–32. Cf. Brief for the United States as Amicus Curiae at 3, Brown v. Board of Education (No. 1). Lawrence, "Id, the Ego, and Equal Protection," 322, 374–75, esp. 375. Scott, Contempt and Pity, 71–91; Charles R. Lawrence III, "If He Hollers Let Him Go: Regulating Racist Speech on Campus," Duke Law Journal (June 1990), 439–40, 466.

within the larger society are often more vulnerable to what Claude Steele and others suggested that those blacks who are the most invested in achieving academically sanctuary from psychological conflict. More recently, psychological literature has also desegregation "hurt" some blacks, while segregation motivated others to excel, a possis on the psychological damage segregation does to blacks camouflaged the ways contact with whites experienced the most psychological distress. While many blacks tradicted other contemporary studies that suggested that black children with greater his research. According to Daryl Scott, Clark's conclusions (unlike his data) also conin the personality of blacks as a result of the "damage inherent in racial segregation." involves the rejection of brown skin color by black children, and becomes embedded some circumstances the ability to maintain a sense of self-worth in a hostile environdependent rather than intrinsic. Moreover, social psychologists have found that in sibility Holt had conceded. For some black children, segregated schools provided a hailed the Court decision, especially for its vast symbolic value, the opinion's empha-These conclusions may have had some merit, but none was entirely consistent with esteem as a result of segregation" per se. 43 "there's not much evidence of chronic psychological damage done to blacks' selfferences between black kids and white kids were not well developed then; even today ment may actually enhance self-esteem. The key point is that data on self-esteem diftive stereotypes and low expectations for achievement, stereotype threat is context-Clark's "self-fulfilling prophecy" that black students internalize and then fulfill negaterm stereotype threat, the situational threat of being negatively stereotyped. Unlike Clark's message was that group self-hatred among blacks begins at an early age

A desegregation solution based on concerns about psychological stigma did not necessarily have the desired effect of providing meaningful educational and economic opportunity even for those middle-class blacks whom compulsory segregation had denied a first-class education. For example, desegregation meant that some black teachers, the backbone of the black middle class at the time, lost their jobs. And the mentoring provided to high-achieving middle-class black students at some all-black elite public high schools, such as Dunbar High School in Washington, D.C., was

for example, Mideentury White House Conference on Children and Youth, "The Effects of Prejudice and Discrimination," in Personality in the Making: The Fact-Finding Report of the Mideentury White House Conference on Children and Youth, ed. Helen Lelan Witmer and Ruth Kotinsky (New York, 1952), 135–58, esp. 142; and Clark, Prejudice and Your Child, 19-20, 22-24. On the methodological problems of these studies, see Scott, Contempt and Fity, 93–136. On the children examined in the doll studies and Kenneth Clark's conclusions about them, see a historian's account: Ben Keppel, "Kenneth B. Clark in the Patterns of American Culture," American Psychologist, 57 (Jan. 2002), 29–37, esp. 32.

4) Clark, Prejudice and Your Child, 50. Scott, Contempt and Pity, 124. On contemporary testing situations that trigger vulnerability to negative strerotypes, see Claude M. Steele, "Thin Ice: "Stereotype Threat and Black College Students," Atlantic Monthly, 284 (Aug. 1999) https://www.theatlantic.com/issues/99aug/9908stereotype2.

⁴³ Clark, Prejudice and Your Child, 50. Scott, Contempt and Piy, 124. On contemporary testing situations that rigger vulnerability to negative stereotypes, see Claude M. Steele, "Thin Ice: Stereotype Threat' and Black College Students," Atlantic Monthly, 284 (Aug. 1999) https://www.theatlantic.com/issues/99aug/9908stereotype2.
htms., part 2, para. 2 (April 2, 2004). On how stigmatization may strengthen self-esteem, see Jennifer Crocker and Brenda Major, "Social Stigma and Self-Esteem: The Self-Protective Properties of Stigma," Psychological Review, 96 (Oct. 1989), 608–30. On the lack of evidence that segregation by itself damaged self-estreem, see Geoffrey Cohen to Lani Guinier, e-mail, Dec. 4, 2003 (in Lani Guinier's possession). See also David Glenn, "Minority Students with Complex Beliefs about Ethnic Identity Are Found to Do Better in School," Chronicle of Higher Education, fonline version), June 2, 2003, now available at https://sitemaker.umich.edu/daphna.oyserman/files/chronicle_of_higher_education.htm (March 2, 2004); and D. Oyserman, M. Kemmelmeier, S. Fryberg, H. Brosh, and T. Hart-Johnson, "Racial-Ethnic Self-Schemas," Social Psychology Quarterly, 66 (Dec. 2003), 333–47.

113

white classmates, and the loss not only of black mentors but also of a sense of comtively by tracking, skepticism about blacks' intellectual ability by their teachers and munity in which the adults were invested in the students' achievement.44 schools, the interaction with white students was often limited literally and figuraneither replaced nor reproduced in more integrated environments. Within integrated

and more closely associated with racialized poverty.45 with poor blacks, and public education itself became stigmatized as it became more fomented an unhealthy battleground of racial tensions. Race became synonymous as urban public schools became the primary locus of integration; the change that "to focus on integration alone is a luxury only the black middle-class can afford their class interests. Similarly, Carter, an NAACP LDEF lawyer in Brown, later concluded blacks who now had the opportunity to choose educational situations consistent with They have the means to desert the public schools if dissatisfied." Poor blacks suffered that of others presents evidence that poor blacks were abandoned by middle-class that disadvantaged poor blacks in particular. Much of Derrick Bell's scholarship and cemented the connection between public education and damaged goods in a way In addition, the prejudice-centered approach set in motion forces that have

out of the constitutional canon. 46 What appeared to be "eloquence from God" in the ages children's ability to learn soon became manifest in a different prophecy: that testimony of a witness at the trial court in Kansas that compulsory segregation damdoctrinal distinction between race and class that lifted unequal resource distribution black, brown, and white, urban and rural. The Court's analysts became the basis for a stalled political interest convergences to the detriment of poor people of all colors: the importance of an educated citizenry to society as a whole, its legal analysis forethe crucial role that public education plays in a democracy and gave eloquent voice to manipulated to promote political goals about race policy. Although Brown heralded funds were diverted to conflict avoidance and resolution and education budgets dents became political figures or political pawns rather than learners; educational The rocus on educational quality soon ahazed, as administrators, teachers, and stu-

within the black community also influenced who led in challenging segregation. See Goldfield, Black, White, and Southern, 90-91. But cf. Klarman, "Brown, Racial Change, and the Civil Rights Movement," 56-62. On "racial outsiders" who have sought the privileges of whiteness, see Lipsitz, Posessive Investment in Whiteness, 3. See also Patterson, Brown v. Board of Education, 42-44, 200-201; and Cecelski, Along Freedom Road, 34. Carter, "Reas-4 On black teachers losing their jobs due to integration, see Cecekki, Along Freedom Road, 8. On the loss of olustanding black high schools, see Detrick Bell, Silent Covenans: Brown v. Board of Education and the Unfulled Hopes for Ratial Reform (New York, 2004), 124–25.

45 Bell. "Serving Two Masters," 470–516; Brown-Nagin, "Race as Identity Caricature," 1913–76. See also Coleman, Kelly, and Moore, Trends in School Sygregation, 53–80; Armor, Forzed Justice, 114–93; Lewis, In Their Own Interests, 199–202; and Sugrue, Origins of the Urban Crists, 268. On efforts by middle-class blacks to separate themselves from poorer blacks, see Grace Carroll, Environmental Stress and African Americans: The Other Side of the Moon (Westport, 1998), 9; Orfield and Thonson, "Dismanling Desegregation," 774; Lisa W. Foderaro, "A Suburb That's Segregated by Money Money Money More than Race," New York Times, Nov. 24, 2003, p. A22. Class differences and African Americans and Stress and African Stress and Africa

involved, the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment does not require absolute equality or precisely equal advantages." See San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodrigues, 411 U.S. 1, 24 (1973). Dissenting, Justice Thurgood Marshall lamented the Court's refusal to consider how much governmental action itself had striking down a judicial attempt to mandate equalization of resources, stating that "at least where wealth is ⁴⁶ The Court rejected the possibility that the Fourteenth Amendment implicated distributional considerations,

> tion. Integration was reduced to diversity, a benefit to be enjoyed by a critical mass, association with blacks who still occupied and defined separate, albeit public, educablack children simply cannot or do not wish to learn. Legally compelled segregation but not by the masses. became socially acceptable separation; separation became stigma; stigma became

dumping ground for those with nowhere else to go.47 public education." Indeed, urban and rural public schools became stigmatized as the companion case of Davis v. County School Board, Attorney General Lindsay Almond "badly impaired," which would lead to a "sizable falling off of the funds required for nities for both races" because goodwill toward the public school system would be former governor of Virgnia, testified that desegregation would "impair the opportument to it." Colgate Darden, then president of the University of Virginia and a know it today" because the "people would not vote bond issues through their resentof Virginia argued that integration would "destroy the public school system as we describing current realities. In his oral argument before the Supreme Court in the Sadly, it was the appellees in Brown whose prognostications came closest to

tion to explore the triangulation of interests along race, class, and geographic lines. ysis in fashioning a social change strategy. The Court, acting alone, was not in a posiintact may also have been a function of the elevated and preeminent role of legal analdice-centered orthodoxy of racial liberalism. That the divergences remain mostly middle-class blacks arising from the practical consequences of Brown (including the the identification of blackness with inferiority and stigma in the minds of whites. to the self-esteem of blacks while it underestimated the potentially negative impact of social reform through biracial top-down cooperation grounded in the values of racial of the temporary alliance between northern elites and civil rights advocates to promote I hat the divergences were relegated to the background was partly a result of the prejuloss of community and the exodus of middle-class blacks from urban public schools). There was also a divergence of interests inside the black community between poor and desegregation on the self-esteem of some blacks and perhaps inadvertently reinforced liberalism. The Court relied on incomplete data regarding the damage segregation did The ambiguity of Brown's legacy is as much a consequence of interest divergence as

Racial Literacy and the Interest-Divergence Dilemma

simultaneously revealed and concealed by race. Post-Brown, the ability to use race to ultimately perpetuated a more durable divergence of interests within and between the dissensus was not produced by race, but by social and economic conflict that was dissensus, complicating relationships within and outside communities of color. That but did little to disrupt the historic pattern in which race was used to manufacture black and white communities. The ideals of racial liberalism produced a legal icon The apparent interest convergence between northern liberals and southern blacks

⁴⁷ Davis v. County School Board, 103 F. Supp. 337 (E.D. Va. 1952). For Lindsay Almond's statements, see "Oral Argument," in Removing a Badge of Slavery: The Record of Brown v. Board of Education, ed. Mark Whitman (Princeton, 1993), 157. For Colgate Darden's testimony, see "Colgate Darden," ibid., 83, 84.

tory

June 2004

code and cloak diverging interests sustained racial hierarchies—a phenomenon that tainted our founding arrangements and remains at our ideological core.

Through the creation and maintenance of racialized hierarchies, the plight of poor blacks and poor whites was mostly ignored; similarly, under the shibboleth of equal opportunity, urban and rural communities were abandoned as the maldistribution of material resources persisted undisturbed. Just as significant, the psychological bribe that segregation offered working-class and poor whites was not examined or countered even as white racial solidarity assumed crucial importance in the decision's aftermath. Indeed, the focus on race as a source of one-way psychological stigma had deletterious consequences for the public school system. Public education became a battlefield rather than a constructive gravitational force within many communities. Race was used to pathologize blacks rather than to reveal how economic and social privilege hid behind racial fault lines. Ultimately, the class interests of those who could afford to invest personally in their children's education triumphed.

The first step in understanding these diverging interests is to make them legible. A racially literate analysis seeks to do just that by deciphering the dynamic interplay among race, class, and geography. In contrast to racial liberalism, racial literacy reads race as epiphenomenal. Those most advantaged by the status quo have historically manipulated race to order social, economic, and political relations to their benefit. Then and now, race is used to manufacture both convergences and divergences of interest that track class and geographic divisions. The racialized hierarchies that result reinforce divergences of interest among and between groups with varying social status and privilege, which the ideology of white supremacy converts into rationales for the status quo. Racism normalizes these racialized hierarchies; it diverts attention from the unequal distribution of resources and power they perpetuate. Using race as a decoy offers short-term psychological advantages to poor and working-class whites, but it also masks how much poor whites have in common with poor blacks and other people of color.⁴⁸

Racial liberalism triumphed in *Brown* by presenting racism as a departure from the fundamentally sound liberal project of American individualism, equality of opportunity, and upward mobility. But racial liberalism's individualistic and prejudice-centered view of formal equality failed to anticipate multiple interest divergences, helped fuel a white backlash, and doomed both integration and the redistribution of resources. Racial literacy, in contrast, requires us to rethink race as an instrument of social, geographic, and economic control of both whites and blacks. Racial literacy offers a more dynamic framework for understanding American racism.

There are many differences between what I call racial literacy and racial liberalism, but for the purposes of this essay three stand out. First, racial literacy is contextual rather than universal. It does not assume that either the problem or the solution is one-size-fits-all. Nor does it assume that the answer is made evident by thoughtful consideration of expert judgment alone. Racial literacy depends upon the engage-

and the Truth, outweigh all that the mixed school can offer."49 complex. But other things seldom are equal, and in that case, Sympathy, Knowledge, gives wider contacts; it inspires greater self-confidence; and suppresses the inferiority tion for their minds, not just integration of their bodies: "Other things being equal, the mixed school is the broader, more natural basis for the education of all youth. It gated and integrated education for blacks. He concluded that blacks needed educaequal, as W. E. B. Du Bois pointed out in 1935 as he weighed the benefits of segreabstraction that we are now being asked to honor equality. But things seldom are an issue of mere separation rather than subjugation. Indeed, it is precisely as a legal reflect that agency. It sees little to celebrate when formal equality is claimed within a refuses to lose sight of institutional and environmental forces that both shape and structural dimensions. It acknowledges the importance of individual agency but race and power. Racial literacy reads race in its psychological, interpersonal, and back, and assessment. Second, racial literacy emphasizes the relationship between harm and the issue being litigated ridiculed as a matter of "racial prestige" by John W. racialized hierarchy. Although legally enforced separation was identified as a dignitary bottom-up and top-down initiatives. It is about learning rather than knowing. Racial ment between action and thought, between experimentation and feedback, between Davis, attorney for South Carolina in the Brown case, it soon became distorted into literacy is an interactive process in which race functions as a tool of diagnosis, feed-

the role of government and the place of the public itself.50 mal equality, while contemplating what it will take to create a moral consensus about in mind. This means moving beyond a simple justice paradigm that is based on forwhites are possible, but only when complex issues are analyzed and acted upon with dysfunction. Real interest convergences among poor and working-class blacks and who served them." Racial literacy suggests that racialized hierarchies mirror the distriindependent of manipulations by "the industrialists and the lawyers and politicians economy of white racial solidarity for poor and working-class whites and blacks, economic interests for poor and working-class whites. It analyzes the psychological vidual victims. It considers the way psychological interests can mask political and race. It constantly interrogates the dynamic relationship among race, class, geogratheir structural, not just their legal or their asymmetric psychological, underpinnings lems that converge around blacks are often visible signs of broader societal bution of power and resources in the society more generally. In other words, probfor monumental social change on assumptions about individual prejudice and indiphy, gender, and other explanatory variables. It sees the danger of basing a strategy Third, while racial literacy never loses sight of race, it does not focus exclusively on

One of the original architects of the *Brown* strategy apparently understood the importance of further interrogating the interest divergences that promote a purely formal, legal equality within a system where social and economic inequalities persist. Charles Hamilton Houston, the former vice-dean at Howard Law School, director-

⁴¹ I define racial literacy at greater length in Guinier, "Admissions Rituals as Political Acts," 201–12. See also Guinier and Torres, Miner's Canary, 29–31.

⁴⁹ John W. Davis quoted in "1953 Argument," in Argument, ed. Friedman, 216. W. E. B. Du Bois, "Does the Negro Need Separate Schools?," Journal of Negro Education. 4 (July 1935), 335.
⁵⁰ Norrell, Reaping the Whirlwind, esp. 57.

117

before the case was decided: counsel of the NAACP LDEF and the consummate social engineer, declared six years

in the existing system. It seems to me that his historical challenge is to make sure that the system which shall survive in the United States of America shall be a system which guarantees justice and freedom for everyone. 51 of a lawsuit all before the final event has taken place. So far as our struggle for civil about is that the Negro shall not be content simply with demanding an equal share rights is concerned, the struggle for civil rights is won. What I am more concerned There come times when it is possible to forecast the results of a contest, of a battle,

class, geography, and the organizing narrative of upward mobility. sure of success, in part because the problem is not just race but race as conjugated by organizing narrative. Nor is the attainment of civil rights by itself an adequate meaacting alone possess the surgical skill required to alter the genetic material of our and often have been a critically important ally, but neither the judiciary nor lawyers tive, racialized asymmetries from the DNA of the American dream. The courts can be suggests that legal equality granted through the courts will not extirpate the distincused to explain failure in part by associating failure with black people. Racial literacy Race is a powerful explanatory variable in the story of our country, which has been

and culturally constructed definitions of race that predictably order and rank. cally disadvantages groups of individuals and privileges others consistent with socially are acting rationally, not irrationally, when they ignore the ways hierarchy systematiacquiesce in racialized hierarchy derive tangible benefits from such a hierarchy. They verted the structural phenomenon of racism into a problem of individual psychologignorance. Educated people, it was assumed, are not prejudiced. Yet many who information, rely on stereotypes, and act thoughtlessly. Prejudice was a function of prejudice was understood as an aberration in individuals who disregard relevant ical dysfunction that whites and blacks are equally capable of exhibiting. In the 1950s Through its invocation of the language of prejudice, the Court in Brown con-

ently unequal, then equality is simply presumed when the separation is eliminated Any remaining inequality is the fault of black people themselves.⁵² case mandating formal equality and nothing more. Subsequent courts have tended to edy. By defining racism as prejudice and prejudice as creating individual psychologiprejudice and reinforced the individuating of both the cause of action and the remperpetrator-oriented focus on color blindness. If the problem is that separate is inhercal damage, the Court's opinion paved the way for others to reinterpret Brown as a limit the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment by a symmetrical, In legal terms, Brown's rule of "equality by proclamation" linked segregation to

a caste system that oppressed all blacks, regardless of class and geographic lines, but whites to counter the psychological benefits of white racial solidarity. Jim Crow was explained by it. popularly accepted fiction that failure is not only measured by race but also of psychological benefits with economic and political self-interest that crafts the ity to separate and distinguish themselves from blacks as a group. It is the conflation also complicit, as they perceived their own advancement as dependent on their abilnomic opportunities of poor and working-class whites. Working-class whites were the psychology of Jim Crow allowed white elites to limit the educational and eco-In the end, Brown's racial liberalism had little to offer poor and working-class

understanding of the key role played by public education in a democracy. Yet it unwittingly nationalized the southern white racial consciousness, which downplayed directly affected to speak for themselves. Brown relied on the lawyers' and the justices' race and class without losing sight of race and in ways that invite the people most from the perspective of significant social progress that was inconceivable in 1954. beginning, the stark lines of divergence emerge more clearly in retrospect, viewed the trisection of interests along race, class, and regional lines haunted Brown from the private, individual choice. Nevertheless, it is important to remember that, although the collective interest in a vigorous public in favor of the social interest of one class in Brown's effect on public education, for example, showed why it is critical to link

political opportunities for all of us. junction with class and geography, invariably shapes educational, economic, and its foundational role in the making of this country's history and myths, race, in conjust for blacks, in other words, but for every citizen of the United States. Because of race and racism on our entire social, economic, and political order. Race matters not in which the people, not just the lawyers, come to understand the crippling effects of all their brilliance, the lawyers in Brown were unable to kindle a populist revolution igation strategy bolstered insurgent efforts to dismantle de jure segregation. But for To be sure, the NAACP lawyers were audacious social engineers. Their ingenious lit-

avoid confusing tactics with goals, forever freezing a formalistic theory of racial those of blacks." But however petitions for racial justice are framed, they need to tions for racial justice in forms that whites will realize serve their interests as well as has come for "a new policy compass," as Derrick Bell recently wrote, "to assert petisupport among people of all colors, whites as well as blacks. It may be that the time nity, we may be better able to combine legal and legislative advocacy that enlists ism continues to play in structuring and narrating economic and political opportuas well as in our lived experience. If we can become more literate about the role racovercome the structured dissension race has cemented in our popular consciousness judicial brief. We need to learn to use the courts as a tool rather than a panacea to My proposed paradigm shift to racial literacy is more a thought experiment than a

⁵¹ Charles Hamilton Houston (1949) quoted in The Road to Brown, dir. Mykola Kulish (California Newsreel

<sup>1990).

32</sup> Emphasis on formal equality gave birth to the (Warren E.) Burger and (William H.) Rehnquist courts' legal doctrine interpreting the Constitution narrowly, limiting relief to proven acts of intentional discrimination. See, for example, Washington v. Davis, 426 U.S. 229 (1976); and City of Mobile v. Bolden, 446 U.S. 55 (1980). Even

when the Court finds diversity to be a compelling governmental interest, it diverts concern and resources away from the real barriers to educational opportunity, according to Detrick Bell, "Diversity's Distractions," Columbia Law Review, 103 (Oct. 2003), 1622–33.

for progressive innovation in the future. 53 equality into the Constitution, which can then be used to undermine opportunities

understand why Brown feels less satisfying fifty years later. not resolve the interest-divergence dilemma. Nor should it. But at least it may help us porary institutional and democratic hierarchies and their historical antecedents, may and across lines of race, class, and geography might finally be what it takes to redeem literate analysis, meaning the ability to read race in conjunction with both contemthe optimistic assessment of those early academic commentators. Of course, a racially beginning of the twenty-first century, a racially literate mobilization of people within federal government has not assumed leadership in this arena since the 1960s. At the to go back to school. The courts acting alone cannot move us to overcome, and the If there is only one lesson to be learned from Brown, it is that all Americans need

³¹ Derrick A. Bell, "Comments from the Contributors," in What Brown v. Board of Education Should Have Said, ed. Balkin, 206. Bell, Silent Covenants, 119–20; W. E. B. Du Bois, Duck of Dawn: An Essay toward an Autobiography of a Race Concept (New York, 1940), 303.

Sex, Segregation, and the Sacred after Brown

Jane Dailey

going." King did not simply consider segregation unconstitutional; he considered it a text, King portrayed segregationists as wayward Christians who, like the Prodigal sin, and its Christian champions, heretics. Speaking of the boycott in another conself and his cause as divinely sanctioned, positioning segregationists clearly across the replied, "God was on our side." Martin Luther King Jr., for example, portrayed him-Asked to explain the victories of the civil rights movement, activists have often Son, "have strayed away to some far country of sin and evil."1 feel that the universe is on the side of right and righteousness. That is what keeps us have cosmic companionship," King revealed during the bus boycott in 1956. "We fence. "We have the strange feeling down in Montgomery that in our struggle we

soning and polluting that body. "The Church is first of all the body of Christ, and in as the body of Christ (Ephesians 4), denounced their segregationist brethren for poigious life at the University of Mississippi and Methodist minister Will Campbell odist minister. King agreed: The "church is the Body of Christ. So when the church that Body we are one, not races or clans," declared another white Mississippi Methtionist Christians, referring time and again to the Apostle Paul's notion of the church believed that racism was a "heresy" infecting white southern Protestantism. Integra-Many white supporters of black civil rights felt the same way. The director of reli-

expert research aid of Catherine Jones; and the thoughts, expertise, and encouragement of Tony Badger. Charles Eagles, David Garrow, Glenda Gilmore, Michael O'Brien, Bryant Simon, Stephen Tuck, Tim Tyson, and my own in-house theologian, David Nirenberg. Finally, I thank Mary Jane Gormley for her copy-editing expertise and Jennifer Ford of Special Collections at the University of Mississippi for her heroic battle with copyright attorneys in the Adecrace of Charles. the 2002 Southern Historical Association meeting, and to Steve Stowe, who heard it and suggested I expand the talk into an article for the *Journal of American History*. The resulting work benefited mightily from the close reading of six readers for the *JAH* (including Karen Anderson, David Chappell, and Paul Harvey) and its editor, in the control of the state of th Jane Dailey is associate professor of history at Johns Hopkins University.

This article originated in a paper written for the March 2002 "Massive Resistance" conference organized by Clive Webb at the University of Sussex. I am indebted to Clive for providing such a marvelous introduction to the Clive Webb at the University of Sussex. I am indebted to Clive for providing Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history, and to the participants of that conference (including Michael Klarman, Adam Fairworld of civil rights history). clough, and Dan Carter) for their helpful thoughts on this paper at a very early stage. Thanks also to Sandra Treadthe defense of scholarship. way, who asked me to present a version of this paper as the Southern Association for Women Historians lecture at

Readers may contact Dailey at <dailey@jhu.edu>.

¹ David L. Chappell, A Stone of Hope: Prophetic Religion and the Death of Jim Crow (Chapel Hill, 2004), 4. Clayborne Carson, ed., The Papers of Martin Luther King Jr. (4 vols., Berkeley, 1992–), III, 306. King on the Prodigal Son quored in Ralph E. Luker, "Kingdom of God and Beloved Community in the Thought of Martin Luther King Jr.," in The Role of Ideas in the Civil Rights Movement, ed. Ted Ownby (Jackson, 2002), 44.

New — available now!



American History The Bedford Reader in **GOING TO THE SOURCE**

Timothy J. Shannon, Gettysburg College Victoria Bissell Brown, Grinnell College

Volume 2 (Since 1865): 2004/paper/300 pages Instructor's Resource Manual Volume 1 (To 1877): 2004/paper/300 pages

of how history is created. that type of source and deepening their understanding tory, guiding students to explore the qualities unique to skepticism of practicing historians. Rather than overtype of source to illuminate a particular story in U.S. hiseach of the chronologically arranged chapters uses one whelming students with a patchwork of documents, historical documents with both the enthusiasm and Going to the Source teaches students to approach

Also available



Ninth Edition HISTORY **A STUDENT'S** GUIDE TO

Ithaca College Jules R. Benjamin

bedfordstmartins.com/ studentsguide 2004/paper/272 pages

> HISTORY WRITING

WRITING IN HISTORY **GUIDE TO** A POCKET ourth Edition

Trinity College Rampolla Mary Lynn

2004/paper/144 pages

research, understanding and avoiding working with sources, writing menting sources. plagiarism, and quoting and docuconventions in history, conducting write effective history papers, covering offers all the advice students need to This brief and easy-to-use reference

skills, and explains how to tackle common reviews basic study, research, and writing the study of history discusses the discipline, This compact, best-selling introduction to

history assignments.

Examination

visit: bedfordstmartins.com

e-mail: sales_support@bfwpub.com

ST. MARTIN'S BEDFORD

The Journal of American History

Published by the Organization of American Historians

Vol. 91

June 2004



© 2004 by the Organization of American Historians

The Journal of American History, formerly the Mississippi Valley Historical Review, is published quarterly by the Organization of American Historians.

Manuscript submissions, books for review, and correspondence concerning those and all other editorial matters should be addressed to the Editorial Office: Journal of American History, 1215 East Atwater Ave., Bloomington, Indiana 47401-3703, USA (telephone: 812-855-2816; fax: 812-855-9939; e-mail: <jah@indiana.edu>).

Guidelines for manuscript submission can be found at the Journal Web site: http://www.indiana

Please do not submit a manuscript that has been published or that is currently under consideration for publication elsewhere in either article or book form. The *Journal* will not consider submissions that duplicate other published works in either wording or substance.

or of opinion, made by contributors. The Organization of American Historians disclaims responsibility for statements, whether of fact

ship, dues, subscriptions, back issues, advertising, the annual meeting, and all other business mat-Changes of address, returned or undeliverable copies, and correspondence concerning member-855-7311; fax: 812-855-0696; e-mail: <oah@oah.org>; Web site: <http://www.oah.org>). ters should be addressed to the Executive Office: Organization of American Historians, 112 North Bryan Ave., P.O. Box 5457, Bloomington, Indiana 47408-5457, USA (telephone: 812-

Printed in March, June, September, and December by Capital City Press, Montpelier, Vermont



teaching, and presentation of American history and encourages wide discussion of historical questions and equitable treatment of all practitioners of history. The Organization of American Historians promotes excellence in the scholarship,

1071. All members and institutional subscribers receive the OAH Newsletter and the annual meeting American Historians (\$25-\$130 per year) receive the Journal or the OAH Magazine of History. Institutional subscribers (\$110-\$275 per year) receive the Journal and the OAH Magazine of History. The Journal of American History: ISSN 0021-8723. Individual members of the Organization of icals class postage paid at Bloomington, Indiana, and at additional mailing offices. Pub. no Program. To become a member or institutional subscriber, go to http://www.oah.org. Period

Postmaster: Send address changes to Executive Office address above.