The Idea of Communism. (2010) C. Douzinas and S. Zizek, Eds. London, Verso.

<u>Douzinas and Zizek—Introduction: The Idea of Communism</u> after the crash of 2008, history is back on: and communism can be part of that history

Austerity and the return to fiscal discipline, bailout of banks, socializing the losses vii

the crisis has collapsed the end of history hypothesis viii a return to politics; communism can come out again viii

question of the conference: is "communism" still the name to be used to designate radical emancipatory projects? viii

participants agreed that it is viii did not agree on its meaning ix common themes: need for new political subjectivities and popular activation ix communism must take its distance from statism and economism ix communism must return to the concept of the common against privatization and enclosures of the commons ix communism means freedom and equality x

Badiou—the Idea of Communism

This text is the same as part IV of his book *The Communist Hypothesis* (see notes for that)

<u>Judith Balso—To Present Oneself to the Present</u> she wants to stress that communism must search for new political forms at a distance from the state

Communist hypothesis, when put into practice as a political hypothesis, has failed 15–16 $\,$

it did not attain its objective, to invent and emancipatory political capacity 16

we should aim at opening up new paths for the political will toward a "politics for all" 16

we should not take philosophy for politics or subordinate politics to a philosophical idea 16

we must separate philosophy and politics 17

constituting the workers as a directing political figure, then taking politics toward real communism 17

communist can be used to mean vanguard organizers, as opposed to the workers themselves 18 Marx's idea of taking state power in order to break the machine of the state, to transform it into an instrument of the political hegemony of the proletariat so as to change the regime of property 18 so that it can become the "plain administration of things" as it says in the manifesto 19 this can be done through the party, and the Leninist hypothesis is that we have to build the capacity of a workers' party to take over state power and to hold on to it 19 the dictatorship of the proletariat is the only possible means toward the withering away of the state and the advent of communism 19 Balso calls this extraordinary optimism about the state 20 reduces the state to its function of managing class conflict 20 she rehearses this argument of Lenin's in order to show why it went awry 20 Mao reopens the question of the socialist state 20 cultural revolution aims to transform the Communist Party by placing it under the political control of the masses 21 Balso's point here is that the whole concept of being able to transform the state into something we can live with is a bad idea 21-22 May 1968 through clear distinctions between workers and the Communist Party, workers and unions [sexample of CORE in Chicago Teachers Union], and opened up questions of the political capacity of workers 22 about how it might become a political figure 23 so we have to address the political questions anew; we can separate the idea of communism from the disaster of actually existing Communism 23 we can't rely on capitalism as an emancipatory force 24 we require new political and organizational capacities 24 in politics we have always to begin again 25 we must proceed without reference to a party, both the Stalinist party and the democratic state parties 25 we must establish a principle of disjunction and distance between the state and politics 25 politics does not express a class, and already constituted people - any political capacity belongs to people who have become active in politics 25 a new political space at a distance from the state will be multiple 26 people will organize themselves to declare what it means for each to count for what he is, where he is, on his own terms not dictated by the state 26

politics as interior to people 26 the communist hypothesis of the withering away of the state, to transform the function of the state away from governing people and toward administering things 27 it was the state itself that did not allow the withering 27 we must set out not so much from the question of capitalism but from the question of breaking from the state 27 we can develop new political capacity except at a distance from the state 28 we must constitute a new political will 28 we must deploy three altogether new terms: a place for politics at a distance from the state; principles of a politics that counts everyone for what he is where he is; clear understanding of the state on its own terms, not nearly as a handmaiden of capital 28-29 Althusser the failure of socialist states was a failure of not having enough theory 30 we must break with this idea 31 politics is integral only to itself; it cannot look to philosophy for answers 31 we must give politics back to politics itself 31 communism today is a word that belongs to the state 31 a politics at a distance from the state has to grope its way forward and try to succeed in flowering 31 Bruno Bosteels—The Leftist Hypothesis laborious attempt to steer in between the extremes of 1) fidelity to the party and state and 2) utter rejection of the party and state Marx: "communism is the real movement which abolishes the present state of things" 33

Lenin referred to the radical pro-Soviet workerists as "leftists" 34 communism requires a *we* that does not pretend to be a subject 35 it is not an end state but a common horizon, a real movement 35 Badiou accused Rancière of being apolitical 36

Žižek is the modern heir of Lenin, complaining about too much reliance on people themselves 36 leftists lack maturity, discipline [it's the same old debate between Marx and Bakunin] 37 they take a principled stance against all parliamentary electoral politics, unions, and the party 37 they are what Bosteels calls "semi-anarchists" [and this is one of the very rare mentions of anarchism in the entire book] 37

appeal to the direct action of the masses [as Mao did] 37 of course the leftists lost the historical struggle and the default position of Marxism became: the masses are divided into classes, classes are led by parties, and parties are run by leaders, and those parties should seize power through the state 38 1968 [and the cultural Revolution] reintroduced this "Leftist hypothesis" 39 some rejected bourgeoisie-proletariat and instead talked in terms of masses against the state 40 [here you can see Ranciere, as well as Badiou, and Deleuze and Guattari] an important target was totalitarianism, and obsession with resisting repression 41 a valorization of the creative force of people 41 sometimes called "mass democracy" 41 insistence on the primacy of politics [rather than the economy], the state is in itself repressive 42 Bosteels caricatures this argument, calls it melodramatic, and then says it's not realistic 44 another way leftism has stated its case is to insist on imminence, on the imminent presence of communism inside capitalism 44-45 here they use Marxists womb idea 45 communism merely needs to bring itself into consciousness 45 [here we can see Hardt and Negri and Lefebvre] this tends to be is an anti-dialectic approach [at least for Hardt and Negri] 46 power is not some monstrous Leviathan, it depends entirely on the power of people 48 the multitude is the real productive force of our social world, Empire is an apparatus of capture 48 here communism is neither a utopian ideal or a future horizon it is already at work within the present state of affairs 49 the question of whether or not to raise communism to a Platonic Idea 50 Badiou: complete separation of the communist hypothesis from the party and the state, Negri agrees 50 cultural Revolution helped solidify this idea 51 it is wrong to think the state can be reformed such that it guarantees its own withering away 51, 52 must distinguish between socialism and communism 51 [and this is how those two terms are getting used today: socialism is a form of the state in which a workers party controls political power, communism is direct mass self-governance] 51

Negri: communism is "absolutely radical political economic democracy" 51 Mao tried to subject the party to reform through mass action 52 Badiou: communism is anti-property, anti-authority, anti-hierarchy 52 it is autonomous mass action against the state, or at least as distance from it 53 in Badiou's conference paper we see mention of "another state," but one that is subtracted from the power of the state 53 this ongoing question of organization, party discipline, economism 54 the figure of Alvaro Garcia Linera, theorist and vice president of Bolivia 54 separation between the plebs and intellectuals 56 Negri's concept of *potenza* 57 Linera follows Negri's reading of the Grundrisse 57 empower the emancipatory potential that already exists among the plebs 57 communism is the real movement of this empowerment 58 this real movement does not emerge spontaneously from capitalism's crises 58 it requires the weak to mobilize themselves 58 they must curve the prevailing forces in another direction 58 this requires multiple and massive practical forms 58 for Linera, the party might be one of these forms 58 there is an importance to historicizing this real movement, rather than creating an eternal Idea 59 the question of the way communism can be organized and embodied-- this is where all the major doubts and disagreements can be found 60 Badiou defends party politics in his book Metapolitics 61 possibility of the party being the name of the flexible organization 61 Linera stresses the importance of society's movement of selforganization, civil society must organize itself 61 warns against non-statehood dreamed of by primitive anarchism 62 the idea that society outside the state is an innocent speculation 62 possibility of a non-capitalist state 62 the state subjected to a new constituent power might be able to empower the communist hypothesis from within 63 communism relies on society's self organizing capacities - can the state play a role in supporting people's autonomous organization 63

lots and lots of effort to get to his rather obvious conclusion: Bosteels wants to avoid two extreme answers: strict rejection of the state and blind allegiance to the party and state 64 calls for "a comprehensive and collective rethinking" of the state of the party and their relationship to mass action 64 [i.e. in the search for new political forms there is no reason to reject party and state out of hand] Buck-Morss—The Second Time as Farce... We should be quite catholic in our search for new ideas and new political desires; don't let Eurocentrism or secularism limit our search Trotsky: uneven development and permanent revolution 68 importance of time 68 danger of Eurocentrism in thinking communism 69 importance of Haiti, Muslim radicals, women's activism 70 the memory of these events increases in value by being shared 70 no class owns the position of agent in historical struggle; no region of the world does either 71 there is one time, in which we all partake 71 there is a sense in which time is common, in which we share a time, we are contemporaries across space 71 danger in Hegelian approach: thinking that critique is all that is required of philosophy 72 we need to create also, something that did not exist before 73 yet we can draw on spirits of the past in that project 73

Benjamin and the human imagination, which is an act of freedom, of developing new possibilities 74

the importance of religious radicalism as source of inspiration 75

it declares the freedom of man from the servitude to other men 79 objective crisis is not enough to propel a new consciousness 76

the truly new is the untimely as Nietzsche called it — it does not fit 77
we certainly don't want to stuff the contemporary back into tradition —
this would annihilate the potential of the now and the new, the hidden
potentialities of the present 77

that can arise and rupture the collective imagination 77

Badiou thinks of this in terms of the event 78

[and Ranciere in terms of politics]

we must seek to rescue the progressive potential in religious writers who are operating in our time, as our contemporaries

we can affirm fragments of incompatible discourses, use them as they work in our current project 79

<u>Douzinas—Adikia: On Communism and Rights</u> <mark>Sprawling, disorganized, detour into rights bears little fruit</mark>

Most Marxists reject human rights discourse 81

and yet there is a desire to defend Universalism 82

Marx critiqued the way political rights became insulated from the economy 82 political emancipation versus human emancipation 83 rights separate humans from each other, see each other as threats 83-84 there is a sense that Marx wanted to push rights to their limit, to realize a kind of human right to free activity 84 freedom then would be a positive power to connect with others 84 a social revolution leading to the emancipation of humanity 85 Bloch insisted on the will to resist and rebel [which is also central to

Bakunin]

he was interested in how people can be prepared ethically and psychologically for the event 86

[what is the process by which one becomes active?]

Being involves both jointure and disjuncture 87

there is a tension between man's violent and creative power (poiesis), and the ordering power of structure (dike) 88

poiesis must eternally work against dike 88

a primordial sense of *adike* (which is the primordial lack of *dike*, chaos) prepares people for resistance 89

adike is the unmanageable, [the politics inside the police] 90 communism is the modern form of adike 91

right to revolution, to resistance to oppression [though he does not mention Locke] 92

Kant dismissed the right to revolution 92

As do modern human rights 93

Democracy is presented as the exercise of rights 93

Negative power against state control 94

Rights depoliticize politics; don't challenge the overall structure 94 Ranciere's democracy: when a new political subject is constituted (or Badiou's event) 95

But rights can be thought of as initiations of constituent power (rather than as elements of the juridico-political order) 95

As the latter, they merely admit new groups at the margins of the same

liberal-democratic system [to which Marx's OJQ critique applies] 95 Communism insists on equality and on the right to resistance and revolution when that equality is denied 97, 99

Right of rebellion founded assuming an original equality as a premise of action 97

When that equality is denied, rebellion is called for [really strange he does not use Locke] 97

Every state rests on its own right to make law 98 State can accommodate this or that reform 98

But it cannot grant a right to law to any other entity [to auto-nomy] 98 Being in common is integral to each person 99

The idea of communism can help prepare us for the event, for the insurrection 100

The militant proclaims/assumes the equality of each to everyone else, and rebels when it is denied 100

Eagleton—Communism: Lear or Gonzalo

The problem of abundance as necessary for communism 101 development of the productive forces and hence surplus sufficient for the abolition of labor 101 then you have time for schole 102 you have to develop material production in order to overcome the need for material production 102 then you can turn your attention to higher pursuits 104 Shakespeare puts productivity on the side of nature 103 in communism the release of creative powers for their own sake 105 not for the sake of exchange value; doing away with the idea of the equivalent, the commensurable 105 communism is what is created by the activity of its members, not a predetermined structure 106 socialism provides a structure as a placeholder; it is still a matter of the state 106 communism would be a kind of state of grace in which we have acquired the habit of self-governing cooperatives, such that we no longer need to be regulated, they would operate spontaneously 107 central problem: nature is far from an inexhaustible resource 107 but human needs are not infinite, even if our desire is 107 communism is about the *conversion* of desire into an unending exploration and enjoyment of the world for its own sake 107 [transforming the inner infant into delight] savoring the use values of the world 108 King Lear offers a more modest, more chastened idea of communism 108 men and women are forced by circumstances that produce scarcity into sharing and solidarity with one another [as in war, or as in Cubal 108 here drudgery remains [we must still do labor in Arendt's sense] 109

we must discover how to be a communist without abundance — a kind of materially austere communism, though not a spiritually austere one 109

Hallward—Communism of the Intellect, Communism of the Will

Communism is not purely anticapitalism 111 communism is a positive project for another world 111 we have to strive to realize it before it is fully formed; this striving converts the impossible into the possible 112 Marx did not give us a clear idea of communism; "an association in which the free development of each is the condition for the free development of all"; the autonomous deployment of "human energy as an end in itself" 113 "communism as the positive supersession of private property as human self estrangement and hence is the true appropriation of the human essence through and four-man, the restoration of man to himself as a social, i.e., human, being" EPM 113 Badiou prioritizes Plato over Aristotle, the absolute and the idea 114 or should we work with old conceptions and try to build on 114 the working classes for Marx must emancipate themselves 116 they must get a grip on history and engage in transformative activity, acting as an immense majority 116 they must revolt and expropriate the expropriators, preside over a new mode of production marked by self management, the free association of producers 116 in the Paris commune workers took the project of their emancipation into their own hands and created their own will and made the impossible possible 116-117 work becomes defined by autonomous self-determination, which is to say it becomes free voluntary action rather than involuntary labor 117 we require a collective will to decide our own history 117 to become authors and actors of our own drama (*Poverty of Philosophy*) 117 subjective forms of awareness are critical 118 in yet we currently have a situation in which the human subject is being displaced 118-119 conscious volition has been called into question 119 Nietzsche, Heidegger, Arendt, Adorno, Negri, Deleuze, Derrida, etc. 119 Agamben suggests that political will is essentially the same as fascism 120 so self-determination and self emancipation become troubled 120 we require some return to voluntarist philosophy 121

Rousseau's general will 121 people participating in the act of willing of a general or generalizable will; this will is at work in the mobilization of any emancipatory collective force 121 striving to formulate a fully common interest 121 political will commands voluntary and autonomous action using free rational deliberation 122 autonomy is self-legislation, of actively willing a certain course of action 122 it is collective action and direct participation, democratic, and a common commitment 123 if you remain an active participant in the Association, you will what is in the common or general interest 123 general will is the active interest of the greatest number of people 124 it is not a matter of imposing an external will or awareness upon an inert people, it is people working to clarify and organize their own will 125 it is the self-production of general will 125 the shack dwellers movement in South Africa: we will no longer wait quietly for humanity to be recognized – we have already taken our place on the land and we have decided to take our place in political discussions, as people who count 126 for Rousseau people must choose to agree in order for the general will to exist, they must choose to privilege collective over particular interests 127 [Rousseau has a stark individual-collective dichotomy, which has been troubled by the new work on subject, identity, will] a will that wills and realizes its own emancipation - this is the animating principle of the concrete political Association 128 since I can only will my own freedom by willing the freedom of all, the only subject that can sustain the work of self-emancipation is the people 129 it is people's passivity that empowers their oppressors, they must will to be oppressed 129 Hardt—The Common in Communism we need choices beyond capitalism/private property and socialism/state

property 131

neither is the common that communism aims at 131 we should not abandon the terms Communism, democracy, freedom — even though they are corrupted — we should fight for them 131

we need an analysis of the forms of political organization that are possible $131\,$

instead of doing that, though, he limits himself to a critique of political economy 132 composition of labor has changed 132 the relationship between property and the common is key to a communist analysis 132 shift from immobile property (land) to mobile property (commodities) 132 this was the shift from rent to profit as the dominant mode of expropriation 133 the shift from agricultural production to industrial production 134 industrial production becomes the leading edge 134 today industry no longer holds that position, immaterial production, or bio political production does 134 production of ideas, information, images, knowledges, code, languages, social relationships, affects 134-135 this is now the leading edge 135 the production of affects and care becomes increasingly essential in the valorization process 135 the struggle today is between material and immaterial property, which is to say between exclusive and shared property, between non-reproducible and reproducible property 135 scarcity applies less fully to this new domain 135 it is difficult to police ownership because products are so easily shared and reproduced 136 sharing something increases its utility to me 136 the realm of the production of ideas, maximum productivity comes through common and shared practices, not privatization practices, or even practices of public/state property 136 so there's an emerging contradiction: the more the common is corralled as property, the less productivity it has 136 labor is the sole essence of wealth (EPM) 133 the common can mean the earth and resources, or the results of human labor and creativity 136 the common is expropriated through privatization, through patents 137 this method of expropriation is in the form of rent 137 patents and copyrights generate rent — and income that is based solely on the ownership of property, not on having invested in its production 137 capital remains external to the processes of the production of the common 137 capital must exert control by gaining property rights in order to expropriate value through rent 138

the general trend from profit to rent 138 the production and productivity of the common becomes an increasingly autonomous domain (or at least autonomous from capital) 139 Marx says that communism is the positive expression of the abolition of private property 139 crude communism extends private property to everyone 139 communism is the abolition of property as such 139 something is ours though we do not possess it - open access, wikis, creative commons 139 today's common and its increasing productivity provide the basis for an alternative society and mode of production, the communism of the common 140 Marx: communism is the positive supersession of private property as human self-estrangement, and hence the true appropriation of the human essence through and for man; it is the complete restoration of man to himself as a social, i.e. human, being 140 appropriation of our own subjectivity and social relations and creative and productive powers 140 not so much appropriation but production: man produces man 141 not so much a humanism, that is taking recourse to a pre-existing or eternal human essence 141 but rather the autonomous human production of subjectivity, of humanity 141 but this is precisely what late capitalism is producing: lives, subjectivities, human faculties 141 capital is in its essence a social relation, it produces social relations and forms of life 142 Foucault says we should not call Marx a humanist, since he stressed the production of man by man, man produces something that does not yet exist 142 thus producer and product are both subjects in biopolitical production 143 this bio political production does not just reproduce capital but presents the potential for an autonomous process to create something new 143 it can exceed the bounds of capitalist relations because it constantly refers to the common, grants labor increasing autonomy 143 the idea of communism and contemporary capitalist production are thus quite close 143 the common is increasingly central to capitalist production 143 Hardt links communism to Ranciere's notion of politics 143

Communism is the affirmation of the common 144 deformation of open and autonomous bio political production, of self governed continuous creation of new humanity 144 <u>Nancy – Communism, the Word</u> etymology of communism: people having in common property 145 English diggers: land as a common treasure 146 community as a drive toward something that does not exist 147 communism as togetherness, the *Mitsein*, the being-with 147 the link between individuals who are separate 147 communism has more than political meaning, it says something about property 148 property is what makes a possession *properly* the possession of the subject 148 I never exist alone, I exist essentially with other beings 148 the width has nothing to do with collective, with the co- of being sideby-side 148 it is rather the mit- of the *Mitsein*, which implies that neither you nor me are the same when together or when separate - the with belongs to the very constitution, to the being of each of us 148 not mere side-by-side, relationship 148 existence as ontologically being-in-common 149 individual property is proper to the subject, even personal property 149 the subject is the capacity of having properness 149 Freud: the ego is only a small disk emerging at the surface of the large it which is the totality of the otherwise being in the world-I am made of this whole world 149 communism therefore means the common condition of all the singularities of subjects, all the uncommon points whose network makes the world 149 it comes before any politics 149 it is in danger of becoming ideology 150 we can only exist with others, and so the politics of communism are simply how can we think about society with the aim of letting the common come and take its own chance 150 a bit about chrematics: wealth means to possess more than common life needs, poverty needs to have less 151 communism means to give to the common what common life needs 151 Plato critiques money after money [more so Aristotle] 152 property is only proper property, the proper level of wealth, and the only level of wealth that is proper is common-wealth 152 common means the opening of the space between beings in the indefinite possibility that this space opens 152

Ranciere—Communists without communism?

Communism is about emancipation, but the question is to know what emancipation means 167 Jacotot's idea of emancipation is that it is a way out of a situation of minority 167 it is not about moving from the situation of inequality towards a situation of equality, that is the logic of enlightenment 167 equality is not a goal it is a starting point, a presupposition to be verified 168 intelligence is not divided, it is one 168 so emancipation means the appropriation of this single intelligence 168 the emancipation of workers is the affirmation that there is no specific aptitude for the artisan 168 emancipation means the communism of intelligence, the demonstration of the capacity of those considered incapable, the capacity of a community of workers to organize production themselves, or to govern a city that its rulers have deserted 168 emancipation cannot apply to a society 169 it is an anarchical principle, it cannot govern society, it means disorder 169 it is a worker asserting his capacity to talk and make decisions 170 there can obviously be no communist state 170 Marx and Engels thought communism was the full implementation of a form of universality already at work in capitalism; all that was needed was reappropriation 170 communism of intelligence: the capacity of anybody to be where she can't be and do what she can't do 171 [i.e. for him communism is the same thing as "politics"] we should not suppose incompetence and inequality and see communism as a movement toward competence and equality 172 he does not think we should revive the discussion on spontaneity and organization 173 what is important is the history of communist moments; effective reframing is about what 'common' means; reconfigurations of the universe of the possible 173 moments like when ordinary workers prove their capacity, to struggle or run factories 173 we might try to connect up those moments 173 acknowledges Negri's discussion of immaterial labor 174 says we must take into account the failure of capitalism to produce the utopia of a perfectly self regulated market 174 he thinks Negri's analysis is still caught in the inegalitarian logic: communism waiting to be born in the body of capitalism seems to suggest

the goal of transcending the current inequality for a future state of equality 175

a sort of social evolution 176

what we need to do is examine the multiplicity of forms of experimentation of the capacity of anybody at all to act and show their capability 176

his communism seems to be very close to his concept of democracy 176 emancipation must mean the autonomous growth of the space of the common created by the free Association of men and women implementing the egalitarian principle, which is to say assuming equality and acting as though it were true 176

is not about some fully formed system that we should aim to achieve 177 communism or democracy? There is some value in the term communism in that it points to the collective intelligence [the general intellect] 177

<u>Negri-Communism: some thoughts on the concept and practice</u>

communism is the real movement that abolishes the present state of things (Marx in the German ideology) 155

communists assume that history is always the history of class struggle 155 there is no longer any semblance of use value, we are completely immersed in exchange value 156

communism takes shape when the proletariat decides to reappropriate the community and make it into a new society 156

money has become the common land, the privately held wealth that has been expropriated from the common 157

communism reappropriates the common reality that is not from the past 157

an accumulation of counter power, of desire becoming solidarity 158 drawing on Gramsci he says: the pessimism of the will rewinds us that it is not about the emergence of rebellions but the constant in critical effort of organization — this always prepares the way for the event 158 being communist means being against the state 158

against all forms of private property, against the public (which is a form of alienation and exploitation of labor) 158

state-public-socialism 158

communism means the desire and ability to manage the entire system of production in a radically democratic way 159

this prospect of a different order is virtually present today 159 political composition of the power of the multitude must take place 160 communist being involves turning constituent desires into expressions of power 160

communism attempts to build a new world where the exploitation of capital and the subjection to the state are eliminated 160

the multitude must constitute a force that is superior to the one currently in command 161 this requires a collective revolutionary will, a constituent will, and that requires organization (the event is not enough) 161 a collective desire that using force builds up its organized surplus throughout the aleatory process of struggles 161 multitude of singularities organizes into an anticapitalist force/power - not formally as a party - but as a resistance that is stronger and better articulated as the multitude becomes increasingly a whole of singular institutions 162 institutions like forms of life, struggle, union organization, experiences of reappropriation, and the like 162 the multitude is a group of such institutions, or coagulates 162 the cognitive proletariat is a leading-edge, hyper productive of surplus 163 communism already exists in the development of singularities, and in the production of surplus value through cognitive labor 163 communism is an ethics of struggle that moves beyond indignation and toward subjection [here he is like Ranciere instead of Deleuze and Guattari], a becoming-subject of the multitude 163 as singularities withdraw from solitude and work to make themselves multitude looking for the common instead of privacy 163 democracy is: the common management of the common 164 organization is crucial, it is a collective being-against, it is a principle of institution, and institution is essential to creating the multitude as subject 164 labor freed from capital's command; love freed from solitude-coming together to develop new forms of common coexistence in both resistance and organization 164 the power of the poor and the common desire for love, equality and solidarity 165 <u>Russo-did the cultural revolution and communism? Eight remarks on philosophy</u> and politics today the communist hypothesis is the name for an ethics of philosophy concerning its political condition 180 defending philosophy against depoliticization 180 communism opposes the enchainment of politics inside state power 180 is communism a Platonic idea? 181 politics in sequences, which is the idea of Sylvain Lazarus, brief and

singular [essentially the idea that politics corrupts briefly] 181 politics is a rare and intermittent phenomenon 181

the political sequence of the 1960s was important 182

especially the cultural revolution 183 there is a need to think beyond the tradition of party, class struggle, and proletariat 183 the need to think beyond "the worker" as the agent of historical change 184 possibility of self organized forms of political subjectivity 184 these were on display in China in the 60s even in the context of the party-state 184 red guards as independent entities 185 explosion of political activity among students 186 and workers 187 the question of the capacity of workers to organize their own political entities outside the party and outside the state 188 he claims the political exhaustion of party-state, class-based politics, the inclusion of the worker in the state 189 the welfare state as a way to include the worker in the state 189 acknowledgment of the gap that separates the working class from the Communist Party; they are not the same thing 190 the cultural revolution didn't end communism, divided communism in two 190 communism as a powerful party-state 190 and communism as a form of mass self-liberation 190 it seems he wants to argue that there is a need for the invention of a new name to designate mass self-liberation, other than communism 191 need for a new relationship between politics and philosophy 192 we should stress their separation 193 we need a new exploration of the theory of the subject and a materialist rethinking of the theory of the state 193 communism is closed as a political name; it is reopened as a name in philosophy 194 <u>Toscano–The politics of abstraction: communism and philosophy</u> the possibility that Stalinism results from thinking communism abstractly 196 Marx says that we do not anticipate the world with our dogmas but attempt to discover the New World through the critique of the old one 96 non-dogmatic anticipation 197 the productive negation of ideal history can extract the weapons for overturning the status quo 197 theory is an active principle, a set of practices 198 it is not a question of creating a Truth to serve as the standard against which to judge social change 199 that is what Marx and Engels accuse utopian socialism of doing in The Communist Manifesto 199 equality is not an abstraction, therefore, it is something that must be tried out, experimented with 199

equality in the distributionist sense is still steeped in the abstractions of bourgeois society 200 we can't apply an equal standard two unequal individuals 200 communist equality has no standard of right 200 it implies creating social relations that render inequalities in operative 201 realization, experimentation is intrinsic to the idea of communism 201 communist philosophy can only delineate the problems and lines of solution that communism calls for, but communism must be worked out in practice 201 this requires communist power, force, authority 202

we need to think together communism as a form of political organization and communism as a form of social association 202 power is not the same thing as the state 202 there is constituent power and constituted power (he doesn't

use these terms) 203 it is necessary to foster constituent power towards communism 203

that power will have to negate the current world in addition to building a different one 204

Vattimo—Weak communism?

Communism ought to be weak, theoretically weak 205 which is to say not metaphysical 205 metaphysics is the violent imposition which claims objective evidence with respect to truth 206 weak communism would include a good dose of anarchism which is where the idea of weakness comes from 206 when the left comes to power it loses its transformative energy 206 the key is to find a new form of subversive political action and yet not renounce the few benefits of liberal democracy 207 refuse to formulate a system or a constitution 207 <u>Zizek-How to begin from the beginning</u> necessity of admitting mistakes 209 and accept the necessity of beginning again, of starting all over 210 and so our communism might be entirely new, rather than a building on communisms of the past 211 the problem used to be the lack of a revolutionary subject constituting itself as a subject 212 the commons: the shared substance of our social being 212

nature, culture, human biology 213

capitalism will try to enclose this commons 213

we shouldn't drop the notion of the proletariat we should radicalize it 213

no longer the act of a particular social agent but a combination of different agents 213 something along the lines of the 99%, the excluded 214 the part of no part that claims its part, which claiming is called democracy 215 what to do with Mao's cultural revolution 216 the new left says to leave behind centralized dictatorial power 217 he thinks we need more of that kind of power [because is a moron-clown] 217 there is a tension between the bureaucratic power of socialism and the democratic power of the Soviets 218 how can we revolutionize an order like capitalism which is constantly self revolutionizing 219 since capitalism continually disorders what is ordered, our revolt should enforce a new order 219 the failure of the communist state was the failure of anti-statist politics, the failure of non-state forms of organization 219 the task is to make the state work in a non-statal mode 219 it's a false dilemma to say we have to either struggle for state power or pursue resistance at a distance from the state 219 revolutionary violence should not take over the state but should transform it 220 the dictatorship of the proletariat is when the state is radically transformed, relying on new forms of popular participation 220 then into his quite useful analysis of China as capitalism with Asian values, or authoritarian capitalism 220 democracy is not necessary for capitalism, or even very useful 221 relations between people are now the very material of our everyday exploitation [Hardt and Negri's immaterial labor] 221 and this makes capital purely parasitic on our common production of affect and relationships 222 the key then would be to see that the multitude directly produces life, and that capital is a kind of unnecessary excess or remainder 223 immaterial labor directly produces social relations, which are then privatized by capital 224 Marx didn't envisage the possibility of the privatization of the general intellect 224 it takes the form of rent, and rent needs direct authority to impose itself on the direct production of the multitude 224 a much more authoritarian state makes sense in this situation 224 Microsoft privatizes the code 225 three fractions of the working class: intellectual laborers, manual laborers, and outcasts 226

difficult to create a space where these can all meet 226