Question

How difficult is factoring? Conceptually, this is a very simple operation. To factor an integer n just test whether a prime p < n divides n. All we have to do is test the primes $p < \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$.

Question

How difficult is factoring? Conceptually, this is a very simple operation. To factor an integer n just test whether a prime p < n divides n. All we have to do is test the primes $p < \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$.

Question

How difficult is factoring? Conceptually, this is a very simple operation. To factor an integer n just test whether a prime p < n divides n. All we have to do is test the primes $p < \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$.

How difficult can this be?

Answer

Very difficult. In applications we use integers that are more than 300 digits long. The number of primes smaller than \sqrt{n} is about $\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\log n}$ which is a number with a little less than 150 digits. Way too big for any computer or even a lrage set of computers working in parallel we have today.

Question

How difficult is factoring? Conceptually, this is a very simple operation. To factor an integer n just test whether a prime p < n divides n. All we have to do is test the primes $p < \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$.

How difficult can this be?

Answer

Very difficult. In applications we use integers that are more than 300 digits long. The number of primes smaller than \sqrt{n} is about $\frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\log n}$ which is a number with a little less than 150 digits. Way too big for any computer or even a lrage set of computers working in parallel we have today.

Question

So how safe is our reliance on factoring for our cryptosystems?

Observation:

- Observation:
- $4^2 \mod 77 = 16$

- Observation:
- $3^2 = (77-4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$

- Observation:
- $4^2 \mod 77 = 16$
- $3^2 = (77-4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- **18** $18^2 \mod 77 = 324 \mod 77 = 4 \cdot 77 + 16 \mod 77 = 16$

- Observation:
- $4^2 \mod 77 = 16$
- $3^2 = (77-4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- 4 $18^2 \mod 77 = 324 \mod 77 = 4 \cdot 77 + 16 \mod 77 = 16$
- **5** $59^2 \mod 77 = (77 18)^2 \mod 77 = (-18)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$

- Observation:
- $4^2 \mod 77 = 16$
- $3^2 = (77 4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- **4** $18^2 \mod 77 = 324 \mod 77 = 4 \cdot 77 + 16 \mod 77 = 16$
- **5** $59^2 \mod 77 = (77 18)^2 \mod 77 = (-18)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- Looks as if 16 has 4 distinct square roots mod 77.

- Observation:
- $4^2 \mod 77 = 16$
- $3^2 = (77 4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- **4** $18^2 \mod 77 = 324 \mod 77 = 4 \cdot 77 + 16 \mod 77 = 16$
- **5** $59^2 \mod 77 = (77 18)^2 \mod 77 = (-18)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- Looks as if 16 has 4 distinct square roots mod 77.

- Observation:
- $3^2 = (77-4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- **18** $18^2 \mod 77 = 324 \mod 77 = 4 \cdot 77 + 16 \mod 77 = 16$
- **5** $59^2 \mod 77 = (77 18)^2 \mod 77 = (-18)^2 \mod 77 = 16$.
- Looks as if 16 has 4 distinct square roots mod 77.

Coincidence?

- Observation:
- $4^2 \mod 77 = 16$
- $3^2 = (77-4)^2 \mod 77 = (-4)^2 \mod 77 = 16.$
- 4 $18^2 \mod 77 = 324 \mod 77 = 4 \cdot 77 + 16 \mod 77 = 16$
- **5** $59^2 \mod 77 = (77 18)^2 \mod 77 = (-18)^2 \mod 77 = 16$.
- Looks as if 16 has 4 distinct square roots mod 77.

Coincidence?

Theorem

If $n = p \cdot q$ and k is a quadratic residue mod n and gcd(k, n) = 1 (which is very easy to check) then k has four distinct square roots mod n.

• p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q) = 1.

- p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q) = 1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).

- p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q) = 1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **3** Let *k* be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$

- p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q) = 1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **3** Let *k* be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$
- \bullet k mod $p = x^2 \mod p$, k mod $q = x^2 \mod q$.

- p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q) = 1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **1** Let k be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$
- Solution Claim: $(\pm apx \pm bqx)^2 = k \mod pq$ (Note: these are four distinct numbers).

- \bigcirc p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q)=1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **1** Let k be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$
- 4 Mod $p = x^2 \mod p$, $k \mod q = x^2 \mod q$.
- **1** Claim: $(\pm apx \pm bqx)^2 = k \mod pq$ (Note: these are four distinct numbers).
 - $(apx bqx)^2 \mod p = (bqx)^2 \mod p = (apx + bqx)^2 \mod p =$ $x^2 \mod p = k$



- \bigcirc p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q)=1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **1** Let k be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$
- 4 Mod $p = x^2 \mod p$, $k \mod q = x^2 \mod q$.
- **5** Claim: $(\pm apx \pm bqx)^2 = k \mod pq$ (Note: these are four distinct numbers).
 - $(apx bqx)^2 \mod p = (bqx)^2 \mod p = (apx + bqx)^2 \mod p =$ $x^2 \mod p = k$
 - Similarly, $(apx bqx)^2 \mod q = k$



- \bigcirc p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q)=1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **1** Let k be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$
- 4 Mod $p = x^2 \mod p$, $k \mod q = x^2 \mod q$.
- **5** Claim: $(\pm apx \pm bqx)^2 = k \mod pq$ (Note: these are four distinct numbers).
 - $(apx bqx)^2 \mod p = (bqx)^2 \mod p = (apx + bqx)^2 \mod p =$ $x^2 \mod p = k$
 - Similarly, $(apx bqx)^2 \mod q = k$
 - By the Chinese Reamainder Theorem $(apx bqx)^2 \mod pq = k$.



- p,q are distinct primes so GCD(p,q) = 1.
- 2 Let ap + bq = 1 (Extended GCD).
- **3** Let *k* be a quadratic residue mod $p \cdot q$ that is $k = x^2 + m \cdot p \cdot q$
- Solution Claim: $(\pm apx \pm bqx)^2 = k \mod pq$ (Note: these are four distinct numbers).
 - $(apx bqx)^2 \mod p = (bqx)^2 \mod p = (apx + bqx)^2 \mod p = x^2 \mod p = k$
 - Similarly, $(apx bqx)^2 \mod q = k$
 - By the Chinese Reamainder Theorem $(apx bqx)^2 \mod pq = k$.
 - The proof for the other three numbers is the same.



1 Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- 2 $1111^2 \mod key = 3310$

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- **3** So $1111 = \sqrt{3310} \mod \text{key and so is (key 1111)}$.

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- 2 $1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3** So 1111 = $\sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- The extended gcd gives us: 147 · 127 − 52 · 359 = 1

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- 2 $1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3** So 1111 = $\sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- The extended gcd gives us: 147 · 127 − 52 · 359 = 1
- **So a third square root is:** $(147 \cdot 127 + 52 \cdot 359)1111 \mod key = 37370$

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- 2 $1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3** So 1111 = $\sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- **1** The extended gcd gives us: $147 \cdot 127 52 \cdot 359 = 1$
- **So a third square root is:** $(147 \cdot 127 + 52 \cdot 359)1111 \mod key = 37370$
- **1** Verifying: $37370^2 \mod key = 3310$

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- 2 $1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3310** So $1111 = \sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- **1** The extended gcd gives us: $147 \cdot 127 52 \cdot 359 = 1$
- **5** So a third square root is: $(147 \cdot 127 + 52 \cdot 359)1111 \mod key = 37370$
- **1** Verifying: $37370^2 \mod key = 3310$
- And the fourth square root is (key 37370).

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- $2 1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3** So 1111 = $\sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- **1** The extended gcd gives us: $147 \cdot 127 52 \cdot 359 = 1$
- **5** So a third square root is: $(147 \cdot 127 + 52 \cdot 359)1111 \mod key = 37370$
- **1** Verifying: $37370^2 \mod key = 3310$
- And the fourth square root is (key 37370).

Question

So what can be done with this information? Can it be used to factor the key?

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- $2 1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3** So 1111 = $\sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- **1** The extended gcd gives us: $147 \cdot 127 52 \cdot 359 = 1$
- **5** So a third square root is: $(147 \cdot 127 + 52 \cdot 359)1111 \mod key = 37370$
- **1** Verifying: $37370^2 \mod key = 3310$
- And the fourth square root is (key 37370).

Question

So what can be done with this information? Can it be used to factor the key?

- **1** Let p = 127, q = 359, $key = 127 \cdot 359 = 45593$.
- $2 1111^2 \mod key = 3310$
- **3** So 1111 = $\sqrt{3310}$ mod key and so is (key 1111).
- **1** The extended gcd gives us: $147 \cdot 127 52 \cdot 359 = 1$
- **5** So a third square root is: $(147 \cdot 127 + 52 \cdot 359)1111 \mod key = 37370$
- **1** Verifying: $37370^2 \mod key = 3310$
- And the fourth square root is (key 37370).

Question

So what can be done with this information? Can it be used to factor the key?

Any other uses?



Comment

Finding \sqrt{n} mod pq is as hard as factoring.

Comment

Finding \sqrt{n} mod pq is as hard as factoring.

Comment

Finding $\sqrt{n} \mod pq$ is as hard as factoring.

Indeed, assume you know how to calculate the four square roots of an integer $n \mod pq$, (note that if n is not relatively prime to pq then gcd(n,pq) = p or q).

This means that you have

$$a^2 = b^2 \mod pq \text{ or } a^2 - b^2 = (a - b)(a + b) = c \cdot pq.$$

Then with very high probability gcd(a - b, pq) or gcd(a + b, pq) will be p or q.

Consider the 74 digits key:

91449759565046891820618541051059950442886635729482 495300765336310642001663

Consider the 74 digits key:

91449759565046891820618541051059950442886635729482 495300765336310642001663

Consider the 74 digits key:

91449759565046891820618541051059950442886635729482 495300765336310642001663

Let a = 914497595650468918206185410510599504428 86635729482495300765336309530890552

and b = 30411776568235771524836013708017059958463233106987606643010898403865997103

Consider the 74 digits key:

91449759565046891820618541051059950442886635729482 495300765336310642001663

Let a = 914497595650468918206185410510599504428 86635729482495300765336309530890552

and b = 30411776568235771524836013708017059958463233106987606643010898403865997103

 $a^2 - b^2 \mod key = 0$

Consider the 74 digits key:

91449759565046891820618541051059950442886635729482 495300765336310642001663

Let a = 914497595650468918206185410510599504428 86635729482495300765336309530890552

and b = 30411776568235771524836013708017059958463233106987606643010898403865997103

 $a^2 - b^2 \mod key = 0$

gcd((a-b), key) = 20083415214428110320965436874242211

and the key has been factored.

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

7/12

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

Answer

Not as difficult as checking all primes less than \sqrt{pq} , but still difficult.

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

Answer

Not as difficult as checking all primes less than \sqrt{pq} , but still difficult.

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

Answer

Not as difficult as checking all primes less than \sqrt{pq} , but still difficult.

The birthday paradox: how many randomly selected persons are needed so that the probability that two of them have the same birthdate is > 50%?

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

Answer

Not as difficult as checking all primes less than \sqrt{pq} , but still difficult.

The birthday paradox: how many randomly selected persons are needed so that the probability that two of them have the same birthdate is > 50%?

The somewhat surprising answer is 23.

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

Answer

Not as difficult as checking all primes less than \sqrt{pq} , but still difficult.

The birthday paradox: how many randomly selected persons are needed so that the probability that two of them have the same birthdate is > 50%?

The somewhat surprising answer is 23.

How many integers we need to select randomly from $\{1, 2, \dots, key - 1\}$ to find a, b such that the probability that $a^2 = b^2 \mod key = 0$ will be > 50%?

How difficult is finding two integers a, b such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod pq$?

Answer

Not as difficult as checking all primes less than \sqrt{pq} , but still difficult.

The birthday paradox: how many randomly selected persons are needed so that the probability that two of them have the same birthdate is > 50%?

The somewhat surprising answer is 23.

How many integers we need to select randomly from $\{1, 2, ..., key - 1\}$ to find a, b such that the probability that $a^2 = b^2 \mod key = 0$ will be > 50%?

About $\sqrt{\text{key}}$. while this is still a huge number it points to the possibility that maybe some yet undiscovered idea may lead to a faster factoring computation.

Example

Example

• Assume that your key is k = 10717279.

8/12

Example

- Assume that your key is k = 10717279.
- 2 Let $a_i = f(i) = i^2 + 1 \mod k$.

Example

- **1** Assume that your key is k = 10717279.
- 2 Let $a_i = f(i) = i^2 + 1 \mod k$.
- **3** After less than 1200 iterations we found that $3452^2 = 1095^2 \mod k$

8/12

Example

- **1** Assume that your key is k = 10717279.
- 2 Let $a_i = f(i) = i^2 + 1 \mod k$.
- **3** After less than 1200 iterations we found that $3452^2 = 1095^2 \mod k$
- **9** $gcd(3452 1095, k) = 2357, k = 2357 \cdot 4547$

Example

- **1** Assume that your key is k = 10717279.
- 2 Let $a_i = f(i) = i^2 + 1 \mod k$.
- 3 After less than 1200 iterations we found that $3452^2 = 1095^2 \mod k$
- And the key has been factored,

Example

- **1** Assume that your key is k = 10717279.
- 2 Let $a_i = f(i) = i^2 + 1 \mod k$.
- 3 After less than 1200 iterations we found that $3452^2 = 1095^2 \mod k$
- **9** $gcd(3452 1095, k) = 2357, k = 2357 \cdot 4547$
- And the key has been factored,

Question

How do you find two numbres such that $a^2 = b^2 \mod k$?



Genrate a sequence x_n of numbers such that two numbers $x_n \neq x_j$ are such that $x_n^2 \mod k = x_j^2 \mod k$. Note that such numbers exist as each quadratic residue which is relatively prime to k has four distinct square root k. For instace, we let $x_1 = 1$, $x_{n+1} = x_n^2 + 1 \mod k$.

Genrate a sequence x_n of numbers such that two numbers $x_n \neq x_j$ are such that $x_n^2 \mod k = x_j^2 \mod k$. Note that such numbers exist as each quadratic residue which is relatively prime to k has four distinct square root k. For instace, we let $x_1 = 1$, $x_{n+1} = x_n^2 + 1 \mod k$.

Genrate a sequence x_n of numbers such that two numbers $x_n \neq x_j$ are such that $x_n^2 \mod k = x_j^2 \mod k$. Note that such numbers exist as each quadratic residue which is relatively prime to k has four distinct square root $\mod k$. For instace, we let $x_1 = 1$, $x_{n+1} = x_n^2 + 1 \mod k$.

How do we keep track of the numbers in the sequence to find such a pair?

Genrate a sequence x_n of numbers such that two numbers $x_n \neq x_j$ are such that $x_n^2 \mod k = x_j^2 \mod k$. Note that such numbers exist as each quadratic residue which is relatively prime to k has four distinct square root k. For instace, we let $x_1 = 1$, $x_{n+1} = x_n^2 + 1 \mod k$.

How do we keep track of the numbers in the sequence to find such a pair?

If for some integers j, $n x_n = x_{n+j}$ then there is an integer s for which $x_s = x_{2s}$.

This means that all we have to do is just track the pairs $\{x_n, x_{2n}\}$. We do not have to keep any other parts of the sequence in memory.

Example

Assume $x_{17} = x_{40}$ then $x_{19} = x_{42}, x_{21} = x_{44}, \dots, x_{23} = x_{46}$.



A better password: Zero Knowledge Proof.

From the user point of view, the password system has changed little since its inception more than 70 years ago. The user selects a password, has to memorize it and uses it repeatedly. He risks the minor headache of forgetting it or the major problem of being stolen by various means.

A better password: Zero Knowledge Proof.

From the user point of view, the password system has changed little since its inception more than 70 years ago. The user selects a password, has to memorize it and uses it repeatedly. He risks the minor headache of forgetting it or the major problem of being stolen by various means.

The concept of *Zero Knowledge Proof* was introduced recently. The idea is to build a system by which I can prove to you that I know something while you will not be able to use it or learn anything you did not know before.

A better password: Zero Knowledge Proof.

From the user point of view, the password system has changed little since its inception more than 70 years ago. The user selects a password, has to memorize it and uses it repeatedly. He risks the minor headache of forgetting it or the major problem of being stolen by various means.

The concept of *Zero Knowledge Proof* was introduced recently. The idea is to build a system by which I can prove to you that I know something while you will not be able to use it or learn anything you did not know before.

Assume that you open a bank account. To create a password, you give the bank a "key", an integer $k = p \cdot q$ where p, q are large prime numbers and p, q mod q secretely and securely. Everyone else may know or intercept your key.

To open a commnication, the bank selects a random integer r and calculates r^2 mod key. The bank then sends you an integer $m = r^4 \mod kev$.

To open a commnication, the bank selects a random integer r and calculates $r^2 \mod key$. The bank then sends you an integer $m = r^4 \mod key$.

While everyone knows the calculations involved, and may be able to intercept the message m, may know the key, they will not be able to calculate \sqrt{m} mod key unless they can factor the key.

To open a commnication, the bank selects a random integer r and calculates $r^2 \mod key$. The bank then sends you an integer $m = r^4 \mod key$.

While everyone knows the calculations involved, and may be able to intercept the message m, may know the key, they will not be able to calculate \sqrt{m} mod key unless they can factor the key.

You on the other hand, knowing p and q can calculate \sqrt{m} mod key, but there are 4 disitnct square roots. Which one did the bank use? Furtheremore, if you send a different square root than the one used by the bank, someone at the bank will be able to factor your key.

Herein lies the beauty of this system.

Factoring

Herein lies the beauty of this system.

Becuase p and q mod 4 = 3, -1 is not a quadratic residue mod p or q, only one of the four square roots of m has a square root mod key so you calculate the square root and send the bank its square, namely r^2 .

Herein lies the beauty of this system.

Becuase p and q mod 4 = 3, -1 is not a quadratic residue mod p or q, only one of the four square roots of m has a square root mod key so you calculate the square root and send the bank its square, namely r^2 .

• The bank receives r^2 which matches what he used to create m.

Herein lies the beauty of this system.

Becuase p and q mod 4 = 3, -1 is not a quadratic residue mod p or q, only one of the four square roots of m has a square root mod key so you calculate the square root and send the bank its square, namely r^2 .

- The bank receives r² which matches what he used to create m.
- The bank can now verify that you are communicating with the bank.

Herein lies the beauty of this system.

Becuase p and q mod 4 = 3, -1 is not a quadratic residue mod p or q, only one of the four square roots of m has a square root mod key so you calculate the square root and send the bank its square, namely r^2 .

- The bank receives r² which matches what he used to create m.
- The bank can now verify that you are communicating with the bank.
- The bank did not get any knowledge he did not have before.

Herein lies the beauty of this system.

Becuase p and q mod 4 = 3, -1 is not a quadratic residue mod p or q, only one of the four square roots of m has a square root mod key so you calculate the square root and send the bank its square, namely r^2 .

- The bank receives r² which matches what he used to create m.
- The bank can now verify that you are communicating with the bank.
- The bank did not get any knowledge he did not have before.
- For every communication a different m is used, so intercepting your response will not give any one any useful information.