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ABSTRACT<br>The existence of unambiguous $d$-polyhedral graphs is established for every $d$.

1. A graph $G$ is called $d$-polyhedral provided $G$ can be realized by the vertices and edges of a $d$-dimensional convex polytope [3]. In general, a $d$-polyhedral graph may be dimensionally ambiguous, i.e., it may be also $d^{\prime}$-polyhedral for $d^{\prime} \neq d$ (though this can not occur for $d \leqq 3$ [3]). A polyhedral graph is unambiguous provided it is not dimensionally ambiguous, and provided for every two convex polytopes realizing the graph a biunique correspondence exists between their vertices in such a way that a set of vertices of one of the polytopes determines a face of the polytope if and only if the corresponding vertices of the other determine one of its faces.

Recently, Klee [5] disproved one of the conjectures of [3] and established the existence, for every $d$, of $d$-polyhedral graphs which are not dimensionally ambiguous. Klee's proof is based on a new condition for $d$-polyhedrality. The aim of the present paper is to give a simpler proof and a slight sharpening of Klee's result, by proving the following

Theorem. For every d there exist unambiguous d-polyhedral graphs.
The author is indebted to Victor Klee for many long and interesting conversations on polyhedral graphs.
2. Before proving the theorem, we collect some well-known definitions and facts, and state a few easily established assertions.
If $P$ is a $d$-dimensional convex polytope in Euclidean $d$-space $E^{d}, F$ a ( $d-1$ )face of $P$, and $A$ a point, we shall say that $A$ is beyond $F$ provided $A$ belongs to the open halfspace which has $F$ in its boundary and which does not meet $P$.
The following statements are easily established:
(i) If $P$ is a $d$-dimensional convex polytope and if $A$ is a point of $E^{d}$ not belonging to $P$, there is a $(d-1)$-face $F$ of $P$ such that $A$ is beyond $F$ (Weyl [6]).
(ii) If $A$ and $B$ are vertices of a $d$-dimensional convex polytope $P$, joined by an edge of $P$, and if $P_{0}$ is the convex hull of the vertices of $P$ different from $A$,
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then either $A$ is beyond some $(d-1)$-face of $P_{0}$ incident to $B$, or $P_{0}$ is $(d-1)$ dimensional.
(iii) If an open halfspace $H$ contains at least two vertices of a convex polytope then $H$ contains an edge of the polytope.
(iv) If a vertex $V$ of a convex polytope $P$ is beyond exactly one face $F$ of the convex hull of the other vertices of $P$, then $V$ is joined by an edge of $P$ to each vertex of $F$.

For the following notions and facts see Gale [1, 2], Klee [4], and the references given in those papers.

A cyclic polytope $C(d, n)$ is the convex hull of $n$ distinct points on the "moment curve" in $E^{d}, n \geqq d+1, d \geqq 2$, given parametrically by $\left(t, t^{2}, t^{3}, \cdots, t^{d}\right)$. It is well known that $C(d, n)$ is a $d$-dimensional polytope with $n$ vertices, which is neighborly in the sense that every $s \leqq[d / 2]$ of its vertices determine an $(s-1)$ dimensional face of $C(d, n)$. In particular, for $d \geqq 4$, every pair of vertices of $C(d, n)$ determines an edge. All the $(d-1)$-faces of $C(d, n)$ are $(d-1)$-simplices. Their number $m(d, n)$ is given by

$$
m(d, n)=\binom{n-\left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right]}{n-d}+\binom{n-\left[\frac{d+2}{2}\right]}{n-d}
$$

Let $\mu(d, n)$ denote the maximal possible number of $(d-1)$-dimensional faces for $d$-dimensional polytopes with $n$ vertices. Obviously $\mu(d, n) \geqq m(d, n)$; it has been conjectured that equality holds in this relation for all $d$ and $n \geqq d+1$. It is known that $\mu(d, n)=m(d, n)$ if either $n \leqq d+3$ or $n \geqq[(d+1) / 2]^{2}-1$.

Let $C(d, n)$ be a cyclic polytope with vertices $\left\{V_{i}: i=1,2, \cdots, n\right\}$; beyond each of its $m(d, n)(d-1)$-faces $F_{j}$ we take a point $W_{j}$ sufficiently near to the centroid of $F_{j}$, in such a way that in the convex hull $K(d, n)$ of

$$
\left\{V_{i}: i=1, \cdots, n\right\} \cup\left\{W_{j}: j=1, \cdots, m(d, n)\right\}
$$

each $W_{j}$ is joined by an edge only to the vertices $V_{i}$ incident to $F_{j}$ (then all the edges of $C(d, n)$ are also edges of $K(d, n)$ ). We call $K(d, n)$ a Kleetope derived from $C(d, n)$. The graph of vertices and edges of $K(d, n)$ shall be denoted by $K^{*}(d, n)$, its nodes by $V_{i}^{*}, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, and $W_{j}^{*}, 1 \leqq j \leqq m(d, n)$.
3. We shall prove the theorem by establishing the following assertion:

For all $n$ and $d$, such that $d \geqq 4$ and $n+1 \geqq \max \left\{2 d,[d / 2]^{2}\right\}$, the $d$-polyhedral graph $K^{*}(d, n)$ of the Kleetope $K(d, n)$ is unambiguous.

Proof. (i) $K^{*}(d, n)$ is not dimensionally ambiguous. Indeed, since each of the nodes $W_{j}^{*}$ is $d$-valent, $K^{*}(d, n)$ is not $d^{\prime}$-polyhedral for $d^{\prime}>d$. Assuming $K^{*}(d, n)$ to be realizable by a ( $d-1$ )-dimensional polytope $P$, let $P_{0}$ be the convex hull of the vertices $V_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq n$, of $P$ corresponding to the nodes $V_{i}^{*}$ of $K^{*}(d, n)$. By the above, $P_{0}$ has at most $\mu(d-1, n)=m(d-1, n)$ faces of dimension $d-2$. By (i) above, each vertex $W_{j}$ of $P$ (corresponding to the node $W_{j}$ of $K^{*}(d, n)$ is beyond at least one of the $(d-2)$-faces of $P_{0}$. Since no two vertices $W_{j}$ determine an edge of $P$, (iii) implies that no two of those vertices may be beyond the same ( $d-2$ )-face of $P_{0}$. Therefore $m(d-1, n) \geqq m(d, n)$, in contradiction to the value of $m(d, n)$ and the assumption $n \geqq 2 d-1$. Since $n \geqq 2 d-1$ implies also $m(d, n)>m((d-s), n)$ for every $s \geqq 1$, the same reasoning shows that $K^{*}(d, n)$ is not $(d-s)$-polyhedral. Thus $K^{*}(d, n)$ is not dimensionally ambiguous.
(ii) Let $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ be two $d$-dimensional polytopes realizing $K^{*}(d, n)$, with vertices $V_{i}^{\prime}, W_{j}^{\prime}$ and $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}, W_{j}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $P_{0}^{\prime}$ be the convex hull of the vertices $V_{i}^{\prime}$ of $P^{\prime}$, and $P_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ the convex hull of the vertices $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ of $P^{\prime \prime}$. In each of $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$, the vertex corresponding to the node $W_{j}^{*}$ of $K^{*}(d, n)$ is beyond at least one of the $(d-1)$ faces of $P_{0}^{\prime}$ resp. $P_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, and vertices corresponding to different nodes $W_{j}^{*}$ are not beyond the same $(d-1)$-face. Since $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ have each at most $m(d, n)$ faces of dimension $d-1$, each vertex $W_{j}^{\prime}$ or $W_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is beyond exactly one $(d-1)$-face of $P_{0}^{\prime}$ resp. $P_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. By ((iv) above, that face has as vertices exactly those $V_{i}^{\prime}$ 's resp. $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ 's which correspond to nodes $V_{i}^{*}$ connected to the given $W_{j}^{*}$ by edges of $K^{*}(d, n)$. Therefore each $(d-1)$-face of $P_{0}^{\prime}$, and of $P_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, is a $(d-1)$-simplex, and the correspondence of $V_{i}^{\prime}$ and $W_{j}^{\prime}$ to $V_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $W_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ shows that $K^{*}(d, n)$ is unambiguous.
4. Remarks. (1) The assertion of $\S 3$ can be established for some additional values of $d$ and $n$. Thus, $K^{*}(5,6)$ is unambiguous. The argument is similar to the above, with the addition that in the present case $P_{0}=C(4,6)$ and therefore all its 3-faces are simplices. It follows that each $W_{j}$ is beyond at least two 3-faces of $P_{0}$, which is impossible since $C(4,6)$ has only 9 such faces. Even the 11 -node 5-polyhedral graph, obtained from $K^{*}(5,6)$ by deleting one of the nodes $W_{j}^{*}$, is not dimensionally ambiguous.
(2) It is some of interest to note that although $K^{*}(5,6)$ is unambiguous, the graph of the polytope polar to $K(5,6)$ (in $E^{5}$ ) is 4-polyhedral.
(3) For a $d$-dimensional convex polytope $C$ let $K(C)$ denote the Kleetope derive from $C$, i.e. the polytope obtained from $C$ by adjoining above each of its ( $d-1$ )-faces a sufficiently flat pyramid. Let $K^{*}(C)$ denote the graph of $K(C)$.

Conjecture. For every $C$, the graph $K^{*}(C)$ is unambiguous.
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