



Vector Analysis Proof of Erdős' Inequality for Triangles

Author(s): Akira Sakurai

Reviewed work(s):

Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 119, No. 8 (October 2012), pp. 682-684

Published by: [Mathematical Association of America](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.4169/amer.math.monthly.119.08.682>

Accessed: 26/09/2012 22:36

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at

<http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

NOTES

Edited by **Sergei Tabachnikov**

Vector Analysis Proof of Erdős' Inequality for Triangles

Akira Sakurai

Abstract. We define a new concept, the “1/2-power of plane vectors,” and use it to provide another proof of Erdős' inequality for triangles.

1. INTRODUCTION. Let $\triangle ABC$ be a triangle and O a point in it. Let the distances from O to vertices A , B and C be p , q and r , and let those to sides BC , CA and AB be u , v and w . Erdős' inequality for triangles then asserts that

$$p + q + r \geq 2(u + v + w)$$

with equality holding if and only if the triangle is equilateral and O is its center.

A number of authors have given proofs for this inequality using different tools. Kazarinoff's proof [3] uses Pappus' Theorem, and Avez's proof [2] needs Ptolemy's Theorem. However, a paper by Alsina and Nelsen [1] provides a simple, elementary proof. Most notably, Kusco [4] requires only trigonometric functions in achieving his short proof. We recently provided a proof of the inequality in [5] using “1/2-power of plane vectors,” a concept that we originated.

Our examination of the equality condition in [5] was insufficient, and [5] is only available in Japanese. Therefore, we offer our proof here after revising the last part using an argument suggested by T. Kambayashi.

2. NOTATION. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2)$ represent plane vectors throughout. We will regard these as $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, 0)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, 0)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, 0) = (a \cos \theta_a, a \sin \theta_a, 0)$, define

$$\mathbf{a}^{1/2} := (a^{1/2} \cos(\theta_a/2), a^{1/2} \sin(\theta_a/2), 0) \tag{1}$$

and likewise for $\mathbf{b} = (b \cos \theta_b, b \sin \theta_b, 0)$. We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1.

- (a) $\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{a}^{1/2} = a = |\mathbf{a}|$.
- (b) $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = 2(\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{1/2})(\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \times \mathbf{b}^{1/2})$.
- (c) $|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}| \geq 2|\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \times \mathbf{b}^{1/2}|$, with equality holding if and only if $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}|$.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4169/amer.math.monthly.119.08.682>
MSC: Primary 51M04, Secondary 15A72

Proof. The proof of (a) is clear. (b) Let $\theta := \theta_b - \theta_a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} &= (0, 0, ab \sin \theta) = (0, 0, 2ab \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}), \\ \mathbf{a}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{1/2} &= a^{1/2} b^{1/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}, \text{ and} \\ 2(\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \times \mathbf{b}^{1/2}) &= (0, 0, 2a^{1/2} b^{1/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}),\end{aligned}$$

so the second scalar times the third vector equals $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$.

(c) Since $|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}|^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta \geq 2ab(1 - \cos \theta) = 4ab \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) = 4|\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \times \mathbf{b}^{1/2}|^2$, it follows that $|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}| \geq 2a^{1/2} b^{1/2} |\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})|$. The inequality arises from $a^2 + b^2 \geq 2ab$, and this becomes an equality if and only if $a = b$. We thus have (c). ■

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$ be nonzero vectors, let O be the origin, and $\triangle OPQ$ a triangle such that $\mathbf{a} = \overrightarrow{OP}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \overrightarrow{OQ}$. The distance h of O to the line PQ satisfies the following:

$$(d) \quad h = \frac{|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}|}{|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}|} \leq |\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{1/2}|, \text{ with equality holding if and only if } |\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}|.$$

We remark that one is tempted to just call h “the distance from O to $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}$.”

Proof. The area of the parallelogram spanned by \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} is $|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}|$, which equals $|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}| \cdot h$. The equality is therefore clear. Next, by (b) and (c) in Lemma 2.1, we have

$$h = 2|\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{1/2}| |\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \times \mathbf{b}^{1/2}| / |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}| \leq |\mathbf{a}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{b}^{1/2}|.$$

Equality holds if and only if (c) is an equality, which happens if and only if $|\mathbf{a}| = |\mathbf{b}|$. ■

3. PROOF OF ERDŐS’ INEQUALITY. Using the previously defined notations, we further denote that

$$\overrightarrow{OA} = \mathbf{p} = (p \cos \theta_p, p \sin \theta_p, 0),$$

$$\overrightarrow{OB} = \mathbf{q} = (q \cos \theta_q, q \sin \theta_q, 0),$$

and

$$\overrightarrow{OC} = \mathbf{r} = (r \cos \theta_r, r \sin \theta_r, 0).$$

Also, without loss of generality, we may and shall assume in the rest of the paper that $\mathbf{O} = (0, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{A} = (p, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{B} = (b_1, b_2, 0)$ with $b_2 > 0$, and $\mathbf{C} = (c_1, c_2, 0)$ with $c_2 < 0$. It follows that

$$\theta_p = \angle AOA = 0, \quad 0 < \theta_q = \angle AOB < \pi,$$

and

$$\pi < \theta_r = \angle AOC < 2\pi,$$

so that

$$0 < \theta_q - \theta_p < \pi, \quad 0 < \theta_r - \theta_q < \pi, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi < \theta_r - \theta_p < 2\pi. \quad (2)$$

We now turn to proving Erdős' inequality. From Lemma 2.1(a), Lemma 2.2(d) and (2) above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p + q + r - 2(u + v + w) & \geq \mathbf{p}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{p}^{1/2} + \mathbf{q}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{q}^{1/2} + \mathbf{r}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{1/2} \\ & \quad - 2\mathbf{q}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{1/2} + 2\mathbf{p}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{1/2} - 2\mathbf{p}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{q}^{1/2} \\ & = |\mathbf{p}^{1/2} - \mathbf{q}^{1/2} + \mathbf{r}^{1/2}|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It follows that

$$p + q + r \geq 2(u + v + w), \quad (4)$$

which is Erdős' inequality, as desired. ■

Next, we establish the condition for the equality to hold in (4) (i.e., for the two inequalities in (3) to both be equalities). The first of the two inequalities in (3) is due to the three inequalities $u \leq |\mathbf{q}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{1/2}|$, $v \leq |\mathbf{p}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{r}^{1/2}|$ and $w \leq |\mathbf{p}^{1/2} \cdot \mathbf{q}^{1/2}|$. These three all depend upon Lemma 2.2(d), and the equality condition there implies $p = q = r$. We therefore conclude that the first inequality of (3) is an equality if and only if vertices A, B, C lie on a circle centered at O . Let us assume that this condition holds for the remainder of this note.

Now consider the second inequality of (3). Clearly, this becomes an equality if and only if $\mathbf{q}^{1/2} = \mathbf{p}^{1/2} + \mathbf{r}^{1/2}$, where $|\mathbf{p}^{1/2}| = |\mathbf{q}^{1/2}| = |\mathbf{r}^{1/2}|$ and $\arg(\mathbf{p}^{1/2}) = 0$. Hence, one sees at once that $\mathbf{p}^{1/2}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{1/2}$ generate a parallelogram with all four sides equal, where $\mathbf{q}^{1/2}$ is its diagonal, also of equal size. We conclude that the condition for the equality in (4) is that tips A, B , and C of the vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}$ rooted at O form an equilateral triangle with its center at O .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We wish to express our heartfelt thanks to Professor T. Toyonari for introducing us to this interesting subject area and for providing us with useful and relevant information. We are also grateful to Professor T. Kambayashi for offering analysis of the equality condition presented above.

REFERENCES

1. C. Alsina, R. B. Nelson, A Visual Proof of the Erdős-Mordell Inequality, *Forum Geom.* **7** (2007) 99–107.
2. A. Avez, A short proof of a theorem of Erdős and Mordell, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **100** (1993) 60–62; available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2324817>.
3. D. K. Kazarinoff, A simple proof of the Erdős-Mordell Inequality for triangles, *Michigan Math. J.* **4** (1957) 97–98; available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1028988998>.
4. Kusco, A proof of the Erdős inequality, *Sugaku Seminar*, March issue (2008) 51–52 (IN JAPANESE).
5. A. Sakurai, A proof by using vector analysis to the Erdős Inequality on a triangle, *Transactions of Math. Education for Colleges & Univ.*, **17** (2010) 11–14 (IN JAPANESE).

Tokyo Denki University, Tokyo, Japan 120-8551
 "SAKURAI Akira," sakurai@cck.dendai.ac.jp