# ME 599/AA 546/EE 546: Biology-inspired robot control

Lecture 12 Sawyer B. Fuller

Goals:

- introduce learning segment of course
- introduce paper6
- 2nd half of term project presentations

# Office Hours this week

- normally: Thurs 2:30-3:30 in MEB321
- this week: Thurs 1:30-2:30 in MEB321

### recap: mechanical intelligence (weeks 1-4)

### Engineered control systems typically use tight feedback control to regulate motion

• example: Honda Asimo. It hunches to walk. 20x power usage of humans

#### In biological systems, mechanics and control and evolved simultaneously

- result: sometimes desirable behavior can be engineered to occur without neural feedback
- simpler, more efficient, robust to failure
- "mechanical intelligence"

#### **Examples from the readings**

- Jindrich2002: cockroaches perturbed by cannons don't change their gait
- Collins2001: a robot that walks without any motors at all!
- Fuller14: simplifying the sensing problem by relying on dynamics
- Werfel14: collective output using tight coupling between mechanics and behavior
- Sam Burden (guest speaker): theory on mechanical intelligence

### upcoming: reflexive/learning control

### Engineered control systems typically contain a *model* of the system being controlled

- examples: model of inertia of the car for cruise control; a map of the environment
- valid approach for simple systems/environments
  - problems if more complicated or unpredictable
  - examples: turbulent flow, friction, complex environments

### Biological systems instead find *input-output* mappings to achieve satisfactory performance

• "reflexive control" or "model-free control"

#### **Examples from the readings**

- McLeod1996: fielders don't estimate a ball's trajectory, they just follow it
- Braitenberg1984: simple reflexes can produce complex behavior (upcoming:)
- Cory2008: learning how to perform aggressive maneuvers from flight data
- Bongard2011: neural networks learning to walk
- Brooks1986: reflexive input-output loops can be layered to produce complex behavior
- Srinivasan1996: how bees use optic flow to navigate from the hive

# Friday's reading: "Experiments in fixed-wing perching" by Cory and Tedrake (2008)



classic V/STOL (vertical/short takeoff landing) "classical approach" but uses lots of energy

### W UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON 5



bird landing: high angle of attack, unsteady flow hard to model, efficient

# perching on a wire



# useful definitions



$$F_L = \frac{1}{2}\rho C_L S V^2$$
$$F_D = \frac{1}{2}\rho C_D S V^2$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \rho & \text{air density} \\ C_L & \text{coefficient of lift} \\ C_D & \text{coefficient of drag} \\ S & \text{wing area} \\ V & \text{wing velocity} \end{array}$ 



## machine learning background



$$C_L(\alpha,\varphi) \approx \Sigma_i w_i^{\alpha} \phi_i(\alpha) + \Sigma_i w_i^{\varphi} \phi_i(\varphi)$$

 section 5 "optimal control" - uses advanced topics in control theory. skim it, but but you're not expected to understand it all