1 Summary

Human-made robotic systems are not yet able to match their biological counterparts in many important performance metrics. In particular, robots are far less robust to uncertainty and complexity in the environment and their own components. Compared to how a mountain goat can quickly scale a steep cliff, or a honeybee can navigate to and land on a flower buffeted by wind, and innumerable other examples, robotics has a lot of catching up to do.

This course takes the view that there are two key elements that differentiate animals from current robots.

1. **Mechanical Intelligence**. Animals’ bodies act in concert with their sensorimotor systems in a much more coordinated fashion, which is in many cases manifested as an ability to operate satisfactorily without any feedback whatsoever.

2. **Adaptation**. Through both evolution or learning, animals respond to the world by changing in ways that improve their fitness.

We will read and debate primary research papers that have proposed possible solutions for how future robots could make better use of these ideas. We will learn about historical successes and failures. And as part of the course, we will have the opportunity to create a simulation, or work with physical robots, on which we can attempt to put one or more of these ideas into practice.

2 Course Objectives

This is an advanced, graduate level course designed to help you investigate cutting-edge problems in robotics and biology. The objectives of the course are to:

- Inspire you to explore biology-inspired solutions to challenges in robotics

- Have enough familiarity with Python and the Robot Operating System to do basic robotics and simulation
• Know how to design a controller and sensor-based state estimator (Kalman Filter) for a hovering aircraft
• Be able to name at least three biology-inspired advances in robotics
• Know how to find papers describing these advances online
• Efficiently read, explain, and note strengths and deficiencies in a research paper
• Find good research problems
• Describe and promote your ideas and discoveries

Prerequisites This course assumes an undergraduate-level training in mechanics, dynamics and controls, as is typically covered in a mechanical engineering Bachelor’s degree. You should be prepared to do the following:
• Statics - draw a free body force diagram and solve for forces and moments
• Dynamics - write and solve equations for spring-mass-damper systems
• Differential equations - solve first and second-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs)

3 Coursework
Coursework consists of three main components:

I. Problem sets Two to three problem sets will be assigned early in the quarter aimed to build basic skills in dynamical systems, simulation, and robotics. We will introduce and use Python because it is emerging as a standard language for research.

II. Reading and reviewing research papers You will read and either summarize or review 8–10 research papers taken from original research in the field of biology-inspired robotics. Your grade for this component of the course will consist of the extent you understand and critically analyze its claims, both in your written review and in-class discussions.

Your participation in in-class discussions is graded and helps the instructor know that you read and understood the paper.

A review submitted on canvas consists of a paragraph of at least 4 sentences that in your own words
1. succinctly summarizes the main contribution of the paper
2. notes one major strength of the paper
3. notes one major weakness or where it needs correction or improvement, and
4. suggests one question or future work direction that should be followed, or makes some connection to another paper.

Occasionally, the assignment will be something else, such as a comparison between papers, or simply to summarize the paper.

Reviews are submitted in Canvas by submitting a post in reply to the appropriate assignment. While you are encouraged to read other posts to get greater insight into the paper and prepare for discussion, you cannot do so until you have posted your own. Editing posts is not permitted and will result in a zero score. This prohibits you from making a blank post and then reading other posts before posting your own.
III. Paper presentation and discussion lead  Each of the assigned papers will be presented by students in the class. This is to sharpen presentation skills, and to give you a chance to become deeply familiar with a paper. You, along with 1–2 other students, will be assigned a paper and presentation date based on your responses to the paper preferences sheet handed out on the first day of class. Along with those students, you will 1) work together to present the paper, relevant background, and a related or follow-on paper, 2) lead a discussion in class about the paper, and 3) peer review other students’ reviews. Your presentation day entails three tasks:

1. **Presentation.** Each student group should aim to make a 20-30 minute informal presentation summarizing the work. It is suggested that you work among yourselves to choose who will present what aspect, but a good option is to for each student to handle one of the following:

   (a) Background and history of the problem. Provide context for the paper, including a summary of previous work. Do reading on the topic beyond the assigned paper, such as what you find by looking up important papers that are cited, do an internet search and a google scholar search of the document, show relevant videos you can find.

   (b) The paper itself
      i. main contributions of paper. **Make sure to include a succinct answer to this question:** What was the main thing that was not known before this paper came out?
      ii. summary of key results
      iii. critique and points for discussion

   (c) If there is a third student in your group, do the same for a related paper such as a follow-on paper (the instructor can often suggest a paper)

2. **Lead in-class discussion.** After the presentation, the 2–3 presenters will **lead a 30-60 minute discussion.** To do this, it is suggested that you prepare three non-trivial questions that result from your attempt to understand the material, its implications, or connections to other work. In addition, **read the reviews** by other students and **incorporate their questions or comments into the discussion.** For example, a discussion prompt could be: “one reader stated that finding $x$ was not supported by the paper’s results. Do you agree or disagree?”

3. **Peer review.** Each student who presents a paper, as the expert on that paper, will then perform peer review other students’ reviews (you do not need to write a review for the paper you present). By doing this you will learn how other students have performed the same task and find out what kind of writing is effective the perspective of a reader. Grading rubric: **4 pts:** sufficient detail, clearly written, and thought-provoking; **3 pts:** long enough, but could be more thoughtful. **2 pts =** not long, not thought-provoking. **0 pts =** no submission. When in doubt, please ask the instructor. **To receive course credit for performing peer review, please submit your grades to the instructor or TA within a week of presenting.** Download the Course Enrollee List from Canvas, insert your grades with Excel or a text editor, and email it.

III. Course project  This will be related to some aspect of biology-inspired robotics. Part of the project will consist of a **computer simulation,** a robotics demonstration, or both. Results will be explained through a **poster session** and **short report** describing the results at the end of the quarter. This assignment may vary from year to year; more specifics will be provided early in the quarter.

* To facilitate consistent progress, there will be a few milestones: (see Canvas for due dates)

1. Each person/team will submit a < 1 page proposal
2. A short progress update presentation midway through the quarter
3. During the final week of the quarter, each person/team will give a poster presentation or slide presentation of their work. A short paper describing the work will be due the last day of class.
**Grading**  The final grade will be weighted as follows (each element may vary by ±5%):

- 15% problem sets
- 15% paper reviews
- 10% participation in class discussions
- 10% paper presentation
- 10% peer reviews of paper review
- 40% term project
  - 20% proposal
  - 10% mid-quarter progress update
  - 35% presentation/poster
  - 35% report

If you feel that an assignment was graded incorrectly, please return your work along with a written description of what you believe to be the grading error. But if you request a review, your grade can go up or **down** as a result, depending on the quality of your argument.

You may have three grace periods of two days each that can be used at any time for paper reviews and problem sets, but no more than 1 grace period per assignment. Additional delay incurs a 10% grade penalty per workday. **This does not apply for presentation dates or the final project due date** – these cannot be extended.

**Course schedule (subject to change)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>paper reviews</th>
<th>project/homework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>week 1</td>
<td>Mon: overview, dynamic systems &amp; linearization</td>
<td>paper 0 summary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wed: paper 0 presentation, Python tutorial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week 2</td>
<td>Mon: linear quadratic regulator, project &amp; team selection</td>
<td>paper 1 summary</td>
<td>pset 1 due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wed: paper 1 presentation, Crazyflie tutorial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week 3</td>
<td>Mon: MLK holiday</td>
<td>paper 2 summary</td>
<td>pset 2 due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wed: sensors &amp; Kalman Filter, ROS tutorial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week 4</td>
<td>insect-inspired control</td>
<td>paper reviews</td>
<td>pset 3 due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week 5–6</td>
<td>robot learning and evolution</td>
<td>paper reviews</td>
<td>pre-proposal due</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks 7–8</td>
<td>mechanical intelligence</td>
<td>paper reviews</td>
<td>progress update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 9</td>
<td>project work sessions, guest speakers</td>
<td>paper reviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 10</td>
<td>project presentations and project peer review</td>
<td>poster session, proposal due</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Collaboration policy & plagiarism**  You are encouraged to work with other students to work out solutions to problem sets, the course project, and other parts of the course. But the work you submit must be your own, in your own words.

Plagiarism (copying other people’s work without acknowledgement) or cheating will not be tolerated. Please see [http://www.engr.washington.edu/mycoe/am/ampolicy](http://www.engr.washington.edu/mycoe/am/ampolicy) for the University of Washington’s Policy on Academic Misconduct for more information. If I find any evidence of plagiarism or cheating, I will give a grade of zero for the assignment, and the student may be subject to disciplinary action. If you have any questions, don’t hesitate to contact me.