

The linear quadratic regulator (LQR controller)

summary: robot dynamics, LQR

a linear quadratic regulator has the form of a *state feedback controller* with gain K :

$$u = -Kq$$

it minimizes the quadratic (scalar) cost function given by

$$J = \int_0^\infty (q^T Q q + u^T R u) dt.$$

Where $Q \geq 0$ and $R > 0$ are symmetric matrices. This approach shifts the design problem from gain choices to weight choices

dynamics with pure vectors

$$\Sigma f = m\dot{v}$$

$$\Sigma \tau = J\dot{\omega} + \omega \times J\omega$$

dynamics for simulation

$$\Sigma f = m\dot{v} \quad \text{world coordinates}$$

$$\Sigma \tau' = J\dot{\omega}' + \omega' \times J\omega' \quad \text{body-attached coordinates}$$

$$\dot{R} = R\omega'^{\times} \quad \text{orientation}$$

$$\dot{p} = v \quad \text{world coordinates}$$

remarks on linear quadratic regulator

- computing K requires solving “algebraic riccati equation”
 - tricky to solve & requires numerical iteration $K = -R^{-1}B^T P$
 \Rightarrow best to use software from experts $0 = PA + A^T P - PBR^{-1}B^T P + Q$
- `ct.lqr(A,B,Q,R)` or MATLAB `lqr(A,B,Q,R)`
- LQR is a special case of general optimization problem: find \mathbf{u} that minimizes given cost function and satisfies constraints (e.g. max throttle). This can be used to guide system to desired state/trajectory
- sketch for how LQR is solved:
 - use pontryagin’s maximum principle (variational calculus)
 - in special case of linear, time-invariant system, quadratic cost, and infinite time horizon, result is that input is a linear function of state: $\mathbf{u} = -K\mathbf{q}$
- for control far away from equilibrium (e.g. aggressive maneuvers), need full nonlinear *trajectory tracking*
 - common “engineering” approach is receding horizon control (a.k.a. “model predictive control”): repeatedly calculate optimal \mathbf{u} over a short horizon
 - biology-inspired: explore the solution space, reward if success (reinforcement learning). parameterize controller/“policy” with a neural network

more remarks

- Full 3D flight control requires two separate, parallel 2D controllers. Also required are coordinate rotations between inner and outer loops.
 - See Fuller2019, “Four Wings: An Insect-Sized Aerial Robot With Steering ability and payload capacity for autonomy”, *Robotics and Automation Letters* (2019) on the course web page for one approach.
- Simulating $\dot{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}\omega'^{\times}$ in 3D leads to ill-formed R matrices because of numerical inaccuracy. Better to parameterize R with Euler Angles or quaternions
 - Euler Angles: see Mellinger2012: “Trajectory generation and control for precise aggressive maneuvers with quadrotors” *Int. J. Robot. Res.* on course we page
- To compensate for steady-state disturbances, e.g. torque bias that we must correct for, do *integral action* by adding a state z :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + Bu \\ y - r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ax + Bu \\ Cx - r \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \text{integral of (output) error}$$
$$u = -Kx - K_i z$$