The threat of zoonotic transmission of infectious agents at monkey temples highlights the necessity of investigating the prevalence of enzootic infectious agents in these primate populations. Biological samples were collected from 39 rhesus macaques at the Swoyambhu Temple and tested by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, Western blot, polymerase chain reaction, or combination of these tests for evidence of infection with rhesus cytomegalovirus (RhCMV), Cercopithecine herpesvirus 1 (CHV-1), simian virus 40 (SV40), simian retrovirus (SRV), simian T-cell lymphotropic virus (STLV), simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV), and simian foamy virus (SFV). Antibody seroprevalence was 94.9% to RhCMV (37/39), 89.7% to SV40 (35/39), 64.1% to CHV-1 (25/39), and 97.4% to SFV (38/39). Humans who come into contact with macaques at Swoyambhu risk exposure to enzootic primateborne viruses. We discuss implications for public health and primate management strategies that would reduce contact between humans and primates.

Most pathogens that affect humans are thought to have originated in animals and subsequently evolved to successfully parasitize human populations (1). Proximity and physical contact between animals and humans provide the opportunity for infectious agents to pass between the groups. Whether a particular infectious agent can successfully make the cross-species jump depends in part on the new host environment (1). By virtue of their genetic, physiologic, and behavioral similarity to humans, nonhuman primates (hereafter referred to as primates) are particularly likely sources of emerging infectious agents with the capacity to infect humans, and primate-to-human cross-species transmission of infectious agents has become a focus of scientific inquiry. Because human-primate contact is common in Asia, this continent is a rich area in which to pursue this research. We examine the prevalence of selected enzootic primateborne viruses in a population of rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta) that lives in close proximity to humans.

Monkey Temple Context for Cross-species Transmission

Monkey temples can be found throughout South and Southeast Asia, where primates play a role in Hindu and Buddhist culture (2). Macaque species, because they can thrive in human-altered environments, are the primates most often associated with temples. Extensive, unregulated, and often close contact between humans and primates occurs at these sites (3). Persons who live or work in or around monkey temples are among those who frequently come into contact with temple monkeys (4). Other persons may come into contact with temple macaques when they visit for purposes of worship, recreation, or tourism. Worldwide, monkey temples may account for more human-primate contact than any other context (5).

Swoyambhu Temple is 1 of 2 temple sites in the densely populated Kathmandu valley with a large population of free-ranging rhesus monkeys (6) (Figure 1). As 1 of the region’s oldest and most important Buddhist holy places, Swoyambhu has been designated a world heritage site and continues to play a vibrant role in Kathmandu’s cultural life. In addition to the Tibetan monks, Brahmin priests, and
Newar nuns who live on the site, a brisk flow of local worshippers and visitors from around the world passes through Swoyambhu. Persons who live and work in and around Swoyambhu share common water sources with the macaques and report that the macaques frequently invade their homes and gardens in search of food. The macaques at Swoyambhu have become a tourist attraction in their own right, and many visitors interact with the monkeys, often by feeding or teasing them (Figure 2). A growing literature documents human-macaque interactions at monkey temples in Asia (2–5). Macaques climb on the heads and shoulders of visitors, which may bring macaque body fluids into contact with visitors’ eyes and nasal and oral mucosa, potential portals of entry for infectious agents. Visitors may also be bitten or scratched by macaques during aggressive encounters, resulting in transcutaneous exposure to infectious agents present in macaque body fluids.

### Enzootic Simian Viruses

**Cercopithecine herpesvirus 1**

*Cercopithecine herpesvirus 1* (CHV-1), also known as herpes B virus, is a member of the taxonomic subfamily *Alphaherpesviridae*. Serologic evidence of infection with CHV-1 has been documented in several species of macaques (7). Seroprevalence of anti-herpesvirus antibodies is 10%–80% among wild populations and can reach 100% among captive populations, though the percentage of infected monkeys who shed virus at a given time is only 1%–2% (8).

While CHV-1 infection in primates is almost always benign, CHV-1 infection in humans causes severe meningencephalitis with a death rate approaching 70% (9). Several routes of primate-to-human transmission have been implicated, most involving direct exposure to tissue or fluid from an infected macaque. One case of human-to-human transmission of CHV-1 has been documented (10). No cases of CHV-1 infection have been documented in persons exposed to free-ranging macaques, in spite of a long history of human-macaque commensalism in Asia.

### SV40

Simian virus 40 (SV40) is a polyomavirus enzootic among some species of Asian macaques, including rhesus macaques of northern India and Nepal. SV40 is present in the genitourinary tract of infected macaques and is thought to be transmitted through ingestion of urine containing the virus (11). SV40 first became an object of public health interest in the 1960s when millions of doses of polio vaccine, produced in tissue cultures of monkey kidney cells, were contaminated with SV40. Shah (12) examined the seroprevalence of antibodies to polyomavirus among workers at 2 monkey export firms in India who had abundant, long-term contact with rhesus macaques; he found a seroprevalence of 27% among these workers and noted that SV40 seroprevalence increased with duration of work in the export firms. Recent technological advances have improved the specificity of immunoassays that detect antibodies to SV40 (13). Using these new methods, Engels and colleagues reported evidence of human SV40 infection among zoo employees who worked with primates (14).

### Rhesus Cytomegalovirus

Rhesus cytomegalovirus (RhCMV), *Cercopithecine herpesvirus 8*, is a β-herpesvirus enzootic among *M. mulatta*, infecting up to 100% of rhesus macaques >1 year of age in breeding populations of captive animals (15). In immunologically intact animals, RhCMV infections are...
asymptomatic. RhCMV can cause illness and death in rhesus macaques co-infected with immunosuppressive retroviruses (simian type D retrovirus and simian immunodeficiency virus) (16) or in experimentally infected rhesus macaque fetuses (17). Infection is lifelong, with continued viral shedding from mucosal surfaces (18). Though growth of RhCMV in human cells has been demonstrated in vitro, human infection with RhCMV has yet to be reported (19).

Enzootic Simian Retroviruses

Macaques harbor several enzootic retroviruses, including simian foamy virus (SFV), simian type D retrovirus (SRV), and simian T-cell lymphotropic virus (STLV). SRV and SFV are present in saliva and other body fluids of infected macaques, which suggests that bites, scratches, and mucosal splashes with macaque body fluids can transmit infection (20,21). Previous studies examining laboratory and zoo workers as well as bushmeat hunters in Africa and monkey temple workers in Indonesia have shown that humans can be infected with SFV and SRV (5,22–24).

STLV is closely related to human T-cell lymphotropic virus (HTLV-1). Asymptomatic infection with STLV-1 is common among primate hosts. STLV is hypothesized to be the progenitor of HTLV through multiple cross-species transmissions (25).

Simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) is widely distributed among African primates and has been shown to infect humans who come into contact with them (26). Though SIV has not, to date, been detected among Asian primates, several species of Asian macaques have been experimentally infected with the virus (27). And though international trade in primates is regulated by the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species, illicit import and export of primates continues, potentially exposing Asian primates to infectious agents, such as SIV, that are enzootic among African primate species.

Materials and Methods

Macaque Population

The rhesus macaques at Swoyambhu number ≈400, distributed among 5 to 7 groups with overlapping home ranges (6). Physical contact among macaque groups is common. Natural forage is extremely limited at Swoyambhu (Figure 3). Almost all of the macaques’ daily food comes from handouts given by persons who frequent the temple site.

Field Methods

During a 4-day period in May 2003, a total of 39 macaques from 3 different groups (12 from group 1, 11 from group 2, and 16 from group 3) were trapped, sampled, and released. Samples were obtained as part of a comprehensive health screening effort conducted at the request of the Federation of Swoyambhu Management and Conservation Committee. Macaques were trapped in a portable cage measuring 2.5 × 2.5 × 1.5 m and sedated with 3 mg/kg intramuscular tiletamine HCl/zolazepam HCl. To avoid stressing young animals, infants were not anesthetized or sampled as part of this protocol. All anesthetized macaques were given a complete physical examination, and using universal precautions and sterile technique, we collected 10 mL blood by venipuncture of the femoral vein; 8 mL blood was centrifuged to extract serum. The remaining blood was aliquoted into Vacutainer vials containing EDTA. Unique study identification numbers were assigned to all specimens collected from each animal. Serum and whole blood were frozen in the field, then stored at −70°C. Animals were tattooed on their inner right thigh for identification and future follow-up. Each macaque’s weight and dental formula were collected and recorded for age assessment. Age was estimated on the basis of observed dental eruption sequence. After sample collection, animals were placed in a cage and allowed to recover fully from anesthesia before being released as a group back into their home range. This data collection protocol was reviewed and approved by the University of Washington Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (#3143-03).

Laboratory and Data Analysis Methods

After necessary national and international permits were obtained, the samples were shipped to the United States, where they were analyzed at several institutions. Enzyme immunoassays for anticapsid antibodies to SV40 were performed as described previously (13). Serologic status to RhCMV was determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with an infected cell extract to detect
RhCMV-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) (28). ELISAs were used to detect antibodies to SRV, STLV, SIV, and CHV-1 as previously described (29,30). Because of endogenous seroreactivity to retroviral proteins in macaques, immunoblot assays for STLV and SRV serotypes 1, 2, 4, and 5 and were performed on all samples to confirm antibody status. Reactions were deemed positive if core and envelope bands were present, indeterminate if only core or only envelope were present, and negative if bands did not appear or were lighter than those for negative control plasma. Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for SRV was performed as previously described (30). To produce large volumes of SRV-1, 2, 4, and 5 antigens for enzyme immunoassay, infected cell supernatants were collected, concentrated, and purified on sucrose gradients as previously described (29). Nested PCR to detect STLV in these samples was performed as previously described (30). Western blot immunoassays for SFV were performed as previously described, with a few modifications (5,31).

Demographic and serologic data were entered into a spreadsheet, and univariate analysis was performed with the JUMP-IN 4 statistical software package (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Statistical associations between macaque viral seropositivity, sex, age class, and group number were determined by $\chi^2$ test.

### Results

Table 1 presents the demographic distribution of the macaques sampled. The animals sampled may not reflect the demographic breakdown of the Swoyambhu population as a whole because animals were trapped opportunistically, and infants were excluded from the study. Approximately 9.75% of Swoyambhu’s estimated macaque population was sampled. Table 2 presents seroprevalence data for the 39 macaques sampled. Seven samples reacted to SRV on ELISA; 4 of these 7 were indeterminate on immunoblot, and 3 were negative. Repeated attempts to amplify SRV from all samples, including those indeterminate by immunoblot, by using PCR primers in 2 different regions of the genome were not successful. STLV testing showed 9 samples to be reactive on ELISA, but none were confirmed positive by immunoblot. Nested PCR did not detect STLV DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Additional tests for SRV and STLV by PCR were performed to rule out latent virus in genomic DNA, since some apparent false reactivity was seen on ELISA and Western blot. None of the 39 serum samples was reactive on SIV ELISA.

The results of the serologic and PCR assays were analyzed by using $\chi^2$ test to test associations by sex, group number, and age category. The results from these tests show no significant association between seropositivity for antibodies to RhCMV, SV40, or SFV and age, sex, or group number of the macaque. However, a significant ($\chi^2$ p<0.0001) age-related effect was seen for CHV-1. Seroprevalence of antibodies to CHV-1 increased from 23.1% (3/13) among juveniles to 100% (19/19) among adult macaques.

### Discussion

Relatively little is known about enzootic primate viruses in free-ranging populations of macaques. CHV-1 antibody prevalence has been measured among temple monkeys in Bali (4,5), rhesus monkeys (M. mulatta) from India (32), and free-ranging rhesus monkeys transplanted to the Caribbean Island of Cayo Santiago (11). The CHV-1 seroprevalence among these populations is similar to that of the Swoyambhu macaques, with a similar positive association between age and seroprevalence. SFV prevalence among the Bali macaques was also similar to that measured in the Swoyambhu macaques (5). The high seroprevalence of RhCMV in the Swoyambhu macaque population mirrors that measured in other studies that assessed seroprevalence in both captive and free-ranging populations of macaques and other primates (15,33).

Evidence of STLV-1 infection was not found among the Swoyambhu macaques with either serologic or PCR detection methods. In comparison, a survey measuring STLV-1 prevalence among wild-caught *M. fascicularis* in Indonesia by serologic methods and PCR suggested an STLV prevalence between 3.3% and 10% (34), and a sample of wild-caught *M. fascicularis* from 9 localities in Thailand were all antibody-negative for STLV-1 (35).

No conclusive serologic or PCR evidence of SRV infection was found among the Swoyambhu macaques. SRV infection is commonly seen among laboratory primates, but far less so among other free-ranging primate populations examined to date (L. Jones-Engel, unpub. data). Increased population densities characteristic of captive settings may facilitate viral transmission, providing a possible explanation for this observation.

The absence of SIV in the Swoyambhu macaque population is unsurprising, given that SIV has yet to be detected in natural populations of Asian primates. This finding, however, does not eliminate the possibility that the situation could change in the future. While SIV is typically found only among African primates, this virus could be introduced into Asian primate populations through the global market trade in animals, in which pet primates can

### Table 1. Demographic distribution of rhesus macaques sampled at Swoyambhu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age class</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subadult</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abandoned pet primates are commonly seen in monkey forests in Asia, and future surveys of Asian primates should continue to test for SIV.

Public Health Implications

A growing literature suggests that cross-species transmission of infectious agents occurs between humans and several primate species in a variety of contexts and in diverse areas (4, 5, 22–24, 37, 38). Indeed, wherever humans and primates come into contact, the potential for cross-species transmission exists. Whether cross-species transmission occurs depends on several factors, including the prevalence of infectious agents in primate reservoirs, the context of interspecies contact, and the frequency with which contact occurs (39). To date, cross-species transmission has been most thoroughly studied in primate laboratories and zoos because of the ready availability of biological samples from both primates and exposed humans (14, 22, 23, 40). Research on humans exposed to primates in these contexts has documented an SFV seroconversion rate of 1% to 5.3%, an SV40 seroconversion rate of 3% to 10%, and an SRV rate of 0.9%. Human CHV-1 infection is rare and has only been documented among persons directly or indirectly exposed to laboratory primates (9).

The dynamics of human-macaque contact at Asian monkey temples differ substantially from those in laboratories and zoos because of the ready availability of biological samples from both primates and exposed humans (14, 22, 23, 40). Research on humans exposed to primates in these contexts has documented an SFV seroconversion rate of 1% to 5.3%, an SV40 seroconversion rate of 3% to 10%, and an SRV rate of 0.9%. Human CHV-1 infection is rare and has only been documented among persons directly or indirectly exposed to laboratory primates (9).

In taking steps to reduce the risk for cross-species transmission between humans and primates, we should first...
understand how transmission occurs, i.e., the situations, conditions, and behavior that lead to contact and transmission. Because feeding macaques is thought to account for most interactions between humans and macaques, adopting protocols to restrict feeding to persons specifically trained for that purpose could reduce the number of visitors who come into direct contact with macaque body fluids. Educating visitors as well as persons who live near monkey temples to avoid behavior that leads to bites and scratches could reduce risk. Finally, availability and awareness of proper protocol for effective wound irrigation has the potential to reduce transmission of infection. No data on the efficacy of postexposure prophylaxis with antiviral agents are available, but pharmacologic therapy is another tool that could reduce the likelihood of cross-species viral transmission. In addition, monitoring human populations for infection with primate viruses at the human-primate interface is a prudent strategy to facilitate the early detection of primateborne zoonoses.

This information must be put into context. The recent culling of macaques at a wildlife park in England and at monkey temples in Hong Kong and Taiwan in response to the perceived threat of zoonotic transmission of CHV-1 is an example of an exaggerated response to an inadequately understood risk. Improving awareness of zoonotic transmission and effective management strategies among the public as well as among persons who manage primate parks and temples will be instrumental in allowing humans and primates to continue to coexist.
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