30 Years of Hot Quark Soup *What have we learned about high temperature QCD?*

Laurence Yaffe

yaffe@phys.washington.edu

University of Washington

Asymptotic Freedom

Self-interacting spin-1 gluons \Rightarrow anti-screening of color charge by vacuum fluctuations (unlike QED)



Gross, Politzer, Wilczek, 1973



Extreme QCD

High temperature and/or high baryon density is relevant for:

early universe cosmology neutron star cores heavy ion collisions

and is theoretically interesting!

Collins & Perry, 1975: Superdense matter: Neutrons or Asymptotically Free Quarks?

The quark model implies that superdense matter (found in neutron-star cores, exploding black holes, and the early big-bang universe) consists of quarks rather than of hadrons. Bjorken scaling implies that the quarks interact weakly. An asymptotically free gauge theory allows realistic calculations taking full account of strong interactions.

Equally true at high temperature.

Equilibrium thermodynamics: qualitative

low temperature: "confined phase" gas of hadrons (baryons & mesons)

high temperature: "deconfined phase" soup of quarks and gluons

Quarkless QCD:

Sharp distinction between confined (glueballs) and deconfined (unbound gluons).

Confinement/deconfinement phase transition:

- = condensation of electric flux tubes
- = spontaneous Z(3) symmetry breaking
- = first order transition

(L. Susskind, 1979)

(N. Weiss, 1981)

(B. Svetitsky, L. Yaffe, 1982)



Phase diagram with quarks



UW Colloquium, March 7, 2005 - p. 5/2

Equilibrium thermodynamics: analytic

Equation of state at asymptotically high temperature $(p = \text{pressure}, \alpha_s(T) \equiv g(T)^2/4\pi$, no quarks): $rac{p}{T^4}=rac{\pi^2}{45} imes 8$ (Stefan-Boltzmann \times 8 gluons) $-g(T)^2 imes rac{1}{6}$ (Shuryak, Chin, 1977) $+g(T)^3 imes rac{2}{3\pi}$ (Debye screening, Kapusta, 1979) $+g(T)^4 \ln g(T) imes rac{3}{2\pi^2}$ (Toimela, 1983) $+ g(T)^4 \times 0.0304$ (Arnold, Zhai, 1994) $-g(T)^{5} \times 0.2247$ (Zhai, Kastening; Braaten, Nieto, 1995) $-g(T)^{6} \ln g(T) \times 0.1426$ (Kajantie, Laine, Rummukainen, Schröder, 2002) $+ g(T)^{6} \times (C_{\text{pert}} + C_{\text{non-pert}})$ (Schröder, Vuorinen, in progress) $+ O[q(T)^{7}]$

Lesson 1:

Relevant physics is organized by scale. (K. Wilson) High temperature \Rightarrow multiple relevant scales:

- $\lambda \sim 1/T$ de Broglie wavelength of thermal fluctuations making dominant contribution to pressure, weakly-coupled, perturbative series in $g(T)^2$.
- $\lambda_D \sim 1/(gT)$ Debye screening scale, $O(g^3)$ contribution to pressure, perturbative series in $g(\lambda_D^{-1})$.
- $\xi \sim 1/(g^2 T)$ o
- correlation length of non-perturbative static magnetic fluctuations, $O(\xi^{-3}) = O(g^6)$ contribution to pressure.

Can separate contributions from each scale using "effective field theory." (Braaten, Nieto, 1995) Natural expansion parameter is g(T), not $\alpha_s(T)$.

Non-perturbative physics persists on large length scales, even when $g(T) \ll 1$. Due to large phase-space density,

$$n_{
m Bose}(E) = rac{1}{e^{E/T}-1} \sim rac{T}{E} \sim rac{1}{g^2},$$

for $E \sim g^2 T$.

Equilibrium thermodynamics: numerical



Monte Carlo simulation SU(3), no quarks Lattice size: up to 8×32^3 continuum extrapolation

- $\varepsilon = \text{ energy density}$
- s = entropy density
- p = pressure

from: G. Boyd, et.al., hep-lat/9602007

Approach to asymptopia



Lesson 3:

Utility of asymptotic high temperature expansion is strongly dependent on desired precision & order of truncation.

Gluons at $2-3 \times T_c$ are not yet truly weakly interacting. Non-perturbative $O(g^6)$ contribution is required to match lattice data.

Equilibrium properties: current frontier

Non-zero baryon chemical potential

Spectral functions of mesonic excitations

Effects of topological defects (monopoles, vortices, instantons)

Improved simulations with dynamical fermions

Gauge/string duality



Near-equilibrium dynamics

elementary excitations? collective excitations? transport properties? equilibration dynamics?



Very hot QCD = "simple" condensed matter system dynamical properties should be calculable from first principles.

Are they? Which properties?

Quarks & gluons: good quasiparticles

typical energy, momentum $\sim T$ number density thermal dispersion relation thermal mass, gluons thermal mass, quarks thermal width

$$\sim T^3$$

 $E(\mathrm{p}) \sim \sqrt{\mathrm{p}^2 + m_{\mathrm{th}}^2(T)}$
 $m_{\mathrm{th}}(T) = g(T) T \sqrt{rac{1}{2} + rac{n_f}{12}}$
 $m_{\mathrm{th}}(T) = g(T) T \sqrt{rac{1}{3}}$
 $\Gamma \sim g(T)^2 T \ln g(T)^{-1}$

High temperature \Rightarrow weak coupling, $g(T) \ll 1$ \Rightarrow thermal width \ll energy \Rightarrow long-lived, particle-like excitations

Relevant scattering processes



Hydrodynamic excitations

Low frequency, long wavelength dynamics of hot QCD: same as any neutral fluid.

Collective excitations = hydrodynamic modes

propagating sound waves: $\omega({f k})\sim v_s |{f k}| -i\gamma_s {f k}^2$ speed of sound \nearrow \uparrow sound attenuation

diffusive relaxation of fluctuations in:

(transverse) momentum density $\pi({f k})\sim \exp-\gamma_\eta {f k}^2 t$ shear viscosity/enthalpy /

baryon (or flavor) density

$$n({
m k})\sim \exp -D{
m k}^2 t$$
diffusivity \nearrow

Transport coefficients:

Depend on equilibration dynamics

- \Rightarrow sensitive to quasiparticle scattering processes
- \Rightarrow may be calculated using appropriate effective theory for quasiparticle dynamics = effective kinetic theory

$$\left(\partial_t + \mathrm{v} \cdot
abla
ight) f(x,\mathrm{p}) = -C[f]$$
 (Boltzmann equation)

Collision term C[f] must correctly reproduce all relevant quasiparticle scattering processes.

Ex: Shear viscosity $(n_f = 3)$:

$$\eta = rac{\pi^4 \, T^3}{g(T)^4} \left[rac{1.095}{\ln rac{2.41}{g(T)}} + O\!\left(rac{1}{\ln^3 rac{1}{g(T)}}
ight)
ight]$$
 (Arnold, Moore, Yaffe, 2001,2003)

Transport coefficients (at leading order in α_s) are insensitive to non-perturbative long-distance dynamics.

Near-collinear bremsstrahlung/pair-creation is relevant at leading order. Requires quantum-mechanical treatment of multiple soft scattering during emission ("LPM effect"). Produces $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ collision terms in effective kinetic theory.

Effective kinetic theory captures essential dynamics in a very efficient fashion. Direct diagrammatic evaluation is virtually impossible.

Far-from-equilibrium dynamics

Use non-Abelian Boltzmann-Vlasov kinetic theory

"hard" (high momentum) excitations \Rightarrow particles with phase space distribution $f(x, \mathbf{p})$,

"soft" (long wavelength) excitations

 \Rightarrow classical non-Abelian gauge field

Any anisotropic hard particle distribution ⇒ exponentially growing unstable gauge field modes (S. Mrówczyński, 1993)

Analogous to magnetic instabilities in conventional plasmas

(E. Weibel, 1959)

But: ultrarelativistic particles, 8 gluon fields, non-Abelian gluon self-interactions, $\alpha_s \gg \alpha_{EM}$.

Plasma instabilities: physical origin

Trapping of particle trajectories by inhomogeneous magnetic field



Field generated by trapped particle currents augments trapping field \Rightarrow instability.

QCD plasma instabilities

Growth rate $\gamma \sim g \sqrt{n/p}$ is faster than all perturbative scattering rates ($p \equiv$ typical momentum of hard particles).



e-foldings for instabilities to grow big: $O(\ln 1/g)$ — provided growth continues past non-Abelian threshold.

3d numerical simulations: in progress.

RHIC thermalization

Hydrodynamic modeling of heavy ion collisions works surprisingly well, provided one assumes that quarks and gluons thermalize in \sim 0.6 fm/*c*.



Fast thermalization = big puzzle. Hard to understand using perturbative scattering.

May be result of non-perturbative, non-Abelian plasma instabilities.

Conclusions

Dynamics of hot QCD reveals a fascinating interplay of relativistic quantum field theory, statistical mechanics, condensed matter physics, kinetic theory, hydrodynamics, and plasma physics.

We've come a long way...

Many interesting open questions remain, especially in non-equilibrium dynamics, even at asymptotically high temperature.

Acknowledgments: Thanks to my collaborators Peter Arnold and Guy Moore, and to many others from whom I've learned.