## (Pseudo)-Relevance Feedback & Passage Retrieval

Ling573 NLP Systems & Applications April 28, 2011

### Roadmap

• Retrieval systems

- Improving document retrieval
  - Compression & Expansion techniques
- Passage retrieval:
  - Contrasting techniques
  - Interactions with document retreival

### **Retrieval Systems**

- Three available systems
  - Lucene: Apache
    - Boolean systems with Vector Space Ranking
    - Provides basic CLI/API (Java, Python)
  - Indri/Lemur: Umass /CMU
    - Language Modeling system (best ad-hoc)
    - 'Structured query language
      - Weighting,
    - Provides both CLI/API (C++,Java)
  - Managing Gigabytes (MG):
    - Straightforward VSM

## **Retrieval System Basics**

- Main components:
  - Document indexing
    - Reads document text
      - Performs basic analysis
        - Minimally tokenization, stopping, case folding
        - Potentially stemming, semantics, phrasing, etc
    - Builds index representation
  - Query processing and retrieval
    - Analyzes query (similar to document)
      - Incorporates any additional term weighting, etc
    - Retrieves based on query content
      - Returns ranked document list

# Example (I/L)

- indri-5.0/buildindex/IndriBuildIndex parameter\_file
  - XML parameter file specifies:
    - Minimally:
      - Index: path to output
      - Corpus (+): path to corpus, corpus type
    - Optionally:
      - Stemmer, field information
- indri-5.0/runquery/IndriRunQuery query\_parameter\_file count=1000 \

-index=/path/to/index -trecFormat=true > result\_file

Parameter file: formatted queries w/query #

#### Lucene

- Collection of classes to support IR
  - Less directly linked to TREC
    - E.g. query, doc readers
- IndexWriter class
  - Builds, extends index
  - Applies analyzers to content
    - SimpleAnalyzer: stops, case folds, tokenizes
    - Also Stemmer classes, other langs, etc
- Classes to read, search, analyze index
- QueryParser parses query (fields, boosting, regexp)

### Major Issue in Retrieval

- All approaches operate on term matching
  - If a synonym, rather than original term, is used, approach can fail

### Major Issue

- All approaches operate on term matching
  - If a synonym, rather than original term, is used, approach can fail
- Develop more robust techniques
  - Match "concept" rather than term

### Major Issue

- All approaches operate on term matching
  - If a synonym, rather than original term, is used, approach can fail
- Develop more robust techniques
  - Match "concept" rather than term
    - Mapping techniques
      - Associate terms to concepts
        - Aspect models, stemming

## Major Issue

- All approaches operate on term matching
  - If a synonym, rather than original term, is used, approach can fail
- Develop more robust techniques
  - Match "concept" rather than term
    - Mapping techniques
      - Associate terms to concepts
        - Aspect models, stemming
    - Expansion approaches
      - Add in related terms to enhance matching

Reduce surface term variation to concepts

- Reduce surface term variation to concepts
- Stemming

- Reduce surface term variation to concepts
- Stemming
- Aspect models
  - Matrix representations typically very sparse

- Reduce surface term variation to concepts
- Stemming
- Aspect models
  - Matrix representations typically very sparse
  - Reduce dimensionality to small # key aspects
    - Mapping contextually similar terms together
    - Latent semantic analysis

• Can apply to query or document

- Can apply to query or document
- Thesaurus expansion
  - Use linguistic resource thesaurus, WordNet to add synonyms/related terms

- Can apply to query or document
- Thesaurus expansion
  - Use linguistic resource thesaurus, WordNet to add synonyms/related terms
- Feedback expansion
  - Add terms that "should have appeared"

- Can apply to query or document
- Thesaurus expansion
  - Use linguistic resource thesaurus, WordNet to add synonyms/related terms
- Feedback expansion
  - Add terms that "should have appeared"
    - User interaction
      - Direct or relevance feedback
    - Automatic pseudo relevance feedback

- Typical queries very short, ambiguous
  - Cat: animal/Unix command

- Typical queries very short, ambiguous
  - Cat: animal/Unix command
  - Add more terms to disambiguate, improve
- Relevance feedback

- Typical queries very short, ambiguous
  - Cat: animal/Unix command
  - Add more terms to disambiguate, improve
- Relevance feedback
  - Retrieve with original queries
  - Present results
    - Ask user to tag relevant/non-relevant

- Typical queries very short, ambiguous
  - Cat: animal/Unix command
  - Add more terms to disambiguate, improve
- Relevance feedback
  - Retrieve with original queries
  - Present results
    - Ask user to tag relevant/non-relevant
  - "push" toward relevant vectors, away from non-relevant
    - Vector intuition:
      - Add vectors from relevant documents
      - Subtract vector from non-relevant documents

#### Relevance Feedback

Rocchio expansion formula

$$\vec{q}_{i+1} = \vec{q}_i + \frac{\beta}{R} \sum_{j=1}^R \vec{r}_j - \frac{\gamma}{S} \sum_{k=1}^S \vec{s}_k$$

- $\beta + \gamma = 1 (0.75, 0.25);$ 
  - Amount of 'push' in either direction
- R: # rel docs, S: # non-rel docs
- r: relevant document vectors
- s: non-relevant document vectors

Can significantly improve (though tricky to evaluate)

- Xu & Croft 97 (classic)
- Thesaurus expansion problematic:
  - Often ineffective
  - Issues:

- Xu & Croft 97 (classic)
- Thesaurus expansion problematic:
  - Often ineffective
  - Issues:
    - Coverage:
      - Many words esp. NEs missing from WordNet

- Xu & Croft 97 (classic)
- Thesaurus expansion problematic:
  - Often ineffective
  - Issues:
    - Coverage:
      - Many words esp. NEs missing from WordNet
    - Domain mismatch:
      - Fixed resources 'general' or derived from some domain
      - May not match current search collection
        - Cat/dog vs cat/more/ls

- Xu & Croft 97 (classic)
- Thesaurus expansion problematic:
  - Often ineffective
  - Issues:
    - Coverage:
      - Many words esp. NEs missing from WordNet
    - Domain mismatch:
      - Fixed resources 'general' or derived from some domain
      - May not match current search collection
        - Cat/dog vs cat/more/ls
  - Use collection-based evidence: global or local

- Identifies word cooccurrence in whole collection
  - Applied to expand current query
  - Context can differentiate/group concepts

- Identifies word cooccurrence in whole collection
  - Applied to expand current query
  - Context can differentiate/group concepts
- Create index of concepts:
  - Concepts = noun phrases (1-3 nouns long)

- Identifies word cooccurrence in whole collection
  - Applied to expand current query
  - Context can differentiate/group concepts
- Create index of concepts:
  - Concepts = noun phrases (1-3 nouns long)
  - Representation: Context
    - Words in fixed length window, 1-3 sentences

- Identifies word cooccurrence in whole collection
  - Applied to expand current query
  - Context can differentiate/group concepts
- Create index of concepts:
  - Concepts = noun phrases (1-3 nouns long)
  - Representation: Context
    - Words in fixed length window, 1-3 sentences
  - Concept identifies context word documents
- Use query to retrieve 30 highest ranked concepts
  - Add to query

### Local Analysis

• Aka local feedback, pseudo-relevance feedback

## Local Analysis

- Aka local feedback, pseudo-relevance feedback
- Use query to retrieve documents
  - Select informative terms from highly ranked documents
  - Add those terms to query

## Local Analysis

- Aka local feedback, pseudo-relevance feedback
- Use query to retrieve documents
  - Select informative terms from highly ranked documents
  - Add those terms to query
- Specifically,
  - Add 50 most frequent terms,
  - 10 most frequent 'phrases' bigrams w/o stopwords
  - Reweight terms

### Local Context Analysis

- Mixes two previous approaches
  - Use query to retrieve top n passages (300 words)
  - Select top m ranked concepts (noun sequences)
  - Add to query and reweight

### Local Context Analysis

- Mixes two previous approaches
  - Use query to retrieve top n passages (300 words)
  - Select top m ranked concepts (noun sequences)
  - Add to query and reweight

- Relatively efficient
- Applies local search constraints

- Improvements over baseline:
  - Local Context Analysis: +23.5% (relative)
  - Local Analysis: +20.5%
  - Global Analysis: +7.8%

- Improvements over baseline:
  - Local Context Analysis: +23.5% (relative)
  - Local Analysis: +20.5%
  - Global Analysis: +7.8%
- LCA is best and most stable across data sets
  - Better term selection that global analysis

- Improvements over baseline:
  - Local Context Analysis: +23.5% (relative)
  - Local Analysis: +20.5%
  - Global Analysis: +7.8%
- LCA is best and most stable across data sets
  - Better term selection that global analysis
- All approaches have fairly high variance
  - Help some queries, hurt others

- Improvements over baseline:
  - Local Context Analysis: +23.5% (relative)
  - Local Analysis: +20.5%
  - Global Analysis: +7.8%
- LCA is best and most stable across data sets
  - Better term selection that global analysis
- All approaches have fairly high variance
  - Help some queries, hurt others
- Also sensitive to # terms added, # documents

#### • Global Analysis

#### Local Analysis

• LCA

hypnosis	meditation	practitioners
dentists	antibodies	disorders
psychiatry	immunodeficiency-virus	anesthesia
susceptibility	therapists	dearth
atoms	van-dyke	self
confession	stare	proteins
katie	johns-hopkins-university	growing-acceptance
reflexes	voltage	ad-hoc
correlation	conde-nast	dynamics
ike	illnesses	hoffman

hypnot	hypnotiz	19960500
psychosomat	psychiatr	immun
mesmer	franz	suscept
austrian	dyck	psychiatrist
shesaid	tranc	professor
hallucin	18th	centur
hilgard	11th	unaccept
19820902	syndrom	exper
physician	told	patient
hypnosis	brain-wave	msburns
••	brain-wave pulse	msburns reed
technique	_	
technique brain	pulse msolness	reed trance
technique brain hallucination	pulse msolness process	reed trance circuit
technique brain	pulse msolness	reed trance circuit
technique brain hallucination van-dyck	pulse msolness process behavior	reed trance circuit suggestion
technique brain hallucination van-dyck case	pulse msolness process behavior spiegel	reed trance circuit suggestion finding
technique brain hallucination van-dyck	pulse msolness process behavior	reed trance circuit suggestion

What are the different techniques used to create self-induced hypnosis?

## Passage Retrieval

- Documents: wrong unit for QA
  - Highly ranked documents
    - High weight terms in common with query
    - Not enough!
      - Matching terms scattered across document
      - Vs
      - Matching terms concentrated in short span of document
- Solution:
  - From ranked doc list, select and rerank shorter spans
  - Passage retrieval

## Passage Retrieval

- Documents: wrong unit for QA
  - Highly ranked documents
    - High weight terms in common with query
    - Not enough!

## Passage Retrieval

- Documents: wrong unit for QA
  - Highly ranked documents
    - High weight terms in common with query
    - Not enough!
      - Matching terms scattered across document
      - Vs
      - Matching terms concentrated in short span of document
- Solution:
  - From ranked doc list, select and rerank shorter spans
  - Passage retrieval

- Goal: Select passages most likely to contain answer
- Factors in reranking:

- Goal: Select passages most likely to contain answer
- Factors in reranking:
  - Document rank

- Goal: Select passages most likely to contain answer
- Factors in reranking:
  - Document rank
  - Want answers!

- Goal: Select passages most likely to contain answer
- Factors in reranking:
  - Document rank
  - Want answers!
    - Answer type matching
      - Restricted Named Entity Recognition

- Goal: Select passages most likely to contain answer
- Factors in reranking:
  - Document rank
  - Want answers!
    - Answer type matching
      - Restricted Named Entity Recognition
  - Question match:
    - Question term overlap
    - **Span** overlap: N-gram, longest common sub-span
    - Query term **density:** short spans w/more qterms

# Quantitative Evaluation of Passage Retrieval for QA

- Tellex et al.
- Compare alternative passage ranking approaches
  - 8 different strategies + voting ranker
- Assess interaction with document retrieval

## **Comparative IR Systems**

#### • PRISE

- Developed at NIST
- Vector Space retrieval system
- Optimized weighting scheme

### **Comparative IR Systems**

#### • PRISE

- Developed at NIST
- Vector Space retrieval system
- Optimized weighting scheme
- Lucene
  - Boolean + Vector Space retrieval
  - Results Boolean retrieval RANKED by tf-idf
    - Little control over hit list

### **Comparative IR Systems**

#### • PRISE

- Developed at NIST
- Vector Space retrieval system
- Optimized weighting scheme
- Lucene
  - Boolean + Vector Space retrieval
  - Results Boolean retrieval RANKED by tf-idf
    - Little control over hit list

• Oracle: NIST-provided list of relevant documents

- Eight different systems used in QA
  - Units
  - Factors

- Eight different systems used in QA
  - Units
  - Factors
- MITRE:
  - Simplest reasonable approach: baseline
  - Unit: sentence
  - Factor: Term overlap count

- Eight different systems used in QA
  - Units
  - Factors
- MITRE:
  - Simplest reasonable approach: baseline
  - Unit: sentence
  - Factor: Term overlap count
- MITRE+stemming:
  - Factor: stemmed term overlap

- Okapi bm25
  - Unit: fixed width sliding window
  - Unit: fixed wheth shears triangle for the equation of the

- Okapi bm25
  - Unit: fixed width sliding window
  - Factor:  $Score(q,d) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} idf(q_i) \frac{tf_{q_i,d}(k_1+1)}{tf_{q_i,d} + k_1(1-b+(b*\frac{|D|}{avgdl}))}$ • k1=2.0; b=0.75
- MultiText:
  - Unit: Window starting and ending with query term
  - Factor:
    - Sum of IDFs of matching query terms
    - Length based measure \* Number of matching terms

#### • IBM:

- Fixed passage length
- Sum of:
  - Matching words measure: Sum of idfs of overlap terms
  - Thesaurus match measure:
    - Sum of idfs of question wds with synonyms in document
  - Mis-match words measure:
    - Sum of idfs of questions wds NOT in document
  - Dispersion measure: # words b/t matching query terms
  - Cluster word measure: longest common substring

#### • SiteQ:

- Unit: n (=3) sentences
- Factor: Match words by literal, stem, or WordNet syn
  - Sum of
    - Sum of idfs of matched terms
    - Density weight score \* overlap count, where

#### • SiteQ:

- Unit: n (=3) sentences
- Factor: Match words by literal, stem, or WordNet syn
  - Sum of
    - Sum of idfs of matched terms
    - Density weight score \* overlap count, where

$$dw(q,d) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{idf(q_j) + idf(q_{j+1})}{\alpha \times dist(j, j+1)^2}}{k-1} \times overlap$$

- Alicante:
  - Unit: n (= 6) sentences
  - Factor: non-length normalized cosine similarity

#### • Alicante:

- Unit: n (= 6) sentences
- Factor: non-length normalized cosine similarity

- |S|:
  - Unit: sentence
  - Factors: weighted sum of
    - Proper name match, query term match, stemmed match

### Experiments

- Retrieval:
  - PRISE:
    - Query: Verbatim quesiton
  - Lucene:
    - Query: Conjunctive boolean query (stopped)

### Experiments

- Retrieval:
  - PRISE:
    - Query: Verbatim quesiton
  - Lucene:
    - Query: Conjunctive boolean query (stopped)
- Passage retrieval: 1000 word passages
  - Uses top 200 retrieved docs
  - Find best passage in each doc
  - Return up to 20 passages
    - Ignores original doc rank, retrieval score

### Evaluation

- MRR
  - Strict: Matching pattern in official document
  - Lenient: Matching pattern
- Percentage of questions with NO correct answers

### Evaluation

- MRR
  - Strict: Matching pattern in official document
  - Lenient: Matching pattern
- Percentage of questions with NO correct answers

	Strict				
	Lucene		PRISE		TREC
Algorithm	MRR	% Inc.	MRR	% Inc.	% Inc.
IBM	0.326	49.20%	0.331	39.60%	44.3%
ISI	0.329	48.80%	0.287	41.80%	41.7%
SiteQ	0.323	48.00%	0.358	40.40%	56.1%
MultiText	0.354	46.40%	0.325	41.60%	43.1%
Alicante	0.296	50.00%	0.321	42.60%	60.4%
bm25	0.312	48.80%	0.252	46.00%	n/a
stemmed MITRE	0.250	52.60%	0.242	58.60%	n/a
MITRE	0.271	49.40%	0.189	52.00%	n/a
Averages	0.309	49.15%	0.297	45.33%	n/a
Voting with IBM, ISI, SiteQ	0.350	39.80%	0.352	39.00%	n/a

### **Evaluation on Oracle Docs**

Algorithm	# Incorrect	% Incorrect	MRR
IBM	31	7.18%	0.851
SiteQ	32	7.41%	0.859
ISI	37	8.56%	0.852
Alicante	39	9.03%	0.816
MultiText	44	10.19%	0.845
bm25	45	10.42%	0.810
MITRE	45	10.42%	0.800
stemmed MITRE	63	14.58%	0.762

## Overall

• PRISE:

• Higher recall, more correct answers

# Overall

- PRISE:
  - Higher recall, more correct answers
- Lucene:
  - Higher precision, fewer correct, but higher MRR

# Overall

- PRISE:
  - Higher recall, more correct answers
- Lucene:
  - Higher precision, fewer correct, but higher MRR
- Best systems:
  - IBM, ISI, SiteQ
  - Relatively insensitive to retrieval engine

# Analysis

- Retrieval:
  - Boolean systems (e.g. Lucene) competitive, good MRR
    - Boolean systems usually worse on ad-hoc

# Analysis

- Retrieval:
  - Boolean systems (e.g. Lucene) competitive, good MRR
    - Boolean systems usually worse on ad-hoc
- Passage retrieval:
  - Significant differences for PRISE, Oracle
  - Not significant for Lucene -> boost recall

# Analysis

- Retrieval:
  - Boolean systems (e.g. Lucene) competitive, good MRR
    - Boolean systems usually worse on ad-hoc
- Passage retrieval:
  - Significant differences for PRISE, Oracle
  - Not significant for Lucene -> boost recall
- Techniques: Density-based scoring improves
  - Variants: proper name exact, cluster, density score

# Error Analysis

• 'What is an ulcer?'

# Error Analysis

- 'What is an ulcer?'
  - After stopping -> 'ulcer'
  - Match doesn't help

# Error Analysis

- 'What is an ulcer?'
  - After stopping -> 'ulcer'
  - Match doesn't help
  - Need question type!!
- Missing relations
  - 'What is the highest dam?'
    - Passages match 'highest' and 'dam' but not together
  - Include syntax?

