Understanding How the Thiolate Sulfur Contributes to the Function of the Non-Heme Iron Enzyme Superoxide Reductase
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ABSTRACT
Toxic superoxide radicals, generated via adventitious reduction of dioxygen, have been implicated in a number of disease states. The cysteinate-ligated non-heme iron enzyme superoxide reductase (SOR) degrades superoxide via reduction. Biomimetic analogues which provide insight into why nature utilizes a trans-thiolate to promote SOR function are described. Spectroscopic and/or structural characterization of the first examples of thiolate-ligated FeIII-peroxo complexes provides important benchmark parameters for the identification of biological intermediates. Oxidative addition of superoxide is favored by low redox potentials. The trans influence of the thiolate appears to significantly weaken the Fe–O peroxo bond, favoring proton-induced release of H2O2 from a high-spin Fe(III)–OOH complex.

Introduction
The bioinorganic chemistry of iron is rich and diverse,1-6 catalyzing reactions that range from the biosynthesis of neurotransmitters7 to the transport of O2.8 A number of iron-promoted biosynthetic pathways involve dioxygen as the oxidant and/or oxygen atom source.1,5-7 Although O2 oxidations are thermodynamically favored, they are kinetically slow because they are spin-forbidden, unless promoted by a transition metal, such as Fe²⁺.2,4 The intermediates formed in these reactions include iron-peroxo and oxo species,1,2,4,6 potent oxidants capable of functionalizing alkanes.8 Adventitious reduction of dioxygen can, on the other hand, result in the formation of toxic radicals, including superoxide (O₂⁻) which has been implicated in a number of disease states, including Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s, and cancer.9 Due to its toxicity, organisms have evolved elaborate means for the degradation of O₂⁻. In aerobic organisms, manganese-, nickel-, iron-, or copper- and zinc-containing enzymes known as superoxide dismutases (SODs) function to disproportionate (eq 2) adventitiously formed superoxide.10,11 In

\[ O_2^- + 2H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2 \] (1)

\[ 2O_2^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O_2 \] (2)
aanaerobic organisms, an iron-containing enzyme superoxide reductase (SOR) reduces (eq 1) superoxide;12,13,14 however, this requires an outside source of electrons. A cysteinate sulfur bound to the iron site, as well as the positioning of the metal ion on the surface (vs the interior) of the protein,12 alters the function of Fe-SOR relative to Fe-SOD.11

Superoxide Reductase Enzyme Active Site Structure and Mechanism
Superoxide reductases (SORs) are intense blue, cysteinate-ligated non-heme iron enzymes found in anaerobic microbes.3,12-14 The Fe⁰ active site is redox active, high-spin (S = 2), and ligated by four equatorial histidines and one apical cysteinate trans to an open site.12 The oxidized FeIII resting state is high-spin (S = 5/2) and contains a glutamate (¹⁴Glu) coordinated to the sixth (axial) site.12 This conserved ¹⁴Glu, as well as a highly conserved lysine (³¹Lys), is proposed to be involved in the catalytic mechanism, possibly acting as a proton donor, and/or by attracting the anionic superoxide ion to the active site.12 Activity drops significantly in mutants lacking ³¹Lys.16 The SOR-catalyzed conversion of superoxide (O₂⁻) to hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) requires one electron and two protons. Protons and solvent both play an important role in the SOR mechanism.14 Superoxide reduction is favored in the presence of a proton source [E₁/₂(O₂⁻/H₂O₂) = 0.83 V at pH 7.5 vs −0.041 V at pH 14 (vs SCE)], whereas oxidation (to afford O₂) is favored in the absence of a proton source [E₁/₂(O₂/O₂⁻) = −0.80 V at pH 14 vs −0.13 V at pH 0 (vs SCE)]. Our working hypothesis is that the unusual positioning of the metal ion on the surface of SOR provides the active site with a readily available source of protons which makes O₂ formation disfavored in anaerobic bacteria.

The mechanism by which SOR is proposed to reduce superoxide has been somewhat controversial, particularly with regard to the number of intermediates involved.14,15,17 The first step is generally agreed to involve the oxidative addition of O₂⁻ to the open coordination site. Two transient intermediates (T₁ and T₂ in Figure 1) are observed in the reaction between SOR and O₂⁻,13,17 and exogenous ligands (NO, N₃⁻, and CN⁻) have been shown to bind to the SOR iron site,18,19 consistent with an inner-sphere mechanism. The first intermediate, T₁, forms at nearly diffusion controlled rates17,18 and is proposed to be an Fe(III)-peroxo species.17 The second intermediate, T₂, forms more slowly and displays a pH-dependent V₉e-O² stretch that shifts with ¹⁰O₂H₂ and D₂O,20 consistent with its assignment as an Fe(III)-OH species.15 This Fe(III)-OH
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species presumably forms via $\text{H}_2\text{O}$-induced protonation of the proximal oxygen of the Fe$^{\text{III}}$-peroxo species, followed by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ release and $\text{OH}^-$ binding.$^{15,26}$ Why protonation preferentially occurs at the proximal, as opposed to distal, oxygen is unclear at this point. Reduction of the Fe$^{\text{III}}$--OH intermediate in the presence of a proton regenerates the catalytically active five-coordinate Fe(II) form. The glutamate-bound resting state (R) shown in Figure 1 eventually forms in the absence of additional substrate ($\text{O}_2^-$) or electrons. Although there is currently no evidence to suggest that this last step involves a proton, the difficulty with which Fe--OH bonds cleave relative to Fe--$\text{O}_2^-$ bonds would imply that protonation occurs prior to ligand dissociation. The exogenous electron donor is currently unknown. Given that the addition of a proton and electron would be equivalent to the addition of a hydrogen atom, this introduces the interesting (albeit remote) possibility that the last step of the SOR mechanism may, perhaps, resemble the Fe$^{\text{III}}$--OH intermediate-induced H-atom abstraction step of lipooxygenases.$^{21–23}$

Vibrational data to support the assignment of T$_1$ as a peroxo species have yet to be reported. However, when $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ is added to a mutant form of SOR,$^{13}$ isotopically sensitive $\nu_{\text{O}--\text{O}}$ (850 cm$^{-1}$) and $\nu_{\text{Fe}--\text{O}}$ (438 cm$^{-1}$) stretches are observed by resonance Raman, consistent with the formation of a metal--peroxo species. This mutant peroxo species was originally proposed to be $\eta^2$--$\text{O}_2^-$ side-on bound,$^{13}$ on the basis of data reported for N-ligated synthetic non-heme iron--peroxo complexes,$^{24}$ but later was shown by X-ray crystallography to be an end-on Fe($\text{III}$)--$\text{O}_2$ species,$^{25}$ revealing a need for more thiolate-bound iron--peroxo complexes to provide benchmark vibrational parameters for interpreting biophysical data.

**Relationship between SOR and P450 Active Sites and Mechanism**

The primary coordination sphere of the iron active site of SOR is structurally$^{12}$ related to that of the heme enzyme cytochrome P450.$^{26,27}$ Both enzymes have an apical cysteinate trans to an open coordination site (Figure 2), and both react with oxygen-derived substrates to afford an Fe($\text{III}$)--$\text{O}_2$ intermediate. The mechanistic pathways taken following formation of the Fe($\text{III}$)--peroxo intermediates are very different for these two enzymes, however. With P450, the hydroperoxo $\text{O}--\text{O}$ bond is cleaved to afford a high-valent iron--oxo intermediate,$^{6,27,28}$ whereas with SOR, the Fe--$\text{O}(\text{peroxo})$ bond is cleaved, releasing $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. The cysteinate has been shown to play an important role in promoting P450-induced $\text{O}--\text{O}$ bond cleavage,$^{29}$ yet $\text{O}--\text{O}$ bond cleavage has not been observed with SOR. It is not clear why these two structurally similar systems follow divergent reaction pathways. Although a porphyrin was originally thought to be necessary for the stabilization of a high-valent iron--oxo complex, this was later shown not to be the case upon structural characterization of the first non-heme Fe($\text{IV}$)--$\text{O}$ complex.$^{30}$ Although theoretical calculations have shown that the site of protonation can influence the reaction pathway,$^{27}$ controlled, site-specific protonation with the metal ion sitting on the surface of the protein seems unlikely. Prior to the development of spectroscopic methods for probing non-heme iron,$^{31}$ less was known about non-heme iron, relative to heme iron, mechanisms. Solomon has shown that Fe--peroxo spin states can influence the energetically preferred O--O versus Fe--O bond cleaving pathways.$^{2,31}$ We have shown, in collaboration with Solomon, that the intense $S \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{\text{III}}$ charge transfer bands characteristic of Fe($\text{III}$)--SR compounds make it convenient to detect Cys-ligated non-heme iron sites and probe their reactivity.$^{32,33}$

**Roles of Cysteinate Residues in Promoting Metalloenzyme Function**

A comprehensive understanding of the influence of thiolate ligands on the properties of first-row transition metal ions is essential if we are to fully understand why nature utilizes cysteinate residues to promote specific biological metalloenzyme functions.$^{3,34}$ Cysteinate-ligated metalloenzymes promote a number of critical biological processes, including electron transfer,$^{35,36}$ and strong bond activation.$^6$ Cysteinate forms highly covalent bonds to transition metals, and this helps to facilitate redox changes.$^{37}$ Our work has shown that thiolate ligands significantly lower redox potentials,$^{38}$ make low-spin iron accessible in a non-heme environment,$^{39–41}$ stabilize iron in the +3 oxidation state,$^{39–41}$ and labilize sites trans to the thiolate, thereby promoting product release,$^{38}$ even with the typically inert low-spin Co($\text{III}$) ion.$^{42,43}$ Others have shown that the trans coordinated cysteinate of P450 promotes O--O bond cleavage,$^{6,29}$ and the subsequent Fe($\text{IV}$)--$\text{O}$-promoted H-atom abstraction.$^{44}$

**Biomimetic Models**

A precise description of the correlation among the structure, key properties, and function of metalloenzyme active sites can be most readily obtained by building small molecular analogues.$^{34}$ Synthetic model complexes provide key parameters needed to fit spectroscopic data (e.g., EXAFS) and protein crystal structures.$^{15}$ Multidentate ligands are generally required for maintenance of a relatively rigid, well-defined synthetic active site model. The incorporation of thiolate ligands into synthetic models

---

**FIGURE 1.** Proposed mechanism for SOR-catalyzed reduction of superoxide via hydroperoxo (T$_1$) and solvent-bound (T$_2$) intermediates.
can, however, be complicated by their propensity to oligomerize, as well as autoreduce and form disulfides especially when there is an open coordination site. Peroxides tend to react with thiolates to form sulfoxides and sulfones and with iron to form rust. Despite these synthetic challenges, much progress has been made in the biomimetic modeling of cysteinate-ligated non-heme iron active sites in biology.

Reactive Five-Coordinate, Thiolate-Ligated Iron Complexes

A biomimetic system capable of reproducing the SOR reaction (Figure 1) would have two requirements. First, it would require an open coordination site for superoxide to bind to the metal. Second, it would require that the +3 oxidation state be reversibly accessible. Given the challenges associated with the synthesis of mononuclear thiolate-ligated transition metal complexes, especially those with higher oxidation states, we initially had to demonstrate that molecules meeting the criteria listed above could be synthesized. By incorporating gem-dimethyls adjacent to the thiolate, we were able to isolate a number of coordinatively unsaturated, mononuclear five-coordinate thiolate iron complexes, including [FeIII\(\left(S_{2}Me_{2}N_{3}(Pr,Pr)\right)\right]^{+} (1) (Figure 3), [FeIII\(\left(S_{2}Me_{2}N_{3}(Et,Pr)\right)\right]^{+}, and [FeIII\(\left(SMe_{2}N_{3}(tren)\right)\right]^{+} (2) (Figure 4). We found that we could isolate an FeIII–SR complex such as 1 via the in situ oxidation of an FeII–SR precursor at low temperatures. Once oxidized, the FeIII thiolates were found to display rich spectroscopic features, including intense πS-to-metal charge transfer bands in the visible region, and low-spin EPR signals. The energy of these bands was found to be highly dependent on the local coordination environment and could therefore be used to monitor reactions. The FeIII–SR bonds were found to be highly covalent and favor a low-spin state, an observation that was surprising given the π-donor properties of thiolates.

A Functional SOR Model with a cis-Thiolate: [FeIII\(\left(SMe_{2}N_{4}(tren)\right)\right]^{+}

Using a tripodal amine ligand as a scaffold, we were able to assemble a functional SOR model, [FeIII\(\left(SMe_{2}N_{4}(tren)\right)\right]^{+} (2), that mimics each step of the proposed SOR mechanism (Figure 1). Like the SOR enzyme active site, complex 2 is high-spin (\(S = 2\)) and has a similar \(N_{3}S^{1−}\) ligand motif, but with the open site cis to the thiolate, rather than trans as in the enzyme. When superoxide is added to 2 in the presence of a proton donor, biomimetic activity is observed, resulting in the formation of \(H_{2}O_{2}\) at low temperatures (less than or equal to –78 °C), a transient hydroperoxide intermediate, [FeIII\(\left(SMe_{2}N_{4}(tren)\right)(OOH)\right]^{+} (3), is observed. In contrast to 2 which is colorless, intermediate 3 is tangerine orange and displays an intense charge transfer band at 452(2900) nm (Figure 5). When the solution is warmed, its color changes from orange to burgundy, and the band at 452 nm cleanly converts to a band at 511(1770) nm (Figure 5). The burgundy species was shown, via its independent synthesis, to be the
solvent-derived methoxide-bound species $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OMe})]^+$ (4). When the reaction between 2 and superoxide is monitored by stopped-flow at ambient temperature (in MeOH), intermediate 3 grows in at diffusion-controlled rates (too fast to measure) and then cleanly converts to 4 (releasing $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$) at a rate $[65(1) \text{ s}^{-1}]^{53}$ similar to that of the enzyme (50 s$^{-1}$). The tangerine orange species displays a low-spin ($S = \frac{1}{2}$) EPR signal (Figure 6), which converts to an intermediate-spin ($S = \frac{3}{2}$) signal as the solution develops a burgundy color. These changes to the electronic absorption and EPR spectra indicated that a transient intermediate forms (at low temperatures) during the reduction of superoxide but did not reveal its identity. Vibrational data, obtained at $-78{^\circ}\text{C}$, unambiguously identified this intermediate as a hydroperoxo species. A Fermi doublet (at 786 and 784 cm$^{-1}$) is observed in the infrared spectrum of $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OOH})]^+$ (3) in the $\nu_{\text{O-O}}$ stretching region (Figure 7). This doublet collapses to a sharpened singlet at 784 cm$^{-1}$ upon addition of $\text{D}_2\text{O}$, indicating that a proton is associated with the moiety responsible for the vibration. $^{53}$ Addition of $^{18}$O-labeled (23%) superoxide to 2 results in a new shifted $\nu_{\text{O-O}}$ stretch at 753 cm$^{-1}$, close to that predicted on the basis of Hooke’s law for a diatomic oxygen species.

**FIGURE 5.** Low-temperature detection of the hydroperoxo intermediate $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OOH})]^+$ (3) using electronic absorption spectroscopy, and its conversion to solvent-bound $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OMe})]^+$ (4) upon warming.

**FIGURE 6.** X-Band EPR spectrum of tangerine orange $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OOH})]^+$ (3) at 7 K in an MeOH/EtOH (5:2) glass.

Although we have yet to crystallize our hydroperoxo intermediate, we have structurally characterized $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OOH})]^+$ (3) using X-ray absorption spectroscopy, in collaboration with Rob Scarrow. Fits to the EXAFS data for 3 require a new short Fe–O bond 1.86(3) Å in length, which is not present in either the $\text{Fe}^{III}$ precursor (2) or the methoxide-bound product 4. $^{53}$ The XANES spectrum of 3 is consistent with an oxidized six-coordinate $\text{Fe}^{III}$ intermediate. Addition of an outer-sphere oxygen at 2.79(6) Å improves the EXAFS fits slightly. $^{53}$ Together, these data are consistent with the oxidative addition of superoxide to $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))]^+$ via an inner-sphere mechanism to form an end-on $\text{Fe}^{III}(\eta^1\cdot\text{OOH})$ intermediate. Hydroperoxo $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OOH})]^+$ (3) represented the first example of a thiolate-ligated peroxy species, and the first structurally characterized $\text{Fe}^{III}$-OOH species in any ligand environment. $^{53}$ The coexistence of a thiolate (a reductant) and a peroxide (an oxidant) in the same molecule is quite remarkable. Evidence that the peroxide binds cis with respect to the thiolate comes from the isolation and characterization of a number of more stable derivatives, including $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{MeCN})]^+$ (Figure 8), $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{CN})]^+$, $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{CN})]^+$ (Figure 9), and $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OAc})]^+$ (5; Figure 10), $^{54}$ where it was shown that all ligands bind in this mode.

**FIGURE 7.** Low-temperature IR spectrum of $^{18}$O-labeled (-----) and $^{18}$O-labeled (23%; ---) $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{OOH})]^+$ (3) showing the peroxo $\nu_{\text{O-O}}$ Fermi doublet that shifts upon incorporation of $^{18}$O.

**FIGURE 8.** ORTEP diagram of solvent-bound $[\text{Fe}^{III}(\text{SMe}_2\text{N}_4^-(\text{tren}))(\text{MeCN})]^+$ showing that MeCN binds trans to the imine nitrogen and cis to the thiolate sulfur.
until an external proton donor is added. This rules out a mechanism involving abstraction of H⁺ or a H-atom from the ligand. Addition of a variety of proton donors, including NH₄⁺, MeOH, and PhOH, rapidly induces the formation of [Fe⁻⁻⁻(SMe₂N₄)(tren)](OOH)⁺ (3). The ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) mimics the lysine residue proposed to be involved in the SOR mechanism.⁵⁰ The rate of formation of 3 is highly dependent on both the HA concentration and pKₐ,⁶² indicating that a proton is transferred in the steps prior to or during the rate-determining step. The proton dependence of this reaction is consistent with three possible mechanisms involving initial protonation of O₂⁻, the thiolate sulfur, or an Fe(II)–superoxo intermediate. These should be distinguishable on the basis of kinetics. Kinetic studies are currently underway in our laboratory, in an attempt to establish the most probable mechanism.⁶²

The Fe–O(peroxo) bond of [Fe⁻⁻⁻(SMe₂N₄)(tren)](OOH)⁺ (3) can be cleaved via the addition of a second, more acidic, proton donor.⁵⁰ This results in the release of H₂O₂, via what appears to be a proton-dependent dissociative mechanism. Nucleophiles, such as OAc⁻, do not react with 3, and the rate of H₂O₂ release is dependent on the pKₐ of the proton donor.⁶² The addition of HOAc releases H₂O₂ from 3 six orders of magnitude faster than NH₄⁺ does. Noncoordinating acids (HBF₄ and HClO₄) cleanly afford a common eggplant purple intermediate, [Fe⁻⁻⁻(SMe₂N₄)(tren)](MeOH)⁺²⁺ (6; λ_{max} = 565 nm). Acetic acid also reacts with 3 to form solvent-bound 6 (Figure 11), which then converts to acetate-bound [Fe⁻⁻⁻(SMe₂N₄)(tren)(OAc)]⁺ (5; Figure 10), a model for the Glu-bound oxidized SOR resting state, upon warming. A solvent-bound [Fe⁻⁻⁻(O–OH(H))] intermediate has also recently been identified in the mechanism of SOR,¹⁵,²⁰ as a species distinct from its Fe⁻⁻⁻-Glu resting state. Following the release of H₂O₂ from our hydroperoxy intermediate 3, we can regenerate the active catalyst, 2, by adding an external reductant such as cobaltocene (Figure 12). Subsequent addition of superoxide results in regeneration of the hydroperoxy intermediate. Eight turnovers have been achieved in this stepwise manner.⁵⁰ The catalytic activity is most likely limited due to decomposition of the catalyst by H₂O₂-promoted oxidation of the thiolate.
Influence of the trans-Thiolate Ligand in Promoting SOR Chemistry

A few examples of structural SOR models containing a trans-thiolate ligand have been reported, and the thiolate ligand has been shown to lower Fe$^{IV+}$/$^{II}$ redox potentials. Although none of these structural models have been reported to react with superoxide, in one case, H$_2$O$_2$ addition was shown to afford a high-valent Fe$^{IV}$=O species, presumably via the cleavage of a transient Fe$^{III}$-peroxo O=O bond. A macrocyclic thiolate-ligated ferrous complex was recently reported, which reacts with ROOH to afford the first example of a thiolate-ligated alkyl peroxo complex ([[[15]anEn$_2$]Fe$^{III}$SpH(OOR)]$^{1+}$, with the thiolate presumably trans to the peroxy. Although 7 is a low-spin complex (g = 2.20 and 1.97), its $\nu_{\text{Fe-O}}$ stretch (612 cm$^{-1}$) is significantly lower than those of most low-spin Fe$^{III}$-OOR complexes. Most likely, this is due to the trans influence of the thiolate sulfur, although one cannot conclusively say that ROO$^-$ binds in this position. Macrocyclic cyclam ligands have been shown to fold to afford cis-sulfur six-coordinate geometries. Recently, we reported a rare example of a functional metalloenzyme active site model, [Fe$^{IV}$(cyclam-PrS)](BPh$_4$) (8; Figure 13), that like SOR reduces O$_2^-$, presumably via a trans-thiolate-ligated Fe$^{III}$-peroxo intermediate. The thiolate ligand of 8 was shown to lower the redox potential by 565 mV, alter the spin state of the peroxy intermediate, and dramatically weaken the Fe–O(peroxo) bond, favoring O$_2^-$ reduction and H$_2$O$_2$ release. Consistent with previous observations regarding amine substituents, the secondary amines of 8 also appear to play an important role in the observed superoxide reduction chemistry. Upon addition of O$_2^-$ (18-crown-6-K$^+$ salt) and a proton donor (i.e., MeOH) to 8 at $-78 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, a metastable, high-spin (g = 7.72, 5.40, and 4.15) burgundy ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 530(1350) \, \text{nm}$) intermediate (9) is observed (Figure 14). No reaction occurs in the absence of a proton donor, and O$_2^-$ does not convert to H$_2$O$_2$ under the same conditions in the absence of 8. An $\nu_{\text{O-O}}$ stretch (Fermi doublet) is observed at 891 cm$^{-1}$ in the vibrational (resonance Raman) spectrum of 9, which shifts to 856 cm$^{-1}$ in the $^{18}$O-labeled (50% label) spectrum. Addition of D$_2$ (i.e., MeOD) causes the Fermi doublet to collapse. An intense $\nu_{\text{Fe-S}}$ stretch is also observed at 352 cm$^{-1}$, indicating that the thiolate remains coordinated to the metal, and an $^{18}$O-sensitive $\nu_{\text{Fe-O}}$ stretch is observed at 419 cm$^{-1}$, which shifts to 400 cm$^{-1}$. Altogether, these data are consistent with the proton-dependent oxidative addition of superoxide to 8 which forms a thiolate-ligated hydroperoxo intermediate [Fe$^{III}$(cyclam-PrS)(OOH)]$^+$ (9). The remarkably low $\nu_{\text{Fe-O}}$ stretch, and the high-spin state of this intermediate, strongly suggest that the hydroperoxo ligand binds trans to the thiolate, although one cannot conclusively assign its structure in the absence of crystallographic evidence.

Although clearly more examples are needed if one hopes to correlate spectroscopic parameters to peroxo and thiolate binding modes and ultimately SOR function, with three examples (3, 7, and 9) now in hand, we$^{38,50,53}$ and others$^{25,28,49}$ are closer to this goal. In comparison to other reported synthetic iron peroxides, the $\nu_{\text{Fe-O}}$ Stretch of 9 is significantly weakened (419 cm$^{-1}$ for 9 vs reported range, 450–639 cm$^{-1}$), but it compares well with the only reported SOR peroxy stretch (348 cm$^{-1}$), which was recently shown by X-ray crystallography to contain a...
trans-thiolate and end-on hydroperoxo. The $\nu_{O-O}$ stretch of 9 is unusually high (reported range, 820–860 cm$^{-1}$). The DFT-optimized structure of 9 (Figure 14) contains an Fe–O distance of 1.95 Å and a force constant ($k_{Fe-O} = 1.20$ mdyn/cm) which is significantly longer (reported range, 1.76–1.86 Å) and weaker (reported force constant range, 2.2–2.1 mdyn/cm) than those of all other reported Fe–(O$^1$-OOH) species. These data strongly support a trans positioning of the thiolate sulfur to SOR function.

The Fe–O(peroxo) bond of $[\text{Fe}^2\text{II}(cyclam-PrS)(OOH)]^+$ (9) can be cleaved via the addition of proton donors, resulting in the release of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. A blue acetate-bound derivative, $[\text{Fe}^{III}(cyclam-PrS)(\text{OAc})]^+$ (10), modeling the glutamate-bound SOR resting state, forms following the low-temperature addition of acetic acid. Once $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ is released, the active catalyst 8 can be regenerated via the addition of a sacrificial reductant (CP$_2$Co). Subsequent addition of superoxide results in regeneration of hydroperoxo intermediate 9. Five turnovers have been achieved in this stepwise manner. In comparison to our cis-thiolate-ligated hydroperoxo complex 3 which releases $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ extremely slowly in MeOH at $-78^\circ$C ($t_{1/2} = 63.9$ h), hydroperoxo complex 9 rapidly releases $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ under the same conditions (within seconds). The macrocyclic amine ligand in combination with the presumed trans positioning of the thiolate converts the spin state from an $s = 1/2$ state in cis-ligated 3 to an $s = 5/2$ state, promoting faster Fe–O bond cleavage under similar conditions. If the thiolate is indeed trans, it would also increase the basicity of the proximal peroxo oxygen relative to that of the cis-thiolate-ligated system, and this would be expected to influence product release rates if Fe–OOH bond cleavage is proton-induced. The relative rates of proximal oxygen Fe–OOH protonation (to induce $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ release) versus O–O(peroxo) bond cleavage must play a critical role in governing whether a SOR or P450 reaction pathway is followed.

Concluding Remarks

The biological chemistry of iron is exquisitely controlled by its ligand environment. Supporting ligands play an important role in determining function by controlling metal ion redox potential and spin state, as well as the basicity of bound substrates. Spin states control reaction pathways by fine-tuning relative bond strengths. Although there are many parallels between heme and non-heme iron systems, porphyrin ligands alter reaction pathways by facilitating oxidation via the delocalization of charge onto the ligand. Thiolate ligands also have the potential of facilitating the delocalization of charge. As described in this Account, we are beginning to understand the functional role of the SOR thiolate ligand in promoting superoxide reduction. The intense $\pi$-thiolate sulfur-to-Fe$^{III}$ charge transfer band, and its sensitivity to local coordination environment, provide a convenient method for monitoring reactions involving thiolate-ligated non-heme iron complexes. By successfully mimicking the individual proton and electron transfer steps of the SOR catalytic cycle, we have begun investigating the molecular level details of the SOR mechanism and its dependence on the positioning of the thiolate ligand relative to the substrate binding site. The thiolate ligand favors superoxide reduction, regardless of its position in the coordination sphere, by lowering the redox potential of the metal ion. In a protein environment, the thiolate provides an efficient electron transfer pathway. Spectroscopic characterization of the first reported examples of SOR peroxo intermediate analogues has allowed us to determine how the thiolate influences key properties affecting reaction pathways. Despite the structural similarities between the heme iron enzyme P450 and non-heme iron enzyme SOR peroxo intermediates, the two systems follow very different reaction pathways. The spin state favored by the supporting amine ligands influences the relative strength of the Fe–O(peroxo) versus O–O bond, and presumably the basicity of the proximal peroxo oxygen. The combination of a high-spin state and trans-thiolate affords a labile Fe$^{III}$-OOH species susceptible to protonation by proton solvents (e.g., MeOH or H$_2$O), resulting in facile H$_2$O$_2$ release.
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