

Reading: BKRW Sections A.1, A.2, A.5; Chapter 3, Sections 1-2.

Supplementary Reading: VdVW, Chapter 3.11.

Due: 1/22/98

0. Tell me the title of your project and give a brief tentative outline. (Please hand this in next Tuesday, 1/20.)

1. A. Let P and Q be fixed measures with $\rho(P, Q) < 1$ (so that $H(P, Q) = 1 - \rho(P, Q) > 0$). Let P^n and Q^n be the probability distributions of X_1, \dots, X_n iid P or Q respectively. Show that $\rho(P^n, Q^n) = (\rho(P, Q))^n \rightarrow 0$ and hence that $H(P^n, Q^n) \rightarrow 1$. Note that 1 is the largest possible value of the Hellinger distance H (as defined *with* the factor of $1/2$); when it equals 1 the corresponding measures are concentrated on disjoint sets!

B. Suppose that $\mathbf{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}\}$ is a one-dimensional regular parametric model with common dominating measure μ ; thus, with $\theta_n = \theta + hn^{-1/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{p(\cdot, \theta_n)} - \sqrt{p(\cdot, \theta)}) - \frac{1}{2} h \dot{\mathbf{I}}_\theta \sqrt{p(\cdot, \theta)}\|_\mu^2 \\ &= \int |\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{p(\cdot, \theta_n)} - \sqrt{p(\cdot, \theta)}) - \frac{1}{2} h \dot{\mathbf{I}}_\theta \sqrt{p(\cdot, \theta)}|^2 d\mu \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this assumption implies that

$$\begin{aligned} n H^2(P_{\theta_n}, P_\theta) &= \frac{n}{2} \int \{\sqrt{p(\theta_n)} - \sqrt{p(\theta)}\}^2 d\mu \\ &\rightarrow \frac{h^2}{8} \int \dot{\mathbf{I}}_\theta^2 p(\cdot, \theta) d\mu = \frac{h^2}{8} I(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

2. Now let P_θ^n and $P_{\theta_n}^n$ denote the product measures corresponding to P_θ and P_{θ_n} (i.e. the distributions of X_1, \dots, X_n iid P_θ or P_{θ_n} respectively). Show that

$$H^2(P_\theta^n, P_{\theta_n}^n) \rightarrow 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{h^2}{8} I(\theta)\right) \begin{cases} > 0 \\ < 1 \end{cases} \text{ if } I(\theta) > 0, h > 0.$$

Thus the product laws P_θ^n and $P_{\theta_n}^n$ corresponding to the “null hypothesis” P_θ and the “local alternatives” P_{θ_n} do not separate entirely for regular models.

3. Show that $H^2(P, Q)$ does not depend on the choice of the measure μ dominating P and Q .