

1. A. Now X, Y have joint density

$$p_{\lambda, \mu}(x, y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mu e^{-\mu y} 1_{[0, \infty)}(x) 1_{[0, \infty)}(y),$$

so that if $c > 0$, $g_c(X, Y) = (cX, cY) \sim p_{\lambda/c, \mu/c}(x, y)$, and hence $\bar{g}(\lambda, \mu) = (\lambda/c, \mu/c)$. Note that

$\delta(\lambda, \mu) \equiv \lambda/\mu = (\lambda/c)/(\mu/c) = \delta(\bar{g}(\lambda, \mu))$, so that the hypotheses $H: \delta \leq 1$ and $K: \delta > 1$ are invariant. $T(X, Y) = X/Y$ and $\delta(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda/\mu$ are maximal invariants on the sample space and parameter space respectively, and since $2\mu Y \sim \chi_2^2$ and $2\lambda X \sim \chi_2^2$ are independent,

$$T(X, Y) = \frac{2\lambda X}{2\mu Y} \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \sim \delta^{-1} F_{2,2}.$$

Since this family of distributions has monotone (\downarrow) likelihood ratio, it follows that the UMP G -invariant test of H versus K rejects H when $T < F_{2,2,\alpha}$ (where $P(F_{2,2} \leq F_{2,2,\alpha}) = \alpha$, or when $T^{-1} = Y/X > F_{2,2,1-\alpha} = (1-\alpha)/\alpha$).

B. If $g(x, y) = (y, x)$ is also considered, then the transformation induced on the maximal invariant of A is given by

$$g(T) \equiv T(g(X, Y)) = T(Y, X) = \frac{Y}{X} = \frac{1}{T(X, Y)}.$$

Claim: $T \setminus T^{-1}$ is the maximal invariant wrt this group.

Proof. $T \setminus T^{-1}$ is invariant since $T^{-1} \setminus T = T \setminus T^{-1}$; and $T \setminus T^{-1}$ is maximal since $T \setminus T^{-1} = T^* \setminus T^{*-1}$ implies that either $T = T^*$ or $T = T^{*-1}$.

The corresponding maximal invariant on the parameter space is $\delta \setminus \delta^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \setminus \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \equiv \nu$, and the hypotheses $H: \delta = 1$ and $K: \delta \neq 1$ are clearly invariant. When expressed in terms of ν the hypotheses become $H: \nu = 1$ versus $K: \nu > 1$. It remains to show that the maximal invariant has monotone likelihood ratio (MLR).

By direct calculation, for $t \geq 1$,

$$(*) \quad 1 - F_\eta(t) = P_{\lambda, \mu}(T \setminus T^{-1} > t) = \frac{2 + \eta t}{1 + \eta t + t^2} \quad \text{with} \quad \eta \equiv \nu + \frac{1}{\nu}.$$

Hence $T \setminus T^{-1}$ has density

$$f_\eta(t) = \frac{\eta(t^2 + 1) + 4t}{(t^2 + \eta t + 1)^2} 1_{[1, \infty)}(t),$$

so that for $\eta_1 < \eta_2$

$$\frac{f_{\eta_2}}{f_{\eta_1}}(t) = \left\{ \frac{t^2 + \eta_1 t + 1}{t^2 + \eta_2 t + 1} \right\}^2 \frac{\eta_2(t^2 + 1) + 4t}{\eta_1(t^2 + 1) + 4t} \equiv g(t)^2 \cdot h(t)$$

where

$$g'(t) = \frac{(\eta_2 - \eta_1)(t^2 - 1)}{(t^2 + \eta_2 t + 1)^2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1$$

and

$$h'(t) = \frac{(\eta_2 - \eta_1)(4t^2 - 1)}{(t^2 + \eta_2 t + 1)^2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1.$$

Hence the distribution of $T \setminus / T^{-1}$ has MLR and the UMP G -invariant test rejects H when $M \equiv T \setminus / T^{-1} > (2 - \alpha)/\alpha$. Then, when $\nu = 1$, $\eta = 2$, and

$$P_{\eta=2}(M > \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha}) = \alpha.$$

[Proof of (*): Since $T \sim \delta F_{2,2}$ where $P(F_{2,2} \leq x) = x/(1+x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(T \setminus / T^{-1} > t) &= P(T > t) + P(T < t^{-1}) \\ &= P(F_{2,2} > \frac{t}{\delta}) + P(F_{2,2} < \frac{1}{t\delta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + t/\delta} + \frac{(1/t\delta)}{1 + (1/t\delta)} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\delta + t} + \frac{1/\delta}{1/\delta + t} \\ &= \frac{2 + (\delta + 1/\delta)t}{1 + (\delta + 1/\delta)t + t^2}.] \end{aligned}$$

2. A. Let G be the permutation group

$$G = \{g : g(\underline{x}) = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)}), \pi \in \Pi\}.$$

Then, if $\underline{X} \sim P_{\theta}$, for $g \in G$, $g(\underline{X}) \sim P_{\bar{g}\theta}$ with $\bar{g}\theta = (\Delta, \nu \circ \pi = (\nu_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \nu_{\pi(n)}))$. Thus the hypotheses are invariant under G . The order statistics are a G -MI. But of course the X_i 's are *not* identically distributed. If $\underline{X}(\cdot) = (X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$ denotes the order statistics, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}_{(\cdot)}, \Delta, \nu) &= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} f(\pi \underline{x}; \Delta, \nu) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n \beta^{n\alpha} \exp(\alpha \Delta \sum b_{\nu_i})} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_{(i)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{(\pi(i))} \exp(-\Delta b_{\nu_i}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n \beta^{n\alpha} \exp(\alpha \Delta \sum b_{\nu_i})} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_{(i)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{\pi^* \in \Pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{(i)} \exp(-\Delta b_{\pi^*(i)}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with $\pi^* \equiv \pi^{-1} \circ \nu$. Note that this distribution depends only on the \bar{G} - MI Δ .

B. Now

$$\begin{aligned} l(\Delta | \underline{X}_{(\cdot)}) &= \log f(\underline{X}(\cdot); \Delta) \\ &= \log \left\{ \sum_{\pi^* \in \Pi} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)} \exp(-\Delta b_{\pi^*(i)}) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha \Delta \sum_{i=1}^n b_i + \text{constant in } \Delta \right\} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{\Delta}(\underline{X}_{(\cdot)}; \Delta = 0) &= \frac{1}{\sum_{\pi^*} \exp[\cdots] |_{\Delta=0}} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\pi^* \in \Pi} \exp[\cdots] |_{\Delta=0} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)} \exp(-\Delta b_{\pi^*(i)}) (-b_{\pi^*(i)}) |_{\Delta=0} \right\} \\ &\quad - \alpha \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta n!} \sum_{\pi^*} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)} b_{\pi^*(i)} \right\} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta n!} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)} \sum_{\pi^*} b_{\pi^*(i)} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \bar{b} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{(i)} - \alpha n \bar{b} = \frac{n \bar{b}}{\beta} (\bar{X} - \alpha \beta), \end{aligned}$$

and hence the locally MP invariant test rejects for large values of $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

3. Note that $0 \leq Y_1 \leq \cdots \leq Y_N$ and

$$(1.2) \quad Z_i = (N - i + 1)(Y_i - Y_{i-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

(with $Y_0 \equiv 0$). Let $g(\underline{Z}) \equiv \underline{Y}$ be the map defined by (1.1) so that $g^{-1}(\underline{Y}) = \underline{Z}$ is given in (1.2). Then the Jacobian of g^{-1} has entries $N, (N-1), \dots, 1$ on the diagonal, entries $-(N-1), \dots, -2, -1$ below the diagonal, and zero elsewhere. Hence $\det(J_{g^{-1}}) = \text{tr}(J_{g^{-1}}) = N!$ and the density of \underline{Y} is given by

$$f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) = f_{\underline{Z}}(g^{-1}(\underline{y})) \det(J_{g^{-1}}) = N! \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(-(N-i+1)(y_i - y_{i-1}))$$

- 4 -

$$\begin{aligned} &= N! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N (N-i+1)(y_i - y_{i-1})\right) \\ &= N! \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=i}^N 1\right)(y_i - y_{i-1})\right) \\ &= N! \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i \leq j} (y_i - y_{i-1})\right) \\ &= N! \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^N y_j\right) = N! f(y_1) \cdots f(y_N) \end{aligned}$$

on the set $0 \leq y_1 \leq \cdots \leq y_N < \infty$ where $f(x) = \exp(-x)1_{[0,\infty)}(x)$ is the standard exponential density. Hence $\underline{Y} \stackrel{d}{=} \underline{V}_{(\cdot)}$ where V_1, \dots, V_N are iid exponential(1).