

**Due:** Wednesday, April 16, 1997

**Reading:** Lecture Notes, Chapter 6, section 6.3.

Ferguson, Mathematical Statistics, chapter 4, section 4.1, pages 143 - 148,  
chapter 5, section 5.6, pages 243 - 248.

[Lehmann, TSH, Chapter 6.]

1. A. Suppose that  $Z_1, \dots, Z_N$  are i.i.d. Pareto( $\theta$ ) with density  $p_\theta(x) = \theta x^{-(\theta+1)} 1_{[1, \infty)}(x)$ ,  $\theta > 0$ , and consider the joint density  $p_\theta(\underline{z})$ . Is  $\{p_\theta : \theta \in (0, \infty)\}$  an exponential family? If so, identify the various components in the exponential family form explicitly.
 

B. Now suppose that we condition on  $(Z_{(1)}, \dots, Z_{(N)}) = \underline{z}$ , the order statistics of the  $Z_i$ 's in A, and we sample  $n < N$  of the  $z_i$ 's without replacement; call the resulting sample  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ .

(i) What are the (conditional) mean  $\mu_N$  and variance  $\sigma_N^2$  of  $\bar{Y}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ ?

(ii) Under what further conditions does  $(\bar{Y}_n - \bar{z}_N)/\sigma_N \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$  in probability under the model in A? Explain what this means and, in particular, specify for what values of  $\theta$  the asymptotic normality holds and for what values of  $\theta$  the asymptotic normality fails.

C. Now suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d.  $F \in \mathbf{F}_c$  under  $H_c$  while under  $K_1$  the  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. Pareto( $\theta_1$ ) and the  $Y_j$ 's are i.i.d. Pareto( $\theta_2$ ) with  $\theta_1 > \theta_2$ . Find a most powerful similar test of  $H_c$  versus  $K_1$ .

2. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. with density

$$p_\theta(x) = p(x; \theta) = f(x - \theta)$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} \cdot ]$$

Note that  $F(x) = 1/(1 + e^{-x})$  and hence that  $f(x) = F(x)(1 - F(x))$ .

A. Use the generalized NP lemma to find the locally most powerful test of  $H : \theta = 0$  versus  $K : \theta > 0$  and show how to approximate the appropriate critical points to carry out your test.

B. If instead of the null hypothesis  $H : \theta = 0$  in A, we consider testing the much larger null hypothesis  $H_s : X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $F \in \mathbf{F}_s$ , the collection of all continuous distribution functions symmetric about 0 and seek a locally most powerful test against the logistic alternatives, how would you

proceed to construct a LMP level  $\alpha$  similar test?  
[What is a sufficient statistic for  $\mathbf{F}_s$  ?]