

## Statistics 583, Problem Set 2

Wellner; 4/6/2016

**Reading:** Van der Vaart, Chapter 5, pages 41-67;  
JW, 580s Course Notes, Chapter 4, section 4, pages 25-29;  
JW, Delft-Cortona-Torgnon Notes, pages 83-93.

**Due:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016

1. Van der Vaart (1998), problem 25, page 84.
  - (i) Verify the conditions of Wald's theorem for  $m_\theta$  the log-likelihood function of the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution if the parameter space for  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .
  - (ii) Extend  $m_\theta$  by continuity to the compactification of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . Show that the conditions of Wald's theorem fail at the points  $(\mu, 0)$ .
  - (iii) Replace  $m_\theta$  by the log-likelihood function of a pair of two independent observations from the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution, say  $(X, Y)$ . Show that Wald's theorem now does apply, also with a compactified parameter set.
2. Consider the symmetric location family based on a fixed density  $f_0$  symmetric at 0 defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p_\theta : p_\theta(x) = f_0(x - \theta), \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Particular cases of interest include:

- (a)  $f_0 = \phi$ , the standard normal density;
  - (b)  $f_0(x) = 2^{-1} \exp(-|x|)$ , the Laplace density;
  - (c)  $f_0(x) = \pi^{-1}(1 + x^2)^{-1}$ , the standard Cauchy density;
  - (d)  $f_0(x) = e^{-x}(1 + e^{-x})^{-2}$ , the logistic density.
- (i) For each of these densities compute and plot  $f_0$ ,  $\varphi \equiv -\log f_0$ , and the corresponding score functions for location  $-f'_0/f_0$ . A density  $f$  is *log-concave* if  $\varphi \equiv -\log f$  is a convex function. Which of the densities (a) - (d) are log-concave?
  - (ii) Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $P_0$ . In which of these cases is the MLE explicitly calculable? In which cases is it unique?
  - (iii) Suppose that  $P_0 = P_{\theta_0} \in \mathcal{P}$ . In which cases is the MLE consistent?
  - (iv) Suppose that  $P_0 \notin \mathcal{P}$ . For which case or cases is it possible that

$$\Theta_0 \equiv \{\theta_0 \in \Theta : P_0 m_{\theta_0} = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} P_0 m_\theta\}$$

contains more than one point? [Hint: see Freedman and Diaconis (1982), *Ann. Statist.* **10**, 454-461, especially page 455.]

3. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $E|X_1| = \int |x|dP(x) < \infty$ . Consider the following measure of dispersion:

$$D_n \equiv n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i - \bar{X}_n| = \mathbb{P}_n |X - \bar{X}_n|.$$

The corresponding measure in the population is  $d \equiv P|X - PX| = \int |x - \mu(P)|dP(x)$  where  $\mu(P) = P(X) = \int xdP(x)$ . Use a Glivenko-Cantelli theorem to show that  $D_n \rightarrow_p d$ . [Hint: Let  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $Pr(|\bar{X} - \mu| \leq \delta) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it becomes useful to consider the class of functions  $\mathcal{F}_\delta \equiv \{f(x, t) \equiv |x - t| : |t - \mu| \leq \delta\}$ .]

4. Suppose that  $P_j$  and  $Q_j$  have densities  $p_j$  and  $q_j$  respectively with respect to  $\mu_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and suppose that  $X_j$  has distribution either  $P_j$  or  $Q_j$  for each  $j \leq n$ . Let  $\prod_{j=1}^n P_j$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^n Q_j$  denote the product measures.
- (i) Show that  $\rho(\prod_{j=1}^n P_j, \prod_{j=1}^n Q_j) = \prod_{j=1}^n \rho(P_j, Q_j)$ .
- (ii) Use the result of (i) to find a formula for  $H^2(\prod_{j=1}^n P_j, \prod_{j=1}^n Q_j)$  in terms of  $H^2(P_j, Q_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (iii) Specialize the results of (i) and (ii) to the setting in which  $P_1 = \dots = P_n \equiv P$  and  $Q_1 = \dots = Q_n \equiv Q$  where we now write  $\prod_{j=1}^n P_j = P^n$  and  $\prod_{j=1}^n Q_j = Q^n$ .
- (iv) Suppose that  $\rho(P, Q) < 1$ . Using (iii) what can you say about  $\lim_n \rho(P^n, Q^n)$  and  $\lim_n H(P^n, Q^n)$ ?
5. (Bonus problem). (i) Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $P(X_1 = x) = 0$  for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denote the empirical measure of the  $X_i$ 's. What is the total variation distance  $\sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\mathbb{P}_n(B) - P(B)|$ ?
- (ii) Now suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  where now  $P(X_1 \in \mathbb{N}) = 1$  where  $\mathbb{N} \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , the non-negative integers. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the collection of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Show that

$$d_{TV}(\mathbb{P}_n, P) = 2^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mathbb{P}_n(\{k\}) - P(X_1 = k)| \rightarrow_{a.s.} 0,$$

and hence that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $P$ -Glivenko-Cantelli class for every  $P$ . [Hint: For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\hat{p}_n(k) \equiv \mathbb{P}_n(\{k\})$ , the empirical mass function. Now use Scheffé's theorem.]

(iii) Is there a theorem giving conditions on  $P$  under which  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $P$ -Donsker class of sets? How would you find it?

6. (Bonus problem). In the same context of problem 3 above, show that  $\sqrt{n}(D_n - d) \rightarrow_d N(0, V^2)$  for some  $V^2$  if  $E(X_1^2) < \infty$ .