

Statistics 583, Problem Set 4 Solutions

Wellner; 4/27/2011

1. Let $U_{m,n} \equiv T(\mathbb{F}_m, \mathbb{G}_n)$ where $T(F, G) = \int FdG = P(X \leq Y)$ is the Mann-Whitney functional and \mathbb{F}_m and \mathbb{G}_n are the empirical df's of X_1, \dots, X_m i.i.d. with df F , Y_1, \dots, Y_n i.i.d. with df G where F and G are continuous.

(a) Show that

$$mnU_{m,n} + n(n+1)/2 = W_{m,n} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n Q_j = \sum_{j=1}^n R_{m+j}.$$

(b) Show that $EU_{m,n} = P(X \leq Y) = \int FdG$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\sqrt{mn}U_{m,n}) &= (n-1) \int (1-G)^2 dF + (m-1) \int F^2 dG - (N-1) \left(\int FdG \right)^2 + \int FdG \\ &= (n-1)\text{Var}[1-G(X)] + (m-1)\text{Var}[F(Y)] + \int FdG \left(1 - \int FdG \right). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Show that if $\lambda_N \equiv m/N \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, 1]$, then, for independent standard Brownian bridge processes \mathbb{U} and \mathbb{V} it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\sqrt{mn/N}U_{m,n}) &= \frac{n-1}{N}\text{Var}(1-G(X)) + \frac{m-1}{N}\text{Var}(F(Y)) + N^{-1} \int FdG \left(1 - \int FdG \right) \\ &\rightarrow (1-\lambda)\text{Var}(1-G(X)) + \lambda\text{Var}(F(Y)) \\ &= (1-\lambda) \int \int (F(x) \wedge F(y) - F(x)F(y)) dG(x)dG(y) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int \int (G(x) \wedge G(y) - G(x)G(y)) dF(x)dF(y) \\ &= (1-\lambda)\text{Var} \left(\int \mathbb{U}(F)dG \right) + \lambda\text{Var} \left(\int \mathbb{V}(G)dF \right) \end{aligned}$$

as discussed in class on April 15. [Hint: the variance and covariance formulas in Chapter 1, Section 4, might be useful.]

(d) When $F = G$ use the results of A and B to compute $E_{(F,F)}W_{m,n}$ and $\text{Var}_{(F,F)}(W_{m,n})$. (This should agree with calculations for the Wilcoxon rank sum form of the statistic under the null hypothesis via finite sampling calculations.)

Solution: (a) Using empirical distribution function notation, $NH_N = mF_m + nG_n$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
mnU_{m,n} &= \int mF_m d(nG_n) = \int NH_N d(nG_n) - \int nG_n d(nG_n) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n NH_N(Y_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n nG_n(Y_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n R_{m+j} - \sum_{j=1}^n j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n R_{m+j} - n(n+1)/2.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) The expectation is easy:

$$E(U_{m,n}) = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i \leq Y_j) = P(X_1 \leq Y_1) = \int F dG.$$

For the variance, we first calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
E[mnU_{m,n}]^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n E1_{[X_i \leq Y_j, X_k \leq Y_l]} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n E1_{[X_i \leq Y_j]} + \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_i \leq Y_j, X_k \leq Y_j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j \neq l} P(X_i \leq Y_j, X_i \leq Y_l) + \sum_{i \neq k} \sum_{j \neq l} P(X_i \leq Y_j, X_k \leq Y_l) \\
&= mnP(X_1 \leq Y_1) + m(m-1)nP(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_2 \leq Y_1) \\
&\quad + mn(n-1)P(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_1 \leq Y_2) \\
&\quad + m(m-1)n(n-1)P(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_2 \leq Y_2), \\
&\quad P(X_1 \leq Y_1) = \int F dG,
\end{aligned}$$

$$P(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_2 \leq Y_1) = EP(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_2 \leq Y_1 | Y_1) = \int F^2(x) dG(x),$$

$$P(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_1 \leq Y_2) = EP(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_1 \leq Y_2 | X_1) = \int (1 - G(x-))^2 dF(x),$$

and

$$P(X_1 \leq Y_1, X_2 \leq Y_2) = P(X_1 \leq Y_1)^2 = \left(\int F dG \right)^2.$$

It follows by algebra that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(mnU_{m,n}) &= E(mnU_{m,n})^2 - \{E(mnU_{m,n})\}^2 \\
&= mn \int FdG + m(m-1)n \int F^2dG \\
&\quad + mn(n-1) \int (1-G(x-))^2dF(x) \\
&\quad + m(m-1)n(n-1) \left\{ \int FdG \right\}^2 - (mn \int FdG)^2 \\
&= m(m-1)n \left\{ \int F^2dG - \left(\int FdG \right)^2 \right\} \\
&\quad + mn(n-1) \left\{ \int (1-G(x-))^2dF(x) - \left(\int FdG \right)^2 \right\} \\
&\quad - mn \int FdG \left(1 - \int FdG \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int FdG = P(X \leq Y) &= 1 - P(X > Y) \\
&= 1 - \int G(x-)dF(x) = \int (1-G(x-))dF(x),
\end{aligned}$$

this yields the claimed variance formula (to within a left limit):

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\sqrt{mn}U_{m,n}) &= (m-1)\text{Var}(F(Y)) + (n-1)\text{Var}(1-G(X-)) \\
&\quad + \int FdG \left(1 - \int FdG \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Dividing both sides in the last display by N yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(\sqrt{mn/N}U_{m,n}) &= \frac{n-1}{N}\text{Var}(1-G(X)) + \frac{m-1}{N}\text{Var}(F(Y)) + N^{-1} \int FdG \left(1 - \int FdG \right) \\
&\rightarrow (1-\lambda)\text{Var}(1-G(X)) + \lambda\text{Var}(F(Y)) \\
&= (1-\lambda) \int \int (F(x) \wedge F(y) - F(x)F(y))dG(x)dG(y) \\
&\quad + \lambda \int \int (G(x) \wedge G(y) - G(x)G(y))dF(x)dF(y) \\
&\quad \text{by using (1.4.16) - (1.4.17) of Chapter 1, page 19} \\
&\quad \text{with } X \sim F \text{ and } h = G \\
&\quad \text{and then with } Y \sim G \text{ and } h = F \\
&= (1-\lambda)\text{Var} \left(\int \mathbb{U}(F)dG \right) + \lambda\text{Var} \left(\int \mathbb{V}(G)dF \right)
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\int \mathbb{U}(F(x))dG(x) \right) &= \int E\mathbb{U}(F(x))dG(x) = \int 0 \cdot dG(x) = 0, \quad \text{and} \\
E \left(\int \mathbb{U}(F(x))dG(x) \right)^2 &= E \left(\int \mathbb{U}(F(x))dG(x) \int \mathbb{U}(F(y))dG(y) \right) \\
&= E \iint \mathbb{U}(F(x))\mathbb{U}(F(y))dG(x)dG(y) \\
&= \iint E\{\mathbb{U}(F(x))\mathbb{U}(F(y))\}dG(x)dG(y) \\
&= \iint \{F(x) \wedge F(y) - F(x) \cdot F(y)\}dG(x)dG(y)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $Var \left(\int \mathbb{V}(G(x))dF(x) \right)$. Here the interchanges of integration in (1) and (1) are justified by Fubini's theorem since

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left| \int \mathbb{U}(F(x))dG(x) \right|^2 &\leq E \int \mathbb{U}(F(x))^2dG(x) = \int E\mathbb{U}(F(x))^2dG(x) \\
&= \int F(x)(1 - F(x))dG(x) \quad \text{by Tonelli's theorem} \\
&\leq (1/4) \int dG(x) < 1/4.
\end{aligned}$$

(d) When $F = G$ continuous we find that

$$E(U_{mn}) = \int FdF = 1/2,$$

and, since now $Var[F(Y)] = Var[G(X)] = 1/12$,

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(\sqrt{mn}U_{m,n}) &= (m-1)\frac{1}{12} + (n-1)\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4} \\
&= (N-2)\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4} = (N+1)\frac{1}{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence from part (a) it follows that

$$E\left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_j\right) = n(n+1)/2 + mnE(U_{m,n}) = n(N+1)/2$$

and

$$Var\left(\sum_{j=1}^n Q_j\right) = mnVar(\sqrt{mn}U_{m,n}) = mn(N+1)\frac{1}{12}$$

both of which agree with finite sampling calculations (drawing a sample of size n balls from an urn without replacement where the numbers on the N balls in the urn are $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$).

2. (See also van der Vaart (1998), page 303, problem 3.) For distribution functions F on R^+ and $t_0 > 0$, consider the functional $T(F) = \Lambda(t_0) \equiv \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1-F_-} dF$, the *cumulative hazard function* corresponding to F at t_0 .
- (a) Find the influence function of $T(F)$.
- (b) What does this mean about asymptotic normality of the natural estimator $T(\mathbb{F}_n)$ of $T(F)$?
- (c) Can you prove asymptotic normality of $T(\mathbb{F}_n)$ directly?

Solution: (a) To find the influence function of $T(F)$, let $F_t = (1-t)F + t\delta_x$. The distribution function corresponding to $G \equiv \delta_x$ is $1_{(-\infty, y]}(x)$, $y \in R$, so the left limit is $G_-(y) = 1_{[x < y]}$, and the corresponding "at risk" function $1 - G_-(y) = 1_{[x \geq y]} = 1_{[y, \infty)}(x)$. We need to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(F_t) - T(F)}{t} &= \frac{d}{dt} T(F_t)|_{t=0} \equiv IC(x; T, F) \equiv \psi_F(x) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - (F_t)_-} dF_t \right\} |_{t=0} \\
&= \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - F_-} d(\delta_x - F) + \int_0^{t_0} \frac{(\delta_x - F_-)}{(1 - F_-)^2} dF \\
&= \frac{1_{[0, t_0]}(x)}{1 - F_-(x)} - \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - F_-} dF + \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - F_-} dF - \int_0^{t_0} \frac{(1 - \delta_{x-})}{(1 - F_-)^2} dF \\
&= \frac{1_{[0, t_0]}(x)}{1 - F_-(x)} - \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1_{[x \geq y]}}{(1 - F_-(y))^2} dF(y) \\
&= \frac{1_{[0, t_0]}(x)}{1 - F_-(x)} - \int_0^x \frac{1_{[0, t_0]}(y)}{1 - F_-(y)} d\Lambda(y) \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - F_-(x)} - \int_0^x \frac{1}{(1 - F_-)^2} dF & \text{if } x \leq t_0 \\ - \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{(1 - F_-)^2} dF & \text{if } x > t_0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The next to last formula for the influence function of $\Lambda(t_0)$ is natural from a martingale perspective. When F is continuous $F_- = F$, and the influence function computed above reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
IC(x; T, F) &= 1_{[x \leq t_0]} - \frac{F(t_0)}{1 - F(t_0)} 1_{[x > t_0]} \\
&= \frac{1_{[x \leq t_0]} - F(t_0)}{1 - F(t_0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $E_F\psi_F(X) = 0$ and (in the case of a continuous d.f. F)

$$E_F\psi_F^2(X) = \frac{F(t_0)}{1 - F(t_0)}.$$

(b) Gateaux differentiability as established in (a) gives useful information about the form of the linear term, but does not yield a proof of asymptotic normality.

To prove asymptotic normality we can proceed in several ways:

(i) Establish some stronger form of differentiability such as Fréchet differentiability or Hadamard differentiability with respect to some metric d_* compatible with the empirical distribution function (or empirical measure).

(ii) Recognize some special structure associated with the functional under consideration.

(iii) Proceed directly by showing that the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} R_n &\equiv \sqrt{n}(T(\mathbb{F}_n) - T(F)) - \dot{T}(F; \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n - F)) \\ &= \sqrt{n}(T(\mathbb{F}_n) - T(F)) - \int \psi_F(x) d\{\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n - F)(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

satisfies $R_n = o_p(1)$. We will take route (iii) in (c) below. For (i) in the current case, see van der Vaart (1998), *Asymptotic Statistics*, example 20.15, page 301 (and his Lemma 20.10, page 298). With regard to (ii) in the present case, note that for $X_{(n)} < t_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(T(\mathbb{F}_n) - T(F)) &= \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(t-)} d\left(\mathbb{F}_n(t) - \int_0^t (1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)) d\Lambda(s)\right) \\ &= \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(t-)} d\mathbb{M}_n(t) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{M}_n(t) \equiv \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(t) - \int_0^t (1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)) d\Lambda(s))$ is a mean-zero martingale, and hence the integral in the last display is also a martingale (in t_0). Then asymptotic normality (even as a process in t_0) follows from martingale CLTs; see e.g. Shorack & W (1986), chapters 6 and 7 and especially (7.1.4) page 295 and Theorem 7.5.2 page 312.

(c) To prove asymptotic normality of $T(\mathbb{F}_n)$ (assuming that F satisfies $F(t_0) <$

1), write

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(T(\mathbb{F}_n) - T(F)) &= \sqrt{n} \left\{ \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)} d\mathbb{F}_n(s) - \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - F(s-)} dF(s) \right\} \\
&= \int_0^{t_0} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)} d[\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(s) - F(s))] \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)} - \frac{1}{1 - F(s-)} \right\} dF(s) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(t_0) - F(t_0))}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(t_0-)} - \int_0^{t_0} \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(s) - F(s))^2 \frac{1}{(1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-))^2} d\mathbb{F}_n(s) \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_0} \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(s) - F(s))}{(1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-))(1 - F(s-))} dF(s) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(t_0) - F(t_0))}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(t_0-)} + o_p(1) \\
&\xrightarrow{d} \frac{\mathbb{U}(F(t_0))}{1 - F(t_0-)} \\
&\sim N\left(0, \frac{F(t_0)}{1 - F(t_0)}\right) \quad \text{if } F \text{ is continuous}
\end{aligned}$$

since the last two terms can be rewritten as

$$\int_0^{t_0} \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(s) - F(s))}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)} \left\{ \frac{d\mathbb{F}_n(s)}{1 - \mathbb{F}_n(s-)} - \frac{dF(s)}{1 - F(s-)} \right\} = o_p(1)$$

by arguments similar to those we used to deal with the Mann-Whitney Wilcoxon statistic. Alternatively, martingale methods also work.

3. Let F be a distribution function on \mathbb{R}^2 with finite second moments, and let $\rho(F)$ be the correlation coefficient

$$\rho(F) = \frac{\text{Cov}_F(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_F(X)\text{Var}_F(Y)}}.$$

Assume that $|\rho(F)| < 1$.

- (a) Give an example of a sequence of bivariate distributions $\{F_n\}$ satisfying $F_n \rightarrow_d F$, but $\rho(F_n) \rightarrow 1 \neq \rho(F)$.
(b) Find a collection \mathcal{F} of distribution functions on \mathbb{R}^2 so that ρ is weakly continuous on \mathcal{F} .

Solution: (a) Without loss of generality we may suppose that F is a bivariate distribution function with zero means, $E_F(X) = E_F(Y) = 0$. Let $F_n = (1 -$

$n^{-1}F + n^{-1}\delta_{(a_n, b_n)}$ with $(a_n, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that F_n has marginal distribution functions $F_{n,X} = (1 - n^{-1})F_X + n^{-1}\delta_{a_n}$, $F_{n,Y} = (1 - n^{-1})F_Y + n^{-1}\delta_{b_n}$ respectively where F_X and F_Y are the marginal df's of F . Thus we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov_{F_n}(X, Y) &= E_{F_n}(XY) - E_{F_n}(X)E_{F_n}Y \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})E(XY) + n^{-1}a_nb_n - ((1 - n^{-1})E_F X + n^{-1}a_n)((1 - n^{-1})E_F Y + n^{-1}b_n) \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})\{E_F(XY) - E_F X \cdot E_F Y\} \\
&\quad + (1 - n^{-1})E_F X \cdot E_F Y - (1 - n^{-1})^2 E_F X \cdot E_F Y \\
&\quad - (1 - n^{-1})E_F X \cdot n^{-1}b_n - (1 - n^{-1})E_F Y \cdot n^{-1}a_n - n^{-2}a_nb_n \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})Cov_F(X, Y) + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})a_nb_n \\
&\quad - n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})\{E_F X \cdot b_n + E_F Y \cdot a_n\} + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})E_F X \cdot E_F Y \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})Cov_F(X, Y) + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})a_nb_n
\end{aligned}$$

since $E_F X = E_F Y = 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
Var_{F_n}(X) &= E_{F_n}(X^2) - (E_{F_n}(X))^2 \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})E_F X^2 + n^{-1}a_n^2 - ((1 - n^{-1})E_F X + n^{-1}a_n)^2 \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})\{E_F(X^2) - (E_F X)^2\} + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})(E_F X)^2 \\
&\quad + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})a_n^2 - 2n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})E_F X \cdot a_n \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})Var_F(X) + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})a_n^2 + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})\{(E_F X)^2 - 2E_F X \cdot a_n\} \\
&= (1 - n^{-1})Var_F(X) + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})a_n^2, \quad \text{and} \\
Var_{F_n}(Y) &= E_{F_n}(Y^2) - (E_{F_n}(Y))^2 = (1 - n^{-1})Var_F(Y) + n^{-1}(1 - n^{-1})b_n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a_n = b_n = n$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov_{F_n}(X, Y) &= n + o(n) = n(1 + o(1)), \\
Var_{F_n}(X) &= n + o(n) = n(1 + o(1)), \\
Var_{F_n}(Y) &= n + o(n) = n(1 + o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that

$$\rho(F_n) = \frac{Cov_{F_n}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{Var_{F_n}(X)Var_{F_n}(Y)}} = \frac{n(1 + o(1))}{n(1 + o(1))} \rightarrow 1$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus ρ is weakly discontinuous at every F .

(b) Consider the following collection of distributions on R^2 : for some $r > 2$ and $M < \infty$

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,M} \equiv \{F : E_F |X|^r \leq M, E_F |Y|^r \leq M\}.$$

Then ρ is weakly-continuous on $\mathcal{F}_{r,M}$ at any F with $Var_F(X) > 0$ and $Var_F(Y) > 0$. Here is a proof: let $\{F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}_{r,M}$ satisfy $F_n \rightarrow_d F$. Then with $(X_n, Y_n) \sim F_n$

and $(X, Y) \sim F$ we have $(X_n, Y_n) \rightarrow_d (X, Y)$, and by a Skorokhod construction there exist $(X_n^*, Y_n^*) =_d (X_n, Y_n)$ and $(X^*, Y^*) =_d (X, Y)$ defined on a common probability space and satisfying $(X_n^*, Y_n^*) \rightarrow_{a.s.} (X^*, Y^*)$. But because $\{F_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{r,M}, X_n^2, Y_n^2, \text{ and } |X_n Y_n|\}$ are all uniformly integrable: since $r > 2$,

$$EX_n^2 1_{[X_n^2 \geq \lambda]} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{r-2}} E|X_n|^r \leq \frac{M}{\lambda^{r-2}}$$

so

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} EX_n^2 1_{[X_n^2 \geq \lambda]} \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M}{\lambda^{r-2}} = 0$$

and similarly for $\{Y_n^2\}$, so the uniform integrability of $|X_n Y_n|$ follows by Cauchy-Schwarz. The same holds true for the (X_n^*, Y_n^*) pairs since the uniform integrability only depends on the (marginal) distributions. Thus by Vitali's theorem it follows that

$$EX_n^s = EX_n^* s \rightarrow EX^* s = EX^s$$

and

$$EY_n^s = EY_n^* s \rightarrow EY^* s = EY^s$$

for $s = 1, 2$, while Vitali also yields

$$EX_n Y_n = EX_n^* Y_n^* \rightarrow EX^* Y^* = EXY.$$

Therefore

$$Var_{F_n}(X_n) \rightarrow Var_F(X), Var_{F_n}(Y_n) \rightarrow Var_F(Y), \quad (1)$$

and

$$Cov_{F_n}(X_n, Y_n) \rightarrow Cov_F(X, Y). \quad (2)$$

Since we have assumed that $Var_F(X) > 0$ and $Var_F(Y) > 0$, (1) and (2) yield

$$\rho(F_n) = \frac{Cov_{F_n}(X_n, Y_n)}{\sqrt{Var_{F_n}(X_n) \cdot Var_{F_n}(Y_n)}} \rightarrow \frac{Cov_F(X, Y)}{\sqrt{Var_F(X) \cdot Var_F(Y)}} = \rho(F);$$

i.e. ρ is continuous on $\mathcal{F}_{r,M}$ at any F with positive variances.

It is interesting to note that the hypothesis $\{F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}_{r,M}$ cannot be weakened to $\{F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}_{2,M}$ (and hence it can also not be weakened to the still larger class $\mathcal{F}_{2,\infty}$). Here is a counterexample. Let F be a d.f. on R^2 with $EX = 0 = EY$ and $EX^2 = 1 = EY^2$, and $\rho(F) < 1$ where $(X, Y) \sim F$. Let $M > 1$ be a big number, and consider the class

$$\mathcal{F}_{2,M} = \{F \text{ on } R^2 : E_F X^2 \leq M, E_F Y^2 \leq M\}.$$

Let $a_n, b_n > 0$; we will specify them in terms of M shortly. Consider the sequence of d.f.'s $\{F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}_{2,M}$ defined by

$$F_n(x, y) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)F(x, y) + \frac{1}{2n}\delta_{(a_n, b_n)} + \frac{1}{2n}\delta_{(-a_n, -b_n)}.$$

Then for any bounded and continuous function $\psi : R^2 \rightarrow R$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \psi dF_n &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \int \psi dF + \frac{1}{2n}\psi(a_n, b_n) + \frac{1}{2n}\psi(-a_n, -b_n) \\ &\rightarrow \int \psi dF, \end{aligned}$$

so $F_n \rightarrow_d F$. Furthermore, with $(X_n, Y_n) \sim F_n$,

$$EX_n = (1 - 1/n)EX = 0, EY_n = 0,$$

$$EX_n^2 = (1 - 1/n)EX^2 + \frac{a_n^2}{n} = (1 - 1/n)M + \frac{a_n^2}{n} = M$$

if $a_n^2 = n\{M - (1 - 1/n)\}$. Similarly,

$$EY_n^2 = (1 - 1/n)EY^2 + \frac{b_n^2}{n} = M$$

if $b_n^2 = n\{M - (1 - 1/n)\}$. With these choices of a_n and b_n ,

$$Cov(X_n, Y_n) = (1 - 1/n)Cov(X, Y) + \frac{a_n b_n}{n},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F_n) &= \frac{Cov(X_n, Y_n)}{\sqrt{Var(X_n)Var(Y_n)}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - 1/n)Cov(X, Y) + M - (1 - 1/n)M}{\sqrt{M^2}} \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\rho(F) + M - 1}{M} \neq \rho(F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\rho(F)$ is not continuous on $\mathcal{F}_{2,M}$.