

Statistics 583, Problem Set 8 Solutions

Wellner; 5/27/2009

1. (a) Read Wasserman, section 3.4, pages 32 - 34. Then form confidence intervals for the skewness of the nerve data by all the methods discussed by Wasserman, section 3.4, pages 32 - 35 to see if you get results comparable to those in his table in example 3.17, page 34.

(b) Form a 95% confidence interval for the skewness parameter assuming that the nerve data can be modeled by a Weibull distribution with parameters (α, β) . (That is, regard $T(P) = E_P(X - \mu(P))^3 / \sigma^3(P)$ as a parametric function $g(\alpha, \beta) = T(P_{\alpha, \beta})$ for $P_{\alpha, \beta}$ a Weibull distribution on \mathbb{R}^+ , and form a confidence interval for $g(\alpha, \beta)$ via the (parametric-) delta method. Does the resulting confidence interval include 2?

Solution (a): (i) normal bootstrap interval: based on the bootstrap standard error estimate from problem set #7 of $\hat{s}e_{boot} = .163$, I get the 95% confidence interval $1.7612 \pm 1.96 * .163 = (1.442, 2.081)$.

(ii) Percentile interval: as noted by Wasserman on page 34, this is just the interval $(\theta_{(B\alpha/2)}^*, \theta_{(B(1-\alpha)/2)}^*)$. When I compute with $B = 10^4$ I get $(\theta_{(B\alpha/2)}^*, \theta_{(B(1-\alpha)/2)}^*) = (1.435, 2.064)$.

(iii) Pivotal interval: as argued on Wasserman's pages 32 and 33 this interval is given by $(2\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_{(B(1-\alpha)/2)}^*, 2\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_{(B\alpha/2)}^*)$. When I compute I get $(1.459, 2.088)$.

(iv) Studentized interval: this is the most computationally involved of these intervals. I proceeded as suggested in Wasserman, page 35, using the (corrected version of the) non-parametric delta method applied to the bootstrap samples. When I compute with $B = 10^4$ I get $(\hat{\theta} - z_{1-\alpha/2}^* \hat{s}e_{boot}, \hat{\theta} - z_{\alpha/2}^* \hat{s}e_{boot}) = (1.517, 2.281)$ where $z_{1-\alpha/2}^*$ = the α sample quantile of Z_1^*, \dots, Z_B^* and $Z_b^* \equiv (\hat{\theta}_b^* - \hat{\theta}) / \hat{s}e_b^*$. Here is a summary table:

method	(lower bound ,upper bound)
Normal	(1.442, 2.081)
percentile	(1.435, 2.064)
pivotal	(1.459, 2.088)
studentized	(1.517 , 2.281)
pivotal	

These seem to be in reasonably good agreement with the intervals obtained by Wasserman.

Solution (b): First note that

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2\Gamma(1 + 1/\beta)^3 - 3\Gamma(1 + 1/\beta)\Gamma(1 + 2/\beta) + \Gamma(1 + 3/\beta)}{\Gamma(1 + 2/\beta) - \Gamma(1 + 1/\beta)^2}$$

is a function only of β , say $g(\beta)$. Furthermore, from our results in Stat 581,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) \rightarrow_d N(0, \beta^2 6/\pi^2),$$

and hence, by the delta-method,

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\beta}_n) - g(\beta)) \rightarrow_d g'(\beta)N(0, \beta^2 6/\pi^2).$$

Thus a 95% parametric (model - based) confidence interval for the skewness of the nerve data is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(\hat{\beta}) \pm z_{.975} \sqrt{\frac{6\hat{\beta}^2 [g'(\hat{\beta})]^2}{\pi^2 n}} \\ &= 1.77782 \pm 1.96 \cdot \frac{1.77782 \cdot 2.46058 \cdot \sqrt{6}}{\pi \sqrt{799}} \\ &= 1.77782 \pm 1.06 \cdot 0.0734 \\ &= (1.634, 1.922) \end{aligned}$$

which excludes the value 2 (the skewness of all exponential distributions), and is considerably shorter than the nonparametric CI's found in problem 1.

2. Wasserman, problem 12, page 41: Suppose that 50 people are given a placebo and 50 are given a new treatment. Thirty placebo patients show improvement, while 40 treated patients show improvement. Let $\tau = p_2 - p_1$ where p_2 is the probability of improving under treatment and p_1 is the probability of improving under placebo.

(a) Find the MLE of τ . Find the standard error and 90% confidence interval using the delta method.

(b) Find the standard error and 90% confidence interval using the bootstrap.

Solution: (a) Here $X \equiv$ number of patients showing improvement on the placebo, so $X \sim \text{Binomial}(m, p_1)$ with $m = 50$, and $Y \equiv$ number of patients showing improvement on the treatment, so $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p_2)$ with $n = 50$. The MLE of $\tau = p_2 - p_1$ is simply $\hat{\tau} = \hat{p}_2 - \hat{p}_1 = Y/n - X/m = 4/5 - 3/5 = 1/5 = .20$. Furthermore, if $\lambda_N \equiv m/N \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{mn}{N}}(\hat{\tau} - \tau) &= \sqrt{m/N}\sqrt{n}(\hat{p}_2 - p_2) - \sqrt{n/N}\sqrt{m}(\hat{p}_1 - p_1) \\ &\rightarrow_d \sqrt{\lambda}Z_2 - \sqrt{1-\lambda}Z_1 \\ &\sim N(0, \lambda p_2 q_2 + (1-\lambda)p_1 q_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the standard error of $\hat{\tau}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{(m/N)\hat{p}_2\hat{q}_2 + (n/N)\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1}{mn/N}} &= \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_2\hat{q}_2}{n} + \frac{\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1}{m}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(4/5)(1/5)}{50} + \frac{(3/5)(2/5)}{50}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{10}{25 \cdot 50}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{125}} = 0.0894427, \end{aligned}$$

and a 90% confidence interval for τ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\tau} \pm z_{.05} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_2\hat{q}_2}{n} + \frac{\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1}{m}} \\ = \frac{1}{5} \pm 1.645(0.0894427) = .20 \pm 0.147 = (0.053, 0.347). \end{aligned}$$

3. (Bootstrapping a linear regression model a simple way.) Consider bootstrapping a linear regression model

$$Y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where the ϵ_i are i.i.d. mean 0, finite variance, and the \mathbf{x}_i are given p -dimensional vectors, such that there is no constant term in the regression.

(a) Show that the estimated residuals $\hat{\epsilon}^T = (\hat{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_n)$ satisfy $\hat{\epsilon} - \epsilon = -H\epsilon$ where $H = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T$ is the “hat matrix” (i.e. the projection matrix onto the column space of \mathbf{X}).

(b) Suppose that $\hat{\epsilon}_1^*, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_n^*$ is a bootstrap sample (with replacement) from $\{\hat{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_n\}$. Show that

$$E_*(n^{1/2}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta})) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{1}\right)Z_n$$

where $Z_n = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i$.

(c) Show that if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_{ii} \rightarrow 0$, and $n^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} \rightarrow V$, a positive definite matrix, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \rightarrow_d N_p(0, \sigma^2 V^{-1})$$

[This is a variant of the result we established in 581 via the Lindeberg - Feller CLT.]

(d). Find the mean and variance of Z_n .

(e) Suppose that:

(i) $n^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X} \rightarrow V$, a positive definite matrix;

(ii) $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{1}/n \rightarrow \mathbf{h}$ with $\mathbf{h}^TV^{-1}\mathbf{h} < 1$;

(iii) $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_{ii} \rightarrow 0$ where h_{ii} are the diagonal elements of the hat matrix H .

Show that if (i) - (iii) hold, then the bootstrap fails in the sense that the random variable Z_n in (b) converges in distribution to a proper random variable rather than to zero.

Hint: show that (iii) implies that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_{ni}| \rightarrow 0$ where $\mathbf{c} = n^{-1/2}(I - H)\mathbf{1}$.

Solution: (a) Now $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^TY = HY$, so $\hat{\underline{\epsilon}} = Y - \hat{Y} = (I - H)Y$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{\epsilon}} - \underline{\epsilon} &= (I - H)Y - (Y - X\underline{\beta}) \\ &= -H(X\underline{\beta} + \underline{\epsilon}) + X\underline{\beta} = -H\underline{\epsilon}\end{aligned}$$

since $H(X\underline{\beta}) = X\underline{\beta}$ (since $X\underline{\beta}$ is already in the column space of X !)

(b). Let $\hat{\underline{\epsilon}}_i^*$ be a sample with replacement from $\{\hat{\epsilon}_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$, and let $Y_i^* = x_i\hat{\beta} + \hat{\epsilon}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus in vector notation, $Y^* = X\hat{\underline{\beta}} + \hat{\underline{\epsilon}}^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{\beta}}^* &= (X^TX)^{-1}X^TY^* = (X^TX)^{-1}X^T(X\hat{\underline{\beta}} + \hat{\underline{\epsilon}}^*) \\ &= \hat{\underline{\beta}} + (X^TX)^{-1}X^T\hat{\underline{\epsilon}}^*.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\beta}}^* - \hat{\underline{\beta}}) = (n^{-1}X^TX)^{-1}(n^{-1}X^T)\sqrt{n}\hat{\underline{\epsilon}}^*,$$

and, since $E_*(\hat{\underline{\epsilon}}_i^*) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i$ the expected value is

$$\begin{aligned}E_*(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\beta}}^* - \hat{\underline{\beta}})) &= (n^{-1}X^TX)^{-1}(n^{-1}X^T)\underline{\mathbf{1}}n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i \\ &= (n^{-1}X^TX)^{-1}(n^{-1}X^T)\underline{\mathbf{1}}Z_n.\end{aligned}$$

(c). To show that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta}) \rightarrow_d N_p(0, \sigma^2V^{-1})$$

first write $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta}) = \sqrt{n}(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\underline{\epsilon}$ so that, for any fixed vector $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^p$,

$$\underline{\lambda}^T\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta}) = \sqrt{n}\underline{\lambda}^T(X^TX)^{-1}X^T\underline{\epsilon} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}\epsilon_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n X_{n,i}$$

where the vector $a_n \equiv \sqrt{n}X(X^T X)^{-1}\underline{\lambda}$. Hence we have $EX_{ni} = 0$, $Var(X_{ni}) = a_{ni}^2\sigma^2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n^2 &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ni}^2 = \sigma^2|\underline{a}|^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 n \underline{\lambda}^T (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{\lambda} \\ &\rightarrow \sigma^2 \underline{\lambda}^T V^{-1} \underline{\lambda} > 0\end{aligned}$$

since V is positive definite.

To check the Lindeberg condition, write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{|X_{ni}|^2 1_{\{|X_{ni}| > \epsilon \sigma_n\}}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^2 E\{\epsilon_1^2 1_{\{|\epsilon_1| > \epsilon \sigma_n / |a_{ni}|\}}\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E\{\epsilon_1^2 1_{\{|\epsilon_1| > \epsilon \sigma_n / \max_i |a_{ni}|\}}\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by the DCT since } E(\epsilon^2) < \infty\end{aligned}$$

if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}|^2 \rightarrow 0$. But we can write $a_{ni} = \sqrt{n} \underline{x}_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{\lambda}$, so that, by Cauchy - Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}|^2 &\leq n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\underline{x}_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{x}_i) (\underline{\lambda}^T (X^T X)^{-1} \underline{\lambda}) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_{ii} \underline{\lambda}^T (n^{-1} X^T X)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \cdot \underline{\lambda}^T V^{-1} \underline{\lambda} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Lindeberg - Feller CLT and (f)

$$\underline{\lambda}^T \sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta}) \rightarrow_d N_p(0, \underline{\lambda}^T V^{-1} \underline{\lambda} \sigma^2).$$

By the Cramér - Wold device, this yields (e) under the hypothesis $\max h_{ii} \rightarrow 0$.

(d) To calculate the variance, we first note that from (a) $E(\hat{\epsilon}) = (I - H)E(\epsilon) = 0$, and $E(Z_n) = 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}Var(Z_n) &= \frac{1}{n} (\underline{1}^T (I - H)(I - H)\underline{1}) \sigma^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \{1 - (n^{-1} \underline{1}^T X)(n^{-1} X^T X)^{-1} (n^{-1} X^T \underline{1})\}.\end{aligned}$$

(e) If $n^{-1} X^T \underline{1} \rightarrow h$, $n^{-1} X^T X \rightarrow V$ with V positive definite, and $h^T V^{-1} h < 1$, then from (d)

$$\begin{aligned}Var(Z_n) &= \sigma^2 \{1 - (n^{-1} \underline{1}^T X)(n^{-1} X^T X)^{-1} (n^{-1} X^T \underline{1})\} \\ &\rightarrow \sigma^2 \{1 - h^T V^{-1} h\} \equiv \sigma^2 c^2 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

To show that $Z_n \rightarrow_d$ using the Lindeberg - Feller CLT requires a bit more in the way of hypotheses and some more work: write

$$Z_n = n^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}^T (I - H) \underline{\epsilon} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni} \epsilon_i \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ni};$$

thus the vector $\underline{c}_n = n^{-1/2} (I - H) \mathbf{1}$. Thus $E(X_{ni}) = 0$, $\sigma_{ni}^2 = \text{Var}(X_{ni}) = c_{ni}^2 \sigma^2$, and, as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{ni}^2 = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni}^2 = \sigma^2 \underline{c}^T \underline{c} \\ &= \sigma^2 \{ \mathbf{1} - n^{-1} \mathbf{1}^T X (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{1} \} \rightarrow \sigma^2 (1 - h^T V^{-1} h) \end{aligned}$$

under the above hypotheses. Finally, if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_{ni}| \rightarrow 0$, then, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{|X_{ni}|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|X_{ni}| > \epsilon \sigma_n\}}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ni}^2 E\{\epsilon_1^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\epsilon_1| > \epsilon \sigma_n / |c_{ni}|\}}\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E\{\epsilon_1^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{|\epsilon_1| > \epsilon \sigma_n / \max_i |c_{ni}|\}}\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by the DCT since } E(\epsilon^2) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

if $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_{ni}|^2 \rightarrow 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{ni}| &\leq n^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij} \right| = n^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}^T \underline{h} \\ &\leq n^{-1/2} + n^{-1/2} \sqrt{\mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{1}} \sqrt{\underline{h} \underline{h}} \\ &= n^{-1/2} + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n h_{ij}^2} = n^{-1/2} + \sqrt{h_{ii}}, \end{aligned}$$

using $H = H^T$ and $HH = H$, so $\max_i |c_{ni}| \leq n^{-1/2} + \sqrt{\max_i h_{ii}} \rightarrow 0$ by (iii). Thus the Lindeberg - Feller CLT yields $Z_n / \sigma_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1)$; combining this with (d) yields

$$\sqrt{n} E_*(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta}) \rightarrow_d V^{-1} h N(0, c^2 \sigma^2).$$

We conclude from (e) and (i) that the bootstrap *fails* in the situation (at least under the additional hypothesis that $\max h_{ii} \rightarrow 0$).

4. Suppose now that the bootstrap residuals are drawn from the collection of *centered* residuals $\hat{\epsilon} - \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1}^T \hat{\epsilon}/n)$. Compute $E_*(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta}))$ and $E_*(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta}))^{\otimes 2}$ for this bootstrap resampling scheme.

Solution: When the resampling is done from the *centered* residuals $\hat{\epsilon} - \mathbf{1}(\mathbf{1}^T \hat{\epsilon}/n)$, the nonzero term in $E_*(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta}))$ which we investigated in problem 4 above vanishes: Since

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta}) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \hat{\epsilon}^*,$$

where

$$E_*(\hat{\epsilon}^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_i - \mathbf{1}^T \hat{\epsilon}/n) = \mathbf{0},$$

it follows that

$$E_*\{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta})\} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E_*(\hat{\epsilon}^*) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_*\{[\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \hat{\beta})]^{\otimes 2}\} &= n(X^T X)^{-1} X^T E_*(\hat{\epsilon}^* \hat{\epsilon}^{*T}) X (X^T X)^{-1} \\ &= n(X^T X)^{-1} \hat{\sigma}_F^2 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$E_*(\hat{\epsilon}^* \hat{\epsilon}^{*T}) = I \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_i - \mathbf{1}^T \hat{\epsilon}/n)^2 \equiv \hat{\sigma}_F^2 I.$$

This modification of the bootstrap procedure seems appropriate when the design matrix X does not contain a column of 1's. See Freedman (1981), *Ann. Statist.* **9**, 1218 - 1228; especially the discussion on page 1220, the positive theorem on page 1223, and the discussion on page 1224 (upon which this problem is based).

5. Wasserman, problem 2, page 59: Prove equation (4.10): $R(f(x), \hat{f}_n(x)) = \text{bias}_x^2 + \text{Var}(\hat{f}_n(x))$.

Solution: By easy calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} R(f(x), \hat{f}_n(x)) &= E(f(x) - \hat{f}_n(x))^2 \\ &= E(f(x) - E\hat{f}_n(x) + E\hat{f}_n(x) - \hat{f}_n(x))^2 \\ &= E\{(f(x) - E\hat{f}_n(x))^2 + 2(f(x) - E\hat{f}_n(x))(E\hat{f}_n(x) - \hat{f}_n(x)) + (E\hat{f}_n(x) - \hat{f}_n(x))^2\} \\ &= (f(x) - E\hat{f}_n(x))^2 + 0 + E\{(E\hat{f}_n(x) - \hat{f}_n(x))^2\} \\ &= \text{bias}_n^2(x) + \text{Var}[\hat{f}_n(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is really a general calculation for estimation with squared error loss (which we carried out in a more general setting in Chapter 5).